



Figure S1

# Figure S1: Read length distribution and metagene analysis of disome profiling replicates. Related to Figure 1.

**A.** An example sucrose gradient from RNase I digested WT yeast lysate. The fractions that were collected for monosome, disome and trisome profiling are indicated by dashed lines.

**B.** Length distribution of three replicates of disome profiling reads that are mapped to coding regions and splice junctions. Data obtained from WT cells. In all replicates, two distinct peaks at 58 and 61 nt are reproducibly observed. Note that in replicates 2 and 3, 40-80 nt mRNAs were selected during size selection, but only reads between 57 and 63 nt were used for later analysis. A peak that appears between 73-75 nt corresponds to tRNA contamination and is indicated by a gray arrow.

**C.** Average disome rpm mapped to ORFs that are aligned by their stop codons. Both 5' end (top) and 3' end (bottom) alignments of the footprints obtained from three replicates of WT cells are shown. Note that the presence of a stop codon peak is variable between different replicates.

**D.** Average disome rpm as in **C** for WT His3<sup>+</sup> cells grown in SC-His media are shown. The trisome profiling (Figure 1) was also performed in this strain. Note that the data obtained from cells grown in SC-His media does not substantially differ from those grown in YPD media (compare this trace to replicate 1 in **C**). In addition, growth media does not have any effect on the read length distribution (See Figure S2B, left panel).



## Figure S2: Disome footprint size and distribution in response to various cellular stress conditions. Related to Figure 2.

**A.** Length distribution of disome profiling reads mapped to the coding regions and splice junctions, obtained from WT cells treated with 50  $\mu$ g/mL ANS for 30 minutes prior to harvesting. Disome footprints between 40-68 nt were selected for these experiments. Note that ANS-treated cells have a distinct disome peak at 51 nt and 58 nt, presumably corresponding to compact disomes with an either open or occupied A-site, respectively.

**B.** Length distribution of disome profiling reads mapped to coding regions and splice junctions, obtained from mock treated cells (left) or the cells treated with 45 mM 3-AT for 30 minutes prior to harvesting. The disome footprints between 40-68 nt were selected for these experiments but we only used footprints in the range of 57-63 nt for computational analysis. 3-AT treated cells have a distinct disome peak at 58 nt, whereas the 61 nt peak is strongly diminished, consistent with a compact disome structure.

**C.** Average disome rpm mapped to ORFs that are aligned by their stop codons in WT (red) and  $hcr1\Delta$  (purple) cells. 5' end (left) and 3' end (right) alignments of the footprints are shown. The 5' end alignment shows strong periodicity for the scanning disome peaks. Two biological replicates of  $hcr1\Delta$  are shown in shades of purple.



Distance of footprint 3' end from the motif (nt)

Figure S3

# Figure S3: Identity of codon stretches that are enriched with disomes. Related to Figure 3.

**A. Top panel:** Plot comparing collision score (disome rpkm/monosome rpkm) with translation efficiency (TE, monosome rpkm/RNA-seq rpkm). Each dot represents the data for one gene with greater than 10 rpkm coverage in monosome profiling, disome profiling, and RNA-seq. The data presented here is the average of two WT biological monosome, disome and RNA-seq replicates. No correlation is observed between TE and collisions.

**Bottom panel:** Schematics showing how translation parameters could affect disome formation. Ribosome loading (TE) can be influenced by translation initiation, elongation and termination rates. Here, we show two different initiation rates that result in low (top) and high (bottom) TE. Rate of initiation refers to all events from 40S recruitment to the first peptidyl-transfer. Regions of slow elongation are indicated in red. We would expect that low TE leads to fewer disomes, since a stalled ribosome is less likely to collide with an upstream ribosome. In contrast, mRNAs with higher TE are expected to be more prone to disome formation. However, no correlation between TE and collisions is observed. Multiple factors that could explain the lack of correlation are indicated (blue): (1) Disome formation may directly inhibit initiation, reducing TE. (2) Slow regions may be disfavored by evolution on high TE mRNAs, reducing disome formation. See also Discussion.

**B.** Average disome rpm corresponding to two (top) and three (bottom) consecutive Lys codons. The reads are normalized to the total rpm within a window ±50 nt.

**C.** Average disome rpm corresponding to two (top) and three (bottom) consecutive Arg codons. The reads are normalized to the total rpm within a window  $\pm 50$  nt. Two consecutive CGA codons exhibit the greatest disome occupancy. The number of disome reads mapping to three consecutive Arg codons was only sufficient to generate a plot in the case of AGA.

