# **Supplementary material**

# A gas-to-particle conversion mechanism helps to explain atmospheric particle formation through clustering of iodine oxides

Juan Carlos Gomez Martin<sup>1\*</sup>, Thomas R. Lewis<sup>2,3</sup>, Mark A. Blitz<sup>3</sup>, John M.C. Plane<sup>3</sup>, Manoj Kumar<sup>4</sup>, Joe S. Francisco<sup>4</sup> and Alfonso Saiz-Lopez<sup>2\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Instituto de Astrofísica de Andalucía, CSIC, 18008 Granada, Spain.

<sup>2</sup> Department of Atmospheric Chemistry and Climate, Institute of Physical Chemistry Rocasolano, 28006, CSIC, Madrid, Spain.

<sup>3</sup> School of Chemistry, University of Leeds, LS2 9JT Leeds, UK.

<sup>4</sup> Department of Earth and Environmental Science and Department of Chemistry, University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, PA 19104-6323, USA

\*Correspondence to: J. C. Gómez Martín (<u>icgomez@iaa.es</u>) and A. Saiz-Lopez (<u>a.saiz@csic.es</u>).

#### Supplementary Note 1. Competition between iodine oxide and oxyacid cluster formation

To explore the relative contribution of iodine oxides and oxyacids to the nucleation of IOPs, we have modelled a BBP flow tube experiments with longer residence time. The results shown in **Supplementary Figure 8** are for a flow tube residence time of 200 s. We have carried out two sets of simulations: one assuming that the source of HOIO<sub>2</sub> is the composite reaction  $I + H_2O + O_3$  and another assuming that the source of both HOIO and HOIO<sub>2</sub> is the  $I_2O_4 + H_2O$  reaction, with the rate constants set at the upper limits determined experimentally (**Table 3**). The mechanism listed in **Supplementary Table 1** and **Table 1** is extended with HOIO and HOIO<sub>2</sub> aggregation reactions according to the scheme

$$HOIO_x + HOIO_y \rightarrow H_2 I_2 O_{2+x+y} (x, y = 0, 1)$$
(1)

 $HOIO_{x} + H_{2}I_{2}O_{y} \rightarrow H_{3}I_{3}O_{3+x+y+z} (x = 0, 1; y = 5, 6)$ (2)

$$H_2I_2O_x + H_2I_2O_y \rightarrow H_4I_4O_{x+y} (x, y = 5, 6)$$
 (3)

No HOIO<sub>2</sub> addition and dehydration steps are considered because of the barrier in the HOIO<sub>2</sub> + HOIO<sub>2</sub> PES<sup>1,2</sup>. Calculated rate constants for the initial aggregation steps are listed in **Supplementary Table 4**. The HOIO self-reaction PES has a barrierless, exothermic pathway leading to the bimolecular product channel I<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> + H<sub>2</sub>O (**Table 1**). It is therefore a possible path from oxyacids back to oxides and an alternative explanation of a growing CI-API-ToF-MS IO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> signal with increasing H<sub>2</sub>O concentration<sup>3</sup>, since there is a likely contribution of I<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> to this signal (see **Supplementary Note 2** and **Supplementary Table 3**). It can be seen that the formation of the HOIO...HOIO<sub>2</sub> aggregate is more favorable than the formation of the HOIO<sub>2</sub> dimer, while the following aggregation steps are assumed to proceed at the gas kinetics collisional rate constant. HOI is allowed to attach to hydroxide molecular clusters at 2 × 10<sup>-10</sup> cm<sup>-3</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> molecule<sup>-1</sup>. The oxide-oxyacid aggregates are not very stable. No other cross-over or hydrated clusters are considered.

The mixing ratio curves as a function of water concentration in **Supplementary Figure 8** correspond to major gas phase species. The total number density of molecular clusters with up to 9 iodine atoms descending from iodine oxides and oxyacids are displayed in the top panels to enable a comparison of the relative importance of oxides and oxyacids in the formation of clusters. The bottom panels show the iodine mass balance, i.e. the amount of

the initial atomic iodine in I<sub>2</sub> molecules which ends up as I<sub>x</sub>O<sub>y</sub> or HIO<sub>x</sub> clusters. Despite the many assumptions involved in this exercise, a conclusion that can be drawn is that molecular clusters descending directly from HOIO and HOIO<sub>2</sub> can only become dominant at high water concentration (>  $5 \times 10^{16}$  molecule cm<sup>-3</sup>) and if the direct precursor of these oxyacids is atomic iodine. If the precursor of oxyacids is an oxide, nucleation is started by iodine oxides. Simulations at lower I<sub>2</sub> photolysis rates yield similar I<sub>x</sub>O<sub>y</sub>/HIO<sub>x</sub> ratios, because the HOIO<sub>2</sub> concentration depends on how much I<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> is formed. Interestingly, even if HOIO<sub>2</sub> is not the species that drives nucleation, it is still a proxy for I<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> and the evolution of its concentration would track that of particle formation events.

#### Supplementary Note 2. Interpretation of previous laboratory results and field data

Observation of HOIO<sub>2</sub> by CI-API-ToF-MS was reported in laboratory experiments where a flow of synthetic air carrying I<sub>2</sub>, O<sub>3</sub> and trace amounts of H<sub>2</sub>O (i.e. no added water, RH < 1% or equivalently  $x(H_2O) < 0.03\%$ ,  $[H_2O] < 7.7 \times 10^{15}$  molecule cm<sup>-3</sup>) was exposed to visible light<sup>3</sup>. No UV light was used, and therefore intervention of HO<sub>x</sub> in the formation of HOIO<sub>2</sub> (e.g. via  $OIO + OH^4$ ) can be discounted. The  $IO^-$ ,  $IO_2^-$  and  $IO_3^-$  signals with and without added water have been attributed to the chemical ionization by nitrate and acetate ions of the corresponding oxyacid neutral molecules HOI, HOIO and  $HOIO_2^3$ . We have shown that gasphase reactions forming oxyacids from atomic iodine and iodine oxides are very slow ( $k \le 5 \times$ 10<sup>-19</sup> cm<sup>3</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> molecule<sup>-1</sup>). However, for reactor residence times of hundreds of seconds and sufficiently high water concentration, even these slow rate constants may result in HOIO<sub>2</sub> concentrations detectable by the sensitive nitrate CI technique (**Supplementary Figure 8**). We have hypothesized that wall reactions of iodine oxides with adsorbed H<sub>2</sub>O may be an additional source of oxyacids in long residence time experiments and field instruments with long inlets. Thus, we have performed BOMD simulations to probe bond-forming and bondbreaking events over a finite time scale for  $I_2O_y$  on the air-water interface. Supplementary **Movies 2-4** show that  $I_2O_y$  (y = 2, 3, 4) do not react on time scales of tens of picoseconds, which indicates that HOIO and HOIO<sub>2</sub> would not be made by reactions of I<sub>2</sub>O<sub>y</sub> with H<sub>2</sub>O adsorbed on the walls of the CI-API-ToF-MS inlet or the reactor inner surfaces. However, the possibility of higher iodine oxides reacting on the air-water interface<sup>2</sup> is intriguing and could be a source of both oxide and oxyacid clusters.

