# Synthetic Elaboration of Native DNA by RASS (SENDR)

Dillon T. Flood,<sup>1⊥</sup> Kyle W. Knouse,<sup>1⊥</sup> Julien C. Vantourout,<sup>1</sup> Seiya Kitamura,<sup>1</sup> Brittany B. Sanchez,<sup>§</sup> Emily J. Sturgell,<sup>§</sup> Jason S. Chen,<sup>§</sup> Dennis W. Wolan,<sup>1</sup> Phil S. Baran,<sup>1\*</sup> Philip E. Dawson.<sup>1\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Chemistry, Scripps Research, 10550 North Torrey Pines Road, La Jolla, California 92037, United States <sup>§</sup>Automated Synthesis Facility, Scripps Research, 10550 North Torrey Pines Road, La Jolla, CA 92037, United States

<sup>⊥</sup>These authors contributed equally to this work.

### **Table of Contents**

General Experimental		
DNA starting materials		
Synthesis and Characterization of $\Psi$ -modules		
General Procedure 1 - Synthesis of Ψ-modules		
Source of Alcohols used in Synthesis of Ψ-modules		
Synthesis of $\Psi$ -1 through $\Psi$ -19		
NMR Spectra		
Compound $\Psi$ -1 <sup>1</sup> H NMR		
Compound $\Psi$ -1 <sup>13</sup> C NMR		
Compound $\Psi$ -1 <sup>31</sup> P NMR		
Compound $\Psi$ -2 <sup>1</sup> H NMR		
Compound $\Psi$ -2 <sup>13</sup> C NMR		
Compound $\Psi$ -2 <sup>31</sup> P NMR		
Compound $\Psi$ -3 <sup>1</sup> H NMR		
Compound $\Psi$ -3 <sup>13</sup> C NMR		
Compound $\Psi$ -3 <sup>31</sup> P NMR		
Compound $\Psi$ -5 <sup>1</sup> H NMR		
Compound $\Psi$ -5 <sup>31</sup> P NMR		
Compound $\Psi$ -5 <sup>13</sup> C NMR		
Compound $\Psi$ -6 <sup>1</sup> H NMR		
Compound $\Psi$ -6 <sup>13</sup> C NMR		
Compound $\Psi$ -6 <sup>19</sup> F NMR		
Compound $\Psi$ -6 <sup>31</sup> P NMR		
Compound $\Psi$ -7 <sup>1</sup> H NMR		
Compound $\Psi$ -7 <sup>13</sup> C NMR		
Compound $\Psi$ -7 <sup>31</sup> P NMR		
Compound $\Psi$ -8 <sup>1</sup> H NMR		
Compound $\Psi$ -8 <sup>13</sup> C NMR		
Compound $\Psi$ -8 <sup>31</sup> P NMR		
Compound $\Psi$ -9 <sup>1</sup> H NMR		
Compound $\Psi$ -9 <sup>13</sup> C NMR		
Compound $\Psi$ -9 <sup>31</sup> P NMR		

	Compound Ψ-9 <sup>1</sup> H NMR	51
	Compound Ψ-10 <sup>13</sup> C NMR	52
	Compound Ψ-10 <sup>31</sup> P NMR	52
	Compound Ψ-11 <sup>1</sup> H NMR	53
	Compound Ψ-11 <sup>13</sup> C NMR	53
	Compound Ψ-11 <sup>31</sup> P NMR	54
	Compound $\Psi$ -12 <sup>1</sup> H NMR	54
	Compound Ψ-12 <sup>13</sup> C NMR	55
	Compound $\Psi$ -12 <sup>31</sup> P NMR	55
	Compound $\Psi$ -13 <sup>1</sup> H NMR	56
	Compound $\Psi$ -13 <sup>13</sup> C NMR	56
	Compound Ψ-13 <sup>19</sup> F NMR	57
	Compound $\Psi$ -13 <sup>31</sup> P NMR	57
	Compound $\Psi$ -( $R_P$ )-14 <sup>1</sup> H NMR	58
	Compound Ψ-( <i>R</i> <sub>P</sub> )-14 <sup>13</sup> C NMR	58
	Compound Ψ-( <i>R</i> <sub>P</sub> )-14 <sup>31</sup> P NMR	59
	Compound $\Psi$ -15 <sup>1</sup> H NMR	59
	Compound $\Psi$ -15 <sup>13</sup> C NMR	60
	Compound Ψ-15 <sup>31</sup> P NMR	60
	Compound Ψ-16 <sup>1</sup> H NMR	61
	Compound Ψ-16 <sup>13</sup> C NMR	61
	Compound Ψ-16 <sup>31</sup> P NMR	62
	Compound Ψ-17 <sup>1</sup> H NMR	62
	Compound $\Psi$ -17 <sup>13</sup> C NMR	63
	Compound Ψ-17 <sup>31</sup> P NMR	63
	Compound Ψ-18 <sup>1</sup> H NMR	64
	Compound Ψ-18 <sup>13</sup> C NMR	64
	Compound Ψ-18 <sup>31</sup> P NMR	65
	Compound Ψ-19 <sup>1</sup> H NMR	65
	Compound Ψ-19 <sup>13</sup> C NMR	66
	Compound Ψ-19 <sup>31</sup> P NMR	66
SENE	DR Protocol	67
<u> </u>		<u> </u>
Ge	eneral Procedure 2: SENDK in Microcentrifuge Tubes	6/
	Iroubleshooting and Frequently Asked Questions	69
Ge	eneral Procedure 3: SENDR in Fritted Cartridges (Kit Format)	70
	Resin Preparation	70
	Troubleshooting and Frequently Asked Questions	73
Opti	mization of SENDR protocol and conditions	74
Or	ntimization Procedure	74
Ch	aracterization of SENDK Optimization	/5
	LCMS Characterization of 3	75
	LCMS Characterization of 4	78
Pro	ocess for Mass Fragmentation of 3	81
An	alysis of Optimization Reactions	83
	Entry 1: 5'	83
	Entry 1: 3'	86
	Entry 2: 5'	89

Entry 2: 3'	
Entry 3: 5'	
Entry 3: 3'	
Entry 4: 5'	
Entry 4: 3'	
Entry 5: 5'	
Entry 5: 3'	
Entry 6: 5'	
Entry 6: 3'	
Entry 7: 5	
Entry 8: 5'	
Entry 8: 3'	123
Entry 9: 5'	
Entry 9: 3'	
Entry 10: 5'	
Entry 10: 3'	
Alcohol Selective Peactions: An Investigation	150
Aconor selective reactions. An investigation	
Phosphoramidate Formation	150
Phosphoramidite Reaction	151
Tosylation reaction	152
Mitsunobu Reaction	155
Williamson Ether Synthesis	158
Labeling of DNA Without Phosphate Blocking Groups	
General Protocol and Optimization	159
Structure Confirmation of SI-23	
Mass Fragmentation of SI-23	
T4PNK Phopshorvlation then SENDR	
, ,	105
Protocol	195
Sequence Independence	
Procedure	199
Characterization of Sequence Independence	200
LCMS Characterization of SI-26	
LCMS Characterization of SI-27	
LCMS Characterization of 3	
LCMS Characterization of SI-28	
LCMS Characterization of SI-29	
LCMS Characterization of SI-30	
LUNIS Characterization of SI-31	
LUIVIS UNAFACTERIZATION OF SI-32	
LUVIS CHARACTERIZATION OF A	
LCIVIS Characterization of SI-34	
LCMS Characterization of SI-35	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	200

LCMS Characterization of SI-36	
LCMS Characterization of SI-37	
Substrate scope:	
Procedure	
Characterization of Substrate Scope	
ICMS Characterization of 5	243
ICMS Characterization of 6	245
LCMS Characterization of 7	
LCMS Characterization of 8	
LCMS Characterization of 9	
LCMS Characterization of 10	
LCMS Characterization of 11	
LCMS Characterization of 12	
LCMS Characterization of 13	
LCMS Characterization of 14	
LCMS Characterization of 15	
LCMS Characterization of 16	
LCMS Characterization of 17	
LCMS Characterization of 18	
LCMS Characterization of 19	
LCMS Characterization of 20	
LCMS Characterization of 21	
LCMS Characterization of 22	
LCMS Characterization of 23	
LCMS Characterization of 24	
LCMS Characterization of 23	
LCMS Characterization of 26	
LCMS Characterization of 27	
LCMS Characterization of 28	
LCMS Characterization of 29	
LCMS Characterization of 30	
LCMS Characterization of 31	
LCMS Characterization of 32	
LCMS Characterization of 33	
LCMS Characterization of 34	
LCMS Characterization of 35	
LCMS Characterization of 35	
LCMS Characterization of 37	
LCMS Characterization of 38	
LCMS Characterization of 39	
Cocondam, Maninulations,	221
Secondary Manipulations:	
Azide Reduction (40):	
LCMS Characterization of 40	
41 SPAAC Reaction:	334
ICMS Characterization of 41	
42 CuAAC Reaction:	
LCMS Characterization of 42	
43 CUAAC Reaction:	2/2
	JJ

LCMS Characterization of 43	
Phosphorothioate (PS) compatibility:	346
Protocol for SENDR on PS DNA:	
LCMS Characterization of 45	
LCMS Characterization of 46	
LCMS Characterization of 47	
LCMS Characterization of 48	
LCMS Characterization of 50	
LCMS Characterization of 51	
SENDR on Aptamers:	
Aptamer compatibility protocol:	
LCMS Characterization of 53	
LCMS Characterization of S-55/ After SENDR	
LCMS Characterization of S55/ After ExoIII Digestion	
LCMS Characterization of R-55/ SEDNR	
LCMS Characterization of R-55/ After EXOIII	
Using (+)Ψ-14	
hNE Inhibition Assay	376
Protocol	
Raw Data	
Plotted Data	
SENDR on Biosynthetically Derived DNA	
Construction of EC	201
Construction of 56	
PCR Amplification:	
Analysis of Amplicons	
TADNK Deschordation:	
Analysis of PCR and Enzymatic Modifications by DNA Gel:	
SENDR: 50-757	
DNA BSA Conjugation	
Procedure for the creation of 59	
LCMS Characterization of 59	
Procedure for the creation of 60	
Analysis of 60	
DNA DVD Conjugation	398
Procedure for the creation of SI-40	
LCMS Characterization of SI-40	399
Procedure for the creation 62	402
LCMS Characterization of 62	403
DNA-DVD conjugation procedure	406
Methodol Assay	
, Methodol Assay Raw Data:	
SDS PAGE Analysis	

Creation of Dual Labeled Probes:	410
Procedure For the Creation of 65-67	410
LCMS Characterization of SI-41	
LCMS Characterization of 65	
LCMS Characterization of 66	
LCMS Characterization of 66 After Enzymatic Cleanup	
LCMS Characterization of SI-42	
LCMS Characterization of 67	
Process for Mass Fragmentation of 66	431
nCov Probe Production	
LCMS Characterization of SI-43	
LCMS Characterization of SI-44	
LCMS Characterization of SI-45	
LCMS Characterization of SI-46	447
LCMS Characterization of SI-47	453
LCMS Characterization of SI-48	456
LCMS Characterization of 70 after SENDR with $\Psi$ -17 and lambda exo cleanup:	459
Comparison Between Kit and Microtube Format	462
Procedure	
LCMS Characterization of 3	
Synthesis of Small Molecule Alcohols for use in $\Psi$ -Module Synthesis	
Synthesis of SI-49	
Synthesis of SI-50	466
Synthesis of SI-51	466
Synthesis of SI-52	466
Synthesis of SI-53	467
Synthesis of SI-54	467
Synthesis of SI-55	467
Synthesis of SI-56	467
Synthesis of SI-57	468
Synthesis of SI-58	468
Synthesis of SI-59	468
Synthesis of SI-60	468
Synthesis of SI-61	469
References	470

### **General Experimental**

Tetrahydrofuran (THF), *N*,*N*-dimethylformamide (DMF), and dichloromethane (DCM) and acetonitrile (MeCN) were obtained by passing the previously degassed solvents through an activated alumina column. DMA was purchased from Sigma-Aldrich and used without further drying. (+)-Ψ and (–)-Ψ were obtained from Sigma-Aldrich. DIC (*N*,*N*'-diisopropylcarbodiimide) was purchased from Oakwood. Deionized water was used in all the reactions, unless otherwise stated. All the other reagents were purchased at the highest commercial quality and used without further purification, unless otherwise stated. NaClO<sub>4</sub> was purchased at the highest commercial grade from Acros Organics. DNA tags was obtained from IDT, Inc., Coralville, IA. Recombinant All enzymes (Shrimp Alkaline Phosphatase (rSAP), ExoIII, T4PNK, and Lambda Exo) was obtained from New England Biolabs, Ipswich, MA. The Cut Smart buffer stock used enzymatic reactions was obtained from New England Biolabs, Ipswich, MA. UltraPure<sup>TM</sup> Agarose was obtained from Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA. 50X TAE Buffer (Tris-acetate-EDTA) was obtained from Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA. SYBR<sup>TM</sup> Safe DNA Gel Stain (10,000X) was obtained from New England Biolabs, Ipswich, MA.

Yields under normal organic conditions refer to chromatographically and spectroscopically (<sup>1</sup>H, <sup>31</sup>P NMR) homogeneous material, unless otherwise stated. TLC was performed using 0.25 mm E. Merck silica plates (60F-254), using short-wave UV light as the visualizing agent, and phosphomolybdic acid or KMnO<sub>4</sub> and heat as developing agents. NMR spectra were recorded on Bruker DRX-600, DRX-500, and AMX-400 instruments and are calibrated using residual undeuterated solvent (CHCl<sub>3</sub> at 7.26 ppm <sup>1</sup>H NMR, 77.16 ppm <sup>13</sup>C NMR). The following abbreviations were used to explain multiplicities: s = singlet, d = doublet, t = triplet, q = quartet, m = multiplet, br = broad. Column chromatography was performed using E. Merck silica gel (60, particle size 0.043–0.063 mm), and preparative TLC was performed on Merck silica plates (60F-254). High-resolution mass spectra (HRMS) were recorded on Agilent LC/MSD TOF mass spectrometer by electrospray ionization time of flight reflectron experiments. Melting points were recorded on a Fisher-Johns 12-144 melting point apparatus and are uncorrected.

No unexpected or unusually high safety hazards were encountered.

**PBS:** Phosphate buffered saline—was purchased from commercial and filtered before use. PBS is comprised of NaCl (137 mM), KCl (2.7 mM), Na<sub>2</sub>HPO<sub>4</sub> (10 mM), KH<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>4</sub> (1.8 mM), at pH 7.4.

**Elute Buffer:** DNA was eluted from resin using a sodium perchlorate buffer (1 M NaClO<sub>4</sub>, 40 mM Tris, 20% MeOH, at pH 8.5). This was prepared from NaClO<sub>4</sub> (Acros Organics), and filtered after preparation. This buffer could be stored on the bench indefinitely.

**HPLC-MS Analysis:** Six microliter of the DNA solution was analyzed on a Waters H-Class LC with a Waters BEH C18 column (2.1x55 mm, 1.7  $\mu$ m, 130 Å) using a gradient of 114 mM HFIP and 14 mM Et<sub>3</sub>N in water (A) and methanol (B) (0.3 mL/min, 10-26 %B over 5 minutes) at 60° C. Peak identities were determined by ESI- using the 6- ion.

**HPLC-TOF High Resolution Analysis**: One microliter of the DNA solution was analyzed on a Waters I-Class LC with a Waters BEH C18 column (2.1x55 mm,  $1.7 \mu \text{m}$ , 130 Å) using a gradient of 114 mM HFIP and 14 mM Et<sub>3</sub>N in water (A) and methanol (B) (0.3 mL/min, 10-26 %B over 10 minutes) at 60° C. Peak identities were determined by ESI- using the 3- ion.

Deconvolution: data visualization and integration were performed with Mass Lynx V4.1 software.

**Conversion determination:** the yields of on-DNA products were determined from UV absorbance trace (260 nm) peak area using the equation: Yield%= UV(prod)/UV(total DNA recovered), while ignoring UV coefficient difference for all DNA products and assuming 100% of DNA total recovery. While determining yields, any non-oligo material (as determined by close examination of mass spectra) that absorbed UV 260 nm was subtracted out of the final yield calculation. These peaks were determined to not contain oligo material when a DNA indicative mass spectra was not observed, and are usually attributed to small molecules not removed during the ethanol precipitation. These peaks were generally found at or before 1 minute retention time during analysis.

**Preparative HPLC:** Was performed on the Waters H Class instrument described above using customized gradients for each compound of interest and an automatic divert valve.

**DNA starting materials.** All DNA starting materials used in this paper and their structures are shown below. DNA was purchased from IDT.

ical Formula: C<sub>215</sub>H<sub>271</sub>N<sub>85</sub>O<sub>131</sub> Exact Mass: 6820.14 Molecular Weight: 6823.42 Model DNA (1): 5'-TTCCGAGTCAAAAATGACTCGG/3Phos/-3' . Chemical Formula: C<sub>215</sub>H<sub>271</sub>N<sub>85</sub>O<sub>131</sub>P<sub>22</sub> Exact Mass: 6820.14 Molecular Weight: 6823.42 Model DNA (2): /5Phos/CCGAGTCAAAAATGACTCGGTT ical Formula: C<sub>195</sub>H<sub>245</sub>N<sub>81</sub>O<sub>117</sub>F Exact Mass: 6212.05 Molecular Weight: 6215.03 Chemical Formula: C4 Model DNA (SI-1): CCGAGTCAAAAATGACTCGG/3Phos/ Chemical Formula: C<sub>225</sub>H<sub>284</sub>N<sub>87</sub>O<sub>138</sub>P<sub>23</sub> Exact Mass: 7124.18 Molecular Weight: 7127.61 Model DNA (SI-2): TTTCCGAGTCAAAAATGACTCGG/3Phos/





Model DNA (SI-12): /5Phos/CCGAGTCAAAAATGACTCGGTG



CT





2019-nCov-N3-P Starting Material (SI-15): /5Phos/CCCCAGTCTCTGTCAGCACTCCCTTC



Nonphosphorylated DNA (SI-16): CCGAGTCAAAAATGACTCGGTT



High Tm DNA Hairpin (**SI-17**): CACTAGGGATGCATCGTCATCTTTTGATGACGATGCATCCCTAGTGTCCG/3Phos/





T/iMe-dC/A +G\*\*C\*\*A\* T\*T\*/iMe-dC/\* T\*A\*A\* T\*A\*G\* /iMe-dC/\*+A\*+G\* +C/<sub>3</sub>Phos/

 $MW: \ 6300.9 \\ MALAT1 \ \textbf{(48): T/iMe-dC/A + G^{*+}C^{*+}A^{*} T^{*}T^{*}/iMe-dC/^{*} T^{*}A^{*}A^{*} T^{*}A^{*}G^{*} / iMe-dC/^{*}+A^{*}+G^{*}+C/_{3}Phos/} \\$ 



#### Template Strand (SI-18):

/5Phos/atagtggtccagatgaccaaattggctactaccgaagagctacccgacgagttcgtggtggtggtgacggcaaaatgaaagagctca gccccagatggtacttctattacctaggaactggcccagaagcttcacttccctacggcgctaacaaagaaggcatcgtatgggttgcaact gagggagccttgaatacacccaaa



Primer 1 (SI-19): /5Phos/aacccatacgatgccttctttgt



Primer 2 (SI-20): ctactaccgaagagctacccgac

## Synthesis and Characterization of Ψ-modules

#### **General Procedure 1 - Synthesis of Ψ-modules**

The  $\Psi$ -modules were prepared as follow unless otherwise stated. Alcohol (1.0 equiv.) and (–)- $\Psi$  (1.3 equiv.) were dissolved in anhydrous MeCN or DCM (0.1 M) in a flame-dried round-bottom flask. DBU (1.2 equiv.) was added to the reaction mixture while stirring. After 5-10 minutes, the crude reaction mixture was diluted with EtOAc or Et<sub>2</sub>O and transferred to a separatory funnel. The organic layer was washed with H<sub>2</sub>O, saturated aqueous KH<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>4</sub> and brine. After drying over MgSO<sub>4</sub> and filtration, the solvent was removed *in vacuo*. The crude product was purified by silica gel column chromatography unless otherwise stated. Protocol adapted from.<sup>1</sup>

No unexpected or unusually high safety hazards were encountered.

#### Source of Alcohols used in Synthesis of **Y**-modules



#### Synthesis of Ψ-1 through Ψ-19



Prepared according to **General Procedure 1** using **2-phenylethan-1-ol** (2.44 g, 20.0 mmol). Purification using 50% DCM in hexanes afforded  $\Psi$ -1 (6.84 g, 18.6 mmol, 93% yield).

Physical State: Crystaline Solid;

 $\mathbf{R}_{f} = 0.50 \ (50\% \text{ DCM/hexanes});$ 

<sup>1</sup>**H NMR (600 MHz, Chloroform-***d***):**  $\delta$  7.32 (tt, *J* = 7.8, 1.4 Hz, 2H), 7.29 – 7.22 (m, 3H), 5.01 (q, *J* = 1.4 Hz, 1H), 4.89 – 4.84 (m, 1H), 4.47 – 4.38 (m, 2H), 4.36 (ddd, *J* = 14.2, 10.3, 7.1 Hz, 1H), 3.04 (t, *J* = 7.1 Hz, 2H), 2.60 (d, *J* = 6.2 Hz, 1H), 2.30 – 2.23 (m, 1H), 2.10 (td, *J* = 13.5, 4.3 Hz, 1H), 1.97 (ddt, *J* = 15.0, 4.3, 2.1 Hz, 1H), 1.93 – 1.84 (m, 2H), 1.83 – 1.74 (m, 4H), 1.72 (s, 3H);

<sup>13</sup>C NMR (151 MHz, Chloroform-*d*): δ 144.61, 136.67, 128.55, 128.05, 126.23, 111.33, 85.20, 68.65, 68.60, 64.76, 38.42, 36.27, 36.22, 33.31, 33.26, 27.34, 27.24, 22.95, 22.27, 21.25;
 <sup>31</sup>P NMR (162 MHz, Chloroform-*d*): δ 101.30;

**HRMS (ESI-TOF, m/z):** Calcd for  $[C_{18}H_{25}O_2PS_2+H]^+$  369.1112; Found 369.1114.



Prepared according to General Procedure 1 using *N*-Boc-L-prolinol (0.40 g, 2.0 mmol). Purification using a gradient of 50% DCM in hexanes afforded  $\Psi$ -2 (0.431 g, 0.96 mmol, 48% yield).