**D.** Average disome rpm corresponding to 17 inhibitory (Grayhack) codon pairs (CGA-CCG, CGA-GCG, CUC-CCG, CGA-CGA, CGA-AUA, CGA-CUG, CGG, CUG-CCG, GUA-CGA, CUG-CGA, GUG-CGA, AGG-CGA, AUA-CGA, GUA-CCG, AUA-CGG, CUG-AUA, AGG-CGG). The reads are normalized to the total rpm within a window ±50 nt.

For **B-D**, the positions corresponding to where the 3' codon would be for the A, P or E site of the lead ribosome is indicated above the peaks.



Average pause scores

#### Figure S4: Hel2 stabilizes disomes at various motifs. Related to Figure 4.

**A.** Boxplots showing the distribution of disome pause scores across all KKK, GGG and PPG motifs in the transcriptome from WT (red) and *hel2* $\Delta$  (black) cells. Pause scores are calculated by dividing the rpm value at the motif by the average rpm of the window ±50 nt around the motif. Pause scores that were equal to 0 (no reads at site of interest) were eliminated from the analysis. Biological replicates for each are shown. For all comparisons, the median pause score is significantly greater in WT versus *hel2* $\Delta$  cells. Significance calculation performed on non-logged pause score values by using independent 2-group Mann Whitney U Test for each pair. p-values for each comparison: 2.4e-5 for KKK motif-replicate1, 4.4e-07 for KKK motif-replicate2; 0.0054 for GGG motif-replicate1, 3.6e-5 for GGG motif-replicate2; 0.0015 for PPG motif-replicate1, 0.00073 for PPG motif-replicate2.

B. Average disome pause scores of 6267 tripeptide motifs compared between strains. Each point in the graph represents the average score for a particular tripeptide motif. Top row: Average pause scores of strong stalling motifs are consistently decreased in  $hel2\Delta$  cells, as the dots are shifted below the diagonal (shown by red arrow) in both replicates. The reproducibility of the data are shown by comparing biological replicates of each strain. Middle row: YCplac33 plasmids expressing WT or mutant HEL2, where the native promoter ( $P_{HEL2}$ ) and transcription terminator (Ter<sub>HEL2</sub>), from the HEL2 ORF are used. HEL2 expression in  $hel2\Delta$  cells restores disome levels, as the dots are no longer shifted below the diagonal (compare the first and second graphs). Expression of an inactive Hel2 (third graph) cannot rescue disome levels and mimics the phenotype of  $hel2\Delta$  cells (fourth graph). Bottom row: Disome (left) and trisome (middle) average pause scores from WT and hel2A His3<sup>+</sup> cells. Note that in both experiments, dots are shifted below the diagonal. This shows that loss of HEL2 results in both lower disome and lower trisome accumulation for the strongest pause-inducing motifs. Comparison of WT trisome and WT disome average pause scores (right) show that the values are correlated and, therefore, trisomes are prevalent.

**C.** Average pause scores of the same 6267 tripeptides in **B** are compared between WT and biological replicates of many deletion strains:  $slh1\Delta$ ,  $hcr1\Delta$ ,  $rpl11B\Delta$  and  $not4\Delta$ . Only  $slh1\Delta$  shows slightly similar phenotype to  $hel2\Delta$ . Each point represents a tripeptide motif.



# Figure S5: Connections between Hel2 and Gcn pathways. Related to Figure 5.

**A. Top panel:** Western blot showing increased  $eIF2\alpha$  phosphorylation in  $hel2\Delta$  compared to WT cells. Two biological replicates are shown (these are in addition to those shown in 5D). **Bottom panel:** Biological replicate of the western blot shown in Figure 5D. Note that  $eIF2\alpha$  levels do not change in either blot. H3 (histone protein) serves as a loading control.

**B.** Comparison of proteins that were obtained in immunoprecipitation of Slh1 and Hel2. The data were published previously (Sitron et al, 2017). To re-create this plot, as described previously (Sitron et al, 2017), we added +1 to each spectral count value to avoid calculating the log of "0" values. Then we normalized each spectral count to total spectral counts in the respective sample. We averaged the replicates and used this average to obtain the enrichment ratio score shown on the plot. Gcn1, Gcn2 and Gcn20 are highlighted in red. Other RQT proteins, Slh1, Cue3, and Rqt4 (*YKR023W*), are also indicated on the plot.