Besides thermochemical and kinetic obstacles for HOIO<sub>2</sub> formation, the interpretation of the

IO<sup>-</sup>, IO<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup> and IO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> nitrate and acetate CI signals as oxyacids is problematic. **Supplementary Table 3** lists reaction enthalpies obtained from evaluated thermochemical data<sup>5,6</sup> complemented with our own ab initio calculations, showing that generation of IO<sup>-</sup> and IO<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup> from reactions between HIO<sub>x</sub> or I<sub>x</sub>O<sub>y</sub> and NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>, Br<sup>-</sup> and CH<sub>3</sub>COO<sup>-</sup> are generally endothermic. In contrast, dissociative charge transfer between HOIO<sub>2</sub> and NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> is indeed exothermic. But IO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> can originate as well from dissociative charge transfer between I<sub>2</sub>O<sub>y</sub> (y=2, 3, 4) and NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>, Br<sup>-</sup> and CH<sub>3</sub>COO<sup>-</sup>. Although the IO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> CI signal has been shown to increase (sub-linearly) with water<sup>3</sup>, which is an indication of HOIO<sub>2</sub> formation from a I<sub>x</sub>O<sub>y</sub> + H<sub>2</sub>O slow reaction, it is very likely that I<sub>2</sub>O<sub>y</sub> contributes to it significantly. Many of the nitrogen-containing clusters observed in the CI-API-TOF-MS data can be identified as I<sub>x</sub>O<sub>y</sub>.NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> (x ≤ 3, y ≤ 7), which would confirm the presence of I<sub>x</sub>O<sub>y</sub> in this system.

The O/I ratio of 2.45  $\pm$  0.05 of IOPs reported in our previous work under dry conditions<sup>7</sup> is consistent with clusters that start forming from molecules with  $O/I \le 2$  and then grow at each step by  $I_2O_5$  units (Figure 1). Due to this progressive increase of the I/O ratio,  $I_5O_{12}$  already shows an O/I ratio within the uncertainty range of IOPs. Under humid conditions, a more variable O/I ratio has been reported (2.2 - 2.6<sup>3</sup>), which indicates contribution of oxides and oxyacids to particle composition. Several studies report mass spectroscopic evidence about the composition of particles formed under different water vapor concentrations. The mass spectra of nanometer-sized IOPs generated in smog chamber studies by irradiation of  $CH_2I_2/Air/O_3$  mixtures include the HIO<sub>3</sub><sup>+</sup> (electron impact<sup>8</sup>) and  $IO_3^-$  peaks (atmospheric pressure ionization, API<sup>9</sup>). These ion signals are consistent with the presence of HOIO<sub>2</sub> in IOPs. The use of the CH<sub>2</sub>I<sub>2</sub> precursor provides a source of HO<sub>x</sub> via UV photolysis of formaldehyde<sup>10</sup> generated by Crigee-iodine chemistry <sup>11</sup>, which could result in the formation of HOIO<sub>2</sub> under dry conditions. The API  $IO_3^-$  signal is also compatible with iodine oxides<sup>9</sup>. These factors possibly prevented a stronger contrast at m/z = 176 between humid and dry runs. More importantly, the high temperature employed in these works to vaporize particles (823-873 K) was possibly too high to allow the survival of enough  $HOIO_2^8$ .

The recent observation by CI-API-ToF-MS of ion clusters compatible with oxyacid composition alongside signals attributed to HOIO<sub>2</sub>, and the increase of these signals with water, have been interpreted as evidence of HOIO<sub>2</sub> homogeneous nucleation. The composition of the particles, therefore, is proposed to be the same as that of the gas phase molecules. The PI positive ion mass spectra recorded in the presence of water under a variety of conditions (Figure 2) appear to show a different picture, where the larger clusters are not grown by sequential addition of the smaller ones, and where water only reduces the signal without creating new peaks. Comparison between mass spectra obtained by different sampling and ionization techniques is not straightforward, but a plausible explanation is the much longer residence time and lower I<sub>x</sub>O<sub>y</sub> concentration in the CI experiments and field measurements, which may create a more favorable situation for slow water reactions. Reactions between  $I_xO_y$  and  $H_2O$ may be even slower than the upper limits presented here, but the much longer residence time in the CI experiments and field measurements may allow for attachment of water and oxyacids to pre-existing clusters. Moreover, fragmentation mechanisms of a completely different nature are at play in both systems. Collision-induced fragmentation has been identified as a problem obscuring the interpretation of API-MS data<sup>12</sup>. In fact, the CI mass spectra show a large number of peaks which are unassigned or do not fit into the proposed HOIO<sub>2</sub> nucleation scheme. A striking similarity between the PI and CI spectra is that the groups of peaks with even numbers of iodine atoms are separated by I<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> units (334 Da), and so are the groups with odd numbers of iodine atoms, which once again shows that  $I_2O_5$  (or its hydrated form HOIO<sub>2</sub>) is the backbone composition of IOPs.



Supplementary Figure 1. Experimental set up employed in this work. Pulsed Laser Photolysis (PLP) experiments: A dichroic mirror is used to steer the excimer beam (193 nm or 248 nm) into the flow tube. Broad Band Photolysis (BBP) experiments: the dycroic mirror is removed and a xenon lamp is used instead. High pressure experiments: the tube is shortened and a differentially pumped roughing chamber (dotted lines) is insterted between the experiment and the chamber. ionization Pyrolysis experiments: a hot filament (thin dashed line) is exposed to the flow near the sampling point (see Supplementary Figure 2). Two ionisation energies are available: (a) tripplig the third harmonic (354.7 nm) of the Nd:YAG laser in a rare gas (Xe), resulting in 118.2 nm photons (10.5 eV) and (b) using the second harmonic (532 nm) of the Nd:YAG laser to pump a dye laser running on a DCM special solution in ethanol, to obtain a 322.8 nm beam, which is then tripled to obtain 106.7 nm photons (11.6 eV).



**Supplementary Figure 2.** Pyrolysis set-up. Left: view of the resistively heated coil placed around the sampling point in the pyrolysis experiments. The picture was taken from a viewport perpendicular to the flow tube, situated where the power supply of the coil is depicted in **Supplementary Figure 1**. Right: same picture with annotations indicating different elements of the set up and the sampling geometry.



Supplementary Figure 3. Kinetic traces. Recorded from three different PLP experiments initiated by 248 nm photolysis of  $O_3$  in the presence of I<sub>2</sub> at 10 Torr (PI 10.5 eV). The blue curves correspond to experiments where water was added actively to the flow (mixing ratio of 2%), while the black curves correspond to dry experiments. Experiment #1: digital model, manual PLP-PI delaying, weaker ionization. Experiments #2 and #3: analog mode, automatic PLP-PI delaying, higher I<sub>x</sub>O<sub>v</sub> concentrations. The three experiments have a different PI beam delivery geometry and energy, resulting in different fragmentation of higher oxides. In experiment #3, with the highest concentration of  $I_xO_y$ , the true signals are swamped by the fragmentation signal. The addition of water, besides the formation of HOI (second row), appears to reduce the extent of fragmentation rather than causing chemical removal, since new products are not observed.