Physical State: Colorless Oil.

 $\mathbf{R}_{f} = 0.40 \ (100\% \ \text{DCM});$ 

<sup>1</sup>**H** NMR (600 MHz, Chloroform-*d*): δ 4.99 (q, *J* = 1.5 Hz, 1H), 4.87 (s, 1H), 4.40 (d, *J* = 12.3 Hz, 1H), 4.16 (td, *J* = 9.6, 3.4 Hz, 2H), 3.98 (d, *J* = 63.5 Hz, 1H), 3.46 – 3.23 (m, 2H), 2.62 – 2.51 (m, 1H), 2.28 (dd, *J* = 13.1, 3.7 Hz, 1H), 2.10 (td, *J* = 13.5, 4.2 Hz, 1H), 2.02 – 1.83 (m, 6H), 1.83 – 1.66 (m, 8H), 1.47 (d, *J* = 8.5 Hz, 9H);

<sup>13</sup>C NMR (151 MHz, Chloroform-*d*): δ 154.66, 154.40, 145.39, 145.20, 111.83, 85.85, 80.03, 79.58, 68.70, 68.65, 68.23, 65.56, 65.37, 56.49, 46.98, 46.82, 39.04, 34.02, 33.96, 28.86, 28.66, 27.82, 27.74, 23.94, 23.57, 23.19, 22.82, 21.82;

<sup>31</sup>P NMR (162 MHz, Chloroform-d): δ 101.67, 101.19;

**HRMS (ESI-TOF, m/z):** Calcd for  $[C_{20}H_{34}NO_4PS_2+H]^+$  464.1694; Found 464.1689.

$$Me \xrightarrow{0} P \stackrel{S}{\sim} 0 \xrightarrow{0} 0 \xrightarrow{0} N_3$$

Prepared according to General Procedure 1 using 2-(2-(2-azidoethoxy)ethoxy)ethan-1-ol (0.70 g, 4.0 mmol). Purification using a gradient of 50-100% DCM in hexanes followed by 0-10% EtOAc in DCM afforded  $\Psi$ -3 (0.809 g, 1.92 mmol, 48% yield).

Physical State: Colorless oil;

 $\mathbf{R}_{f} = 0.70 \ (100\% \ \text{DCM});$ 

**1H NMR (400 MHz, Chloroform**–*d*):  $\delta$  4.98 (s, 1H), 4.87 (s, 1H), 4.44 (dd, J = 12.8, 3.3 Hz, 1H), 4.41 – 4.32 (m, 1H), 4.32 – 4.20 (m, 1H), 3.80 – 3.60 (m, 9H), 3.39 (t, J = 4.1 Hz, 2H), 2.57 (s, 1H), 2.27 (d, J = 13.6 Hz, 1H), 2.21 – 2.02 (m, 1H), 2.00 – 1.83 (m, 3H), 1.78 (s, 4H), 1.69 (d, J = 2.7 Hz, 3H);

<sup>13</sup>C NMR (151 MHz, Chloroform-*d*): δ 145.25, 111.86, 85.83, 70.83, 70.79, 70.26, 70.21, 70.17, 67.67, 67.62, 65.20, 50.83, 39.00, 33.86, 33.80, 27.90, 27.79, 23.50, 22.81, 21.80;

<sup>31</sup>P NMR (162 MHz, Chloroform-*d*): δ 102.15;

**HRMS (ESI-TOF, m/z):** Calcd for  $[C_{16}H_{28}N_3O_4PS_2+H]^+$  422.1337; Found 422.1327.



Prepared according to General Procedure 1 using SI-49 (0.326 g, 2.0 mmol). Purification using 15% EtOAc in hexane afforded  $\Psi$ -4 (0.60 g, 1.5 mmol, 75% yield). Due to the instability of this compound, it was used directly after a crude purification. Crude spectroscopic data could be obtained but not in its pure form.

Physical State: Amourphous Crystaline Solid;

 $\mathbf{R}_{f} = 0.56 \ (15\% \ \text{EtOAc/Hexane});$ 

<sup>1</sup>**H NMR (400 MHz, Chloroform-***d***):** δ 7.25 – 7.12 (m, 2H), 7.02 – 6.85 (m, 2H), 4.98 (s, 1H), 4.82 (s, 1H), 4.32 (ddt, J = 17.8, 10.7, 6.6 Hz, 3H), 2.97 (q, J = 5.1, 3.4 Hz, 2H), 2.56 (d, J = 6.4 Hz, 1H), 2.22 (d, J = 13.1 Hz, 1H), 2.05 (ddd, J = 14.2, 10.9, 4.4 Hz, 1H), 1.88 (dtt, J = 18.6, 13.3, 5.3 Hz, 3H), 1.80 – 1.58 (m, 7H).;

<sup>31</sup>P NMR (162 MHz, Chloroform-*d*):  $\delta$  101.32; HRMS (ESI-TOF, m/z): Calcd for [C<sub>18</sub>H<sub>24</sub>N<sub>3</sub>O<sub>2</sub>PS<sub>2</sub>+H]<sup>+</sup> 410.1126; Found 410.1135.

Ψ-5



Prepared according to **General Procedure 1** using **4-pentynol** (1.26 g, 15.0 mmol). Purification using a gradient of 15-20% DCM in hexanes afforded  $\Psi$ -5 (4.56 g, 13.8 mmol, 92%).

Physical State: Colorless Oil;

 $\mathbf{R}_{f} = 0.46 (50 \% \text{ DCM in Hexanes});$ 

<sup>1</sup>**H NMR (600 MHz, Chloroform-***d***):** δ 5.01 (q, J = 1.5 Hz, 1H), 4.90 – 4.86 (m, 1H), 4.44 (dt, J = 12.7, 3.3 Hz, 1H), 4.32 – 4.25 (m, 1H), 4.27 – 4.20 (m, 1H), 2.58 (t, J = 6.4 Hz, 1H), 2.30 (qd, J = 8.4, 7.7, 2.3 Hz, 3H), 2.11 (td, J = 13.5, 4.3 Hz, 1H), 1.99 – 1.84 (m, 6H), 1.80 – 1.72 (m, 4H), 1.70 (s, 3H);

<sup>13</sup>C NMR (151 MHz, Chloroform-*d*): δ 144.63, 111.32, 85.22, 82.34, 68.73, 66.76 (d, J = 7.9 Hz), 64.87, 38.44, 33.34 (d, J = 9.0 Hz), 28.54 (d, J = 7.5 Hz), 27.27 (d, J = 15.5 Hz), 22.97, 22.20, 21.24, 14.49;

<sup>31</sup>P NMR (162 MHz, Chloroform-*d*): δ 101.32;

 $[\alpha]_{D}^{20} = -20 \ (c \ 2.0, \ CHCl_3);$ 

**HRMS (ESI-TOF, m/z):** Calcd for  $[C_{15}H_{23}O_2PS_2+H]^+$  331.0955; Found 331.0963.



Prepared according to General Procedure 1 using 2,2,3,3,4,4,4-heptafluorobutan-1-ol (0.40 g, 2.0 mmol). Purification using a gradient of 10-20% DCM in hexanes afforded  $\Psi$ -6 (0.249 g, 0.56 mmol, 28% yield).

Physical State: Colorless Oil;

 $\mathbf{R}_{f} = 0.55 \ (50\% \text{ DCM/Hexane});$ 

<sup>1</sup>**H NMR (400 MHz, Chloroform-***d***):** δ 5.01 (d, J = 2.3 Hz, 1H), 4.86 (s, 1H), 4.64 (dd, J = 25.1, 12.6 Hz, 1H), 4.57 – 4.44 (m, 2H), 2.60 (s, 1H), 2.31 (d, J = 13.6 Hz, 1H), 2.14 (td, J = 13.3, 4.0 Hz, 1H), 2.04 – 1.65 (m, 10H);

<sup>13</sup>C NMR (151 MHz, Chloroform-*d*):144.78, 120.53, 118.85, 118.62, 118.40, 116.94, 116.72, 116.50, 115.79, 115.58, 115.53, 115.38, 115.32, 115.04, 114.82, 114.59, 114.08, 114.02, 113.88, 113.82, 113.67, 113.62, 112.37, 112.17, 112.11, 111.96, 110.89, 110.67, 110.41, 109.13, 108.91, 108.68, 108.65, 108.43, 107.37, 107.15, 106.89, 106.67, 86.06, 66.40, 63.13, 63.09, 62.94, 62.90, 62.76, 62.71, 39.01, 33.83, 33.77, 27.75, 27.65, 23.56, 22.54, 21.88;

<sup>19</sup>**F NMR (376 MHz, Chloroform-***d*): δ-81.90 (t, J = 9.2 Hz), -121.62 (dq, J = 11.3, 7.5, 5.7 Hz), -128.39 (d, J = 3.6 Hz);

<sup>31</sup>**P NMR (162 MHz, Chloroform-***d*): δ 103.51;

**HRMS (ESI-TOF, m/z):** Calcd for  $[C_{14}H_{18}F_7O_2PS_2+H]^+$  447.0452; Found 447.0458.

Ψ-7



Prepared according to General Procedure 1 using SI-51 (0.90 g, 3.0 mmol). Purification using 25% EtOAc in hexanes afforded  $\Psi$ -7 (1.0 g, 1.82 mmol, 61% yield).

Physical State: colorless solid;

 $R_f = 0.28$  (30% EtOAc/Hexane);

<sup>1</sup>**H NMR (400 MHz, Chloroform-***d***):** 8.17 – 8.11 (m, 1H), 7.90 – 7.84 (m, 1H), 7.80 – 7.72 (m, 2H), 5.25 (s, 1H), 4.99 (s, 1H), 4.86 (s, 1H), 4.45 – 4.37 (m, 1H), 4.13 – 4.10 (m, 2H), 3.10 (q, J = 6.8 Hz, 2H), 2.58 (s, 1H), 2.27 (d, J = 13.5 Hz, 1H), 2.15 – 2.05 (m, 1H), 1.90 (td, J = 21.4, 18.7, 14.3 Hz, 4H), 1.78 (s, 3H), 1.69 (d, J = 2.0 Hz, 3H), 1.62 (d, J = 6.5 Hz, 2H), 1.53 (d, J = 7.0 Hz, 2H), 1.33 (s, 4H);

<sup>13</sup>C NMR (151 MHz, Chloroform-*d*):148.22, 145.25, 133.84, 133.73, 132.95, 131.21, 125.54, 111.83, 85.79, 68.72, 68.67, 65.41, 43.85, 39.00, 33.92, 33.86, 29.99, 29.95, 29.58, 27.91, 27.80, 26.08, 25.13, 23.52, 22.79, 21.80;

<sup>31</sup>P NMR (162 MHz, Chloroform-d): δ 100.65;

HRMS (ESI-TOF, m/z): Calcd for [C<sub>22</sub>H<sub>33</sub>N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>6</sub>PS<sub>3</sub>+H]<sup>+</sup> 549.1317; Found 549.1326.

Me P S S S S

Prepared according to General Procedure 1 using SI-50 (1.35 g, 4.67 mmol). Purification using 100% DCM afforded  $\Psi$ -8 (1.54 g, 3.15 mmol, 68% yield).

Physical State: Light Yellow Oil;

 $\mathbf{R}_{f} = 0.50 \ (100\% \ \text{DCM});$ 

<sup>1</sup>**H NMR (600 MHz, Chloroform-***d***):** δ 8.45 (ddd, J = 4.9, 1.9, 0.9 Hz, 1H), 7.71 (dt, J = 8.1, 1.1 Hz, 1H), 7.64 (td, J = 7.7, 1.8 Hz, 1H), 7.08 (ddd, J = 7.4, 4.8, 1.1 Hz, 1H), 4.98 (q, J = 1.4 Hz, 1H), 4.87 – 4.84 (m, 1H), 4.42 (dt, J = 12.8, 3.3 Hz, 1H), 4.19 – 4.07 (m, 2H), 2.79 (t, J = 7.3 Hz, 2H), 2.57 (s, 1H), 2.27 (ddt, J = 15.0, 3.2, 1.7 Hz, 1H), 2.09 (td, J = 13.5, 4.2 Hz, 1H), 1.98 – 1.91 (m, 1H), 1.91 – 1.82 (m, 2H), 1.79 – 1.73 (m, 4H), 1.73 – 1.63 (m, 7H), 1.42 (pd, J = 7.1, 3.0 Hz, 2H), 1.41 – 1.31 (m, 2H);

<sup>13</sup>C NMR (151 MHz, Chloroform-*d*): δ 160.67, 149.73, 145.22, 137.10, 120.67, 119.72, 111.86, 85.71, 68.86 (d, J = 8.2 Hz), 65.35, 38.96 (d, J = 14.8 Hz), 33.90 (d, J = 8.8 Hz), 30.06 (d, J = 6.8 Hz), 28.86, 28.07, 27.91, 27.81, 25.30, 23.54, 22.81, 21.80;

<sup>31</sup>P NMR (162 MHz, Chloroform-*d*): δ 101.01;

**HRMS (ESI-TOF, m/z):** Calcd for  $[C_{21}H_{32}NO_2PS_4+H]^+$  490.1132; Found 490.1140.



Prepared according to General Procedure 1 using SI-54 (1.0 g, 4.67 mmol). Purification using a gradient of 20-30% EtOAc in hexanes afforded  $\Psi$ -9 (0.80 g, 1.3 mmol, 28% yield).

Physical State: White foam;

 $\mathbf{R}_f = 0.52 (50\% \text{ EtOAc/hexanes})$ 

<sup>1</sup>**H NMR (400 MHz, Chloroform-***d***):** δ 8.41 (s, 1H), 7.54 (s, 1H), 6.39 (dd, J = 8.9, 5.5 Hz, 1H), 5.34 (dd, J = 11.7, 5.7 Hz, 1H), 5.07 (s, 1H), 4.92 (s, 1H), 4.47 (d, J = 12.7 Hz, 1H), 4.26 (s, 1H), 3.97 – 3.85 (m, 2H), 2.59 (s, 1H), 2.49 (dd, J = 13.8, 5.5 Hz, 1H), 2.33 (d, J = 13.5 Hz, 1H), 2.23 – 2.07 (m, 2H), 2.04 – 1.86 (m, 6H), 1.80 (s, 3H), 1.77 – 1.60 (m, 4H), 0.92 (t, J = 2.7 Hz, 9H), 0.13 (t, J = 2.8 Hz, 6H);

<sup>13</sup>C NMR (151 MHz, Chloroform-*d*): δ 163.73, 150.33, 144.77, 135.24, 112.34, 111.34, 86.26, 86.22, 86.17, 86.04, 84.78, 79.88, 79.83, 66.05, 63.55, 39.72, 39.69, 38.96, 33.81, 33.74, 28.32, 27.90, 27.80, 26.09, 23.50, 22.77, 21.89, 18.48, 12.62, -5.23, -5.25, -5.42;

<sup>31</sup>P NMR (162 MHz, Chloroform-*d*): δ 101.18.

**HRMS (ESI-TOF, m/z):** Calcd for  $[C_{26}H_{43}N_2O_6PS_2Si+H]^+$  603.2148; Found 603.2147.

$$\Psi$$
-10  
 $Me + \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} O_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} O_{$ 

Prepared according to General Procedure 1 using SI-56 (0.368 g, 1.0 mmol). Purification using a gradient of 20-50% EtOAc in hexanes afforded  $\Psi$ -10 (0.382 g, 0.62 mmol, 62% yield).

Physical State: dark orange foam;

 $\mathbf{R}_{f} = 0.58$  (50% EtOAc/Hexane);

<sup>1</sup>**H NMR** (400 MHz, Chloroform-*d*): δ 8.06 – 7.68 (m, 6H), 6.76 (d, J = 8.6 Hz, 2H), 6.19 (s, 1H), 5.00 (s, 1H), 4.87 (s, 1H), 4.44 (d, J = 12.9 Hz, 1H), 4.17 (t, J = 7.9 Hz, 2H), 3.48 (d, J = 6.9 Hz, 3H), 3.11 (p, J = 1.9 Hz, 6H), 2.57 (s, 1H), 2.29 (s, 1H), 2.16 – 2.03 (m, 1H), 1.92 (dd, J = 25.4, 14.3 Hz, 2H), 1.71 (dd, J = 32.2, 18.3 Hz, 10H), 1.45 (s, 5H);

<sup>13</sup>C NMR (151 MHz, Chloroform-*d*): δ 167.17, 154.94, 152.98, 145.23, 143.73, 134.92, 127.90, 125.67, 122.34, 111.89, 111.73, 85.78, 68.90, 68.85, 65.38, 40.50, 40.13, 39.02, 33.93, 33.88, 30.11, 30.07, 29.73, 27.93, 27.82, 26.58, 25.39, 23.54, 22.81, 21.81;

<sup>31</sup>**P NMR (162 MHz, Chloroform-***d*): δ 101.78;

**HRMS (ESI-TOF, m/z):** Calcd for  $[C_{31}H_{43}N_4O_3PS_2+H]^+$  615.2592; Found 615.2593.

Ψ-11



Prepared according to General Procedure 1 using SI-57 (0.292 g, 1.0 mmol). Purification using a gradient of 75-100% EtOAc in hexanes afforded  $\Psi$ -11 (0.35 g, 0.65 mmol, 65% yield).

Physical State: Amourphous solid;

 $R_f = 0.32 (100\% \text{ EtOAc});$ 

<sup>1</sup>**H NMR (400 MHz, Chloroform-***d***):** δ 8.52 (s, 1H), 7.94 (d, J = 9.0 Hz, 1H), 7.17 (d, J = 8.9 Hz, 1H), 6.24 (s, 1H), 4.97 (s, 1H), 4.84 (s, 1H), 4.40 (d, J = 12.8 Hz, 1H), 4.13 – 4.09 (m, 2H), 3.40 (q, J = 7.0 Hz, 2H), 2.55 (s, 1H), 2.25 (d, J = 13.6 Hz, 1H), 2.04 (s, 3H), 1.87 (d, J = 15.8 Hz, 6H), 1.71 (d, J = 34.1 Hz, 8H), 1.59 (s, 2H), 1.39 (d, J = 6.5 Hz, 4H) ;

<sup>13</sup>C NMR (151 MHz, Chloroform-*d*): δ 165.64, 158.20, 152.28, 148.76, 147.96, 146.17, 145.22, 137.73, 135.23, 123.65, 121.76, 111.88, 107.05, 85.78, 68.90, 68.84, 65.38, 39.97, 39.02, 33.93, 33.87, 30.09, 30.05, 29.73, 27.92, 27.82, 26.54, 25.48, 25.35, 23.54, 22.81, 21.81, 16.36;

<sup>31</sup>**P NMR (162 MHz, Chloroform-***d*): δ 101.18;

**HRMS (ESI-TOF, m/z):** Calcd for  $[C_{25}H_{39}N_4O_3PS_2+H]^+$  539.2279; Found 539.2280.

Ψ-12



Prepared according to General Procedure 1 using 5-Norbornene-2-methanol (1.24 g, 10 mmol) with the following modifications : The crude reaction was diluted with hexanes (50 mL) then passed through a plug of SiO<sub>2</sub> and concentrated to dryness, the solvent was swapped to methanol and upon standing overnight the product crystalized. Isolation by filtration to afford  $\Psi$ -12 (1.56g, 4.2 mmol, 42% yield) as a mixture of endo and exo isomers.

#### Physical State: Crystaline solid;

<sup>1</sup>**H NMR (400 MHz, Chloroform-***d***):** δ 6.16 (dt, J = 6.2, 3.2 Hz, 2H), 6.09 (s, 2H), 6.02 – 5.96 (m, 2H), 5.01 (s, 3H), 4.89 (s, 3H), 4.52 – 4.42 (m, 3H), 4.05 – 3.91 (m, 1H), 3.88 (d, J = 7.4 Hz, 1H), 3.72 (dt, J = 35.2, 11.4 Hz, 2H), 2.90 (d, J = 8.7 Hz, 2H), 2.83 (s, 2H), 2.74 (s, 1H), 2.59 (s, 3H), 2.47 (s, 2H), 2.29 (d, J = 13.4 Hz, 3H), 2.15 (s, 1H), 2.11 (d, J = 13.5 Hz, 2H), 1.98 (s, 1H), 1.96 – 1.83 (m, 7H), 1.54 (s, 3H), 1.46 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 2H), 1.33 (d, J = 9.2 Hz, 2H), 1.26 (d, J = 8.3 Hz, 3H), 1.18 (d, J = 15.4 Hz, 1H), 0.54 (t, J = 12.2 Hz, 2H).

<sup>13</sup>C NMR (151 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ 144.67, 144.65, 144.64, 144.61, 137.32, 137.16, 136.64, 136.59, 135.73, 135.68, 131.75, 131.63, 111.28, 85.02, 84.99, 84.96, 84.92, 72.36, 72.30, 72.25, 72.19, 71.65, 71.60, 71.54, 64.83, 64.81, 64.77, 64.74, 48.85, 44.54, 44.50, 43.36, 43.31, 43.09, 42.97, 41.85, 41.81, 41.19, 41.15, 38.99, 38.95, 38.88, 38.84, 38.82, 38.77, 38.72, 38.48, 38.46, 33.40, 33.39, 33.36, 33.34, 33.33, 33.31, 28.90, 28.26, 28.16, 27.34, 27.32, 27.31, 27.24, 27.22, 27.20, 22.99, 22.19, 22.17, 21.26, 21.24.

<sup>31</sup>P NMR (162 MHz, Acetone): δ 101.15, 100.93, 100.64, 100.55;

**HRMS (ESI-TOF, m/z):** Calcd for  $[C_{18}H_{27}O_2PS_2+H]^+$  371.1268; Found 371.1273.



Prepared according to General Procedure 1 using SI-55 (0.377 g, 1.0 mmol). Purification using a gradient of 70-100% EtOAc in hexanes afforded  $\Psi$ -13 (0.35 g, 0.56 mmol, 56% yield).