**C.** Bar graph showing how uS3 ubiquitination on disomes is lowered due to loss of Hel2 but increases upon addition of 3-AT. Data obtained by label-free mass spectrometry (MS). Each dot indicates a technical replicate that is obtained from the same cellular lysate but processed independently through the sucrose gradient and MS procedure. Note that ubiquitinated uS3 was too low to be detected in one of the *hel2* $\Delta$  replicates (indicated as n.d., a value of 0.01 was automatically assigned by the MS software to avoid a "0" value). The levels of other proteins associated with disomes can be found in Supplementary Excel Tables S4 and S5. **D.** Spectra from targeted MS of uS3 fragments. Sequences used for targeted MS are indicated on top of the spectra. **Top panel** shows the spectra that includes the peptide that is ubiquitinated for WT, *hel2* $\Delta$ , and WT+3-AT samples. The peak corresponding to this fragment is indicated with an arrow. Note that the intensity of this peak is ~7-fold higher in WT+3-AT compared to WT, and ~6-fold lower in *hel2* $\Delta$  sample. As an internal control for protein levels, the **bottom panel** shows that the amount of a non-ubiquitinated uS3 fragment is similar between samples.

**E.** Model for interaction between Gcn and Hel2 pathways. As shown Figure 4D, disomes initially form an "encounter complex" detected by sensors of ribosome collision. Left scheme: The Gcn complex and Hel2 both sense encounter complexes and may co-exist on sampled ribosomes. The encounter complex can trigger the Gcn pathway by activating Gcn2 and increasing levels of eIF2a phosphorylation. If the encounter complex is recognized by Hel2, collisions are stabilized and may then either be targeted to downstream RQC steps or resolve through resumed translation. Middle scheme: In the absence of Hel2, encounter complexes are more available to be recognized by the Gcn pathway, leading to increased eIF2a phosphorylation. Without stabilization by ubiquitin, disomes more frequently resume translation. An alternative model, which posits that ISR activation in the absence of Hel2 reduces ribosome loading on mRNAs and leads to decreased disome formation, is also indicated. Right scheme: His starvation induced by 3-AT increases the abundance of encounter complexes at His codons. These complexes are recognized by both Hel2 and Gcn pathways, leading to disome ubiquitination and increased elF2a phosphorylation, respectively.



Figure S6

# Figure S6: Disomes may have functional roles in translation. Related to Figure 6.

**A.** Monosome (gray, top) and disome (red, bottom) footprints that map to the *CPA1* gene. Data are from pooled replicates. A disome forms due to a regulatory stalling event encoded by the *CPA1* upstream ORF (red arrow).

**B.** Monosome (gray, top), disome (red for WT and purple for  $hcr1\Delta$ , bottom) footprints mapping to three cellular +1 programmed frameshifting cases. Data obtained from pooled replicates. The sequence of the frameshifting site is shown for each case and +1 and 0 frame amino acid sequences are indicated. Note that only *OAZ1* has a disome mapping to the frameshifting site, whereas *EST3* and *ABP140* show the disome upstream of the frameshifting region, which could result from queued ribosomes. Sequences that appear to trigger additional disome peaks are indicated above their respective peaks.

**C.** Monosome (gray, top) and disome (red, bottom) profiles of the L-A virus genome. Reads were mapped to the L-A virus genome (NC\_003745.1) using Bowtie 1.1.2. -1 PRF site is indicated with an arrow. Note that a substantial disome peak around -1 PRF site does not exist.

Yeast name	Genotype	Source
BY4741 WT	MATa his3Δ1 leu2Δ0 met15Δ0 ura3Δ0	Dharmacon
BY4741 <i>hel2</i> Δ	MATa his3Δ1 leu2Δ0 met15Δ0 ura3Δ0	Dharmacon
	hel2::KanMX4	
BY4741 WT, His3⁺ (For	MATa leu2Δ0 met15Δ0 ura3Δ0	This study
-/+ 3-AT disome and		
trisome experiments)		
BY4741 <i>hel2</i> ∆, His3⁺	MATa leu2Δ0 met15Δ0 ura3Δ0	This study
(For disome/trisome	hel2::KanMX4	
experiments)		
BY4741 <i>not4</i> ∆	MATa his3∆1 leu2∆0 met15∆0 ura3∆0	Dharmacon
	not4::KanMX4	
BY4741 <i>rpl11B</i> ∆	MATa his3∆1 leu2∆0 met15∆0 ura3∆0	Dharmacon
	rpl11B::KanMX4	
BY4741 <i>slh1</i> ∆	MATa his3∆1 leu2∆0 met15∆0 ura3∆0	Dharmacon
	slh1::KanMX4	
BY4741 <i>hcr1</i> Δ	MATa his3Δ1 leu2Δ0 met15Δ0 ura3Δ0	Dharmacon
	hcr1::KanMX4	

Table S1. Yeast strains used in this study, related to STAR Methods.