*Supplementary Figure 4.* ROFLEX measurements. Removal of atomic iodine in the presence of  $O_3$  and water vapor as observed in a BBP flow tube experiment at 760 Torr by atomic resonance fluorescence using the ROFLEX instrument. Left panel: concentration versus residence time traces and numerical fits to the data. The residence time is varied by changing the main carrrier flow while keeping the ratio to the  $I_2$  carrier flow constant. Water concentrations were changed by passing the full carrrier flow or just half of it through a water bubbler. Ozone concentrations (given as mixing ratios in parts per billion in volume, ppbv) were varied by changing the distance between a Hg pen-ray lamp and a flow of  $O_2$  through a small photolysis cell with quartz windows. Two types of kinetic analysis were performed: (i) simple exponential fits to the decay traces allow calculating the effective removal rate by water, by taking the differences between the rates with and without ozone and water and (b) numerical modelling and fitting of the data, which in addition enables estimating the ozone concentration. The resulting effective rate constants are plotted in the right panel. There apppears to be a slight enhancement of the removal rate of iodine in the presence of water. However, the effective rate constant under typical ozone and water concentrations is very small, of the order of  $3 \times 10^{-19}$  cm<sup>3</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> molecule<sup>-1</sup>.



Supplementary Figure 5. Potential energy surface of the  $I_2O_2 + H_2O$  reaction. CCSD(T)/aug-cc-pVTZ+LANL2DZ//M06-2X/aug-cc-pVDZ+LANL2DZ calculated reaction profile for  $I_2O_2 + H_2O \rightarrow$  HOIO + HOI. The zero-point-corrected electronic energies are relative to the separated constituents and are given in units of kcal mol<sup>-1</sup>. The zero-point correction term was calculated at the M06-2X/aug-cc-pVDZ+LANL2DZ level of theory.



Supplementary Figure 6. Potential energy surface of the  $I_2O_3 + H_2O$  reaction. CCSD(T)/aug-cc-pVTZ+LANL2DZ//M06-2X/aug-cc-pVDZ+LANL2DZ calculated reaction profile for  $I_2O_3 + H_2O \rightarrow HOIO_2 + HOI$ . The zero-point-corrected electronic energies are relative to the separated constituents and are given in units of kcal mol<sup>-1</sup>. The zero-point correction term was calculated at the M06-2X/aug-cc-pVDZ+LANL2DZ level of theory.



**Supplementary Figure 7.** Potential energy surface of the I<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> + H<sub>2</sub>O reaction. CCSD(T)/aug-cc-pVTZ+LANL2DZ//M06-2X/aug-cc-pVDZ+LANL2DZ calculated reaction profile for the *concerted* I<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> + H<sub>2</sub>O reaction<sup>2</sup>, which results in the formation of HOIO<sub>2</sub> and HOIO. The zero-point-corrected electronic energies are relative to the separated constituents and are given in units of kcal mol<sup>-1</sup>. The zero-point correction term was calculated at the M06-2X/aug-cc-pVDZ+LANL2DZ level of theory.



Supplementary Figure 8. Simulated mixing ratios as a function of water concentration for a flow tube BBP experiment. Conditions: visible I<sub>2</sub> photolysis, P = 760 Torr, residence time = 200 s,  $[I_2]_0 = 7.2 \times 10^{10}$  molecule cm<sup>-3</sup>,  $[O_3]$  $_0$  = 1.5 × 10<sup>12</sup> molecule cm<sup>-3</sup>). Symbols refer to oxides and solid lines to oxyacids. Black lines and symbols refer to the sum of clusters with more than 3 iodine atoms. The bottom panels show the iodine atom budget. The source of oxyacids is assumed to be the composite reaction I + H<sub>2</sub>O + O<sub>3</sub>  $\rightarrow$  HOIO + HO<sub>2</sub> /  $HOIO_2 + OH$  (panels *a* and *b*) or the reaction  $I_2O_4$  + H2O  $\rightarrow$  HOIO<sub>2</sub> + HOIO (panels c and d). The rate constants of these reactions are set at the upper limits determined experimentally The reacions compiled in Supplementary Table 1 and Table 2 are considered for iodine oxides. For oxyacids, a scheme of stepwise addtion of HOIO and HOIO<sub>2</sub> is assumed (k =  $2 \times 10^{-10}$  molecule cm<sup>-3</sup> for all reactions). No HOIO<sub>2</sub> addition and dehydration steps<sup>3</sup> are considered because of the barrier in the  $HOIO_2$  +  $HOIO_2 PES^1$ . The production of  $HO_x$  in the I+H<sub>2</sub>O+O<sub>3</sub> results in high HOI concentration (green line in panel *a*).

## **Supplementary Tables**

Reaction	<i>k</i> (295 K, 10 Torr) /cm <sup>3</sup> molecule <sup>-1</sup> s <sup>-1</sup>	<i>k</i> (295 K, 350 Torr) /cm <sup>3</sup> molecule <sup>-1</sup> s <sup>-1</sup>	Ref. <sup>a</sup>
$I_2 + hv_{193, VIS} \rightarrow I + I^{b}$			
$O_3 + hv_{248} \rightarrow O(^1D) + O_2(a^1\Delta)$			
$O(^{1}D) + N_{2} \rightarrow O(^{3}P) + N_{2}$	$3.1 \times 10^{-10}$		5
$O(^{3}P) + I_{2} \rightarrow IO + I$	1.25 × 10 <sup>-10</sup>		13
$O(^{3}P) + IO \rightarrow I + O_{2}$	$1.4 \times 10^{-10}$		13
$I + O_3 \rightarrow IO + O_2$	1.26 × 10 <sup>-12</sup>		13
$10 + 10 \rightarrow 010 + 1$	7.6 × 10 <sup>-11</sup>	$4.3 \times 10^{-11}$	13
$10 + 10 \rightarrow 1010$	$2.4 \times 10^{-11}$	5.7 × 10 <sup>-11</sup>	13
$IO + OIO \rightarrow I_2O_3$	$2 \times 10^{-11}$	$1.3 \times 10^{-10}$	13
$OIO + OIO \rightarrow I_2O_4$	$1 \times 10^{-11}$	6.9 × 10 <sup>-11</sup>	13
$O(^{1}D) + H_{2}O \rightarrow OH + OH$	$2 \times 10^{-10}$		5
$OH + I_2 \rightarrow HOI + I$	$2.1 \times 10^{-10}$		13
$IO+hv_{VIS} \rightarrow I+O$			
$OIO + hv_{VIS} \rightarrow I + O_2$			

Supplementary Table 1. IOP-source chemical mechanism.

<sup>*a*</sup> Evaluated rate constants for atmospheric iodine chemistry modelling (see Saiz-Lopez et al. <sup>13</sup> and references therein). Reactions involving other species from the JPL-NASA evaluation<sup>5</sup>.

<sup>b</sup> PLP experiments use an excimer laser at 193 (I<sub>2</sub> photolysis at the Cordes band system<sup>14</sup>) or 248 nm (O<sub>3</sub> photolysis at the Hartley band). BBP experiments use a visible broad band source that mainly photolyzes I<sub>2</sub> in its visible absorption spectrum around 500 nm ( $\sigma_{I2}(500nm)=2.18 \times 10^{-18} \text{ cm}^2$  molecule<sup>-1 15</sup>), while the photolysis of O<sub>3</sub> at the Chappuis band is comparatively small (maximum:  $\sigma_{O3}(600 \text{ nm})=5.2 \times 10^{-21} \text{ cm}^2$  molecule<sup>-1 16</sup>).