Physical State: White foam;

 $\mathbf{R}_{f} = 0.54 \ (100\% \ \text{EtOAc});$ 

<sup>1</sup>**H NMR (600 MHz, Chloroform-***d***):** δ 7.71 (d, J = 7.6 Hz, 1H), 6.40 (t, J = 7.8 Hz, 1H), 5.81 (d, J = 7.6 Hz, 1H), 5.32 (dtd, J = 13.9, 10.2, 7.4 Hz, 1H), 5.02 (s, 1H), 4.86 (d, J = 1.9 Hz, 1H), 4.53 (dt, J = 12.8, 3.5 Hz, 1H), 4.11 (dd, J = 7.3, 2.3 Hz, 1H), 3.98 (dd, J = 11.9, 2.2 Hz, 1H), 3.86 (dd, J = 11.9, 2.2 Hz, 1H), 2.57 (d, J = 6.0 Hz, 1H), 2.30 (dd, J = 13.6, 3.0 Hz, 1H), 2.14 (td, J = 13.5, 4.2 Hz, 1H), 1.97 (ddt, J = 17.1, 4.4, 2.0 Hz, 1H), 1.90 (dt, J = 13.2, 3.4 Hz, 1H), 1.84 (td, J = 13.0, 5.6 Hz, 1H), 1.71 (d, J = 47.0 Hz, 7H), 0.92 (s, 9H), 0.12 (d, J = 11.8 Hz, 6H);

<sup>13</sup>C NMR (151 MHz, Chloroform-*d*): δ 166.38, 164.46, 154.10, 143.92, 140.83, 122.42, 120.69, 118.95, 111.60, 95.04, 85.28, 83.80, 83.59, 83.38, 79.55, 79.52, 79.49, 71.97, 71.92, 71.81, 71.76, 71.65, 71.60, 66.44, 59.60, 38.35, 33.16, 33.10, 27.12, 27.02, 25.44, 22.95, 21.97, 21.44, 17.87, -5.76, -5.97;

<sup>19</sup>F NMR (**376** MHz, Chloroform-*d*): δ -115.77;

<sup>31</sup>P NMR (162 MHz, Chloroform-d): δ 103.96;

**HRMS (ESI-TOF, m/z):** Calcd for  $[C_{25}H_{40}F_2N_3O_5PS_2Si+H]^+$  624.1963; Found 624.1965.

Ψ-(*R*p)-14



Prepared according to **General Procedure 1** using **phenol** (0.94 g, 10 mmol) with the following modifications: After the standard workup, the crude reaction was solvent swapped to methanol (50 mL) and upon standing for several hours the product crystalized. Isolation by filtration afforded  $\Psi$ -(*R*p)-14 (2.21 g, 6.5 mmol, 65% yield).

Physical State: crystalline colorless solid;

<sup>1</sup>**H NMR (600 MHz, Chloroform-***d***):** δ 7.34 (t, *J* = 7.9 Hz, 2H), 7.20 (td, *J* = 7.4, 1.4 Hz, 1H), 7.20 – 7.13 (m, 2H), 4.90 (q, *J* = 1.5 Hz, 1H), 4.76 (d, *J* = 1.9 Hz, 1H), 4.37 (dt, *J* = 12.8, 3.3 Hz, 1H), 2.56 (t, *J* = 6.2 Hz, 1H), 2.32 – 2.25 (m, 1H), 2.05 (td, *J* = 13.7, 13.0, 4.7 Hz, 1H), 1.96 – 1.84 (m, 3H), 1.78 – 1.69 (m, 7H);

<sup>13</sup>C NMR (151 MHz, Chloroform-*d*): δ 150.82, 150.74, 144.75, 129.64, 129.63, 125.67, 125.66, 121.71, 121.68, 111.86, 86.57, 86.55, 65.80, 38.85, 33.83, 33.78, 27.74, 27.64, 23.41, 22.68, 21.87;

<sup>31</sup>P NMR (162 MHz, Chloroform-*d*): δ 96.40;

**HRMS (ESI-TOF, m/z):** Calcd for  $[C_{16}H_{21}O_2PS_2+H]^+$  341.0799; Found 341.0800.

Ψ-(*S*p)-14



Prepared according to **General Procedure 1** using **phenol** (0.94 g, 10 mmol) with the following modifications: Using (+)- $\Psi$  (CAS Number : 2245335-71-9), after the standard workup, the crude reaction was solvent swapped to methanol (50 mL) and upon standing for several hours the product crystalized. Isolation by filtration afforded  $\Psi$ -(*S***p**)-14 (2.38 g, 7.0 mmol, 70% yield).

The product had identical characterization data to  $\Psi$ -(*R*p)-14.



To a solution of (–)- $\Psi$  (0.447 g, 1.0 mmol, 1 equiv.) in THF (10 mL) was added phenylethynylmagnesium bromide (2.0 mL, 2.0 mmol, 2.0 equiv., 1.0 m solution, THF). After stirring for 2 hours the reaction was diluted with 1 :1 Et<sub>2</sub>O/hexanes (50 mL) and washed consecutively with water, KH<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>4</sub> (saturated aqueous) and brine. The organic layer was dried over MgSO<sub>4</sub>, filtered and concentrated to a yellow oil. Purification in 10% Et<sub>2</sub>O in hexanes afforded **Ψ-15** (0.25 g, 0.72 mmol, 72% yield).

Physical State: yellow amorphous solid;

 $\mathbf{R}_{f} = 0.4 \ (10\% \ \text{Et}_{2} \text{O/hexanes});$ 

<sup>1</sup>**H NMR (600 MHz, Chloroform-***d***):** δ 7.54 (dd, *J* = 7.1, 2.0 Hz, 2H), 7.44 (td, *J* = 7.4, 1.9 Hz, 1H), 7.37 (td, *J* = 7.8, 2.0 Hz, 2H), 5.02 – 4.97 (m, 1H), 4.91 (s, 1H), 4.56 (ddt, *J* = 12.8, 6.1, 2.8 Hz, 1H), 2.61 (d, *J* = 6.4 Hz, 1H), 2.41 – 2.35 (m, 1H), 2.19 – 2.08 (m, 1H), 1.97 (td, *J* = 11.0, 9.3, 3.4 Hz, 3H), 1.83 – 1.75 (m, 1H), 1.80 (s, 3H), 1.71 (s, 1H);

<sup>13</sup>C NMR (151 MHz, Chloroform-*d*): δ 145.29, 132.59, 132.57, 130.84, 128.64, 119.88, 119.85, 111.85, 101.85, 101.60, 86.73, 86.62, 85.33, 64.71, 38.98, 33.99, 33.93, 28.36, 28.26, 23.63, 22.84, 22.54;

<sup>31</sup>P NMR (162 MHz, Chloroform-d): δ 61.01;

HRMS (ESI-TOF, m/z): Calcd for [C<sub>18</sub>H<sub>21</sub>OPS<sub>2</sub>+H]<sup>+</sup> 349.0850; Found 349.0844.

Ψ-16



Prepared according to **General Procedure 1** using 2-(2-((6-chlorohexyl)oxy)ethoxy)ethan-1-ol (0.224 g, 1.00 mmol). Purified in 10-20% EtOAc in hexanes (0.146 g, 0.31 mmol, 31% yield).

#### Physical State: Yellow Oil

 $\mathbf{R}_{f} = 0.33$  (15% EtOAc/Hexanes)

<sup>1</sup>**H** NMR (600 MHz, Chloroform-*d*):  $\delta$  4.97 (q, J = 1.5 Hz, 1H), 4.85 (d, J = 2.0 Hz, 1H), 4.43 (dt, J = 12.7, 3.2 Hz, 1H), 4.33 (dddd, J = 13.3, 11.4, 6.2, 4.0 Hz, 1H), 4.25 (dddd, J = 12.6, 11.4, 5.5, 3.9 Hz, 1H), 3.76 – 3.66 (m, 2H), 3.67 – 3.58 (m, 2H), 3.56 (dd, J = 5.5, 4.2 Hz, 2H), 3.52 (t, J = 6.7 Hz, 2H), 3.45 (t, J = 6.7 Hz, 2H), 2.59 – 2.54 (m, 1H), 2.26 (ddq, J = 13.5, 3.4, 1.7 Hz, 1H), 2.08 (td, J = 13.5, 4.3 Hz, 1H), 1.93 (ddt, J = 14.9, 4.4, 2.2 Hz, 1H), 1.92 – 1.82 (m, 2H), 1.80 – 1.71 (m, 6H), 1.68 (s, 3H), 1.62 – 1.52 (m, 2H), 1.48 – 1.40 (m, 2H), 1.42 – 1.32 (m, 2H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (151 MHz, Chloroform-*d*):  $\delta$  145.18, 111.85, 85.76, 71.38, 70.72, 70.19, 70.09, 70.05, 67.63, 67.58, 65.18, 45.17, 38.97, 33.83, 33.77, 32.63, 29.56, 27.86, 27.76, 26.79, 25.52, 23.47, 22.78, 21.78;

<sup>31</sup>P NMR (162 MHz, Chloroform-*d*): δ 102.07; HRMS (ESI-TOF, m/z): Calcd for [C<sub>20</sub>H<sub>36</sub>ClO<sub>4</sub>PS<sub>2</sub>+H]<sup>+</sup> 471.1559; Found 471.1553


Prepared according to **General Procedure 1** using **SI-58** (1.4 g, 5.24 mmol). Purified in 30-50% EtOAc in hexanes (2.3 g, 4.48 mmol, 85% yield).

Physical State: white foam;

 $\mathbf{R}_{f} = 0.66 \ (60\% \ \text{EtOAc/Hexanes});$ 

<sup>1</sup>**H NMR (600 MHz, Chloroform-***d***):**  $\delta$  8.34 (s, 1H), 7.36 (q, J = 1.3 Hz, 1H), 6.35 (dd, J = 8.6, 5.7 Hz, 1H), 5.26 (ddt, J = 11.5, 6.7, 2.4 Hz, 1H), 5.06 (q, J = 1.4 Hz, 1H), 4.92 – 4.88 (m, 1H), 4.45 (dt, J = 12.7, 3.2 Hz, 1H), 4.26 (q, J = 3.0 Hz, 1H), 3.80 – 3.70 (m, 2H), 2.60 (t, J = 6.1 Hz, 1H), 2.49 (ddd, J = 14.2, 5.7, 2.1 Hz, 1H), 2.35 – 2.23 (m, 2H), 2.13 (td, J = 13.5, 4.2 Hz, 1H), 1.98 – 1.85 (m, 6H), 1.80 (s, 3H), 1.80 – 1.72 (m, 1H), 1.70 (s, 3H);

<sup>13</sup>C NMR (151 MHz, Chloroform-*d*): δ 162.71, 149.64, 144.28, 134.36, 111.67, 111.36, 85.74, 83.88, 82.59, 82.55, 77.86, 77.81, 65.68, 51.75, 38.36, 38.16, 38.13, 33.27, 33.21, 27.31, 27.21, 22.93, 22.20, 21.32, 12.26;

<sup>31</sup>P NMR (162 MHz, Chloroform-*d*): δ 102.22 ;

HRMS (ESI-TOF, m/z): Calcd for [C<sub>20</sub>H<sub>28</sub>N<sub>5</sub>O<sub>5</sub>PS<sub>2</sub>+H]<sup>+</sup> 514.1348; Found 514.1350

Ψ-17



Prepared according to **General Procedure 1** using **SI-59** (1.4 g, 5.00 mmol). Purified in 50% EtOAc in hexanes (2.13 g, 4.05 mmol, 83% yield).

Physical State: white foam;

 $\mathbf{R}_{f} = 0.27$  (50% EtOAc/Hexanes);

<sup>1</sup>**H NMR (600 MHz, Chloroform-***d***):**  $\delta$  8.30 (s, 1H), 7.44 (q, J = 1.2 Hz, 1H), 6.30 (dd, J = 8.4, 5.7 Hz, 1H), 4.97 (q, J = 1.5 Hz, 1H), 4.83 (dd, J = 2.1, 1.1 Hz, 1H), 4.51 (ddd, J = 12.5, 11.5, 3.4 Hz, 1H), 4.43 (ddd, J = 12.8, 3.6, 2.5 Hz, 1H), 4.40 – 4.30 (m, 2H), 4.28 – 4.23 (m, 1H), 4.23 – 4.18 (m, 1H), 4.21 – 4.09 (m, 1H), 2.60 (s, 1H), 2.51 – 2.44 (m, 2H), 2.28 (ddt, J = 13.4, 3.2, 1.7 Hz, 1H), 2.08 (td, J = 13.4, 4.2 Hz, 1H), 2.04 (s, 1H), 2.01 – 1.93 (m, 5H), 1.95 – 1.90 (m, 1H), 1.92 – 1.85 (m, 1H), 1.82 – 1.72 (m, 7H);

<sup>13</sup>C NMR (151 MHz, Chloroform-*d*): δ 163.60, 150.04, 144.52, 134.86, 111.34, 110.95, 85.99, 84.42, 82.32, 82.27, 78.52, 78.01, 75.10, 67.08, 67.02, 65.50, 56.49, 38.34, 36.93, 33.31, 33.26, 27.27, 27.17, 22.85, 22.14, 21.23, 12.31;

<sup>31</sup>P NMR (162 MHz, Chloroform-*d*): δ 102.69;

HRMS (ESI-TOF, m/z): Calcd for [C<sub>23</sub>H<sub>31</sub>N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>6</sub>PS<sub>2</sub>+H]<sup>+</sup> 527.1439; Found 527.1431

Ψ-18



Prepared according to **General Procedure 1** using **SI-60** (0.60 g, 2.26 mmol). Purified in 50% EtOAc in hexanes (0.769 g, 1.50 mmol, 66% yield).

Physical State: yellow oil;

 $R_f = 0.42$  (50% EtOAc/hexanes);

<sup>1</sup>H NMR (600 MHz, Chloroform-*d*): δ 6.50 (s, 2H), 5.25 (s, 2H), 4.99 (s, 1H), 4.90 – 4.82 (m, 1H), 4.41 (dt, J = 12.8, 3.3 Hz, 1H), 4.12 (m, 2H), 3.46 (t, J = 7.3 Hz, 2H), 2.83 (s, 2H), 2.60 – 2.53 (m, 1H), 2.27 (m, 1H), 2.09 (td, J = 13.5, 4.3 Hz, 1H), 1.94 (m, 1H), 1.90 – 1.81 (m, 2H), 1.80 – 1.70 (m, 4H), 1.70 – 1.61 (m, 5H), 1.55 (m, 2H), 1.40 – 1.33 (m, 2H), 1.29 (m, 2H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (151 MHz, Chloroform-*d*): δ 176.4, 145.2, 136.7, 111.9, 85.7, 81.0, 68.9, 68.8, 65.3, 47.5, 39.0, 38.9, 33.9, 33.0, 30.0, 27.9, 27.8, 27.6, 26.3, 25.2, 23.5, 22.8, 21.8; <sup>31</sup>P NMR (162 MHz, Chloroform-*d*): δ 101.03; HRMS (ESI-TOF, m/z): Calcd for  $[C_{24}H_{34}NO_5PS_2+H]^+$  512.1694; Found 512.1694

Ψ-19

## NMR Spectra















Compound Ψ-3 <sup>13</sup>C NMR







Compound Ψ-5<sup>13</sup>C NMR



220 210 200 190 180 170 160 150 140 130 120 110 100 90 80 70 60 50 40 30 20 10 0 f1(ppm)

Compound Ψ-5<sup>31</sup>P NMR

Compound Ψ-6 <sup>1</sup>H NMR



220 210 200 190 180 170 160 150 140 130 120 110 100 90 80 70 60 50 40 30 20 10 0 f1(ppm)

## Compound Ψ-6<sup>19</sup>F NMR



00 190 180 170 160 150 140 130 120 110 100 90 80 70 60 50 40 30 20 10 0 -10 -20 -30 -40 -5 f1 (ppm)











Compound Ψ-8 <sup>31</sup>P NMR

00 190 180 170 160 150 140 130 120 110 100 90 80 70 60 50 40 30 20 10 0 -10 -20 -30 -40 -5 f1 (ppm)



Compound Ψ-9<sup>13</sup>C NMR



220 210 200 190 180 170 160 150 140 130 120 110 100 90 80 70 60 50 40 30 20 10 0 f1 (ppm) Compound Ψ-9<sup>31</sup>P NMR









## Compound **V-11** <sup>31</sup>P NMR







Compound Ψ-13 <sup>13</sup>C NMR



Compound Ψ-13 <sup>19</sup>F NMR



Compound Ψ-13 <sup>31</sup>P NMR

T													_												-
00	190	180	170	160	150	140	130	120	110	100	90	80 f1 (p	70 pm)	60	50	40	30	20	10	0	-10	-20	-30	-40	-5

Compound Ψ-(*R*<sub>P</sub>)-14 <sup>1</sup>H NMR





# Compound Ψ-(*R*<sub>P</sub>)-14 <sup>13</sup>C NMR



Compound Ψ-(*R*<sub>P</sub>)-14 <sup>31</sup>P NMR







12.5 12.0 11.5 11.0 10.5 10.0 9.5 9.0 8.5 8.0 7.5 7.0 6.5 6.0 5.5 5.0 4.5 4.0 3.5 3.0 2.5 2.0 1.5 1.0 0.5 0.0 -0.5 -1. f1(ppm)

## Compound **V-16**<sup>13</sup>C NMR



Compound **V-16**<sup>31</sup>P NMR













Compound **V-18**<sup>31</sup>P NMR



Compound **Y-19** <sup>1</sup>H NMR



Compound Ψ-19<sup>13</sup>C NMR



# **SENDR Protocol**

#### **General Procedure 2: SENDR in Microcentrifuge Tubes**

#### Resin Preparation and DNA Loading

- Cut the tip off of a 1 mL pipette tip and transfer resin (100 μL resin equilibrate in 1:1 PBS:MeCN, ~25 mg dry resin) with an adjustable volume pipette—Phenomenex Strata-XAL—into a 2 mL microcentrifuge tube.
- 2. Wash Resin with 500-1000 µL PBS.
  - a. Spin down the resin slurry and aspirate the resin bed (by sucking off the supernatant with a glass pipet).
- 3. Add DNA (up to 50 nmol) in 100-500 µL PBS, vortex and incubate at room temp for 5-15 min.

#### Resin Washing and Drying

- 4. Wash loaded resin twice with DMA (500  $\mu$ L)
- 5. Wash loaded resin three times with dry THF (500  $\mu$ L)
- Dry the resin for >2h in a speed vac or stuff a ball of paper in the top (so no resin can escape) and dry under vacuum for >2 h.
- 7. Resin Washing and Drying

#### Running the Reaction

- 8. Dissolve  $\Psi$ -Module in dry MeCN (150 mM, 250  $\mu$ M), and add it to the dry resin tube.
- 9. Add DBU (450 mM total,  $18 \mu$ L) to the reaction tube.
- 10. Vortex for 30 seconds and incubate for 60 minutes at room temperature or 37 °C.

#### Working up Reaction

- 11. Aspirate reaction mixture and discard.
- 12. Wash reaction with MeCN or DMA (500  $\mu$ L)
- 13. Wash with PBS or 1:1 PBS:MeCN (500  $\mu$ L)

#### Elute DNA

- 14. Add 300 uL Elute Buffer (1 M NaClO<sub>4</sub>, 20% MeOH, 40 mM tris, pH 8.5) to resin bed.
- 15. Vortex for 30 seconds and agitate for 5-10 minutes.
- 16. Carefully collect the elution butter with micropipette without sucking up any resin.
- 17. Desalt with your preferred method.

*Note:* Strata-XAL if designed for large analytes. Strata-XAL is a 100  $\mu$ m particle a with 300 Å pore size.

Note: "Washing the resin" refers to adding 500 to 1000  $\mu$ L of solvent to the resin, vortexing (30 sec), spinning the resin down, and removing the supernatant with a pipette.

Note: Dry acetonitrile should be used for best reaction conversion.

*Note*: The elute buffer which contains 1 M NaClO<sub>4</sub>, 20% MeOH, 40 mM tris, pH 8.5 should be accessed with high quality NaClO, Acros Orgaines is our preferred supplier (part number AC197122500)

## **Troubleshooting and Frequently Asked Questions**

1. When I try to pellet my resin, it is too flocculant and is still in solution after taking out of the centrifuge.

Allow the resin tube to sit for 5-10 minutes undisturbed to allow the resin to settle to the bottom of the tube.

## 2. How do I remove the supernatant with a pipette?

Gently suck off the supernatant while trying to leave the resin bed undisturbed. Keeping the pipette tip a few millimeters off the top of the resin bed usually helps with this, also allowing a small amount of liquid to remain on the top of the resin bed is alright.

### 3. My $\Psi$ -Module is not dissolving, what do I do?

To get the  $\Psi$ -Module dissolved you may need to sonicate the solution for up to 10 minutes. Usually this is sufficient to dissolve all of the PSI-module. If this does not work, transfer heterogeneous PSI-module solution to the reaction solution and add the DBU. Usually upon addition of DBU the rest of the PSI-module will dissolve.

*4. My reaction resulting in low conversions, what should I do.* Try to heat the reaction to 37 °C.

## **General Procedure 3: SENDR in Fritted Cartridges (Kit Format)**

### **Resin Preparation**

- 1. Break off bottom of preloaded (with 100  $\mu$ L Strata-XAL resin in 1:1 PBS:MeCN) Biorad column. Or transfer equilibrated resin (100  $\mu$ L) to the column as above.
- 2. Allow packing solution to flow through into waste.
- 3. Add PBS (500  $\mu$ L) and allow to flow through into waste.



## DNA Loading:

- Cap the bottom spout and add DNA (up to 50 nmol in 100-500 μL PBS) to column.
- 5. Cap the top, vortex and agitate for 5-15 min.

## Resin Washing and Drying

- 6. Wash loaded resin twice with DMA (500  $\mu$ L) and allow to flow to waste.
- 7. Wash loaded resin three times with dry THF (500  $\mu$ L) and allow to flow to waste.
- Replace the top cap but *not the bottom* and dry the resin for >2h in under vacuum.



Running the Reaction

- 9. Add a NEW, CLEAN, and DRY cap to the bottom of the column.
- 10. Dissolve  $\Psi$ -Module in dry MeCN (300 mM, 125  $\mu$ L) or add the supplied PSI-Module solution to dry resin tube.
- 11. Add DBU in dry MeCN (300 mM, 125  $\mu$ L) or add the supplied DBU solution to resin tube.
- 12. Replace both caps, vortex for 30 seconds, and incubate for 60 minutes at 37 °C.

## Working up Reaction

- 13. Remove both caps and allow the reaction mixture to drain to waste.
- 14. Wash reaction with MeCN or DMA (500  $\mu$ L) allow to drain to waste
- 15. Wash with PBS or 1:1 PBS:MeCN (500  $\mu$ L) allow to drain to waste

## Elute DNA

- Replace bottom cap. Add 300 uL Elute Buffer (1 M NaClO<sub>4</sub>, 20% MeOH, 40 mM tris, pH 8.5) to resin bed and then replace top cap.
- 17. Vortex for 30 seconds and agitate for 5-10minutes.
- Remove both caps and allow elute buffer to drain into a collection tube.
- 19. Desalt with your preferred method.