Name	Sequence (5' to 3')			
Primers that were used to construct BY4741 WT His3 <sup>+</sup> strain				
His3-Fwd	GAGCAGGCAAGATAAACGAAGGCAAAGATG			
His3-Rev	GTATATATATCGTATGCTGCAGCTTTAAATAATCG			
Primers that	were used to confirm BY4741 WT His3 <sup>+</sup> strain for <i>HI</i> S3 gene knock-in			
His3-Upstr- Fwd	AGTGCATTGGTGACTTACACATAGA			
His3- Downstr-Rev	GCTCAGTTCAGCCATAATATGAAAT			
Primers that	were used to generate YCplac33- <i>HEL2</i> plasmid			
hel2- upstr486_Fwd	AACAGCTATGACCATGATTACGCCAAGCTTATGTAGAAGCAAGAGTACAATTC AGG			
hel2- downstr256_ Rev	CGACGTTGTAAAACGACGGCCAGTGAATTCAGGAACTAATCAATAATTTGACT ACTCTT			
Site directed	mutagenesis primers that were used to generate YCplac33-hel2-mut plasmid			
hel2_C64AC6 7A_Fwd	AATTGCTGCGCGCAAGTTAACATAC			
hel2_C64AC6 7A_Rev	ACAGCTAATTCATTTCTTCATCAGTATCATC			
RNA size sele	ection markers			
25mer	rArUrGrUrArCrArCrGrGrArGrUrCrGrArGrCrArCrCrCrGrCrA			
34mer	rArUrGrUrArCrArCrGrGrArGrUrCrGrArGrCrArCrCrCrGrCrArArCrGrCrGrArArUrG			
40mer	rArUrGrUrArCrArCrGrGrArGrUrCrGrArGrCrArCrCrCrGrCrArArCrGrCrGrArArUrGr UrArCrArCrG			
54mer	rArUrGrUrArCrArCrGrGrArGrUrCrGrArGrCrArCrCrCrGrCrArArCrGrCrGrArArUrGr UrArCrArCrGrGrArGrUrCrGrArGrCrArCrCrCrG			
68mer	rArUrGrUrArCrArCrGrGrArGrUrCrGrArGrCrArCrCrCrGrCrArArCrGrCrGrArArUrGr UrArCrArCrGrGrArGrUrCrGrArGrCrArCrCrCrGrCrArArCrGrCrGrArUrGrUrArCrA			
80mer	rArUrGrUrArCrArCrGrGrArGrUrCrGrArGrCrArCrCrCrGrCrArArCrGrCrGrArArUrGr UrArCrArCrGrGrArGrUrCrGrArGrCrArCrCrCrGrCrArArCrGrCrGrArUrGrUrArCrArC rCrCrGrCrArArCrGrCrGrA			
rRNA substraction oligonucleotides				
1b	/5BioTinTEG/GGTGCACAATCGACCGATC			
2b	/5BioTinTEG/GTTTCTTTACTTATTCAATGAAGCGG			
3b	/5BioTinTEG/TATAGATGGATACGAATAAGGCGTC			
4	/5BioTinTEG/TTGTGGCGTCGCTGAACCATAG			
5	/5BioTinTEG/CAGGGGGCATGCCTGTTTGAGCGTCAT			
6	/5BioTinTEG/CGGTGCCCGAGTTGTAATTT			
Linker oligon	ucleotides			

Table S2. Oligonucleotides used in this study. Related to STAR Methods.

NI-810	5'-/5Phos/NNNNATCGTAGATCGGAAGAGCACACGTCTGAA/3ddC/
NI-811	5'-/5Phos/NNNNAGCTAAGATCGGAAGAGCACACGTCTGAA/3ddC/
NI-812	5'-/5Phos/NNNNCGTAAAGATCGGAAGAGCACACGTCTGAA/3ddC/
NI-813	5'-/5Phos/NNNNNCTAGAAGATCGGAAGAGCACACGTCTGAA/3ddC/
NI-814	5'-/5Phos/NNNNGATCAAGATCGGAAGAGCACACGTCTGAA/3ddC/
NI-815	5'-/5Phos/NNNNGCATAAGATCGGAAGAGCACACGTCTGAA/3ddC/
RT primer	
NI-802	5'-
	/5Phos/NNAGATCGGAAGAGCGTCGTGTAGGGAAAGAG/iSp18/GTGACTGGAG TTCAGACGTGTGCTC
PCR primers	
NI-NI-798	5'- AATGATACGGCGACCACCGAGATCTACACTCTTTCCCTACACGACGCTC
NI-799	5'-
	CAAGCAGAAGACGGCATACGAGATCGTGATGTGACTGGAGTTCAGACGTGT G