Photo-ionization	IP / eV cal.	IP /eV cal. literature 17	IP /eV exp.	Ref.
$10 \rightarrow 10^+ + e^-$	9.9	9.6	9.745 ± 0.017	18
$010 \rightarrow 010^+ + e^-$	10.3	9.7	9.80 ± 0.10	19
$ O  \rightarrow  O ^+ + e^-$	9.9	10.4	<9.3	19
$IIO \rightarrow IIO^+ + e^-$	9.0	9.0		
$1010 \rightarrow 1010^{+} + e^{-}$	9.1	9.1		
$I_2O_3 \rightarrow I_2O_3^+ + e^-$	10.2	10.0	9.90 ± 0.03	19
$I_2O_4 \rightarrow I_2O_4^+ + e^-$	9.4	9.3		
$I_2O_5 \rightarrow I_2O_5^+ + e^-$	10.8	11.4		
$I_{3}O_{6} \rightarrow I_{3}O_{6}^{+} + e^{-}$	10.0	9.1		
$I_{3}O_{7} \rightarrow I_{3}O_{7}^{+} + e^{-}$	10.6	8.7		
$HOI \rightarrow HOI^+ + e^-$	9.9		9.81 ± 0.02	20
$\rm HOIO \rightarrow \rm HOIO^{+} + e^{-}$	9.3			
$(HO)_2IO \rightarrow (HO)_2IO^+ + e^-$	10.1		b	
$HOIO_2 \rightarrow HOIO_2^+ + e^-$	11.1	11.3 <sup><i>a</i></sup>	С	
$HI_2O_5 \rightarrow HI_2O_5^+ + e^-$	10.6		b	
Fragmentation	$\Delta H_r$ /eV cal.	Fragmentation	AH, /eV cal	
$1010 \rightarrow 101^+ + 0 + e^-$	11.9	$I_{3}O_{7} \rightarrow I_{3}O_{6}^{+} + O + e^{-}$	12.4	
$1010 \rightarrow 101^{+} + 0 + e^{-}$ $1010 \rightarrow 10^{+} + 10 + e^{-}$	11.9 10.7	$ _{3}O_{7} \rightarrow  _{3}O_{6}^{+} + O + e^{-}$ $ _{3}O_{7} \rightarrow  _{2}O_{4}^{+} +  O_{3} + e^{-}$	12.4 10.8	
$\begin{array}{c}  0 0 \rightarrow \  0 ^{+} + 0 + e^{-} \\  0 0 \rightarrow \  0^{+} + \  0 + e^{-} \\  0 0 \rightarrow \ 0 0^{+} + \   + e^{-} \end{array}$	11.9 10.7 11.1	$ _{3}O_{7} \rightarrow  _{3}O_{6}^{+} + O + e^{-} \\  _{3}O_{7} \rightarrow  _{2}O_{4}^{+} +  O_{3} + e^{-} \\  _{3}O_{7} \rightarrow O O^{+} +  _{2}O_{5} + e^{-} $	12.4 10.8 10.7	
$\begin{array}{c}  0 0 \rightarrow  0 ^{+} + 0 + e^{-} \\  0 0 \rightarrow  0^{+} +  0 + e^{-} \\  0 0 \rightarrow 0 0^{+} +   + e^{-} \\  0 0 \rightarrow  ^{+} + 0 0 + e^{-} \end{array}$	11.9 10.7 11.1 11.5	$\begin{aligned}  _{3}O_{7} \rightarrow  _{3}O_{6}^{+} + O + e^{-} \\  _{3}O_{7} \rightarrow  _{2}O_{4}^{+} +  O_{3} + e^{-} \\  _{3}O_{7} \rightarrow O O^{+} +  _{2}O_{5} + e^{-} \\  _{4}O_{6} \rightarrow  _{3}O_{6}^{+} +   + e^{-} \end{aligned}$	12.4 10.8 10.7 11.6	
$\begin{array}{c}  0 0 \rightarrow  0 ^{+} + 0 + e^{-} \\  0 0 \rightarrow  0^{+} +  0 + e^{-} \\  0 0 \rightarrow 0 0^{+} +   + e^{-} \\  0 0 \rightarrow  ^{+} + 0 0 + e^{-} \\  _{2}0_{3} \rightarrow 0 0^{+} +  0 + e^{-} \end{array}$	11.9 10.7 11.1 11.5 11.6	$\begin{aligned}  _{3}O_{7} \rightarrow  _{3}O_{6}^{+} + O + e^{-} \\  _{3}O_{7} \rightarrow  _{2}O_{4}^{+} +  O_{3} + e^{-} \\  _{3}O_{7} \rightarrow O O^{+} +  _{2}O_{5} + e^{-} \\  _{4}O_{6} \rightarrow  _{3}O_{6}^{+} +   + e^{-} \\  _{4}O_{6} \rightarrow  _{2}O_{3}^{+} +  _{2}O_{3} + e^{-} \end{aligned}$	12.4 10.8 10.7 11.6 10.8	
$\begin{array}{c}  0 0 \rightarrow  0 ^{+} + 0 + e^{-} \\  0 0 \rightarrow  0^{+} +  0 + e^{-} \\  0 0 \rightarrow 0 0^{+} +  0 + e^{-} \\  0 0 \rightarrow  ^{+} + 0 0 + e^{-} \\  _{2}0_{3} \rightarrow 0 0^{+} +  0 + e^{-} \\  _{2}0_{3} \rightarrow  0^{+} + 0 0 + e^{-} \end{array}$	11.9 10.7 11.1 11.5 11.6 11.2	$\begin{aligned}  _{3}O_{7} \rightarrow  _{3}O_{6}^{+} + O + e^{-} \\  _{3}O_{7} \rightarrow  _{2}O_{4}^{+} +  O_{3} + e^{-} \\  _{3}O_{7} \rightarrow O O^{+} +  _{2}O_{5} + e^{-} \\  _{4}O_{6} \rightarrow  _{3}O_{6}^{+} +   + e^{-} \\  _{4}O_{6} \rightarrow  _{2}O_{3}^{+} +  _{2}O_{3} + e^{-} \\  _{4}O_{7} \rightarrow  _{3}O_{7}^{+} +   + e^{-} \end{aligned}$	12.4 10.8 10.7 11.6 10.8 11.2	
$\begin{array}{c}  0 0 \rightarrow  0 ^{+} + 0 + e^{-} \\  0 0 \rightarrow  0^{+} +  0 + e^{-} \\  0 0 \rightarrow 0 0^{+} +  0 + e^{-} \\  0 0 \rightarrow  ^{+} + 0 0 + e^{-} \\  _{2}0_{3} \rightarrow 0 0^{+} +  0 + e^{-} \\  _{2}0_{3} \rightarrow  0^{+} + 0 0 + e^{-} \\  _{2}0_{3} \rightarrow  0 0^{+} + 0 + e^{-} \end{array}$	11.9 10.7 11.1 11.5 11.6 11.2 12.2	$\begin{aligned}  _{3}O_{7} \rightarrow  _{3}O_{6}^{+} + O + e^{-} \\  _{3}O_{7} \rightarrow  _{2}O_{4}^{+} +  O_{3} + e^{-} \\  _{3}O_{7} \rightarrow O O^{+} +  _{2}O_{5} + e^{-} \\  _{4}O_{6} \rightarrow  _{3}O_{6}^{+} +   + e^{-} \\  _{4}O_{6} \rightarrow  _{2}O_{3}^{+} +  _{2}O_{3} + e^{-} \\  _{4}O_{7} \rightarrow  _{3}O_{7}^{+} +   + e^{-} \\  _{4}O_{7} \rightarrow  _{3}O_{6}^{+} +  O + e^{-} \end{aligned}$	12.4 10.8 10.7 11.6 10.8 11.2 11.9	