Note: Allow flow throughs to occur under gravity not under vacuum.

Note: Agitating the resin can be done with an end over end tumbler or an orbital shaker.

*Note:* Drying the resin under vacuum can be performed using a lyophilizer, high vac, or speed vac (if the columns fit).

#### **Troubleshooting and Frequently Asked Questions**

## 1. The storage solution in the column takes a long time to drain, what do I do?

Sometimes, an air bubble forms at the bottom of the column and surface tension prevents the solution from flowing through. Put gentle pressure on top of the column using a gloved finger. Once you see a single drop drip out of the bottom of the column, allow the rest of the solution flow through by gravity.

2. When I add the PBS to wash the resin, it takes a long time to drip out? See above.

#### 3. Why do I need a dry bottom cap while running the reaction?

Any water remaining on the cap might quench the  $\Psi$ -Module. Using a new, clean, dry cap ensures that no water enters the reaction mixture.

#### 4. How do I get my DNA out of the elute buffer?

Almost any standard DNA desalting method will work. We tend to use ethanol precipitations. Some prefer other methods that are faster but require different DNA consumable kits. In our hands both silica-based desalting columns and gel filtration worked for desalting of modified DNA.

# **Optimization of SENDR protocol and conditions**

#### **Optimization Procedure**

SENDR was optimized by performing reactions in a microcentrifuge tube as described in *General Procedure 2* with various reaction conditions (as described in the main text and Figure 2B). Briefly, 5 nmol of DNA **1** or **2** was loaded onto 100  $\mu$ L of equilibrated resin. This loaded resin was washed with DMA (500  $\mu$ L twice) and dry THF (500  $\mu$ L three times) and dried under vacuum. In the case in which stringent drying was not performed (Figure 2B, Entry 8) the loaded resin was simply washed with acetonitrile (500  $\mu$ L three times).

**Ψ-1** in MeCN (varying concentration, 250 μL) was added to the loaded and dried resin,. Then DBU (various concentration) was added to the reaction mixture. The reaction tube was vortexed for 30 seconds and incubated at room temperature for various amounts of time. The reactions were worked up by aspirating and discarding the reaction solution, and washing the resin bed with MeCN (500 μL) and 1:1 MeCN:PBS (500 μL). Elute buffer (300 μL) was added to the resin bed and the tube was agitated by orbital shaker for 5-10 minutes. The DNA containing elution buffer was collected by carefully pipetting the supernatant. DNA was isolate *via* ethanol precipitation. Ethanol precipitation was performed by adding 10% v/v of a NaCl solution (30 μL, 5M) to the elute buffer and three volumes of cold ethanol (-20 °C) were added to the tube (~1000μL) and incubated for 18 hours at -20 °C. The tube was then centrifuged at 13,000 rpm for 15 minutes to pellet the DNA, and the supernatant ethanol was decanted off. The tubes were dried *via* speed vacuum, the DNA was dissolved and HPLC-MS analysis was performed.

## **Characterization of SENDR Optimization**

#### **LCMS Characterization of 3**

Produced from 1 by General Procedure 2: Conversion (A260): 91% Expected Mass: 7023.61 Expected (M-6)/6 Ion: 1169.60 Found Deconvoluted Mass: 7024.00 Found (M-6)/6 Ion: 1169.61





Deconvoluted Mass Spectrum								
100-		7024	.00			2.49e5		
-								
-								
%								
-								
		6889.00						
0-	- manufacture the second second second second second second			ما وروب م		mass		
40	00 4500 5000 5500 6000 65	00 700	0 7500	8000 850	0000 000	9500		

## **LCMS Characterization of 4**

Produced from **2** by General Procedure **2**: Conversion (A260): 72% Expected Mass: 7023.61 Expected (M-6)/6 Ion: 1169.60 Found Deconvoluted Mass: 7024.00 Found (M-6)/6 Ion: 1169.01







#### **Process for Mass Fragmentation of 3**

A crude solution of **3** in water (100  $\mu$ M) was injected on the Waters I-Class ToF for peak identification. The MS cone voltage was then increased from 5 mV to 30 mV and the same sample was reinjected to fragment the modified oligonucleotide. Diagnostic mass fragments were then extracted, and their presence confirmed in the total ion current. They are plotted below along with total ion current and UV absorbance. UV absorbance is slightly out of alignment because there is a non-zero-time difference between when the compound passes through the UV detector and when it enters the mass spec. This is because of the plumbing between the two modules.



## **Analysis of Optimization Reactions**

## Entry 1: 5'

LCMS Characterization of **3** Produced from **1** by General Procedure **3**: Conversion (A260): 56% Expected Mass: 7023.61 Expected (M-6)/6 Ion: 1169.60 Found Deconvoluted Mass: 7024.00 Found (M-6)/6 Ion: 1169.54







# Entry 1: 3'

LCMS Characterization of **4** Produced from **2** by General Procedure **2**: Conversion (A260): 17% Expected Mass: 7023.61 Expected (M-6)/6 Ion: 1169.60 Found Deconvoluted Mass: 7023.00 Found (M-6)/6 Ion: 1169.21







# Entry 2: 5'

LCMS Characterization of 3 Produced from 1 by General Procedure 2: Conversion (A260): 72% Expected Mass: 7023.61 Expected (M-6)/6 Ion: 1169.60 Found Deconvoluted Mass: 7023.00 Found (M-6)/6 Ion: 1169.54 но он 0-1 -C-C-G-A-G-T-C A-A-A-A-A-T-G-A-C-T ́но́  $NH_2$ Exact Mass: 7020.14 ĴΗ Molecular Weight: 7023.61 O A260 Chromatogram 260 4.8000Da Range: 4.91e-1 2.21 3.0e-1 -₹ 2.0e-1 1.70 1.0e-1 0.0-6.00 0.50 1.00 1.50 2.00 2.50 3.50 4.00 4.50 5.00 3.00 5.50 -0.00





# Entry 2: 3'

LCMS Characterization of **4** Produced from **2** by General Procedure **2**: Conversion (A260): 51% Expected Mass: 7023.61 Expected (M-6)/6 Ion: 1169.60 Found Deconvoluted Mass: 7024.00 Found (M-6)/6 Ion: 1169.41







# Entry 3: 5'

LCMS Characterization of **3** Produced from **1** by General Procedure **2**: Conversion (A260): 91% Expected Mass: 7023.61 Expected (M-6)/6 Ion: 1169.60 Found Deconvoluted Mass: 7024.00 Found (M-6)/6 Ion: 1169.61







# Entry 3: 3'

LCMS Characterization of **4** Produced from **2** by General Procedure **2**: Conversion (A260): 72% Expected Mass: 7023.61 Expected (M-6)/6 Ion: 1169.60 Found Deconvoluted Mass: 7024.00 Found (M-6)/6 Ion: 1169.01







## Entry 4: 5'

LCMS Characterization of **3** Produced from **1** by General Procedure **2**: Conversion (A260): 84% Expected Mass: 7023.61 Expected (M-6)/6 Ion: 1169.60 Found Deconvoluted Mass: 7023.00 Found (M-6)/6 Ion: 1169.61





Deconvoluted Mass Spectrum												
100-	1					7023.00						1.92e5
8-												
			Li.		1							
40	00 4500	5000	5500	6000	6500	7000	7500	8000	8500	9000	9500	+++ mass

-

# Entry 4: 3'

0.18

0.50

1.00

1.50

2.00

2.50

3.00

3.50

4.00

4.50

0.0

-0.00

LCMS Characterization of **4** Produced from **2** by General Procedure **2**: Conversion (A260): 62% Expected Mass: 7023.61 Expected (M-6)/6 Ion: 1169.60 Found Deconvoluted Mass: 7023.00 Found (M-6)/6 Ion: 1169.54



5.50

5.00

6.00





# Entry 5: 5'

LCMS Characterization of **3** Produced from **1** by General Procedure **2**: Conversion (A260): 0% Expected Mass: 7023.61 Expected (M-6)/6 Ion: 1169.60 Found Deconvoluted Mass: 6824.00 (Starting material **1**) Found (M-6)/6 Ion: 1135.99 (Starting material **1**)




Deco	onvo	luted M	Mass S <sub>1</sub>	pectrum	<u>1</u>								
100						68	24.00						1.35e7
.													
-													
-													
%													
.													
.													
							6958.00	)					
					I								
0- 40	00	4500	5000	5500	6000	6500	7000	7500	8000	8500	9000	9500	mass

## Entry 5: 3'

LCMS Characterization of **4** Produced from **2** by General Procedure **2**: Conversion (A260): 0% Expected Mass: 7023.61 Expected (M-6)/6 Ion: 1169.60 Found Deconvoluted Mass: 6824.00 (Starting material **2**) Found (M-6)/6 Ion: 1136.12(Starting material **2**)







# Entry 6: 5'

LCMS Characterization of **3** Produced from **1** by General Procedure **2**: Conversion (A260): 0% Expected Mass: 7023.61 Expected (M-6)/6 Ion: 1169.60 Found Deconvoluted Mass: 6823.00 (Starting material **1**) Found (M-6)/6 Ion: 1135.92 (Starting material **1**)





Dec	onvoluted Mass Spectrum	
100-		6823.00 <u>3.29e9</u>
· ·		
· ·		
<b>_</b> _		
- °		
· ·		
0-	·····	
40	00 4500 5000 5500 6000 6500	0 7000 7500 8000 8500 9000 9500

## Entry 6: 3'

LCMS Characterization of **4** Produced from **2** by General Procedure **2**: Conversion (A260): 0% Expected Mass: 7023.61 Expected (M-6)/6 Ion: 1169.60 Found Deconvoluted Mass: 6823 (Starting material **2**) Found (M-6)/6 Ion: 1135.85 (Starting material **2**)





econv	oluted N	Mass Sp	oectrum	<u>1</u>						
<mark>0</mark> -1					683	23.00				5.30e
1										
1										
s-										
1										
1										
-										
						6959.0	0			
							-			
1						1.1				

## Entry 7: 5'

LCMS Characterization of **3** Produced from **1** by General Procedure **2**: Conversion (A260): 0% Expected Mass: 7023.61 Expected (M-6)/6 Ion: 1169.60 Found Deconvoluted Mass: 6823.00 (Starting material **1**) Found (M-6)/6 Ion: 1136.12





Deconvo	oluted N	/lass Sp	<u>bectrum</u>			22.00						2.5269
100					68	23.00						2.0268
-												
-												
<u></u>												
0~												
-												
						6958.00	)					
						0330.00	,					
0-	4500	5000			C500	7000	7500					mass
4000	4000	5000	5500	6000	0000	7000	7500	0000	0000	9000	9000	

## Entry 7: 3'

LCMS Characterization of **4** Produced from **2** by General Procedure **2**: Conversion (A260): 56% Expected Mass: 7023.61 Expected (M-6)/6 Ion: 1169.60 Found Deconvoluted Mass: 6823.00 (Starting material **2**) Found (M-6)/6 Ion: 1136.26 (Starting material **2**)







# Entry 8: 5'

LCMS Characterization of **3** Produced from **1** by General Procedure **2**: Conversion (A260): 0% Expected Mass: 7023.61 Expected (M-6)/6 Ion: 1169.60 Found Deconvoluted Mass: 6824.00 (Starting material **1**) Found (M-6)/6 Ion: 1135.79 (Starting material **1**)





Deconvoluted Mass Spectrum		1
100	6824.00	8.71e9
*		
0- <b>1</b>	500 7000 7500 8000 8500 9000 9500	- mass

### Entry 8: 3'

LCMS Characterization of **4** Produced from **2** by General Procedure **2**: Conversion (A260): 0% Expected Mass: 7023.61 Expected (M-6)/6 Ion: 1169.60 Found Deconvoluted Mass: 6824.00 (Starting material **2**) Found (M-6)/6 Ion: 1136.32 (Starting material **2**)





Deconvo	oluted N	Mass Sp	pectrum	<u>l</u>								
100					682	24.00						1.57e10
-												
*-												
-												
4000	4500	5000	5500	6000	6500	7000	7500	8000	8500	9000	9500	mass
0	4500	5000	5500	6000	6500	7000	7500	8000	8500	9000	9500	<del></del> mass

-

#### Entry 9: 5'

LCMS Characterization of 3 Produced from 1 by General Procedure 2: Conversion (A260): <10% Expected Mass: 7023.61 Expected (M-6)/6 Ion: 1169.60 Found Deconvoluted Mass: 7024.00 Found (M-6)/6 Ion: 1169.54 HO OH 0--C-C-G-A-G-T-C A-A-A-A-A-T-G-A-C-T ́но́  $NH_2$ Exact Mass: 7020.14 ĴΗ Molecular Weight: 7023.61 O A260 Chromatogram 260 4.8000Da Range: 1.05 1.69 8.0e-' 6.0e-P 4.0e-1 2.0e-1 2.21 0.0 0.50 1.00 1.50 2.00 2.50 3.00 3.50 4.00 4.50 5.00 5.50 6.00 -0.00





#### Entry 9: 3'

LCMS Characterization of **4** Produced from **2** by General Procedure **2**: Conversion (A260): <10% Expected Mass: 7023.61 Expected (M-6)/6 Ion: 1169.60 Found Deconvoluted Mass: 7024.00 Found (M-6)/6 Ion: 1169.54







### Entry 10: 5'

LCMS Characterization of 3 Produced from 1 by General Procedure 2: Conversion (A260): trace Expected Mass: 7023.61 Expected (M-6)/6 Ion: 1169.60 Found Deconvoluted Mass: 7023.00 Found (M-6)/6 Ion: 1169.34 HO OH 0-T-C-C-G-A-G-T-C A-A-A-A-T-G-A-C-T С ́но́  $NH_2$ Exact Mass: 7020.14 ŇН ĴΗ Molecular Weight: 7023.61 O A260 Chromatogram 260 4.8000Da 0.20 0.51 Range: 9.371e-1 6.0e-1 ₽₹ 4.0e-1 2.0e-1 1.70 0.0-6.00 1.00 1.50 2.50 3.00 3.50 4.00 4.50 5.00 5.50 0.50 2.00





#### Entry 10: 3'

LCMS Characterization of **4** Produced from **2** by General Procedure **2**: Conversion (A260): trace Expected Mass: 7023.61 Expected (M-6)/6 Ion: 1169.60 Found Deconvoluted Mass: 70234.00 Found (M-6)/6 Ion: 1169.27







# SENDR on High Tm Hairpin

Hairpin oligo **SI-17** was incubated for 10 minutes at either RT or 66°C in 100  $\mu$ L PBS. This solution was pipetted directly onto washed support (50  $\mu$ L) and quickly vortexed. The reactions were allowed to cool to room temperature before washing and drying was performed according to general procedure 2. SENDR derivatization was performed under standard conditions.

	Lableing of High Tm Oligo		
т <sup>т-т</sup> с-т-а т, с-т-а-т- т-т <sup>G-A-т-</sup>	-C-T-G-C-T-A-C-G-T-A-G-G-G-A-T-C-A-C-OH -G-A-C-G-A-T-G-C-A-T-C-C-C-T-A-G-T-G-T-C-C-G- <i>P<sub>(</sub></i> 3' SI-17 Parent Sequence [Nonoverhanging Terminus] [T <sub>m</sub> = 74.3°C]	Adsorb <u>To Strata XL-A: RT or 6</u> <b>SENDR</b> Ψ-1 (150 mM) DBU (450 mM) MeCN, 60 min, RT	6℃ 0, Ph P-0 ₹0´SH SI-21 Molecular Weight: 15927
	Optimization		
Entry	Conditions		Conversion (%)
1	Adsorb at RT		63
2	Adsorb at 66°C		70
Entry 1: LCMS Characterization of **SI-21** Produced from **SI-17:** Conversion (A260): 63% Retention Time: 2.29 min Expected Mass: 15927.31 Found Deconvoluted Mass: 15930





Deconv	oluted M	ass Spect	trum Rete	ention Ti	me 2.57	Minutes		,		
100						15930.00				1.14e6
1										
1										
-										
~										
-										
-										
						15962.0	0			
1							-			
0			التراسية				L.		la na se se	
10000	11000	12000	13000	14000	15000	16000	17000	18000	19000	mass

- -

Entry 2: LCMS Characterization of **SI-21** Produced from **SI-17:** Conversion (A260): 70% Retention Time: 2.29 min Expected Mass: 15927.31 Found Deconvoluted Mass: 15928







# **Alcohol Selective Reactions: An Investigation**

**Phosphoramidate Formation** 



DNA 2 was dissolved (90  $\mu$ M) in MES buffer (50 mM) at pH 6.2 containing phenethylaime (5 mM) and imidazole (20 mM). to this solution was added EDC (10  $\mu$ L) from a stock solution in DMA (50 mM). This reaction was quickly vortexed and allowed to incubate at room temperature for 60 minutes. After 60 minutes the DNA was isolated by ethanol precipitation (previously described) and analyzed by LCMS.



#### **Phosphoramidite Reaction**



DNA 1 was loaded onto the support according to **General Procedure 2** and dried accordingly. Phorphoramitide (150 mM) and tetrazole (450 mM) were added in dry MeCN and the reaction was allowed to incubate at room temperature for 60 minutes. After 60 minutes the resi was washed once with dry MeCN (500  $\mu$ L) and oxidation solution was added (iodine, pyridine, water in THF). This was reaction incubated for 15 minutes and then the resin bed washed with MeCN and PBS. The DNA was eluted and precipitated as previously described and finally analyzed by LCMS.





#### 

DNA 1 was loaded onto the support according to **General Procedure 2** and dried accordingly. Tosyl Chloride (150 mM) and Collinide (450 mM) were added in dry MeCN and the reaction was allowed to incubate at room temperature for 60 minutes. After 60 minutes the resin was washed once with dry MeCN (500  $\mu$ L). The DNA was eluted and precipitated as previously described and finally analyzed by LCMS.







**Mitsunobu Reaction** 



DNA 1 was loaded onto the support according to **General Procedure 2** and dried accordingly. Nirtopheol (150 mM) and Triphenylphosphine (150 mM) were added in dry MeCN. DIAD (150 mM) was then spiked in and the reaction was allowed to incubate at room temperature for 60 minutes. After 60 minutes the resin was washed once with dry MeCN (500  $\mu$ L). The DNA was eluted and precipitated as previously described and finally analyzed by LCMS. Low DNA recovery was observed by UV Vis absorbance (nanodrop).







Williamson Ether Synthesis



DNA 1 was loaded onto the support according to **General Procedure 2** and dried accordingly. DBU (450 mM) was added in dry MeCN and this was added to the resin from 5 minutes. after 5 minutes benzyl bromide (150 mM) was then spiked in and the reaction was allowed to incubate at room temperature for 60 minutes. After 60 minutes the resin was washed once with dry MeCN (500  $\mu$ L). The DNA was eluted and precipitated as previously described and finally analyzed by LCMS.



# Labeling of DNA Without Phosphate Blocking Groups

#### **General Protocol and Optimization**

SENDR on non-phosphate DNA (SI-16) was optimized by performing reactions in a microcentrifuge tube as described in *General Procedure 2* with various reaction conditions (as described in the main text and Figure 2B). Briefly, 5 nmol of DNA (SI-16) was loaded onto 100  $\mu$ L of equilibrated resin. This loaded resin was washed with DMA (500  $\mu$ L twice) and dry THF (500  $\mu$ L three times) and dried under vacuum. In the case in which stringent drying was not performed (Figure 2B, Entry 8) the loaded resin was simply washed with acetonitrile (500  $\mu$ L three times).

**Ψ-1** in MeCN (varying concentration, 250 μL) was added to the loaded and dried resin. Then DBU (various concentration) was added to the reaction mixture. The reaction tube was vortexed for 30 seconds and incubated at room temperature for various amounts of time. The reactions were worked up by aspirating and discarding the reaction solution, and washing the resin bed with MeCN (500 μL) and 1:1 MeCN:PBS (500 μL). Elute buffer (300 μL) was added to the resin bed and the tube was agitated by orbital shaker for 5-10 minutes. The DNA containing elution buffer was collected by carefully pipetting the supernatant. DNA was isolate *via* ethanol precipitation. Ethanol precipitation was performed by adding 10% v/v of a NaCl solution (30 μL, 5M) to the elute buffer and three volumes of cold ethanol (-20 °C) were added to the tube (~1000μL) and incubated for 18 hours at -20 °C. The tube was then centrifuged at 13,000 rpm for 15 minutes to pellet the DNA, and the supernatant ethanol was decanted off. The tubes were dried *via* speed vacuum, the DNA was dissolved and HPLC-MS analysis was performed.

