Table 6A. Univariate Meta-Regression of the Mean Difference in the Effective Radius Growth Rate Between Unifocal and Multifocal GA

Variables	Heterogeneity Accounted by the Variable	P value from the Test of the Variable	Subgroups	Estimate	SE	95%CI	P value
Imaging Modality	0%	0.15					
			CFP	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.
			FAF	-0.029	0.016	[-0.060, 0.002]	0.07
			OCT	-0.004	0.023	[-0.05, 0.042]	0.85
Study Type	0%	0.25					
			Prospective interventional	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.
			Prospective observational	0.026	0.016	[-0.005, 0.057]	0.10
			Retrospective observational	0.005	0.023	[-0.041, 0.050]	0.84

Table 6B. Univariate Meta-Regression of the Effective Radius Growth Rate of Unifocal GA

Variables	Heterogeneity Accounted by the Variable	P value from the Test of the Variable	Subgroups	Estimate	SE	95%CI	P value
Imaging Modality	0%	0.92					
			CFP	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.
			FAF	0.002	0.026	[-0.050, 0.053]	0.95
			OCT	-0.011	0.035	[-0.079, 0.057]	0.75
Study Type	62.2%	0.003					
			Prospective interventional	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.
			Prospective observational	-0.034	0.016	[-0.065, -0.004]	0.03
			Retrospective observational	-0.068	0.022	[-0.112, -0.024]	0.003

Table 6C. Univariate Meta-Regression of the Effective Radius Growth Rate of Multifocal GA

Variables	Heterogeneity Accounted by the Variable	P value from the Test of the Variable	Subgroups	Estimate	SE	95%CI	P value
Imaging Modality	3.3%	0.25					
			CFP	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.
			FAF	-0.043	0.026	[-0.094, 0.008]	0.10
			OCT	-0.032	0.035	[-0.101, 0.037]	0.37
Study Type	90.9%	< 0.001					
			Prospective interventional	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.
			Prospective observational	-0.013	0.011	[-0.034, 0.008]	0.22
			Retrospective observational	-0.094	0.014	[-0.121, -0.067]	< 0.001

CFP = color fundus photography; CI = confidence interval; FAF = fundus autofluorescence; GA = geographic atrophy; OCT = optical coherence tomography; Ref. = reference; SE = standard error.