$\begin{array}{c}  0 0 \rightarrow  0 ^{+} + 0 + e^{-} \\  0 0 \rightarrow  0^{+} +  0 + e^{-} \\  0 0 \rightarrow 0 0^{+} +  0 + e^{-} \\  0 0 \rightarrow  1^{+} + 0 0 + e^{-} \\  20_{3} \rightarrow 0 0^{+} +  0 + e^{-} \\  20_{3} \rightarrow  0 ^{+} + 0 0 + e^{-} \\  20_{3} \rightarrow  0 0^{+} + 0 + e^{-} \\  20_{4} \rightarrow 0 0^{+} + 0 0 + e^{-} \end{array}$	11.9 10.7 11.1 11.5 11.6 11.2 12.2 11.1	$\begin{aligned}  _{3}O_{7} \rightarrow  _{3}O_{6}^{+} + O + e^{-} \\  _{3}O_{7} \rightarrow  _{2}O_{4}^{+} +  O_{3} + e^{-} \\  _{3}O_{7} \rightarrow O O^{+} +  _{2}O_{5} + e^{-} \\  _{4}O_{6} \rightarrow  _{3}O_{6}^{+} +   + e^{-} \\  _{4}O_{6} \rightarrow  _{2}O_{3}^{+} +  _{2}O_{3} + e^{-} \\  _{4}O_{7} \rightarrow  _{3}O_{7}^{+} +   + e^{-} \\  _{4}O_{7} \rightarrow  _{3}O_{6}^{+} +  O + e^{-} \\  _{4}O_{7} \rightarrow  _{2}O_{3}^{+} +  _{2}O_{4} + e^{-} \end{aligned}$	12.4 10.8 10.7 11.6 10.8 11.2 11.9 10.9	
$\begin{array}{c}  0 0 \rightarrow  0 ^{+} + 0 + e^{-} \\  0 0 \rightarrow  0^{+} +  0 + e^{-} \\  0 0 \rightarrow 0 0^{+} +  0 + e^{-} \\  0 0 \rightarrow  1^{+} + 0 0 + e^{-} \\  20_{3} \rightarrow 0 0^{+} +  0 + e^{-} \\  20_{3} \rightarrow  0 0^{+} + 0 0 + e^{-} \\  20_{4} \rightarrow 0 0^{+} + 0 0 + e^{-} \\  20_{4} \rightarrow  20_{3}^{+} + 0 + e^{-} \end{array}$	11.9 10.7 11.1 11.5 11.6 11.2 12.2 11.1 12.1	$\begin{aligned}  _{3}O_{7} \rightarrow  _{3}O_{6}^{+} + O + e^{-} \\  _{3}O_{7} \rightarrow  _{2}O_{4}^{+} +  O_{3} + e^{-} \\  _{3}O_{7} \rightarrow O O^{+} +  _{2}O_{5} + e^{-} \\  _{4}O_{6} \rightarrow  _{3}O_{6}^{+} +   + e^{-} \\  _{4}O_{6} \rightarrow  _{2}O_{3}^{+} +  _{2}O_{3} + e^{-} \\  _{4}O_{7} \rightarrow  _{3}O_{7}^{+} +   + e^{-} \\  _{4}O_{7} \rightarrow  _{3}O_{6}^{+} +  O + e^{-} \\  _{4}O_{7} \rightarrow  _{2}O_{3}^{+} +  _{2}O_{4} + e^{-} \\  _{4}O_{7} \rightarrow  _{2}O_{4}^{+} +  _{2}O_{3} + e^{-} \end{aligned}$	12.4 10.8 10.7 11.6 10.8 11.2 11.9 10.9 10.1	
$\begin{array}{c}  0 0 \rightarrow  0 ^{+} + 0 + e^{-} \\  0 0 \rightarrow  0^{+} +  0 + e^{-} \\  0 0 \rightarrow 0 0^{+} +  0 + e^{-} \\  0 0 \rightarrow  1^{+} + 0 0 + e^{-} \\  _{2}0_{3} \rightarrow 0 0^{+} +  0 + e^{-} \\  _{2}0_{3} \rightarrow  0 ^{+} + 0 0 + e^{-} \\  _{2}0_{3} \rightarrow  0 0^{+} + 0 + e^{-} \\  _{2}0_{4} \rightarrow 0 0^{+} + 0 0 + e^{-} \\  _{2}0_{4} \rightarrow  _{2}0_{3}^{+} + 0 + e^{-} \\  _{2}0_{5} \rightarrow 0 0^{+} +  0_{3} + e^{-} \end{array}$	11.9 10.7 11.1 11.5 11.6 11.2 12.2 11.1 12.1 12.1	$\begin{aligned}  _{3}O_{7} \rightarrow  _{3}O_{6}^{+} + O + e^{-} \\  _{3}O_{7} \rightarrow  _{2}O_{4}^{+} +  O_{3} + e^{-} \\  _{3}O_{7} \rightarrow O O^{+} +  _{2}O_{5} + e^{-} \\  _{4}O_{6} \rightarrow  _{3}O_{6}^{+} +   + e^{-} \\  _{4}O_{6} \rightarrow  _{2}O_{3}^{+} +  _{2}O_{3} + e^{-} \\  _{4}O_{7} \rightarrow  _{3}O_{7}^{+} +   + e^{-} \\  _{4}O_{7} \rightarrow  _{3}O_{6}^{+} +  O + e^{-} \\  _{4}O_{7} \rightarrow  _{2}O_{3}^{+} +  _{2}O_{4} + e^{-} \\  _{4}O_{7} \rightarrow  _{2}O_{4}^{+} +  _{2}O_{3} + e^{-} \\  _{4}O_{8} \rightarrow  _{3}O_{7}^{+} +  O + e^{-} \end{aligned}$	12.4 10.8 10.7 11.6 10.8 11.2 11.9 10.9 10.1 11.0	
$\begin{split} &  0 0 \rightarrow  0 ^{+} + 0 + e^{-} \\ &  0 0 \rightarrow  0^{+} +  0 + e^{-} \\ &  0 0 \rightarrow 0 0^{+} +  0 + e^{-} \\ &  0 0 \rightarrow  1^{+} + 0 0 + e^{-} \\ &  _{2}0_{3} \rightarrow 0 0^{+} +  0 + e^{-} \\ &  _{2}0_{3} \rightarrow  0 0^{+} + 0 0 + e^{-} \\ &  _{2}0_{4} \rightarrow 0 0^{+} + 0 0 + e^{-} \\ &  _{2}0_{4} \rightarrow 0 0^{+} + 0 0 + e^{-} \\ &  _{2}0_{5} \rightarrow 0 0^{+} +  0_{3} + e^{-} \\ &  _{3}0_{6} \rightarrow  _{2}0_{3}^{+} +  0_{3} + e^{-} \\ \end{split}$	11.9 10.7 11.1 11.5 11.6 11.2 12.2 11.1 12.1 12.1 12.1 11.0	$\begin{split}  _{3}O_{7} \rightarrow  _{3}O_{6}^{+} + O + e^{-} \\  _{3}O_{7} \rightarrow  _{2}O_{4}^{+} +  O_{3} + e^{-} \\  _{3}O_{7} \rightarrow O O^{+} +  _{2}O_{5} + e^{-} \\  _{4}O_{6} \rightarrow  _{3}O_{6}^{+} +   + e^{-} \\  _{4}O_{6} \rightarrow  _{2}O_{3}^{+} +  _{2}O_{3} + e^{-} \\  _{4}O_{7} \rightarrow  _{3}O_{7}^{+} +   + e^{-} \\  _{4}O_{7} \rightarrow  _{3}O_{6}^{+} +  O + e^{-} \\  _{4}O_{7} \rightarrow  _{2}O_{3}^{+} +  _{2}O_{4} + e^{-} \\  _{4}O_{7} \rightarrow  _{2}O_{4}^{+} +  _{2}O_{3} + e^{-} \\  _{4}O_{8} \rightarrow  _{3}O_{7}^{+} +  O + e^{-} \\  _{4}O_{8} \rightarrow  _{2}O_{4}^{+} +  _{2}O_{4} + e^{-} \\ \end{split}$	12.4 10.8 10.7 11.6 10.8 11.2 11.9 10.9 10.1 11.0 9.8	