	A. Selective Labeling of Non-Phosphorylated	DNA	
HO-T-T-C-C-G-A-G-T-C-A-A-A <sup>5'</sup> A-A-T-G-A-C-T-C-G-G-T-T-H (SI-16) Parent Sequence [Unprotected] [No Phosphates]	HS.	0-T-T-C-C-G-A-G A-A-T-G-A-C-T (SI-23) 539 (SI-24) 76%	à-T-C-A-A-A -C-G-G-T-T-P=O SH 6 Single Label or 6 Dual Labeled
	B. SENDR: Optimization of the Coupling Ste	p	
Entry	Conditions	(SI-23) Single Label (%)	(SI-24) Dual Label (%)
1	150 mM <b>Ψ-1</b> , 450 mM DBU, 60 min	30	61
2	150 mM <b>Ψ-1</b> , 450 mM DBU, 30 min	33	51
3	150 mM <b>Ψ-1</b> , 450 mM DBU, 60 min, 37°C	24	76
4	75 mM <b>Ψ-1</b> , 225 mM DBU, 60 min	53	<5
5	75 mM Ψ-1, 225 mM DBU, 30 min x 2	53	21
6	75 mM Ψ-1, 225 mM DBU, 60 min x 2	50	25
7	75 mM Ψ-1, 225 mM DBU, 120 min	48	17

LCMS Characterization of **Single Label SI-23** Produced from **SI-16** by General Procedure **3**: Conversion (A260): 30% Retention Time: 2.29 min Expected Mass: 7552.02 Expected (M-8)/8 Ion: 943.0 Found Deconvoluted Mass: 7552.00 Found (M-6)/6 Ion: 942.78











Raw Mass Spectrum Retention Time (2.3 minutes)

Deconvoluted Mass Spectrum Retention Time (2.3 minutes)						
100	/55	2.00	2.0960			
-						
1						
*						
-						
1						
1						
			1			
0- <mark>4</mark> -,	<u>,</u>	<mark>                                     </mark>	Hall And the second			

LCMS Characterization of **Double Label SI-24** Produced from **SI-16** by General Procedure **3**: Conversion (A260): 61% Retention Time: 2.72 min Expected Mass: 7752.2 Expected (M-8)/8 Ion: 968.02 Found Deconvoluted Mass: 7552.00 Found (M-6)/6 Ion: 968.06

⊬-́-ОН HS O 0-F т-с-с-д-а-д-т-с-а-а-а-а-а-а-т-д-а-с-т-с-д--G-1 'nо́

Molecular Weight: 7752.21



Deconvoluted Mas	ss Spectrum (Retention Time	2.7 minutes)		5.05-1
<sup>00</sup> 7	7752.00			5.0565
-				
-				
<u></u>				
-				
1				
		9306.00		
o <u> </u>	<u></u>			
5000 6000	7000 8000	9000 10000	11000	mas

LCMS Characterization of **Single Label SI-23** Produced from **SI-16** by General Procedure **3**: Conversion (A260): 33% Retention Time: 2.29 min Expected Mass: 7552.02 Expected (M-8)/8 Ion: 943.0 Found Deconvoluted Mass: 7551.00 Found (M-6)/6 Ion: 942.92











Deconvoluted Mass Spectrum (Retention Time 2.3 minutes)							
0-1		755	1.00	•			6.08e1
1							
1							
1							
5~-							
•							
1							
᠈╄┯┯┿┯┑	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		4	<u> </u>		, <b></b>	ma

- -

LCMS Characterization of **Double Lable SI-24** Produced from **SI-16** by General Procedure **3**: Conversion (A260): 51% Retention Time: 2.72 min Expected Mass: 7752.2 Expected (M-8)/8 Ion: 968.02 Found Deconvoluted Mass: 7553.00 Found (M-6)/6 Ion: 967.72

⊬-́-ОН HS O 0-F т-с-с-д-а-д-т-с-а-а-а-а-а-а-т-д-а-с-т-с-д--G-1 'nо́

Molecular Weight: 7752.21



Deconvoluted Mass Spectrum (Retention Time 2.7 Minutes)							
<sup>00</sup> 7		/	/53.00				1.7901
1							
-							
~							
-							
1							
-							
04							mas

LCMS Characterization of **Double Label SI-24** Produced from **SI-16** by General Procedure **3**: Conversion (A260): 76% Retention Time: 2.71 min Expected Mass: 7752.2 Expected (M-8)/8 Ion: 968.02 Found Deconvoluted Mass: 7552.00 Found (M-6)/6 Ion: 967.9









### SI173

Deconvoluted Mass Spectrum (Retention Time 2.7 Minutes)							
ר <sup>00</sup>		77	52.00				1.66e8
1							
1							
8-							
1							
5000	6000	7000	8000	9000	10000	11000	mass

LCMS Characterization of **Single Label SI-23** Produced from **SI-16** by General Procedure **3**: Conversion (A260): 53% Retention Time: 2.29 min Expected Mass: 7552.02 Expected (M-8)/8 Ion: 943.0 Found Deconvoluted Mass: 7552.00 Found (M-6)/6 Ion: 942.92



Molecular Weight: 7552.02







LCMS Characterization of **Single Label SI-23** Produced from **SI-16** by General Procedure **3**: Conversion (A260): 53% Retention Time: 2.29 min Expected Mass: 7552.02 Expected (M-8)/8 Ion: 943.0 Found Deconvoluted Mass: 7552.00 Found (M-6)/6 Ion: 942.78








Decon	Deconvoluted Mass Spectrum (Retention Time 2.3 minutes)										
100 <sub>1</sub>				755	2.00						1.64e5
1											
~											
-											
-											
		6043.00	6473.00		8309	.00	9064.0	0		10576.00	
0-4	y, h <mark>alll</mark> lu, a,	بقيعه ومارسياتهم	عبيا استعاديه	here	والهاب بعبيته والم	سميم	╷┿┥╌╷┡╴╷	يوجي م	I may and	harm have designed and the second	mass
5000	•	6000	7000		8000	•	9000		10000	11000	

LCMS Characterization of **Double Label SI-24** Produced from **SI-16** by General Procedure **3**: Conversion (A260): 21% Retention Time: 2.72 min Expected Mass: 7752.2 Expected (M-8)/8 Ion: 968.02 Found Deconvoluted Mass: 7552.00 Found (M-6)/6 Ion: 968.19

₩-ОН HS O 0-F т-с-с-д-а-д-т-с-а-а-а-а-а-а-т-д-а-с-т-с-д--G-1 'nо́

Molecular Weight: 7752.21



Deconvoluted Mass Spectrum (Retention Time 2.7 Minutes)									
<sup>100</sup> 7		7752	2.00			1.27			
1									
1									
*									
1									
-									
				9304-00					
	6202.00				9966.00				
0	للقريق وبا وتوافي والاربي والمحاليان ورجر	والمستعدية والمستعدية	معدومة والمتلاب ومرجد فليله	ليسب المسالمة المسالم	here all high and	man and the state of the second second			
5000	6000	7000	8000	9000	10000	11000			

## Entry 6

LCMS Characterization of **Single Label SI-23** Produced from **SI-16** by General Procedure **3**: Conversion (A260): 50% Retention Time: 2.29 min Expected Mass: 7552.02 Expected (M-8)/8 Ion: 943.0 Found Deconvoluted Mass: 7551.00 Found (M-6)/6 Ion: 942.92











# Deconvoluted Mass Spectrum (Retention Time 2.3 minutes)

LCMS Characterization of **Double Label SI-24** Produced from **SI-16** by General Procedure **3**: Conversion (A260): 25% Retention Time: 2.72 min Expected Mass: 7752.2 Expected (M-8)/8 Ion: 968.02 Found Deconvoluted Mass: 7552.00 Found (M-6)/6 Ion: 967.86

₩-ОН HS O 0-F т-с-с-д-а-д-т-с-а-а-а-а-а-а-т-д-а-с-т-с-д--G-1 'nо́

Molecular Weight: 7752.21



Deconvoluted Mass Spectrum (Retention Time 2.7 Minutes)										
100 n	· · · ·	7752.00		an an an		9.67e4				
1										
1										
-										
~										
-										
1										
	5536.00									
-	5536.UU									
	0070.00		93 8528.00	04.00	11072 00					
	6203.00	7376.00	5520.00	9868.00	1072.00	11782.00				
0	a here a leader and the state of the second st	بالانجار والسرية والليفيا	اللاط فيمانيه بالمراسم	ير بيا يعلم فراييا معاد هيد رايس	and to be wind be delay	unter the man				
500	00 6000 7000	8000	9000	10000	11000	T mass				

# Entry 7

LCMS Characterization of **Single Label SI-23** Produced from **SI-16** by General Procedure **3**: Conversion (A260): 33% Retention Time: 2.29 min Expected Mass: 7552.02 Expected (M-8)/8 Ion: 943.0 Found Deconvoluted Mass: 7552.00 Found (M-6)/6 Ion: 943.18



Molecular Weight: 7552.02







Deconvoluted Mass Spectrum (Retention Time 2.3 minutes)									
100 <sub>1</sub>	7552.00	2.07e5							
8-									
1									
1									
	9063.00								
0- <b>1</b>	<u> ، ، ، ، ، ، ، ، ، ، ، ، ، ، ، ، ، ، ،</u>	mass							
5000 6000 7000	8000 9000 10000 11000								

- -

### **Structure Confirmation of SI-23**

A crude solution of **SI-23** in water (100  $\mu$ M) was injected on the Waters I-Class ToF for peak identification. The MS cone voltage was then increased from 5 mV to 30 mV and the same sample was reinjected to fragment the modified oligonucleotide. Diagnostic mass fragments were then extracted, and their presence confirmed in the total ion current. They are plotted below.

# **Mass Fragmentation of SI-23**



# **T4PNK Phopshorylation then SENDR**

### Protocol

Nonphosphorylated DNA **SI-16** was phosphorylated at the 5' end by T4PNK. Briefly, 5 nMol DNA was added to a PCR tube and contiaining CutSmart® (1X), 1 mM ATP, and 5 mM DTT (from 5x stocks). T4PNK was added (2 uL) and the reaction volume was brought to 50  $\mu$ L total. The reaction tube was allowed to incubate for 30 minutes at 37°C. After 30 minutes the reaction mixture was added directly to the Strata XL-A support in PBS (500 uL) and vortexed. The support was dried according to General Procedure 2, and standard SENDR conditions were applied.

	Enzymatic	Phosphorylati	on	
HO-T-T-C-C-G-A-G-T-C-A-A-A 5' A-A-T-G-A-C-T-C-G-G-T-T-H SI-16 Parent Sequence [Unprotected] [No Phosphates]	Adsc (Strata- T <sub>4</sub> PNK 37°C, 30 min	SENDR 37°C, 60 min	Elute (NaClO <sub>4</sub> )	H <sub>2</sub> O <sub>4</sub> P-T-T-C-C-G-A-G-T-C-A-A-A A-A-T-G-A-C-T-C-G-G-T-T-P=O SI-25: (75%)

LCMS Characterization of **SI-25** Produced from **SI-16**: Conversion (A260): 75% Retention Time: 2.28 min Expected Mass: 7632.00 Expected (M-8)/8 Ion: 953.0 Found Deconvoluted Mass: 7632.00 Found (M-6)/6 Ion: 952.78



Raw Mass Spectrum (Retention Time 2.30)



econvulted Mass Sp	ectrum (Retention Time 2.30)	0.05-44
<sup>0</sup> 7	/632.00	3.9561
~		
1		
0		mas

-

\_ \_

# **Sequence Independence**

#### Procedure

SENDR was optimized by performing reactions in microcentrifuge tube as described in *General Procedure 2*. Briefly, 5 nmol of DNA 1 or 2 was loaded onto 100  $\mu$ L of equilibrated resin. This loaded resin was washed with DMA (500  $\mu$ L twice) and dry THF (500  $\mu$ L three times) and dried under vacuum.

**Ψ-1** in MeCN (150 mM, 250 µL) was added to the loaded and dried resin. Then DBU (450 mM, 18 µL) was added to the reaction mixture. The reaction tube was vortexed for 30 seconds and incubated at room temperature for various amounts of time. The reactions were worked up by aspirating and discarding the reaction solution, and washing the resin bed with MeCN (500 µL) and 1:1 MeCN:PBS (500 µL). Elute buffer (300 µL) was added to the resin bed and the tube was agitated by orbital shaker for 5-10 minutes. The DNA containing elution buffer was collected by carefully pipetting the supernatant. DNA was isolated *via* ethanol precipitation. Ethanol precipitation was performed by adding 10% v/v of a NaCl solution (30 µL, 5M) to the elute buffer and three volumes of cold ethanol (-20 °C) were added to the tube (~1000µL) and incubated for 18 hours at -20 °C. The tube was then centrifuged at 13,000 rpm for 15 minutes to pellet the DNA, and the extra ethanol was decanted off. The tubes were dried *via* speed vacuum and the DNA was dissolved and HPLC-MS analysis was performed.



#### **Characterization of Sequence Independence**

#### **LCMS Characterization of SI-26**

Produced from **SI-1** by General Procedure **2**: Yield (A260): 52% Expected Mass: 6415.22 Expected (M-6)/6 Ion: 1068.2 Found Deconvoluted Mass: 6415.00 Found (M-6)/6 Ion: 1068.16



Exact Mass: 6412.05 Molecular Weight: 6415.22







Produced from **SI-2** by General Procedure **2**: Yield (A260): 76% Expected Mass: 7327.81 Expected (M-6)/6 Ion: 1220.2 Found Deconvoluted Mass: 7328.00 Found (M-6)/6 Ion: 1220.11







Produced from 1 by General Procedure 2: Yield (A260): 91% Expected Mass: 7023.61 Expected (M-6)/6 Ion: 1169.60 Found Deconvoluted Mass: 7024.00 Found (M-6)/6 Ion: 1169.61



Exact Mass: 7020.14 Molecular Weight: 7023.61





Deconvoluted Mass Spectrum													
100-	1						7024.00						2.49e5
	1												
	1												
8-													
						6889	.00						
						I.							
0-	<del>ן איז א</del> 000	4500	5000	5500	6000	6500	<del>,</del>	7500	<mark>יוייין איין איין איין איין איין איין איי</mark>	8500	9000	9500	mass

-

\_ \_

Produced from **SI-3** by General Procedure **2**: Yield (A260): 73% Expected Mass: 6719.42 Expected (M-8)/8 Ion: 838.93 Found Deconvoluted Mass: 6720.00 Found (M-8)/8 Ion: 838.95







Produced from **SI-4** by General Procedure **2**: Yield (A260): 76% Expected Mass: 6732.63 Expected (M-6)/6 Ion: 1171.105 Found Deconvoluted Mass: 6733.00 Found (M-6)/6 Ion: 1171.07



Exact Mass: 7029.16 Molecular Weight: 7032.63







Produced from **SI-5** by General Procedure **2**: Yield (A260): 66% Expected Mass: 7008.6 Expected (M-6)/6 Ion: 1167.1 Found Deconvoluted Mass: 7008.00 Found (M-6)/6 Ion: 1166.7



Exact Mass: 7005.14 Molecular Weight: 7008.60






Produced from **SI-6** by General Procedure **2**: Yield (A260): 76% Expected Mass: 7048.63 Expected (M-6)/6 Ion: 1173.77 Found Deconvoluted Mass: 7049.00 Found (M-6)/6 Ion: 1173.61



Exact Mass: 7045.15 Molecular Weight: 7048.63







Produced from **SI-7** by General Procedure **2**: Yield (A260): 61% Expected Mass: 6415.22 Expected (M-6)/6 Ion: 1068.20 Found Deconvoluted Mass: 6145.00 Found (M-6)/6 Ion: 1067.82



Exact Mass: 6412.05 Molecular Weight: 6415.22







Produced from **SI-8** by General Procedure **2**: Yield (A260): 73% Expected Mass: 6719.42 Expected (M-6)/6 Ion: 1118.9 Found Deconvoluted Mass: 6719.00 Found (M-6)/6 Ion: 1118.45







Produced from **2** by General Procedure **1**: Yield (A260): 72% Expected Mass: 7023.61 Expected (M-6)/6 Ion: 1169.6 Found Deconvoluted Mass: 7023.00 Found (M-6)/6 Ion: 1169.54







Produced from **SI-8** by General Procedure **2**: Yield (A260): 74% Expected Mass: 7327.81 Expected (M-6)/6 Ion: 1220.3 Found Deconvoluted Mass: 7328.00 Found (M-6)/6 Ion: 1219.84



Exact Mass: 7324.19 Molecular Weight: 7327.81







Produced from **SI-10** by General Procedure **2**: Yield (A260): 69% Expected Mass: 7032.63 Expected (M-6)/6 Ion: 1171.1 Found Deconvoluted Mass: 7032.00 Found (M-6)/6 Ion: 1170.94



Exact Mass: 7029.16 Molecular Weight: 7032.63







Produced from **SI-11** by General Procedure **2**: Yield (A260): 72% Expected Mass: 7008.6 Expected (M-6)/6 Ion: 1167.1 Found Deconvoluted Mass: 7008.00 Found (M-6)/6 Ion: 1166.94



Exact Mass: 7005.14 Molecular Weight: 7008.60







Produced from **SI-12** by General Procedure **2**: Yield (A260): 62% Expected Mass: 7048.63 Expected (M-6)/6 Ion: 1173.8 Found Deconvoluted Mass: 7049.00 Found (M-6)/6 Ion: 1173.74



Exact Mass: 7045.15 Molecular Weight: 7048.63







# Substrate scope:

# Procedure

SENDR substrate scope was analyzed by performing reactions in microcentrifuge tube as described in *General Procedure 2*. Briefly, 5 nmol of DNA 1 or 2 was loaded onto 100  $\mu$ L of equilibrated resin. This loaded resin was washed with DMA (500  $\mu$ L twice) and dry THF (500  $\mu$ L three times) and dried under vacuum.

 $\Psi$  -module in MeCN (150 mM, 250 μL) was added to the loaded and dried resin. Then DBU (450 mM, 18 μL) was added to the reaction mixture. The reaction tube was vortexed for 30 seconds and incubated at room temperature or 37°C for 60 minutes. The reactions were worked up by aspirating and discarding the reaction solution, and washing the resin bed with MeCN (500 μL) and 1:1 MeCN:PBS (500 μL). Elute buffer (300 μL) was added to the resin bed and the tube was agitated by orbital shaker for 5-10 minutes. The DNA containing elution buffer was collected by carefully pipetting the supernatant. DNA was isolate *via* ethanol precipitation. Ethanol precipitation was performed by adding 10% v/v of a NaCl solution (30 μL, 5M) to the elute buffer and three volumes of cold ethanol (-20 °C) were added to the tube (~1000μL) and incubated for 18 hours at -20 °C. The tube was then centrifuged at 13,000 rpm for 15 minutes to pellet the DNA, and the extra ethanol was decanted off. The tubes were dried *via* speed vacuum, the DNA was dissolved, and HPLC-MS analysis was performed.

### **Characterization of Substrate Scope**

### **LCMS Characterization of 5**

Produced from 1 by General Procedure 2: Using  $\Psi$ -2 Yield (A260): 94% Expected Mass: 7102.72 Expected (M-6)/6 Ion: 1182.20 Found Deconvoluted Mass: 7102.0 Found (M-6)/6 Ion: 1182.15



Exact Mass: 7099.21 Molecular Weight: 7102.71



















0.0

0.50

1.00

1.50

2.00

2.50

3.00

3.50

4.00

4.50

5.00

5.50

6.00












Produced from 2 by General Procedure 2: Using  $\Psi$ -4 Yield (A260): 78% Expected Mass: 7064.62 Expected (M-6)/6 Ion: 1175.85 Found Deconvoluted Mass: 7065.00 Found (M-6)/6 Ion: 1175.75



Exact Mass: 7061.15 Molecular Weight: 7064.62









0.0

-0.00

0.50

1.00

1.50

2.00

2.50

3.00

3.50

4.00

4.50

5.00

5.50

6.00

Produced from 1 by General Procedure 2 (Reaction run at 45°C): Using Ψ-8 Yield (A260): 76% Expected Mass: 7144.83 Expected (M-6)/6 Ion: 1189.8 Found Deconvoluted Mass: 7144.00 Found (M-6)/6 Ion: 1189.42







Produced from **2** by General Procedure **2** (reaction run at 45°C): Using **Ψ-8** Yield (A260): 62% Expected Mass: 7144.83 Expected (M-6)/6 Ion: 1189.8 Found Deconvoluted Mass: 7144.0 Found (M-6)/6 Ion: 1189.4







Using Ψ-19 Produced from 1 by General Procedure 2 (Reaction Run at 37°C): Yield (A260): 84% Expected Mass: 7166.76 Expected (M-6)/6 Ion: 1193.5 Found Deconvoluted Mass: 7166.00 Found (M-6)/6 Ion: 1193.42











Using Ψ-19 Produced from 2 by General Procedure 2 (Reaction Run at 37°C): Yield (A260): 62% Expected Mass: 7166.76 Expected (M-6)/6 Ion: 1193.5 Found Deconvoluted Mass: 7166.0 Found (M-6)/6 Ion: 1193.82







Produced from 1 by General Procedure 2: Using  $\Psi$ -12 Yield (A260): 81% Expected Mass: 7025.6 Expected (M-6)/6 Ion: 1169.36 Found Deconvoluted Mass: 7026.0 Found (M-6)/6 Ion: 1169.41



A260 Chromatogram 260 4.8000Da 2.36 Range: 3.049e-1 8887 Area 2.0e-1 -₽ 1.0e-1 1.70 1653 0.0 1.00 1.50 2.00 2.50 3.00 3.50 4.00 4.50 5.00 5.50 6.00 -0.00 0.50















Produced from 2 by General Procedure 2: Using  $\Psi$ -13 Yield (A260): 45% Expected Mass: 7278.23 Expected (M-6)/6 Ion: 1211.5 Found Deconvoluted Mass: 7279.00 Found (M-6)/6 Ion: 1211.77







## Using $\Psi$ -14

Produced from 1 by General Procedure 2 (Reaction run with 75 mM Ψ-14 and 225 mM DBU): Yield (A260): 68% Expected Mass: 6995.11 Expected (M-6)/6 Ion: 1164.9 Found Deconvoluted Mass: 6995.0 Found (M-6)/6 Ion: 1165.0







Deconvoluted Mass Spectrum							
100 <sub>1</sub>		6995.00					1.39e5
1							
*							
							11991.00
0 L. H. Leenser					بب أبر مجمع مراجع	-	mass
5000	6000	7000	8000	9000	10000	11000	

## Using **Ψ-14**

Produced from **2** by General Procedure **2** (Reaction run with 75 mM Ψ-14 and 225 mM DBU): Yield (A260): 76% Expected Mass: 6995.11 Expected (M-6)/6 Ion: 1164.9 Found Deconvoluted Mass: 6995.0 Found (M-6)/6 Ion: 1164.54







## Using **Ψ-15**

Produced from 1 by General Procedure 2 (Reaction run with 75 mM Ψ-15 and 225 mM DBU): Yield (A260): 77% Expected Mass: 7003.6 Expected (M-6)/6 Ion: 1166.3 Found Deconvoluted Mass: 7004.0 Found (M-6)/6 Ion: 1166.2







0.50

-0.00

1.00

1.50

2.00

2.50

3.00

3.50

4.00

4.50

5.00

5.50

6.00

## Using $\Psi$ -15

Produced from 1 by General Procedure 2 (Reaction run with 75 mM Ψ-15 and 225 mM DBU): Yield (A260): 56% Expected Mass: 7003.6 Expected (M-6)/6 Ion: 1166.3 Found Deconvoluted Mass: 7003.0 Found (M-6)/6 Ion: 1166.2





Molecular Weight: 7003.58










Using Ψ-17 Produced from 2 by General Procedure 2 (reaction run with 200 mM Ψ-17 at 50°C) Yield (A260): 89% Expected Mass: 7168.69 Expected (M-6)/6 Ion: 1193.8 Found Deconvoluted Mass: 7169.00 Found (M-6)/6 Ion: 1193.56







Using Ψ-18 Produced from 1 by General Procedure 2 (Reaction run at 37°C): Yield (A260): 85% Expected Mass: 7181.72 Expected (M-6)/6 Ion: 1195.6 Found Deconvoluted Mass: 7182.0 Found (M-6)/6 Ion: 1195.69









