

Editorial Note: This manuscript has been previously reviewed at another journal that is not operating a transparent peer review scheme. This document only contains reviewer comments and rebuttal letters for versions considered at *Nature Communications*.

REVIEWERS' COMMENTS:

Reviewer #2 (Remarks to the Author):

The manuscript has been improved and my concerns were discussed or corrected. I now think the article is fine to publish. No objections.

Reviewer #3 (Remarks to the Author):

The author did a good job revising their manuscript.