$\begin{split} &  0 0 \rightarrow  0 ^{+} + 0 + e^{-} \\ &  0 0 \rightarrow  0^{+} +  0 + e^{-} \\ &  0 0 \rightarrow 0 0^{+} +  0 + e^{-} \\ &  0 0 \rightarrow  1^{+} + 0 0 + e^{-} \\ &  _{2}0_{3} \rightarrow 0 0^{+} +  0 + e^{-} \\ &  _{2}0_{3} \rightarrow  0 ^{+} + 0 0 + e^{-} \\ &  _{2}0_{3} \rightarrow  0 ^{0} + 0 0 + e^{-} \\ &  _{2}0_{4} \rightarrow 0 0^{+} + 0 0 + e^{-} \\ &  _{2}0_{4} \rightarrow 0 0^{+} + 0 0 + e^{-} \\ &  _{2}0_{5} \rightarrow 0 0^{+} +  0_{3} + e^{-} \\ &  _{3}0_{6} \rightarrow  _{2}0_{3}^{+} +  0_{3} + e^{-} \\ &  _{3}0_{6} \rightarrow  _{2}0_{4}^{+} + 0 0 + e^{-} \\ \end{split}$	11.9 10.7 11.1 11.5 11.6 11.2 12.2 11.1 12.1 12.1 12.1 11.0 10.2	$\begin{split}  _{3}O_{7} \rightarrow  _{3}O_{6}^{+} + O + e^{-} \\  _{3}O_{7} \rightarrow  _{2}O_{4}^{+} +  O_{3} + e^{-} \\  _{3}O_{7} \rightarrow O O^{+} +  _{2}O_{5} + e^{-} \\  _{4}O_{6} \rightarrow  _{3}O_{6}^{+} +   + e^{-} \\  _{4}O_{6} \rightarrow  _{2}O_{3}^{+} +  _{2}O_{3} + e^{-} \\  _{4}O_{7} \rightarrow  _{3}O_{7}^{+} +   + e^{-} \\  _{4}O_{7} \rightarrow  _{3}O_{6}^{+} +  O + e^{-} \\  _{4}O_{7} \rightarrow  _{2}O_{3}^{+} +  _{2}O_{4} + e^{-} \\  _{4}O_{7} \rightarrow  _{2}O_{4}^{+} +  _{2}O_{3} + e^{-} \\  _{4}O_{8} \rightarrow  _{3}O_{7}^{+} +  O + e^{-} \\  _{4}O_{8} \rightarrow  _{2}O_{4}^{+} +  _{2}O_{4} + e^{-} \\  _{4}O_{1}O \rightarrow  O^{+} + OH \end{split}$	12.4 10.8 10.7 11.6 10.8 11.2 11.9 10.9 10.1 11.0 9.8 11.4	
$\begin{split} &  0 0 \rightarrow  0 ^{+} + 0 + e^{-} \\ &  0 0 \rightarrow  0^{+} +  0 + e^{-} \\ &  0 0 \rightarrow 0 0^{+} +  0 + e^{-} \\ &  0 0 \rightarrow  1^{+} + 0 0 + e^{-} \\ &  _{2}0_{3} \rightarrow 0 0^{+} +  0 + e^{-} \\ &  _{2}0_{3} \rightarrow  0 0^{+} + 0 0 + e^{-} \\ &  _{2}0_{4} \rightarrow 0 0^{+} + 0 0 + e^{-} \\ &  _{2}0_{4} \rightarrow 0 0^{+} + 0 0 + e^{-} \\ &  _{2}0_{5} \rightarrow 0 0^{+} +  0_{3} + e^{-} \\ &  _{3}0_{6} \rightarrow  _{2}0_{3}^{+} + 0 0 + e^{-} \\ &  _{3}0_{6} \rightarrow 0 0^{+} +  _{2}0_{4} + e^{-} \\ \end{split}$	11.9 10.7 11.1 11.5 11.6 11.2 12.2 11.1 12.1 12.1 12.1 11.0 10.2 11.2	$\begin{split}  _{3}O_{7} \rightarrow  _{3}O_{6}^{+} + O + e^{-} \\  _{3}O_{7} \rightarrow  _{2}O_{4}^{+} +  O_{3} + e^{-} \\  _{3}O_{7} \rightarrow O O^{+} +  _{2}O_{5} + e^{-} \\  _{4}O_{6} \rightarrow  _{3}O_{6}^{+} +   + e^{-} \\  _{4}O_{6} \rightarrow  _{2}O_{3}^{+} +  _{2}O_{3} + e^{-} \\  _{4}O_{7} \rightarrow  _{3}O_{7}^{+} +   + e^{-} \\  _{4}O_{7} \rightarrow  _{3}O_{6}^{+} +  O + e^{-} \\  _{4}O_{7} \rightarrow  _{2}O_{3}^{+} +  _{2}O_{4} + e^{-} \\  _{4}O_{7} \rightarrow  _{2}O_{4}^{+} +  _{2}O_{3} + e^{-} \\  _{4}O_{8} \rightarrow  _{3}O_{7}^{+} +  O + e^{-} \\  _{4}O_{8} \rightarrow  _{3}O_{7}^{+} +  O + e^{-} \\  _{4}O_{8} \rightarrow  _{2}O_{4}^{+} +  _{2}O_{4} + e^{-} \\  _{4}O_{8} \rightarrow  _{2}O_{4}^{+} +  _{2}O_{4} + e^{-} \\  _{4}O_{8} \rightarrow  _{2}O_{4}^{+} +  _{2}O_{4} + e^{-} \\  _{4}O_{1}O_{7} \rightarrow  _{2}O_{4}^{+} +  _{2}O_{4} + e^{-} \\  _{4}O_{1}O_{7} \rightarrow  _{2}O_{4}^{+} +  _{2}O_{4} + e^{-} \\  _{4}O_{1}O_{7} \rightarrow  _{2}O_{7}^{+} +  O + O  \\  _{4}O_{1}O_{7} \rightarrow  _{2}O_{7}^{+} +  O + O  \\  _{4}O_{1}O_{7} \rightarrow  _{2}O_{4}^{+} +  _{2}O_{4}^{+} +  _{2}O_{4}^{+} + e^{-} \\  _{4}O_{1}O_{7} \rightarrow  _{2}O_{7}^{+} +  O + O  \\  _{4}O_{1}O_{7} \rightarrow  _{4}O_{1}O_{1}^{+} +  O + O  \\  _{4}O_{1}O_{1} \rightarrow  _{4}O_{1}O_{1}^{+} +  O + O  \\  _{4}O$	12.4 10.8 10.7 11.6 10.8 11.2 11.9 10.9 10.1 11.0 9.8 11.4 12.45	