Using Ψ-18 Produced from 1 by General Procedure 2 (Reaction run with Ψ-18 (200 mM) at 50°C): Yield (A260): 69% Expected Mass: 7181.72 Expected (M-6)/6 Ion: 1195.6 Found Deconvoluted Mass: 7182.0 Found (M-6)/6 Ion: 1195.69







Using Ψ-5 Produced from 1 by General Procedure 2: Yield (A260): 79% Expected Mass: 6985.563 Expected (M-6)/6 Ion: 1163.26 Found Deconvoluted Mass: 6986.00 Found (M-6)/6 Ion: 1163.01













Produced from 1 by General Procedure 2: Using  $\Psi$ -9 Yield (A260): 85% Expected Mass: 7257.939 Expected (M-6)/6 Ion: 1208.65 Found Deconvoluted Mass: 7258.00 Found (M-6)/6 Ion: 1208.23





Deconvoluted Mass Spectrum



Produced from 2 by General Procedure 2: Using  $\Psi$ -9 Yield (A260): 78% Expected Mass: 7257.939 Expected (M-6)/6 Ion: 1208.662 Found Deconvoluted Mass: 7258.00 Found (M-6)/6 Ion: 1208.57



Exact Mass: 7254.248 Molecular Weight: 7257.939



# A260 Chromatogram











0.0

-0.00

0.50

Produced from 2 by General Procedure 2: Using  $\Psi$ -6 Yield (A260): 74% Expected Mass: 7101.5 Expected (M-6)/6 Ion: 1182.01 Found Deconvoluted Mass: 7102.00 Found (M-6)/6 Ion: 1182.2

97

1.50

1.00

516

2.50

2.00



3.00

3.50

4.00

4.50

5.00

5.50

6.00





Produced from 1 by General Procedure 2: Using  $\Psi$ -7 Yield (A260): 90% Expected Mass: 7203.790 Expected (M-6)/6 Ion: 1199.63 Found Deconvoluted Mass: 7204.00 Found (M-6)/6 Ion: 1199.23







Produced from 2 by General Procedure 1: Using  $\Psi$ -7 Yield (A260): 84% Expected Mass: 7203.79 Expected (M-6)/6 Ion: 1199.63 Found Deconvoluted Mass: 7204.00 Found (M-6)/6 Ion: 199.29

 $\begin{array}{c} H_2 N \neq N \neq 0 \\ \downarrow & \downarrow \\ 0 \\ \downarrow & \downarrow \\ 0 \\ HO - P = 0 \\ HO \\ HO \\ \end{array}$ 

Exact Mass: 7200.165 Molecular Weight: 7203.790





Using Ψ-16 Produced from 1 by General Procedure 2: Yield (A260): 88% Expected Mass: 7126.17 Expected (M-6)/6 Ion: 1186.03 Found Deconvoluted Mass: 7126.00 Found (M-6)/6 Ion: 1186.28







Using Ψ-16 Produced from 2 by General Procedure 2: Yield (A260): 64% Expected Mass: 7126.17 Expected (M-6)/6 Ion: 1186.03 Found Deconvoluted Mass: 7126.0 Found (M-6)/6 Ion: 1186.42





Deconvol	uted Mass	<u>Spectrum</u>			,, <u></u> ,	,,	,		
<sup>100</sup>		712	6.00						3.15e€
-									
1									
<del>%-</del>									
-									
			7164.00						
1									
		6991.00	7183.00						
0	·							. <u>  </u>	<del>mass</del>
5000	6000	700	0 ''	8000	9000	1000	0	11000	
Produced from 1 by General Procedure 2: Using  $\Psi$ -10 Yield (A260): 76% Expected Mass: 7269.9 Expected (M-6)/6 Ion: 1210.65 Found Deconvoluted Mass: 7270.0 Found (M-6)/6 Ion: 1210.5







Produced from 2 by General Procedure 2: Using  $\Psi$ -10 Yield (A260): 68% Expected Mass: 7269.9 Expected (M-6)/6 Ion: 1210.65 Found Deconvoluted Mass: 7270.0 Found (M-6)/6 Ion: 1210.5









Produced from 1 by General Procedure 2: Using Ψ-11 Yield (A260): 55% Expected Mass: 7193.83 Expected (M-6)/6 Ion: 1197.97 Found Deconvoluted Mass: 1197.69 Found (M-6)/6 Ion: 7194 and 7232.00 (potassium adduct)





# **Secondary Manipulations:**



Compound **21** (5 nmol) was synthesized by *General Procedure 2* and isolated crude after ethanol precipitation. Solid **21** was dissolved (100  $\mu$ L) in tris buffer (50 mM) containing TCEP (5 mM) at pH 8.5. This solution was vortexed and transferred to an HPLC vial. This reaction was injected onto the H-Class HPLC at different timepoints. The reaction was found to be complete after 35 minutes at room temperature. Reduction product **40** was characterized below.







#### **41 SPAAC Reaction:**



Compound **19** (5 nmol) was synthesized by *General Procedure 2* and isolated crude after ethanol precipitation. Solid **19** was dissolved (98  $\mu$ L) in tris buffer (50 mM) at pH 8.5. To this solution BDP-FL (2  $\mu$ L) was added from stock solution (5 mM) in DMA, to a final concentration of 100  $\mu$ M. This solution was vortexed and transferred to an HPLC vial. This reaction was injected onto the H-Class HPLC after 60 minutes. SPAAC product **41** was characterized below.

Produced from **19** Yield (A260): 86% Expected Mass: 7627.05 Expected (M-8)/8 Ion: 951.925 Found Deconvoluted Mass: 7627.0 Found (M-6)/6 Ion: 952.05 \*The two peaks at 3.91and 4.14 minutes correspond to the two regioisomers of **41**.









#### 42 CuAAC Reaction:



Compound 24 (5 nmol) was synthesized by *General Procedure 2* and isolated crude after ethanol precipitation. Solid 24 was dissolved (92.5  $\mu$ L) in tris buffer (50 mM) at pH 8.5. To this solution TAMRA Azide (2  $\mu$ L) was added from stock solution (5 mM) in DMA, (final concentration of 100  $\mu$ M). Next, BTTP (2  $\mu$ L) was added from a stock solution (40 mM in water). Next, CuSO4 (1  $\mu$ L) was added from a stock solution (40  $\mu$ M in water) (a final concentration of 400  $\mu$ M). The solution was capped and vortexed. Finally, sodium ascorbate (2.5  $\mu$ L) was added from a stock solution (100 mM in water). This reaction solution was vortexed and incubated for 1 hour at 37 °C. This reaction was injected onto the H-Class HPLC after 60 minutes. CuAAC product 42 was characterized below.







#### **43 CuAAC Reaction:**



Compound **24** (5 nmol) was synthesized by *General Procedure 2* and isolated crude after ethanol precipitation. Solid **24** was dissolved (92.5  $\mu$ L) in tris buffer (50 mM) at pH 8.5. To this solution Biotin Azide (2  $\mu$ L) was added from stock solution (5 mM) in DMA, (final concentration of 100  $\mu$ M). Next, BTTP (2  $\mu$ L) was added from a stock solution (40 mM in water). Next, CuSO<sub>4</sub> (1  $\mu$ L) was added from a stock solution (40  $\mu$ M in water) (a final concentration of 400  $\mu$ M). The solution was capped and vortexed. Finally, sodium ascorbate (2.5  $\mu$ L) was added from a stock solution (100 mM in water). This reaction solution was vortexed and incubated for 1 hour at 37 °C. This reaction was injected onto the H-Class HPLC after 60 minutes. CuAAC product **43** was characterized below.

Produced from 24 Yield (A260): 90% Expected Mass: 7387.04 Expected (M-8)/8 Ion: 921.9 Found Deconvoluted Mass: 7387 Found (M-6)/6 Ion: 922.4 11 0 HO 5'-C-C-G-A-G-T-C-A-A-'nо Exact Mass: 7383.30 Molecular Weight: 7387.04 A260 Chromatogram Absorbance (260 nm) 3 2 4 5 Time (min)

SI343





# Phosphorothioate (PS) compatibility:

#### **Protocol for SENDR on PS DNA:**

SENDR phsophorothioate (PS) compatibility was analyzed by performing reactions in microcentrifuge tube as described in *General Procedure 2*. Briefly, 5 nmol of PS DNA **44** was loaded onto 100  $\mu$ L of equilibrated resin. This loaded resin was washed with DMA (500  $\mu$ L twice) and dry THF (500  $\mu$ L three times) and dried under vacuum.

To the loaded and dried resin, PSI-module in MeCN (150 mM, 250  $\mu$ L) was added. Then DBU (450 mM, 18  $\mu$ L) was added to the reaction mixture. The reaction tube was vortexed for 30 seconds and incubated at 37°C for 60 minutes. The reactions were worked up by aspirating and discarding the reaction solution, and washing the resin bed with MeCN (500  $\mu$ L) and 1:1 MeCN:PBS (500  $\mu$ L). Elute buffer (300  $\mu$ L) was added to the resin bed and the tube was agitated by orbital shaker for 5-10 minutes. The DNA containing elution buffer was collected by carefully pipetting the supernatant. DNA was isolate *via* ethanol precipitation. Ethanol precipitation was performed by adding 10% v/v of a NaCl solution (30  $\mu$ L, 5M) to the elute buffer and three volumes of cold ethanol (-20 °C) were added to the tube (~1000 $\mu$ L) and incubated for 18 hours at -20 °C. The tube was then centrifuged at 13,000 rpm for 15 minutes to pellet the DNA, and the extra ethanol was decanted off. The tubes were dried *via* speed vacuum, the DNA was dissolved, and HPLC-MS analysis was performed.

Produced from 44 by General Procedure 2: Using  $\Psi$ -1 Yield (A260): 70% Expected Mass: 6962.52 Expected (M-6)/6 Ion: 1159.6 Found Deconvoluted Mass: 6963.0 Found (M-6)/6 Ion: 1159.4









Produced from 44 by General Procedure 2: Using  $\Psi$ -2 Yield (A260): 71% Expected Mass: 7041.62 Expected (M-6)/6 Ion: 1172.6 Found Deconvoluted Mass: 7040 Found (M-6)/6 Ion: 1172.7









Produced from 44 by General Procedure 2: Using **Ψ-12** Yield (A260): 74% Expected Mass: 6964.54 Expected (M-6)/6 Ion: 1158.9 Found Deconvoluted Mass: 6964.0 Found (M-6)/6 Ion: 1159.2 Or of the on the on the on HO O -\$I Exact Mass: 6959.58 Molecular Weight: 6964.54 A260 Chromatogram 260 4.8000Da Range: 1.09e-1 2.69 4867 8.0e-2 Area 6.0e-2 2.18 ₽₹ 1671 4.0e-2 2.0e-2 0.0 2.50 1.50 2.00 3.00 3.50 4.00 4.50 0.50 1.00 5.00 5.50 6.00 -0.00



Produced from 44 by General Procedure 2: Using  $\Psi$ -3 Yield (A260): 81% Expected Mass: 7015.54 Expected (M-6)/6 Ion: 1168.3 Found Deconvoluted Mass: 7015 Found (M-6)/6 Ion: 1168.07















Produced from **49** by General Procedure **3**: Using  $\Psi$ -**8** Yield (A260): 68% Expected Mass: 6632 Expected (M-8)/8 Ion: 828 Found Deconvoluted Mass: 6636.0 Found (M-8)/6 Ion: 828.42








## **SENDR on Aptamers:**

#### Aptamer compatibility protocol:

SENDR Aptamer compatibility was analyzed by performing reactions in microcentrifuge tube as described in *General Procedure 2*. Briefly, 5 nmol of aptamer **52** was loaded onto 100  $\mu$ L of equilibrated resin. This loaded resin was washed with DMA (500  $\mu$ L twice) and dry THF (500  $\mu$ L three times) and dried under vacuum.

To the loaded and dried resin, Using  $\Psi$ -4 in MeCN (150 mM, 250 µL) was added. Then DBU (450 mM, 18 µL) was added to the reaction mixture. The reaction tube was vortexed for 30 seconds and incubated at 37°C for 60 minutes. The reactions were worked up by aspirating and discarding the reaction solution, and washing the resin bed with MeCN (500 µL) and 1:1 MeCN:PBS (500 µL). Elute buffer (300 µL) was added to the resin bed and the tube was agitated by orbital shaker for 5-10 minutes. The DNA containing elution buffer was collected. DNA was isolate *via* ethanol precipitation. Ethanol precipitation was performed by adding 10% v/v of a NaCl solution (30 µL, 5M) to the elute buffer and three volumes of cold ethanol (-20 °C) were added to the tube (~1000µL) and incubated for 18 hours at -20 °C. The tube was then centrifuged at 13,000 rpm for 15 minutes to pellet the DNA, and the extra ethanol was decanted off. The tubes were dried *via* speed vacuum and the DNA was dissolved and HPLC-MS analysis was performed.

#### **LCMS Characterization of 53**





Deco	onvoluted Mass Spectrum							7 40-1
100	183	0.00						7.43e
~								
	18208.00	-18405.00						
			1.4					
0-4	7 / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / /	20000 2	25000	30000	35000	40000	45000	+++ mass 50000

Synthesis of Electrophile Modified hNE Aptamers R/S-(55)

Aptamer 54 was used in two SENDR protocols according to General Procedure 3 with both enantiomers of  $\Psi$ -14. Reaction conditions were slightly altered to increase conversion, PSI was used at 150 mM and the reaction was run at 37°C for 60 minutes. Modified aptamers **R**-(55) and **S**-(55) were isolated by ethanol precipitation. After ethanol precipitation unlabeled aptamer 54 was selectively degraded by ExoIII. In short, 10 ug of **S**-(55) or **R**-(55) were loaded into a PCR tube in 40  $\mu$ L water. To each tube CutSmart® (5  $\mu$ L, 10x), water (3  $\mu$ L), and ExoIII (2 $\mu$ L) was added. The reactions were vortexed and incubated at 37°C for 60 minutes at which point the ExoIII was thermally inactivated at 75°C for 10 minutes. The resulting DNA constructs were isolated by ZymoSpin oligo clean and concentrator column.

## Analysis of S-55 LCMS Characterization of S-55/ After SENDR

Produced from **54** by General Procedure **2**: Using (-)Ψ-14 Yield (A260): 76% Expected Mass: 13286.6 Found Deconvoluted Mass: 13288.0







## Analysis of S-55 LCMS Characterization of S55/ After ExoIII Digestion

Using (-)Ψ-14 Yield (A260): 91% Expected Mass: 13286.6 Found Deconvoluted Mass: 13287.0



Deco	nvoluted Ma	ss Spectr	um RT =	2.25						
100 <sub>1</sub>		·	13287.00			· /· 、	,			5.22e4
1										
1										
~										
1										
1	10221.00						47076 0	0		
	-10438.00				15183.00	16131.00 16	611.00	ں 17719.00 ج	18978.00	
0				una Ilu				Ludwider	Jugarden Herte	
1000	0 11000	12000	13000	14000	15000	16000	17000	18000	19000	+ mass

### Analysis of **R-55** LCMS Characterization of R-55/ SEDNR

Using (+)Ψ-14 Yield (A260): 63% Expected Mass: 13286.6 Found Deconvoluted Mass: 13286.0





Deco	onvoluted	l Mass Sp	pectrum R7	$\Gamma = 2.22$	Minutes				
100 <sub>1</sub>			1328	6.00					4.71e5
-									
*									
-									
-									
-									
-			13148.00						
				12240.00					
		11388.00	129/4.00	13340.00	14234.00				19928.00
0-	- President and the second	<b>4</b>	┉╄╋┿┯╼╼╼╍┫┥╇┥		·	<u>, a. a. a. a. a.</u>	 <u>, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , </u>	<del>,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,</del>	mass

## Analysis of **R-55** LCMS Characterization of R-55/ After EXOIII

Using (+)Ψ-14

Yield (A260): 83% Expected Mass: 13286.6 Found Deconvoluted Mass: 13287.0



Deconvo	luted Ma	ass Spect	rum RT :	= 2.21						
100-	`	/ 1- /	13287.0	00			,			2.45et
*-										
وليراجب		. احروب		م الرب و و و و و						mass
10000	11000	12000	13000	14000	15000	16000	17000	18000	19000	

## **hNE Inhibition Assay**

#### Protocol

**R/S-(55)** were used directly in the assay after isolation, without further purification. Activity of human neutrophil elastase (hNE) was measured using the method described previously<sup>2</sup> with slight modifications in a total volume of 10  $\mu$ L in a reaction buffer of PBS (pH 7.4) and 0.05% (v/v) Nonidet<sup>TM</sup> P 40 Substitute (Sigma). Final composition of each reaction was 5 nM hNE (Elastin Products Corp.), 50  $\mu$ M AAPV-aminomethylcoumarin (AMC) substrate (Millipore), and various concentrations of compounds. hNE was incubated with inhibitors for 10 min at room temperature before addition of AAPV-AMC. Residual proteolytic activity was measured kinetically at 25 °C using a Synergy H1 microplate reader (BioTek) for a total of 30 min at 30 sec intervals (Excitation: 380 nm, Emission: 460 nm). Only data points reflecting linear substrate conversion were used to determine relative protease activity. IC<sub>50</sub> values were obtained by fitting the data to a concentration-response inhibition, log (inhibitor) vs. response – variable slope (four parameters) using GraphPad Prism.

Raw Data			
Raw data for R-(	55)		
Log [M]		R-(55)	
-6	0.08443	0.09821	0.1046
-6.30103	0.08173	0.09096	0.09701
-6.60206	0.1038	0.1246	0.1173
-6.90309	0.09301	0.1166	0.1337
-7.20412	0.1193	0.144	0.1471
-7.50515	0.1201	0.1623	0.1527
-7.80618	0.1355	0.1876	0.1953
-8.10721	0.1741	0.2032	0.2049
-8.40824	0.2159	0.2269	0.2179
-8.70927	0.2347	0.2387	0.2242
-9.0103	0.2237	0.248	0.2462

## Raw Data for S-(55)

Log [M]		S-(55)	
-6	0.1285	0.1268	0.1318
-6.301	0.1127	0.1152	0.1286
-6.6021	0.13	0.1229	0.1433
-6.9031	0.1256	0.1381	0.1447
-7.2041	0.1514	0.1531	0.1821
-7.5052	0.177	0.1934	0.2086
-7.8062	0.2347	0.2358	0.2644
-8.1072	0.253	0.2727	0.298
-8.4082	0.3032	0.3237	0.3083
-8.7093	0.3229	0.3286	0.3441
-9.0103	0.3415	0.3645	0.3692

## Raw Data for **54**

Log [M]		54	
-6	0.1285	0.1268	0.1318
-6.301	0.1127	0.1152	0.1286
-6.6021	0.13	0.1229	0.1433
-6.9031	0.1256	0.1381	0.1447
-7.2041	0.1514	0.1531	0.1821
-7.5052	0.177	0.1934	0.2086
-7.8062	0.2347	0.2358	0.2644
-8.1072	0.253	0.2727	0.298
-8.4082	0.3032	0.3237	0.3083
-8.7093	0.3229	0.3286	0.3441
-9.0103	0.3415	0.3645	0.3692

## **Plotted Data**

Plotted Data for R-(55)



## Non Linear Fit Parameters

Best-fit values	
Bottom	0.08754
Тор	0.2613
LogIC50	-7.820
HillSlope	-0.7621
IC50	1.513e-008
Span	0.1737
95% CI (profile likelihood)	
Bottom	0.002397 to 0.1062
Тор	0.2324 to 0.5491
LogIC50	-9.462 to -7.536
HillSlope	-1.303 to -0.2260
IC50	3.451e-010 to 2.912e-008
Goodness of Fit	
Degrees of Freedom	29
R squared	0.9290
Sum of Squares	0.006909
Sy.x	0.01543

# **Plotted Data for S-(55)**



**Non-Linear Fit Parameters** 

Best-fit values	
Bottom	0.1175
Тор	0.3686
LogIC50	-7.858
HillSlope	-1.005
IC50	1.386e-008
Span	0.2510
95% CI (profile likelihood)	
Bottom	0.1021 to 0.1292
Тор	0.3464 to 0.4059
LogIC50	-8.016 to -7.742
HillSlope	-1.305 to -0.7440
IC50	9.635e-009 to 1.811e-008
Goodness of Fit	
Degrees of Freedom	29
R squared	0.9793
Sum of Squares	0.005105
Sy.x	0.01327

# Plotted Data for 54



### Nonlinear Fit Parameters

Best-fit values	
Bottom	0.1175
Тор	0.3686
LogIC50	-7.858
HillSlope	-1.005
IC50	1.386e-008
Span	0.2510
95% CI (profile likelihood)	
Bottom	0.1021 to 0.1292
Тор	0.3464 to 0.4059
LogIC50	-8.016 to -7.742
HillSlope	-1.305 to -0.7440
IC50	9.635e-009 to 1.811e-008
Goodness of Fit	
Degrees of Freedom	29
R squared	0.9793
Sum of Squares	0.005105
Sy.x	0.01327

# SENDR on Biosynthetically Derived DNA

#### **Construction of 56**

#### **PCR Amplification:**

To a PCR tube template strand (SI-18) (2  $\mu$ L, 5 ng from 2 ng/ $\mu$ L stock), primer 1 (SI-19) (2.5  $\mu$ L from a 10  $\mu$ M stock), primer 2 (SI-20) (2.5  $\mu$ L from a 10  $\mu$ M stock), water (18  $\mu$ L) were added on ice. To this mixture Q5® High Fidelity 2x Master Mix (NEB) was added (25  $\mu$ L). Tubes were place into a preheated (95°C) thermocycler. An initial denaturing step of 95 °C for 30 was performed. This was followed by 30 rounds standard PCR protocol, with a denaturing step at 95 °C for 10 seconds, an annealing step at 59 °C for 15 seconds and an elongation step at 72 °C for 20 minute. A final extension step was performed at 752 °C for 2 minutes. The PCR products were purified by ZymoSpin Oligo Clean and Concentrator and eluted in 50  $\mu$ L and carried forward.