Table S3. Ribosome profiling statistics	. Related to STAR Methods.
-----------------------------------------	----------------------------

Sample name	Description	Data type	Reads with linker	Not aligned to non- coding RNA	Reads without PCR duplicates	Aligned to coding regions and splice junctions
SM015F	WT_rep1	Ribo- seq	32,634,770	9,956,385	8,022,682	6,435,058
SM016F	<i>hel2</i> ∆_rep1	Ribo- seq	59,462,147	15,263,501	12,283,248	10,085,580
SM023F	WT_rep2	Ribo- seq	35,194,988	12,817,968	10,280,446	8,486,785
SM024F	<i>hel2</i> ∆_rep2	Ribo- seq	42,907,002	13,731,922	11,032,308	9,122,829
SM015Fd	WT_rep1	Disome- seq	441,031,439	33,875,061	18,686,469	3,135,609
SM016Fd	<i>hel2</i> ∆_rep1	Disome- seq	211,362,149	13,897,689	8,582,714	1,677,549
SM023Fd	WT_rep2	Disome- seq	67,913,789	6,168,719	3,482,544	859,613
SM024Fd	<i>hel2</i> ∆_rep2	Disome- seq	82,170,337	10,524,816	7,606,696	3,926,150
SM025Fd	<i>slh1</i> ∆ _rep1	Disome- seq	109,879,478	10,846,503	6,539,078	2,337,432
SM029Fd	<i>slh1</i> ∆_rep2	Disome- seq	114,705,670	2,931,501	2,487,287	1,139,848
SM026Fd	WT_rep3	Disome- seq	184,934,998	5,440,085	4,579,797	2,134,850
SM030Fd	<i>not4</i> ∆ _rep1	Disome- seq	150,841,702	5,289,408	4,376,566	2,128,395
SM031Fd	<i>not4</i> ∆_rep2	Disome- seq	119,507,286	3,991,422	3,402,667	1,464,357
SM032Fd	<i>hcr1</i> ∆ _rep1	Disome- seq	113,682,835	2,127,465	1,893,959	325,900
SM033Fd	<i>hcr1</i> ∆_rep2	Disome- seq	128,983,396	2,399,097	2,157,956	444,663
SM034Fd	<i>rpl11B</i> ∆ _rep1	Disome- seq	190,193,094	4,434,485	3,888,816	842,846
SM035Fd	<i>rpl11B</i> ∆_rep2	Disome- seq	66,233,613	1,255,595	1,142,679	258,376
SM036Fd	WT_His3⁺	Disome- seq	88,727,575	1,559,864	1,131,322	111,567
SM037Fd	WT_His3⁺_3- AT	Disome- seq	77,677,380	1,997,656	1,618,405	561,333

SM038Fd	<i>hel2</i> ∆_ His3⁺	Disome- seq	119,603,326	2,586,829	1,864,214	260,642
SM040Fd	WT_ANS	Disome- seq	138,642,768	5,335,853	4,285,855	2,150,720
SM042Fd	WT YCplac33	Disome- seq	47,733,375	25,415,832	5,956,757	3,967,513
SM043Fd	<i>hel2</i> ∆ YCplac33	Disome- seq	43,071,227	27,558,579	5,156,939	3,450,261
SM044Fd	hel2∆ YCplac33- HEL2	Disome- seq	44,030,912	19,678,977	4,620,671	2,732,398
SM045Fd	hel2∆ YCplac33- hel2- C64AC67A	Disome- seq	40,531,321	21,847,970	4,928,916	3,414,472
SM036Ft	WT_His3⁺	Trisome- seq	68,435,251	20,343,248	2,426,221	540,369
SM038Ft	<i>hel2</i> ∆_His3⁺	Trisome- seq	69,672,848	35,008,524	4,334,680	2,150,045
SM015M	WT_rep1	RNA- seq	28,248,177	21,702,935	N/A	15,411,776
SM016M	<i>hel2</i> ∆_rep1	RNA- seq	60,557,306	43,444,522	N/A	27,241,161
SM023M	WT_rep2	RNA- seq	39,286,158	29,116,230	N/A	17,283,716
SM024M	<i>hel2</i> ∆_rep2	RNA- seq	51,675,601	35,070,369	N/A	16,568,700