Supplementary Table 2. Calculated photoionization and photofragmentation energies of iodine oxides and oxyacids

<sup>*a*</sup> The ionization energy of HOIO<sub>2</sub> was determined by Gomez Martin et al.<sup>17</sup> at the CCSD(T)//MP2/aug-cc-pVTZ (AREP) level of theory<sup>21</sup>, but was not reported in Table 1 of that paper.

<sup>b</sup> Correspond to peaks seen in this work

<sup>c</sup> Has been detected by PI-ToF-AMS at 11 eV and higher ionization energies <sup>19</sup>.

Analyte	Ion source $\rightarrow$	NO <sub>3</sub> <sup>- 22</sup>			Br <sup>- 22,23</sup>			CH <sub>3</sub> COO <sup>-2</sup>	4	
<b>1</b>	Ion detection $\rightarrow$	10 <sup>-</sup>	10 <sub>2</sub> -	10 <sub>3</sub> -	10 <sup>-</sup>	10 <sub>2</sub> -	1O3 <sup>-</sup>	10 <sup>-</sup>	10 <sub>2</sub> -	IO3 <sup>-</sup>
10	evaluated	148 ± 3	86 ± 3	-31 ± 11	93 ± 2			82 ± 4		
010	evaluated		131 ± 4	-85 ± 11		75 ± 3			64 ± 4	72 ± 11
	ab initio <sup>a</sup>			12						156
$I_2O$	evaluated		$118 \pm 10$	4 ± 14						
$I_2O_2$	evaluated		56 ± 15	-95 ± 19		-32 ± 15				
$I_2O_3$	evaluated			-32 ± 16		$120 \pm 11$	-121 ± 14			
	ab initio <sup>ь</sup>		109	-10		188	-101		84	-112
I <sub>2</sub> O <sub>4</sub>	evaluated						-109 ± 18			
	ab initio <sup>b</sup>			2.1			-76			-101
HOI	evaluated	132 ± 5			138 ± 4			35 ± 5		
	ab initio <sup>c</sup>	124								
HOIO	evaluated		65 ± 3			70 ± 2			-32 ± 3	
	ab initio <sup>b,c</sup>		65 <b>57</b>			67			-36	
HOIO <sub>2</sub>	evaluated			-35 ± 10			-30 ± 10			-132 ± 11
	ab initio <sup>c</sup>			-23						

Supplementary Table 3. Reaction enthalpies for iodine oxides and oxyacids for three common CI sources (nitrate, bromide and acetate ions).

<sup>a</sup> wB97xd/6-311+G(2df,2p) Sipilä et al.<sup>3</sup> (Italics)

<sup>b</sup> b3lyp/6-311+G(2d,p) with All electron iodine basis set<sup>25</sup> This work (normal typescript).

<sup>c</sup> CCSD(T)/aug-cc-pV5Z//PBE0/aug-cc-pVQZ with ECP28MDF effective core for iodine. Sipilä et al.<sup>3</sup> (bold)

Supplementary Table 4. Calculated reaction enthalpies (i.e. bond energies), rate constants and dissociation rates of possible oxyacid and oxide-oxyacid-aggregation and dissociation reactions (T = 295 K, 760 torr)

Reaction	Δ <i>H</i> r (298 K)	k	
$HOIO + HOIO_2 \rightarrow HOIOHOIO_2$	-104 kJ mol <sup>-1 2</sup>	$6.7 \times 10^{-11} \text{ cm}^3 \text{ s}^{-1}$	
$HOIOHOIO_2 \rightarrow HOIO + HOIO_2$		0.8 s <sup>-1</sup>	
$HOIO_2 + HOIO_2 \rightarrow HOIOHOIO_2$	-84 kJ mol <sup>-1 1</sup>	1.1 × 10 <sup>-11</sup> cm <sup>3</sup> s <sup>-1</sup>	
$HOIOHOIO_2 \rightarrow HOIO + HOIO_2$		1388 s <sup>-1</sup>	
$I_2O_4 + HOIO \rightarrow I_2O_4HOIO$	-64 kJ mol <sup>-1 2</sup>	$3.7 \times 10^{-12} \text{ cm}^3 \text{ s}^{-1}$	
$I_2O_4HOIO \rightarrow I_2O_4 + HOIO$		1 × 10 <sup>6</sup> s <sup>-1</sup>	
$I_2O_4 + HOIO_2 \rightarrow I_2O_4HOIO_2$	-94 kJ mol <sup>-1 2</sup>	1.0 × 10 <sup>-10</sup> cm <sup>3</sup> s <sup>-1</sup>	
$I_2O_4HOIO_2 \rightarrow I_2O_4 + HOIO_2$		270 s <sup>-1</sup>	

Supplementary Table 5. Estimated atmospheric first order lifetimes of I<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> by reaction with I<sub>x</sub>O<sub>y</sub> and with H<sub>2</sub>O (lower limits), and of HIO<sub>x</sub> by reaction with HOIO<sub>2</sub><sup>*a*</sup>.

			$I_2O_4 + I_xO_y$		$I_2O_4 + H_2O$			HOIO <sub>2</sub> + HIO <sub>x</sub>	
	Т /К	P /hPa	x(I <sub>x</sub> O <sub>y</sub> ) /pptv <sup>b</sup>	τ/min	RH (%)	<i>x</i> (H <sub>2</sub> O) (%)	τ/min	x (HOIO₂) (%) <sup>c</sup>	τ /min
Coastal MBL Mid-latitudes	293	1013	10	0.3	100	2.3	> 0.1	10	0.3
			20	0.2					
	283	1013	10	0.3	80	1.0	> 0.1	10	0.3
			20	0.2					
Polar MBL	273	1013	0.5	6.2	100	0.6	> 0.2	0.1	31
			5	0.6				1	3.1
	253	1013	0.5	5.7	100	0.1	> 0.9	0.1	29
			5	0.6				1	2.9
UT-LS	273	500	0.02	314	8	0.1	> 2.5		
			0.2	31	8				
	233	250	0.02	536	13	0.01	> 43		
			0.3	36	13				
	213	125	0.02	981	7	0.001	> 784		
			0.4	49	7				

<sup>*a*</sup> The estimated effective rate constant for  $I_2O_4$  based on its observed phenomenological loss in laboratory experiments at 350 Torr (k' ~ 2000 s<sup>-1</sup>), assuming that it reacts with  $I_xO_y$  ( $[I_xO_y] \sim 10^{13}$  cm<sup>-3</sup>) according to **Table 2**.

The rate constant used for HOIO<sub>2</sub> + HIO<sub>x</sub> has been calculated with MESMER (**Supplementary Table 4**). The rate constants for water reactions are set at the upper limits determined in this work:  $k(I_2O_4 + H_2O) = 5 \times 10^{-19} \text{ cm}^3 \text{ s}^{-1}$  molecule<sup>-1</sup>, and  $k(I + H_2O + O_3) = 2.8 \times 10^{-19} \text{ cm}^3 \text{ s}^{-1}$  molecule<sup>-1</sup>.