#### Template Strand: SI-18

/5Phos/atagtggtccagatgaccaaattggctactaccgaagagctacccgacgagttcgtggtggtggtgacggcaaaatgaaagagctca gccccagatggtacttctattacctaggaactggcccagaagcttcacttccctacggcgctaacaaagaaggcatcgtatgggttgcaact gagggagccttgaatacacccaaa

Primer 1: **SI-19** /5Phos/ aacccatacgatgccttctttgt

Primer 2: **SI-20** ctactaccgaagagctacccgac

PCR Map

Primer 2 ctactaccgaaggctacccgac	
Template strand	
⊂ alayiyyillayaiyallaaaliyyilallalgaayayilalliyalyayiliyiyyiyyiyalyylaaaalyaaaayayilayil	
caatcaacaccaatagtggtccagatgaccaaattggctactaccgaagagctacccgacgagttcgtggtggtggcgaaaatgaaagagctcagccccagatggtacttctattacc	28,440
N GEIE	/
Amplicon	
Template strand	
Template strand Primer 3	
Template strand   Primer 3     taggaactggccccagaagcttcacttccctacggcgctaacaaagaaggcatcgtatgggttgcaactgagggagccttgaatacacccaaa   attggcacccgcaatcctaata	
Template strand   Primer 3     taggaactggcccagaagcttcacttccctacggcgctaacaagaaggcatcgtatgggttgcaactgaggggggccttgaatacaacccaaag   attggcacccgcaatcctaata     taggaactggcccagaagcttcacttccctacggcgctaacaagaggcatcgtatgggttgcaactgaggggggccttgaatacaacccaaag   attggcacccgcaatcctaata	
Template strand   Primer 3     taggaactggcccagaagcttcacttccctacggcgctaacaagaaggcatcgtatgggttgcaactgagggagg	28.560
Template strand Primer 3   taggaactggcccagaagcttcacttccctacggcgctaacaaagaaggcatcgtatgggttgcaactgaggggagccttgaatacaacccaaag attggcacccgcaatcctaata   taggaactggcccagaagcttcacttccctacggcgctaacaaagaaggcatcgtatgggttgcaactgaggggggccttgaatacaacccaaagaaccacattggcacccgcaatcctaata attggcacccgcaatcctaata   taggaactggcccagaagcttcacttccctacggcgctaacaaagaaggcatcgtatgggttgcaactgagggggccttgaatacacccaaagaccacattggcacccgcaatcctaata attggcacccgcaatcctaata   taggaactggcccagaagcttcacttccctacggcgctaacaaagaaggcatcgtatgggttgcaactgagggagccttgaatacacccaaagaccacattggcacccgcaatcctaata attggcacccgcaatcctaata	28,560
Template strand   Primer 3     taggaactggcccagaagcttcacttccctacggcgctaacaaagaaggcatcgtatgggttgcaactgaggggggccttgaatacacccaaag   attggcacccgcaatcctaata     taggaactggcccagaagcttcacttccctacggcgctaacaaagaaggcatcgtatgggttgcaactgaggggggccttgaatacacccaaagaccacattggcacccgcaatcctaata   attggcacccgcaatcctaata     taggaactggcccagaagcttcacttccctacggcgctaacaaagaaggcatcgtatgggttgcaactgaggggggccttgaatacacccaaagaccacattggcacccgcaatcctaata   attggcacccgcaatcctaata     taggaactggcctcggatgtcttcgaagtgaagggatgccgcgattgttcttccgtagcatacccaacgttgactccctcggaacttatgtgggtttctggtgtaaccgtggcgttaggattat   attggcacccgcggtttctgggggggggggggggggggg	28,560
Template strand Primer 3   taggaactggcccagaagcttcacttccctacggcgctaacaagaaggcatcgatgggttgcaactgagggagg	28,560
Template strand Primer 3   taggaactggcccagaagcttcacttccctacggcgctaacaaagaaggcatcgtatgggttgcaactgagggagg	28,560
Templete strand Primer 3   taggaactggcccagaagcttcacttccctacggcgctaacaagaaggcatcgtatgggttgcaactggagggggccttgaatacaaccaag attggcacccgcaatcctaata   taggaactggcccagaagcttcacttccctacggcgctaacaagaaggcatcgtatgggttgcaactggagggggccttgaatacaacaagacagcacccgcaatcctaata attggcacccgcaatcctaata   taggaactggcccagaagcttcacttccctacggcgctaacaagaaggcatcgtatgggttgcaactgaggggggccttgaatacacccaaagacagcacccgcaatcctaata attggcacccgcaatcctaata   taggaactggcccagaaggttctcgaagtgaagggatgccgcgatgtttcttccgtagcatacccaacgttgactccctcggaacttatgtgggtttctggtgtaaccgtgggcgttaggattat attgscaccggggcgtagggtggcgggggggggggggggg	28,560
Template strand Primer 3   taggaactggcccagaagcttcacttccctacggcgctaacaagaaggcatcgtatgggttgcaactgggggggg	28,560
Templete strad Primer 3   taggaactggcccagaagcttcacttccctacggcgcttacacaaagaggcatcgtatgggttgcaactgagggggcccttgaatacacccaaa attggcacccgcaatcctatagccccacaagaccccctatggcacccgcaatcctatatg   taggaactggcccagaagcttcacttccctacggcgcttacacaagaaggcatcgtatgggttgcaactgagggagccttgaatacacccaaagaccacattggcacccgcaatcctaata attggcacccgcaatcctaata   taggaactggcccagaagcttcacttccctacggcgcttaccaagaaggcatcgtatgggttgcaactgagggagccttgaatacacccaaagaccacattggcacccgcaatcctaata attggcacccgcaatcctaata   tatggcaccgggtcttcgaagtgaagggatgccgcgattgtttcttccgtagcatacccacagtgagcatcctcgaacttatgtgggtttctggtgtaaccgtgggcgttaggattat 115 120 125 130 135 140 145 150 150 1   L 115 . . 126 . . 135 . . 150 . <t< td=""><td>28,560</td></t<>	28,560

## Analysis of Amplicons

#### 5' Phosphorylated Amplicon

5'—A-A-C-C-C-A-T-A-C-G—A-T-G-C-C-T-T-C-T-T—T-G-T-T-A-G-C-G-C-C—G-T-A-G-G-G-A-A-G-T G-A-A-G-C-T-T-C-T-G—G-G-C-C-A-G-T-T-C-C—T-A-G-G-T-A-A-T-A-G—A-A-G-T-A-C-C-A-T-C T-G-G-G-G-C-T-G-A-G—C-T-C-T-T-C-A-T-T—T-T-G-C-C-G-T-C-A-C—C-A-C-C-A-C-G-A-A-C-

LT-C-G-T-C-G-G-G-T-A-G-C-T-C-T-T-C-G-G-T-A-G-T-A-G-3'



## Deconvoluted Mass Spectrum RT=2.28 min



Deconvoluted Mass Spectrum RT=2.79 min

5' Phosphorylated Amplicon SI-39

5'—A-A-C-C-C-A-T-A-C-G—A-T-G-C-C-T-T-C-T-T—T-G-T-T-A-G-C-G-C-C—G-T-A-G-G-G-A-A-G-T

Lg-a-a-g-c-t-t-c-t-g-g-g-g-c-c-a-g-t-t-c-c-t-a-g-g-t-a-a-t-a-g-a-a-g-t-a-c-c-a-t-c-

LT-G-G-G-G-C-T-G-A-G-C-T-C-T-T-T-C-A-T-T-T-G-C-C-G-T-C-A-C-C-A-C-C-A-C-G-A-A-C-

LT-C-G-T-C-G-G-G-T-A-G-C-T-C-T-T-C-G-G-T-A-G-T-A-G-3' Molecular Weight: 44791.83



### Lambda Exonuclease Degradation:

To the DNA mixture from the previous step (40  $\mu$ L), CutSmart® (5  $\mu$ L, 10x), water (3  $\mu$ L), and Lambda Exonuclease (2  $\mu$ L) was added in a PCR tube. This mixture was vortexed and incubated at 37°C for 30 minutes at which point the lambda exonuclease was thermally denatured at 75°C for 10 minutes. The resulting ssDNA (not phosphorylated) was isolated using a ZymoSpin Oligo clean and concentrator column.

Oligo03618 2: Diode Array 260 4.8000Da 2.28 Range: 5.625e-1 4.0e-3.0e-1 -₽ 2.0e-1 1.0e-1 0.0 1.50 2.00 0.50 1.00 2.50 3.00 3.50 4.00 4.50 5.00

Analysis of Lambda Exonuclease Digestion:



#### **T4PNK Phosphorylation:**

To the DNA mixture from the previous step (30  $\mu$ L), CutSmart® (5  $\mu$ L, 10x), DTT (5  $\mu$ L, 50 mM Stock), ATP (5 µL from 10 mM stock), water (3 uL) and T4PNK (2 µL) was added in a PCR tube. This mixture was vortexed and incubated at 37°C for 60 minutes at which point the T4PNK was thermally denatured at 75°C for 10 minutes. The resulting ssDNA 56 was isolated using a ZymoSpin Oligo clean and concentrator column.



Analysis of T4PNK Phosphorylation **56**:

## DNA 56

5'—C-T-A-C-T-A-C-C-G-A—A-G-A-G-C-T-A-C-C-C—G-A-C-G-A-G-T-T-C-G—T-G-G-T-G-G-T-G-A-C-

LG-G-C-A-A-A-A-T-G-A-A-A-G-A-G-C-T-C-A-G-C-C-C-C-A-G-A-T-G-G-T-A-C-T-T-C-T-A-T-T

La-c-c-t-a-g-g-a-a-c-t-g-g-c-c-c-a-g-a-a-g-c-t-t-c-a-c-t-t-c--c-c-t-a-c-g-g-c-g-c-



#### Analysis of PCR and Enzymatic Modifications by DNA Gel:

Without purification, 1  $\mu$ L of each DNA sample was added to 4  $\mu$ L water and 1  $\mu$ L Gel Loading Dye, Purple (6X), no SDS. Laddther was Thermofisher O'RangeRuler 10 bp DNA Ladder. Then, 5  $\mu$ L of each sample was loaded onto a 5% agarose gel, which had been freshly cast with 7.5 g UltraPure Agarose, 150 mL 1X TAE buffer and 15  $\mu$ L 10,000X SYBR<sup>TM</sup> Safe DNA Gel Stain. The gel was run in 1X TAE buffer at 150 V for 30 minutes.



150 bp→ 100 bp→

#### **SENDR: 56→57**

DNA 56 was used in SENDR Protocol without variation.

Analysis of **57**: Produced from **56** by General Procedure **2**: Using  $\Psi$ -7 Yield (A260): 54% Expected Mass: 45222.23 Found Deconvoluted Mass: 45224.00



Deconvoluted Mass Spectrum RT=2.48



# **DNA BSA Conjugation**

#### **Procedure for the creation of 59**

DNA **59** was synthesized using *General Procedure 2*. Briefly, 100 nmol of DNA **58** was loaded onto two tubes each containing 100  $\mu$ L of equilibrated resin. This loaded resin was washed with DMA (500  $\mu$ L twice) and dry THF (500  $\mu$ L three times) and dried under vacuum. These tubes were manipulated in parallel.

**Ψ-8** in MeCN (150 mM, 250 µL) was added to the loaded and dried resin. Then DBU (450 mM, 18 µL) was added to the reaction mixture. The reaction tube was vortexed for 30 seconds incubated at 37 °C for 60 minutes. The reactions were worked up by aspirating and discarding the reaction solution, and washing the resin bed with MeCN (500 µL) and 1:1 MeCN:PBS (500 µL). Elute buffer (300 µL) was added to the resin bed and the tube was agitated by orbital shaker for 5-10 minutes. The DNA containing elution buffer was collected. DNA was isolate *via* ethanol precipitation. Ethanol precipitation was performed by adding 10% v/v of a NaCl solution (30 µL, 5M) to the elute buffer and three volumes of cold ethanol (-20°C) were added to the tube (~1000µL) and incubated for 18 hours at -20 °C. The tube was then centrifuged at 13,000 rpm for 15 minutes to pellet the DNA, and the extra ethanol was decanted off. The tubes were dried *via* speed vacuum and the DNA was dissolved and HPLC-MS analysis was performed.

#### **LCMS Characterization of 59**

1.0e-1

0.0

-0.00

0.26

0.50

1.00

Produced from **58** by General Procedure **2**: Using  $\Psi$ -8 Conversion (A260): 48% Expected Mass: 7192.88 Expected (M-6)/6 Ion: 1197.81 Found Deconvoluted Mass: 7193.0 Found (M-6)/6 Ion: 1197.74 Total DNA Recovery: 60 nmol (60%)



2.70

3.00

3.50

4.00

4.50

5.50

5.00

6.00

2.50

1.88

2.00

1.50






## Procedure for the creation of 60

Compound **59** was synthesized by *General Procedure 2* and isolated crude after ethanol precipitation. DNA **59** was dissolved to 300  $\mu$ M in PBS. Solid BSA was dissolved in PBS (2.5 mg/mL, ~40  $\mu$ M). these two solutions were combined in a PCR tube (25  $\mu$ L of each for a reaction volume of 50  $\mu$ L) and the resulting reaction mixture was incubated in a thermocycler for 4 hours. The crude ligation solution was diluted to 0.5 mg/mL with respect to BSA and injected for intact protein analysis. Deconvolution across the entire mass peak showed no detectible unmodified BSA remaining.

# Analysis of 60



# **DNA DVD Conjugation**

## **Procedure for the creation of SI-40**

DNA **SI-40** was synthesized using *General Procedure 2*. Briefly, 100 nmol of DNA **61** was loaded onto two tubes containing 100  $\mu$ L of equilibrated resin. This loaded resin was washed with DMA (500  $\mu$ L twice) and dry THF (500  $\mu$ L three times) and dried under vacuum. These tubes were manipulated in parallel.

**Ψ-5** in MeCN (150 mM, 250 µL) was added to the loaded and dried resin. Then DBU (450 mM, 18 µL) was added to the reaction mixture. The reaction tube was vortexed for 30 seconds incubated at 37 °C for 60 minutes. The reaction was worked up by aspirating and discarding the reaction solution, and washing the resin bed with MeCN (500 µL) and 1:1 MeCN:PBS (500 µL). Elute buffer (300 µL) was added to the resin bed and the tube was agitated by orbital shaker for 5-10 minutes. The DNA containing elution buffer was collected. DNA was isolate *via* ethanol precipitation. Ethanol precipitation was performed by adding 10% v/v of a NaCl solution (30 µL, 5M) to the elute buffer and three volumes of cold ethanol (-20 °C) were added to the tube (~1000µL) and incubated for 18 hours at -20 °C. The tube was then centrifuged at 13,000 rpm for 15 minutes to pellet the DNA, and the extra ethanol was decanted off. The tubes were dried *via* speed vacuum and the DNA was dissolved and HPLC-MS analysis was performed.

Produced from **61** by General Procedure **2**: Crude Conversion (A260): 73% Expected Mass: 6407.2 Expected (M-6)/6 Ion: 1066.34 Found Deconvoluted Mass: 6407.0 Found (M-6)/6 Ion: 1066.5 Crude Isolated Recovery: 44%



Exact Mass: 6404.04 Molecular Weight: 6407.20





Dec	onvo	oluted N	Mass Sp	oectrum									
100-	1				(	5407.00							5.84e11
	1												
.													
.													
8-													
.													
· ·													
.													
0-40	00	4500	5000	5500	6000	6500	7000	7500	8000	8500	9000	9500	mass

#### **Procedure for the creation 62**



Crude isolated DNA **SI-40** was purified using RP HPLC. After purification 15 nMol (15% total starting material) of pure **SI-40** was obtained. To **SI-40** a CuAAC reaction was performed. Compound **SI-40** (15 nmol) was dissolved (92.5  $\mu$ L) in tris buffer (50 mM) at pH 8.5. To this solution the  $\beta$ -lactam azide **SI-53** (2  $\mu$ L) was added from stock solution (30 mM) in DMA, (final concentration of 300  $\mu$ M). Next, BTTP (2  $\mu$ L) was added from a stock solution (40 mM in water). Next, CuSO<sub>4</sub> (1  $\mu$ L) was added from a stock solution (40  $\mu$ M in water) (a final concentration of 400  $\mu$ M). The solution was capped and vortexed. Finally, sodium ascorbate (2.5  $\mu$ L) was added for 1 hour at 37 °C. DNA **62** was ethanol precipitated, to remove excess small molecules, as previously described. Mass recovery and conversion for this transformation was assumed to be quantitative for subsequent protein conjugation.

Produced from **SI-40**: Crude Conversion (A260): >85% Expected Mass: 6826.63 Expected (M-6)/6 Ion: 1136.20 Found Deconvoluted Mass: 6827 + Cu Adducts Found (M-6)/6 Ion: 1136.4







# **DNA-DVD** conjugation procedure

DVD in storage solution was buffer exchanged into PBS by amicon spin filter (30 kDA) and concentrated to 25  $\mu$ M (~5 mg/mL). This solution was used to dissolve (50  $\mu$ L) solid DNA **62** to a presumptive concertation of 300  $\mu$ M. In addition, a second tube containing 50  $\mu$ L of DVD was aliquoted and taken through an identical process to serve as a positive control. Dissolving **62** with DVD solution was performed by vortexing the solution. This reaction mixture was incubated at 37 °C for 8 hours to produce DNA-DVD conjugate **63**. The crude reactions mixture was analyzed for conjugation efficiency as previously described by Rader *et. al.*<sup>3</sup>

#### **Methodol Assay**

The methodol assay for conjugation confirmation was performed as described by Rader and coworkers. Briefly, aliquots (12.5  $\mu$ L) of the reaction and control solutions were diluted (0.2 mg/mL relative to original antibody concentration) in PBS to a final volume of 310  $\mu$ L. Each sample were dispensed (98  $\mu$ L) in triplicate into a black 96-well plate. Three blank wells, containing PBS were also dispensed (98  $\mu$ L) into the black plate. A plate reader was prepared, the wavelength of excitation ( $\lambda$ ext) was set to 330 nm and wavelength of emission ( $\lambda$ em) was set to 452 nm. The instrument was programed to record every minute for 60 minutes and shake the plate in between. Finally, methodol (10 mM in ethanol) was added (2 $\mu$ L) to each well using a multichannel pipette and the plate was immediately loaded into the plate reader and data collection initiated. Signal was determined by normalizing against the blank wells. Measurements in triplicate were averaged and plotted along with standard deviation. Standard deviation was usually smaller than the marker size. Protocol adapted from: A. R. Nanna and C. Rader, *Methods Mol Biol*, **2019**, *2033*, 39-52.



# Methodol Assay Raw Data:

Time (min)		Control			DVD		C	VD+DNA	4
0	4 813	6.357	5.112	56 766	53 479	51.822	5,719	3 785	4 994
1	5 779	3 962	6 109	95 476	96 689	89 292	6 1 1 4	5 4 3 5	6 685
2	5 863	5 366	5 509	125 36	133 37	127 32	8 667	6 217	7 122
2	5.548	6 566	6 430	166 31	167.88	166.5	8 773	7 / 22	7.122
3	5.340	4 774	6 747	206.97	205.00	204.9	7 166	7 702	7.704
4	0.777	4.774	0.747	200.07	205.98	204.0	1.100	1.192	1.920
5	0.511	4.014	0.308	249.11	248.03	243.76	8./6/	9.713	10.174
6	4.227	5.487	5.596	291.87	290.45	286.37	10.22	9.39	7.816
7	5.7	5.688	7.117	336.98	335.9	336.92	9.428	12.136	11.267
8	7.151	4.483	7.073	391.48	386.59	383.17	9.949	14.497	13.91
9	6.632	6.401	5.278	437.88	414.02	416.41	14.196	13.759	14.397
10	6.318	5.399	7.315	471.17	458.82	456.27	13.645	14.922	12.905
11	6.58	4.204	6.119	511.83	511.51	501.86	16.444	15.726	17.444
12	5.014	6.556	6.253	561.34	548.15	561.01	15.801	19.052	19.132
13	5.269	6.264	6.618	597.37	590.15	590.84	18.221	17.48	18.54
14	4.601	7.207	5.439	637.05	636.35	631.93	20.223	21.872	20.629
15	6.21	4.36	6.972	683.76	669.1	672.47	19.044	19.496	19.355
16	6.395	6.288	6.027	747.01	732.83	727.67	21.755	24.39	22.252
17	6.729	5.695	5.602	760.87	747.73	763.86	22.258	23.619	22.843
18	4.902	7.298	5.627	810.16	811.91	793.71	22.088	25.07	25.425
19	6 954	6 6 5 9	5 4 9	854 11	837 49	844 61	28 222	23 736	26 629
20	6 867	5 246	6 748	886.09	874.09	874 37	26 365	25 273	26.677
20	5 383	5 222	6 976	036 53	920 21	923.47	26.000	20.270	20.077
21	6.952	6 222	6 1 2 6	1005 7	020.21	002.02	27.250	21 257	20.323
22	5.512	6 002	6 1 2 9	1003.7	1015.6	1007 4	27.239	29.21	29.347
23	5.515	5 702	6 97	1007.0	1013.0	1007.4	20.034	20.21	21 705
24	0.00	5.703	0.07	1065.9	1027.3	1040.5	30.017	32.004	31./00
25	6.004	1.747	6.449	1115.8	1081.8	1115.0	34.948	30.753	35.451
26	6.952	4.984	4.724	1114.8	1093.5	1097.8	33.571	31.443	34.195
27	5.418	7.521	4.372	1195.4	1159.5	1147.9	34.929	36.269	36.388
28	5.892	6.805	7.725	1206.3	1176.2	1175.5	33.615	36.81	33.069
29	5.745	5.655	4.88	1230	1219.6	1237.1	35.952	35.135	38.308
30	4.098	6.869	5.767	1251.1	1258.7	1277	38.678	36.053	41.436
31	6.541	5.135	5.41	1307.8	1299.2	1307.4	36.99	41.447	38.118
32	7.755	6.277	4.241	1350.1	1336.7	1347.9	41.741	38.865	42.119
33	4.777	6.663	6.414	1402.9	1378.5	1385	39.111	44.909	43.161
34	5.165	7.52	6.512	1403.6	1373.3	1402.5	41.781	45.707	44.158
35	6.156	4.771	6.389	1457.2	1426.4	1463.8	42.858	45.501	45.584
36	7.009	5.765	5.657	1492.6	1495.6	1506.8	45.72	46.675	47.945
37	7.032	5.683	5.893	1533.3	1484.8	1524	47.531	50.302	49.256
38	4.559	8.857	5.67	1564.5	1556.7	1570.4	48.533	44.907	50.719
39	5.461	7.194	5.188	1602	1578.7	1595.3	45.227	49.651	52.87
40	6.613	6.042	7.168	1636	1617	1654.6	47,746	50.65	53,776
41	5 345	6 697	5.75	1653.5	1605.3	1635.6	51 599	53 259	51 561
42	7 773	6 158	5.62	1715.4	1702.6	1735.8	53 903	55 252	55 449
43	5 055	6 4 4 3	7 933	1669.5	1621.4	1674 1	52 7	53 942	51 127
40	4 025	6 35	5 12	1728.1	1690.6	1723.1	51 876	57 908	56 334
44	5 808	5 723	6.062	1730.0	1738 /	17/1	56.076	57 615	55 425
40	6 205	6 250	6.21	1791 5	1796 7	1702	60.277	59,905	57 506
40	5.071	6.406	4 700	1925 /	1704.9	1770.1	56 55	56 595	60.022
47	5.971	7 202	4.709	1020.4	1/94.0	1040.1	50.00	61 072	50.044
40	0.029	7.202	0.000	1002.0	1031.0	1040.1	50.339	50.00	59.941
49	0.416	0.093	0.528	1005./	1040.9	1905	50.923	59.38	29.887
50	6.283	5.27	6.002	1855.1	18/1	1868.2	59.419	60.198	62.85
51	0.523	6.58	5.649	1905.1	1891.5	1898.9	60.782	64.608	66.772
52	7.091	6.33	4.083	1909	1869.8	1888.8	62.243	62.215	63.381
53	4.3	5.85	4.851	1948.5	1940.2	1903.4	64.338	64.485	63.638
54	5.291	7.323	7.095	1995.6	1924.7	1945.8	65.252	69.041	65.932
55	6.488	5.384	6.398	1977.5	1948.2	1958.5	64.439	68.919	65.464
56	6.149	4.663	7.725	2007.1	2016.1	1998.5	64.769	73.471	71.441
57	6.4	6.638	6.978	2071.5	2010.7	2038.2	67.684	72.716	70.504
58	6.732	5.518	6.723	2068.9	2048.8	2062.4	71.295	70.981	73.276
59	7.388	4.446	5.686	2099.6	2090.8	2060.4	68.77	73.455	73.328
60	6.68	4.384	7.986	2100.4	2110.4	2092.1	67.195	76.714	72.241

## **SDS PAGE Analysis**

SDS Page was performed to confirm mass increase of the DVD-DNA construct. For this analysis 1  $\mu$ g of the reaction and the control were aliquoted into PCR tubes. To these tubes 6X Lamelli buffer (6 $\mu$ L) was added and finally the tubes were diluted with water (to 24  $\mu$ L). These reactions were heated at 95 °C for 10 minutes before being loaded into separate lanes on a precast Bio-Rad 4-20% SDS PAGE Gel. To another lane of Bio-Rad Precsion Plus Protein standard was added (7  $\mu$ L). The rest of the lanes were loaded with 6x Lamelli buffer (6 $\mu$ L). The gel was run at 200V for 30 minutes at which point it was stained with Coomassie protein stain and distained with water over night. Finally, the gel was imaged on a Bio-Rad gel imager. Protocol adapted from: A. R. Nanna and C. Rader, *Methods Mol Biol*, **2019**, *2033*, 39-52.