<sup>b</sup> Mixing ratios of  $I_xO_y$  estimated from atmospheric modelling of iodine chemistry in the polar and coastal MBL<sup>26,27</sup> and the tropical upper troposphere<sup>13</sup>. For the polar MBL and the Upper Troposphere-Lower Stratosphere, two scenarios  $x(I_xO_y)$  scenarios are given for fast  $I_xO_y$  photolysis (numbers in normal typescript) and no  $I_xO_y$  photolysis (numbers in italics)<sup>13</sup>.

<sup>c</sup> Reported peak mixing ratios of HOIO<sub>2</sub><sup>3</sup> in Antarctica (normal typescript) and Greenland (italics).

## **Supplementary References**

- 1 Khanniche, S., Louis, F., Cantrel, L. & Černušák, I. Computational study of the I2O5+H2O=2 HOIO2 gas-phase reaction. *Chem. Phys. Lett.* **662**, 114-119, doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.cplett.2016.09.023 (2016).
- 2 Kumar, M., Saiz-Lopez, A. & Francisco, J. S. Single-Molecule Catalysis Revealed: Elucidating the Mechanistic Framework for the Formation and Growth of Atmospheric Iodine Oxide Aerosols in Gas-Phase and Aqueous Surface Environments. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **140**, 14704-14716, doi:10.1021/jacs.8b07441 (2018).
- 3 Sipilä, M. *et al.* Molecular-scale evidence of aerosol particle formation via sequential addition of HIO3. *Nature* **537**, 532-534, doi:10.1038/nature19314 (2016).
- 4 Plane, J. M. C., Joseph, D. M., Allan, B. J., Ashworth, S. H. & Francisco, J. S. An Experimental and Theoretical Study of the Reactions OIO + NO and OIO + OH. *J. Phys. Chem. A* **110**, 93-100 (2006).
- 5 Burkholder, J. B. *et al.* Chemical Kinetics and Photochemical Data for Use in Atmospheric Studies, Evaluation No. 18 (JPL-NASA, Pasadena, 2015).
- 6 *NIST Chemistry WebBook, NIST Standard Reference Database Number 69*. (National Institute of Standards and Technology, 2019).
- 7 Saunders, R. W., Mahajan, A. S., Gómez Martín, J. C., Kumar, R. & Plane, J. M. C. Studies of the Formation and Growth of Aerosol from Molecular Iodine Precursor. *Z. Phys. Chem.* **224**, 1095-1117 (2010).
- 8 Jimenez, J. L. *et al.* New particle formation from photooxidation of diiodomethane (CH2I2). *J. Geophys. Res.* **108**, 4318 (2003).
- 9 Hoffmann, T., O'Dowd, C. D. & Seinfeld, J. H. Iodine oxide homogeneous nucleation: An explanation for coastal new particle production. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* **28**, 1949-1952 (2001).
- 10 Seinfeld, J. S. & Pandis, S. N. *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics*. (Wiley-Interscience, 1998).
- 11 Stone, D., Blitz, M., Daubney, L., Ingham, T. & Seakins, P. CH2OO Criegee biradical yields following photolysis of CH2I2 in O2. *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* **15**, 19119-19124, doi:10.1039/c3cp52466c (2013).
- 12 Passananti, M. *et al.* How well can we predict cluster fragmentation inside a mass spectrometer? *Chem. Commun.* **55**, 5946-5949, doi:10.1039/c9cc02896j (2019).
- 13 Saiz-Lopez, A. *et al.* Iodine chemistry in the troposphere and its effect on ozone. *Atmos. Chem. Phys.* **14**, 13119-13143, doi:10.5194/acp-14-13119-2014 (2014).
- 14 Saiz-Lopez, A., Saunders, R. W., Joseph, D. M., Ashworth, S. H. & Plane, J. M. C. Absolute absorption cross-section and photolysis rate of I<sub>2</sub>. *Atmos. Chem. Phys.* **4**, 1443-1450 (2004).
- 15 Spietz, P., Gómez Martín, J. C. & Burrows, J. P. Effects of column density on I<sub>2</sub> spectroscopy and a determination of I<sub>2</sub> absorption cross section at 500 nm. *Atmos. Chem. Phys.* **6**, 2177-2191 (2006).
- 16 Bogumil, K. *et al.* Measurements of molecular absorption spectra with the SCIAMACHY preflight model: instrument characterization and reference data for atmospheric remotesensing in the 230–2380 nm region. *Journal of Photochemistry and Photobiology A: Chemistry* **157**, 167-184, doi:10.1016/s1010-6030(03)00062-5 (2003).
- 17 Gómez Martín, J. C. *et al.* On the mechanism of iodine oxide particle formation. *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* **15**, 15612-15622, doi:10.1039/c3cp51217g (2013).
- 18 Zhang, Z. *et al.* Experimental Determination of the Ionization Energy of IO( $X^2\Pi_{3/2}$ ) and Estimations of  $\Delta_f H^{\circ}_{0}(IO+)$  and PA(IO). *J. Phys. Chem.* **100**, 63-68 (1996).
- Wei, N. *et al.* VUV photoionization aerosol mass spectrometric study on the iodine oxide particles formed from O3-initiated photooxidation of diiodomethane (CH2I2). *RSC Advances* 7, 56779-56787, doi:10.1039/c7ra11413c (2017).

- 20 Monks, P. S. *et al.* Discharge Flow-Photoionization Mass Spectrometric Study of HOI: Photoionization Efficiency Spectrum and Ionization Energy. *J. Phys. Chem.* **99**, 16566-16570, doi:10.1021/j100045a013 (1995).
- 21 Galvez, O., Gómez Martín, J. C., Gomez, P. C., Saiz-Lopez, A. & Pacios, L. F. A theoretical study on the formation of iodine oxide aggregates and monohydrates. *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* **15**, 15572-15583, doi:10.1039/c3cp51219c (2013).
- 22 Rissanen, M. P., Mikkilä, J., Iyer, S. & Hakala, J. Multi-scheme chemical ionization inlet (MION) for fast switching of reagent ion chemistry in atmospheric pressure chemical ionization mass spectrometry (CIMS) applications. *Atmos. Meas. Tech.* **12**, 6635-6646, doi:10.5194/amt-12-6635-2019 (2019).
- 23 Caldwell, G. W., Masucci, J. A. & Ikonomou, M. G. Negative ion chemical ionization mass spectrometry—binding of molecules to bromide and iodide anions. *Org. Mass Spectrom.* **24**, 8-14, doi:10.1002/oms.1210240103 (1989).
- 24 Veres, P. *et al.* Development of negative-ion proton-transfer chemical-ionization mass spectrometry (NI-PT-CIMS) for the measurement of gas-phase organic acids in the atmosphere. *Int. J. Mass spectrom.* **274**, 48-55, doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijms.2008.04.032 (2008).
- 25 Glukhovtsev, M. N., Pross, A., McGrath, M. P. & Radom, L. Extension of Gaussian-2 (G2) theory to bromine- and iodine-containing molecules: Use of effective core potentials. *J. Chem. Phys.* **103**, 1878-1885 (1995).
- 26 Mahajan, A. S. *et al.* Concurrent observations of atomic iodine, molecular iodine and ultrafine particles in a coastal environment. *Atmos. Chem. Phys.* **10**, 27227-27253 (2010).
- Saiz-Lopez, A. *et al.* On the vertical distribution of boundary layer halogens over coastal Antarctica: implications for O<sub>3</sub>, HO<sub>x</sub>, NO<sub>x</sub> and the Hg lifetime. *Atmos. Chem. Phys.* 8, 887-900 (2008).