# **Creation of Dual Labeled Probes:**

# **Procedure For the Creation of 65-67**

## 64**→**SI-41

SENDR was used to access the dual label probes. The first tag was appended to the 3' end of DNA **64** using *General Procedure 2*. Briefly, 25 nmol of precursor **64** was loaded onto 100  $\mu$ L of equilibrated resin. This loaded resin was washed with DMA (500  $\mu$ L twice) and dry THF (500  $\mu$ L three times) and dried under vacuum.  $\Psi$ -**5** in MeCN (150 mM, 250  $\mu$ L) was added to the loaded and dried resin. Then DBU (450 mM, 18  $\mu$ L) was added to the reaction mixture. The reaction tube was vortexed for 30 seconds and incubated at 37 °C for various amounts of time. The reactions were worked up by aspirating and discarding the reaction solution, and washing the resin bed with MeCN (500  $\mu$ L) and 1:1 MeCN:PBS (500  $\mu$ L). Elute buffer (300  $\mu$ L) was added to the resin bed and the tube was agitated by orbital shaker for 5-10 minutes. The DNA containing elution buffer was collected by carefully pipetting the supernatant. DNA was isolate *via* ethanol precipitation. Ethanol precipitation was performed by adding 10% v/v of a NaCl solution (30  $\mu$ L, 5M) to the elute buffer and three volumes of cold ethanol (-20°C) were added to the tube (~1000 $\mu$ L) and incubated for 18 hours at -20 °C. The tube was then centrifuged at 13,000 rpm for 15 minutes to pellet the DNA, and the extra ethanol was decanted off. The tubes were dried *via* speed vacuum and the DNA was dissolved and HPLC-MS analysis was performed.

#### SI-41→65

Dephosphorylation of the 5' end of **SI-41** to generate **65** along with degradation of unlabeled 3' DNA was carried out as follows. The crude reaction mixture from the previous step was dissolved in water (120  $\mu$ L). This solution was aliquoted (40 $\mu$ L) into three separate PCR tubes. To these tubes, Cut Smart 10X Buffer was added (5 $\mu$ L) and the tubes were vortexed. Finally, rSAP (2.5  $\mu$ L) and ExoIII (2.5 uL) was added to each tube. These tubes were vortexed, spun down and placed in a thermocycler. They were incubated at 37 °C for 60 min, then the enzymes were deactivated at 70 °C for 10 minutes. The reaction mixtures were isolated by zymo spin column.

# 65**→**66

**65** was loaded onto resin and modified with SENDR by *General Procedure 2* using  $\Psi$ -17. After this reaction, the crude reaction mixture is ethanol precipitated, pelleted, dried, dissolved and analyzed by HPLC-MS.

# Lambda Exo Cleanup of 66

**66** was loaded into a PCR tube (5  $\mu$ g) in 30 uL water. To each tube CutSmart (5  $\mu$ L at 10x), ATP (5 $\mu$ L at 5 mM) and DTT (5 $\mu$ L at 50 mM) was added. Finally Lambda exonuclease (2.5  $\mu$ L) and T4PNK (2.5  $\mu$ L) were added. These tubes were incubated for 90 minutes at 37°C at which point the enzymes were thermally deactivated at 75°C for 10 minutes.

66→SI-42→67

**66** was added to a PCR tube in 38 uL water. To this tube DMSO (8 uL), Tris buffer (2  $\mu$ L, 1M at pH 8.5) and DBCO Fluorescein (2  $\mu$ L, 10 mM, in DMSO) were added. This reaction was allowed to incubate at 37°C for 120 minutes and excess regents were removed by zymo spin column. Resulting in FAM labeled probe **SI-42**. To **SI-42** in water (30  $\mu$ L), Tris Buffer (1 uL, 1 M pH 8.5), BTTP (2  $\mu$ L, 20 mM in water), CuSO<sub>4</sub> (1  $\mu$ L, 20 mM, in water), DMSO (14  $\mu$ L), MgCL<sub>2</sub> (1  $\mu$ L, 1 M in water), and BHQ-Azide **SI-61** (1  $\mu$ L, 5 mM in DMSO) were added. Finally, NaAsc (1  $\mu$ L 100mM) was added and the reaction was incubated at 37°C for 60 minutes. The final dual labeled probe **67** was isolated by ethanol precipitation and analyzed by HPLC MS.

## 66**→**SI-42



# SI-42→67



Produced from 64 by General Procedure 3: Using Ψ-5 Expected Mass: 7996.2 Expected (M-7)/7 Ion: 1141.3 Found Deconvoluted Mass: 7996.0 Found (M-7)/7 Ion: 1140.93







Produced from **SI-41** by rSAP and ExoIII: Expected Mass: 7916.2 Expected (M-7)/7 Ion: 1129.88 Found Deconvoluted Mass: 7917.0 Found (M-7)/7 Ion: 1129.65





Deconvoluted Mass S	Spectrum		1 11 X		
00	7917.00				1.89e5
~					
-					
1					
6158.00					
				11085.00	) 1
0-4	<del>۳۲۰۰۰ ۲۰۰٬۰۰۰ ۲۰٬۰۰۰ <mark>ال</mark>۲۰۱۰ ۲۰۰٬۰۰۹</del>	warde Hele-terrariet	ere for a low of the second	Hand the second s	hat mass

Produced from **59** by General Procedure **2**: Using  $\Psi$ -**17** Expected Mass: 8261.5 Expected (M-7)/7 Ion: 1179.2 Found Deconvoluted Mass: 8262.0 Found (M-7)/7 Ion: 11790.1



Time (min)



ר(			900	22.00				5.644
			020	2.00				0.040
1								
1								
1								
1								
2								
1								
•								
-								
1								
-				8285.00				
1		78	51.00	0203.00				
1								
1								
<b></b>	 		╷╷╷╢	<u>  .</u>	 <u></u>	 - <del>.</del>	<del></del>	 meter ma

# LCMS Characterization of 66 After Enzymatic Cleanup

Produced from **66**: Expected Mass: 8261.5 Expected (M-7)/7 Ion: 1179.2 Found Deconvoluted Mass: 8262.0 Found (M-7)/7 Ion: 11790.1





Decor	nvoluted Mass S	Spectrum								
100 <sub>7</sub>		826	2.00							3.33e6
-										
1										
1										
%-										
1		7852.00	8284.00							
	na an air an an an			وميار ر		to an				
6000	) 6500 7000	7500 8000	8500	9000	9500	10000	10500	11000	11500	mass

Produced from 66 by General Procedure SPAAC: Expected Mass: 8927.2 Expected (M-8)/8 Ion: 1114.9 Found Deconvoluted Mass: 8927.00 Found (M-7)/7 Ion: 1114.51







 $\mathbb{N}$ 



Deconv	voluted N	Aass Sp	ectrum						,		
100	-					8927.00		-			4.77e5
<u>م</u>											
1											
0-4	6500	7000	7500	111 111 111	8500	·····	0500	10000	10500	 11500	mass

Produced from **SI-42** by General Procedure **CuAAC** Using  $\Psi$ -67 Expected Mass: 9631.97 Expected (M-8)/8 Ion: 1202.98 Found Deconvoluted Mass: 9631.0 Found (M-7)/7 Ion: 1202.7



+Isomer









## **Process for Mass Fragmentation of 66**

A crude solution of **66** in water (100  $\mu$ M) was injected on the Waters I-Class ToF for peak identification. The MS cone voltage was then increased from 5 mV to 30 mV and the same sample was reinjected to fragment the modified oligonucleotide. Diagnostic fragments were then extracted, and their presence confirmed ion the total ion current. They are plotted below along with total ion current.




## nCov Probe Production

Probes were produced in an analogous manner to procedure outlined above for the production of **66**.

Produced from SI-13 by General Procedure 3: Using  $\Psi$ -5 Expected Mass: 7546.89 Expected (M-8)/8 Ion: 942.4 Found Deconvoluted Mass: 7546 Found (M-8)/8 Ion: 942.05





Decon	voluted	Mass S	pectru	<u>n</u>								
100			7547.0	0								1.77e7
1												
*-												
1												
										004.00		
	. 1				L.			L.	10	901.00		1
0 <del> </del> 6000	6500	7000	7500	8000	8500	9000	9500	10000	10500	11000	11500	htter mass

-

- -





Deconvoluted M	lass Spectrum	<u>.</u>	
<sup>00</sup> 7	7467.00		2.800
1			
-			
1			
~			
-			
-			
-			
-			

.

- -





Dec	convoluted Mass Spectr	<u>um</u>				
<sup>100</sup>	]	7813.00				4.01e5
1						
*						
1						
0	الماسياسين معرف العليمان المحمد	Marken Land	he have been a stande	متستعد التنج أن تتبيعها	Munul	

Produced from SI-14: Using  $\Psi$ -5 Expected Mass: 7186.67 Expected (M-8)/8 Ion: 897.33 Found Deconvoluted Mass: 7186.00 Found (M-8)/8 Ion: 897.17



Exact Mass: 7183.15 Molecular Weight: 7186.67





Deconvolute	ed Mass Sp	<u>bectrum</u>								
<sup>100</sup>	7186.0	00								4.24e8
-										
-										
-										
%-										
-										
1										
0- <del>  -</del>	0 7000	7500 8000	8500	9000	9500 1	10000	10500	11000	11500	mass





Dec	onvoluted Mass	Spectrum			
<sup>100</sup>	710	7.00			9.09e5
·					
1					
*					
-					
-					
1					
0-	and the	ah		l.,	mass
60	00 6500 7000	) 7500 8000 8500	9000 9500	10000 10500 11	000 11500

LCMS Characterization of 69 After SENDR with Ψ-17 and Lambda Exo Cleanup: Produced from SI-46: Using Ψ-17 Expected Mass: 7451.96 Expected (M-6)/6 Ion: 1240.98 Found Deconvoluted Mass: 7452.00 Found (M-6)/6 Ion: 1240.59





Deconvoluted Mass Spec	<u>etrum</u>
100	2.00
1	
~	
7404.00	
1434.00	
0- <u>     -  -  -  -  -  -  </u> -  -  -  -	mass 500 8000 8500 9000 9500 10000 10500 11000 11500

Produced from SI-15 by General Procedure 3: Using  $\Psi$ -5 Expected Mass: 7273.7 Expected (M-6)/6 Ion: 1211.166 Found Deconvoluted Mass: 7274.00 Found (M-6)/6 Ion: 1211.03



Molecular Weight: 7273.70

A260 Chromatogram





Deconvoluted Mass Sp	<u>sectrum</u>				2.6%=0
100	4.00				2.6869
<u>*-</u>					
]					
0-	7500 8000 8500	9000 9500	10000 10500	11000 11500	mass

\_ \_

\_ \_





Deconvoluted Mass S	pectrum
100	4.2450
×-	
0.	
1	
0- <b>1</b>	mass

\_ \_

\_ \_

LCMS Characterization of 70 after SENDR with  $\Psi$ -17 and lambda exo cleanup:





Deco	onvoluted Mass Spectr	um			4.0505
100	153	2.00			4.9080
1					
-					
1					
*					
1					
1					
-	7104.00	7561.00			
	6500.00	0070.00	0215 00		11726.00
	6598.00	8376.00	9215.00		
600	0 6500 7000 75	0 8000 8500	9000 9500	10000 10500	11000 11500

.

- -

## **Comparison Between Kit and Microtube Format**

#### Procedure

DNA 3 was synthesized in cartridges according to General Procedure 3. Briefly, the resin (100 µL) was loaded into a fritted cartridge (Bio-Rad, Bio-Spin column, 1.2 mL, Cat. Num. 7326008). PBS (500 µL) was added to the resin bed and allowed to flow through with gravity. The column was capped on the bottom and DNA was loaded (5 nmol in 200 µL PBS) onto the resin bed. The column was then capped (on top), vortexed and agitated for 5 minutes. The load buffer was allowed to flow out with gravity. The Resin bed was washed with DMA (500 µL twice) and THF (500 µL three times), and the solvent was allowed to flow through with gravity each time. The top cap was replaced, and the resin was dried for 2 hours under vacuum (placed on a lyophilizer). A new cap was replaced on the bottom and  $\Psi$ -1 was added (300 mM in MeCN, 125 µL) and then DBU was added (900 mM in MeCN, 125 µL). The top cap was replaced, the cartridge was vortexed and the column was incubated at 37 °C for 60 minutes. After the reaction the caps were removed, and the reaction mixture was allowed to flow to waste. The reaction was worked up by washing the resin with PBS and 1:1 PBS:MeCN (500 µL each). The cap washed replaced and elute buffer added (300 µL). The cartridge as vortexed for 30 seconds and agitated for 10 minutes. The cap was removed and the elute buffer was collected. Finally, the DNA was isolated by ethanol precipitation and analyzed by HPLC MS.





Decc	onvo	luted N	/lass Sp	ectrum									
ר <sup>100</sup> ך							7024.00						1.17e12
*													
0- 40	00	4500	5000	5500	6000	6500	7000	7500	8000	8500	9000	9500	····· mass

# Synthesis of Small Molecule Alcohols for use in Ψ-Module Synthesis

Synthesis of SI-49



The synthesis of SI-49 was carried out according to known literature procedures<sup>4</sup>

Synthesis of SI-50

HO

The synthesis of SI-50 was carried out according to known literature procedures<sup>5</sup>

Synthesis of SI-51



The synthesis of SI-51 was carried out according to known literature procedures<sup>6</sup>

Synthesis of SI-52



The synthesis of **SI-52** was carried out according to known literature procedures<sup>7</sup>

Synthesis of SI-53



The synthesis of SI-53 was carried out according to known literature procedures<sup>8-9</sup>

Synthesis of SI-54



The synthesis of **SI-54** was carried out according to known literature procedures<sup>10</sup>

Synthesis of SI-55



The synthesis of SI-55 was carried out according to known literature procedures<sup>11</sup>

Synthesis of SI-56



The synthesis of SI-56 was carried out according to known literature procedures<sup>12</sup>
Synthesis of SI-57



The synthesis of SI-57 was carried out according to known literature procedures<sup>13</sup>

Synthesis of SI-58



The synthesis of SI-58 was carried out according to known literature procedures<sup>14</sup>

Synthesis of SI-59



The synthesis of **SI-59** was carried out according to known literature procedures<sup>15</sup>

Synthesis of SI-60



The synthesis of SI-60 was carried out according to known literature procedures<sup>16</sup>

Synthesis of SI-61



The synthesis of **SI-61** was carried out according to known literature procedures<sup>9</sup>

## References

1. Knouse, K. W.; deGruyter, J. N.; Schmidt, M. A.; Zheng, B.; Vantourout, J. C.; Kingston, C.; Mercer, S. E.; McDonald, I. M.; Olson, R. E.; Zhu, Y.; Hang, C.; Zhu, J.; Yuan, C.; Wang, Q.; Park, P.; Eastgate, M. D.; Baran, P. S., Unlocking P(V): Reagents for chiral phosphorothioate synthesis. *Science* **2018**, *361* (6408), 1234-1238.

2. Zheng, Q.; Woehl, J. L.; Kitamura, S.; Santos-Martins, D.; Smedley, C. J.; Li, G.; Forli, S.; Moses, J. E.; Wolan, D. W.; Sharpless, K. B., SuFEx-enabled, agnostic discovery of covalent inhibitors of human neutrophil elastase. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **2019**, *116* (38), 18808-18814.

3. Nanna, A. R.; Rader, C., Engineering Dual Variable Domains for the Generation of Site-Specific Antibody-Drug Conjugates. *Methods Mol Biol* **2019**, *2033*, 39-52.

4. Bressy, C.; Belkheira, M.; El Abed, D.; Pons, J.-M., Chemoselective Organoclick–Click Sequence. *Synthesis* **2018**, *50* (21), 4254-4262.

5. Filippov, D.; van der Marel, G.; van Delft, P.; van Schie, E.; Meeuwenoord, N.; Overkleeft, H., Oligonucleotide Conjugates by Means of Copper-Free Click Chemistry - Expanding the Repertoire of Strained Cyclooctyne Phosphoramidites. *Synthesis* **2011**, *2011* (17), 2724-2732.

6. Miki, K.; Kimura, A.; Oride, K.; Kuramochi, Y.; Matsuoka, H.; Harada, H.; Hiraoka, M.; Ohe, K., High-contrast fluorescence imaging of tumors in vivo using nanoparticles of amphiphilic brush-like copolymers produced by ROMP. *Angew Chem Int Ed Engl* **2011**, *50* (29), 6567-70.

7. Ramos-Soriano, J.; Reina, J. J.; Perez-Sanchez, A.; Illescas, B. M.; Rojo, J.; Martin, N., Cyclooctyne [60]fullerene hexakis adducts: a globular scaffold for copper-free click chemistry. *Chem Commun (Camb)* **2016**, *52* (69), 10544-6.

8. Palanki, M. S.; Bhat, A.; Bolanos, B.; Brunel, F.; Del Rosario, J.; Dettling, D.; Horn, M.; Lappe, R.; Preston, R.; Sievers, A.; Stankovic, N.; Woodnut, G.; Chen, G., Development of a long acting human growth hormone analog suitable for once a week dosing. *Bioorg Med Chem Lett* **2013**, *23* (2), 402-6.

9. Ghosh, A. K.; Doung, T. T.; McKee, S. P.; Thompson, W. J., N,N'-dissuccinimidyl carbonate: a useful reagent for alkoxycarbonylation of amines. *Tetrahedron Letters* **1992**, *33* (20), 2781-2784.

10. Beigelman, L.; Serebryany, V.; Karpeisky, A.; Matulic-Adamic, J., Synthesis of 1,4-Anhydro-2-deoxy-d-ribitol Derivatives from Thymidine Using Improved Preparation of Furanoid Glycals. *Synthesis* **2002**, *2002* (12), 1652-1654.

11. Labroli, M. A.; Dwyer, M. P.; Shen, R.; Popovici-Muller, J.; Pu, Q.; Wyss, D.; McCoy, M.; Barrett, D.; Davis, N.; Seghezzi, W.; Shanahan, F.; Taricani, L.; Beaumont, M.; Malinao, M. C.; Parry, D.; Guzi, T. J., The identification of novel 5'-amino gemcitabine analogs as potent RRM1 inhibitors. *Bioorg Med Chem* **2014**, *22* (7), 2303-10.

12. Katritzky, A. R.; Chen, Q. Y.; Tala, S. R., Convenient preparations of azo-dye labeled amino acids and amines. *Org Biomol Chem* **2008**, *6* (13), 2400-4.

13. Grotzky, A.; Nauser, T.; Erdogan, H.; Schluter, A. D.; Walde, P., A fluorescently labeled dendronized polymer-enzyme conjugate carrying multiple copies of two different types of active enzymes. *J Am Chem Soc* **2012**, *134* (28), 11392-5.

14. Skakuj, K.; Bujold, K. E.; Mirkin, C. A., Mercury-Free Automated Synthesis of Guanidinium Backbone Oligonucleotides. *J Am Chem Soc* 2019, *141* (51), 20171-20176.
15. Lolk, L.; Pohlsgaard, J.; Jepsen, A. S.; Hansen, L. H.; Nielsen, H.; Steffansen, S. I.; Sparving, L.; Nielsen, A. B.; Vester, B.; Nielsen, P., A click chemistry approach to pleuromutilin conjugates with nucleosides or acyclic nucleoside derivatives and their binding to the bacterial ribosome. *J Med Chem* 2008, *51* (16), 4957-67.

16. Fuhrmann, A.; Broi, K.; Hecht, S., Lowering the Healing Temperature of Photoswitchable Dynamic Covalent Polymer Networks. *Macromol Rapid Commun* **2018**, *39* (1).