Additional file 1 for

Functional characterization of rare *NRXN1* variants identified in autism spectrum disorders and schizophrenia

Kanako Ishizuka^{1†}, Tomoyuki Yoshida^{2†}, Takeshi Kawabata^{3†}, Ayako Imai², Hisashi Mori², Hiroki Kimura¹, Toshiya Inada¹, Yuko Okahisa⁴, Jun Egawa⁵, Masahide Usami⁶, Itaru Kushima¹, Mako Morikawa¹, Takashi Okada¹, Masashi Ikeda⁷, Aleksic Branko¹, Daisuke Mori^{1,8}*, Toshiyuki Someya⁵, Nakao Iwata⁷, Norio Ozaki¹

[†]Contributed equally

*Corresponding author: Daisuke Mori, PhD

Department of Psychiatry, Nagoya University Graduate School of Medicine

65 Tsurumai-cho, Showa-ku, Nagoya, Aichi 466-8550, Japan

Tel: +81 52 7442282; Fax: +81 52 7442293

E-mail: d-mori@med.nagoya-u.ac.jp

Supplementary Methods

Modeling of the loop and N-glycan structure of NRXN1α Estimation of protein stability changes using the program FoldX Modeling of the complex structure of NLGN1 with NRXN1α

Supplementary Figures and Table

Figure S1. Family-trio analysis with parental genotype data
Figure S2. Decreased NLGN1 binding activities of T737M and D772G variants
Figure S3. A modeled 3D complex structure of NLGN1 with NRXN1α
Figure S4. Six chosen model structures of LNS4 domain with the complex-type
N-glycan among the 300 generated conformations
Figure S5. A schematic view of the complex-type N-glycan taken from PDB entry 4fqc
Figure S6. Locations of the LNS4 missense mutations in NRXN1α (PDB ID:3r05) with a modeled loop with N-glycan
Table S1. Overview of eight control SNVs in NRXN1-LNS4
Table S2. Overview of SNVs for in vitro functional assay and 3D models of structures
Table S3. Cross tabulation of variants for cell surface expression and N-glycan model
Table S4. Cross tabulation of variants for interaction with NLGN1 and stability change

Supplementary Methods

Modeling of the loop and N-glycan structure of NRXN1a

3D atomic structure of bovine NRXN1a determined by X-ray crystallography is available as entry 3r05 [1] from the worldwide Protein Data Bank (wwPDB) (https://www.wwpdb.org) [2]. The structure of human NRXN1α (NRX1A HUMAN) was modeled using the entry 3r05 as the template. The site N790 is annotated as a potential glycosylation site in the UniProt entry of NRXN1α (NRX1A HUMAN) [3]. The loop structure 789-792 is missing in PDB entry 3r05; it may be due to high flexibility of the loop with N-glycan. Compensating for the missing region, we built the structure of the four missing residues around N790 (789:CNSS:792) on structure 3r05, using the loop refinement protocol (loopmodel) of Modeller 9.19 [4] with the help of HOMCOS server [5]. We built 10 different candidate loop conformations with optimizing the conformation only for the loop region 789-792. Next, the 3D structure of the complex-type N-glycan was built based on the N-glycan structure with glycosylated Asn taken from PDB entry 4fqc [6]. One galactose and one sialic acid were manually built, and the fucose was removed as shown in Figure S6. The N-glycan model structure was attached to N790 and relaxed using the program *fkcombu* [7]. The conformation of N-glycan with asparagine was randomly initialized and optimized, and its five atoms (N, CA, C, O and CB) of asparagine were superimposed on the corresponding atoms of N790 of the NRXN1α structure model. And the conformation of the N-glycan was optimized using the steepest descent method using the three energies with equal weights: energy for atom matching, energy for self-clashes and energy for protein-clashes. And the non-specific attraction energy $E_{protatrct}$ between the ligand and the protein also used with the weight = 0.01:

$$E_{protatrct} = -\frac{1}{1 + \exp[-\alpha(D_{ar} - R_a - R_r)]} + \frac{1}{1 + \exp[-\alpha(D_{ar} - R_a - R_r - \tau)]}$$

where D_{ar} is the distance between ligand and protein atoms, R_a and R_p are van der Waals radius of ligand and protein atoms, α is a steepness parameter, and τ is a parameter for tolerance. In this study, we employed $\alpha = 10$ and $\tau = 2$ Å. For every modeled loop conformation among the ten, 30 N-glycan conformations were generated. In total, 300 conformations of N-glycan were generated.

Among the 300 complex-type N-glycan conformations, 47 conformations were close to D772 or R856: 36 were close to D772, 13 were close to R856, and two were close to both D772 and R856. No conformations close to T737 was generated. The criterion of closeness was

that the distance between heavy atoms are less than 6 Å. Figure S4 shows six conformations among the 47 conformations close to D772 or R856. As for the eight LNS4 variants, 95, 36 and 9 conformations were close to T779, S763 and M756, respectively.

Estimation of protein stability changes using the program FoldX

Stability changes by mutations were calculated using the program *FoldX* 4.0 [8]. First, the structure of PDB ID 3r05 was relaxed using *RepairPDB* option of *FoldX*. Next, 20 mutated structures were generated for the three sites T737, D772 and R856 using *BuildModel* option of *FoldX*. The protein stability change was calculated as total energy of mutated residue minus that of wild type residue. A negative value indicates an increased stability, whereas a positive values indicates a decreased stability. The changes of the protein stability for T737M, D772G and R856W were calculated as 1.321, 1.935, and 0.002 kcal/mol, respectively. For the five variants observed in healthy subjects, the changes for M735V, M756I, T779M, H845Y and L869M were 1.100, 1.761, -0.095, -1.592 and -0.606 kcal/mol, respectively. For the three variants detected in cases with neurodevelopmental disorder, the changes for S743Y, S763C and R813H were calculated as 0.744, 1.588 and 1.231 kcal/mol.

Modeling of the complex structure of NLGN1 with NRXN1a

The complex crystal structure of LNS6 domain and rat NLGN1 is available as PDB ID: 3biw. Using the program MATRAS, LNS6 in 3biw was superimposed on LNS6 in 3r05 to generate the complex model structure of NRXN1 α and rat NLGN1. Using the complex structure as the template, we modeled human NLGN1 without splice segments ssA and ssB (RefSeq: XP_016861391.1). The loop around ssA was close to R856 of NRXN1 α , but a part of the loop (163:EDD:165) was missing in 3biw. The loop conformations of 161:PTEDDIR:167 were built using the loop refinement protocol (*loopmodel*) of *Modeller* 9.19 [4] for both wild type and R856W mutants of NRXN1 α . During the loop modeling calculation of NLGN1, the structure of NRXN1 α was fixed and regarded as "block" residues. We built 10 different candidate loop conformations, and the two conformations were selected using the DOPE score.

References

- 1. Chen F, Venugopal V, Murray B, Rudenko G. The Structure of Neurexin 1α Reveals Features Promoting a Role as Synaptic Organizer. Structure. 2011;19:779-89.
- 2. Berman H, Henrick K, Nakamura H. Announcing the worldwide Protein Data Bank. Nat

Struct Biol. 2003;10:980.

- 3. The UniProt Consortium. UniProt: the universal protein knowledgebase. Nucleic Acids Res. 2017;45:D158-D69.
- Sali A, Blundell TL. Comparative protein modelling by satisfaction of spatial restraints. J Mol Biol. 1993;234:779-815.
- Kawabata T. HOMCOS: an updated server to search and model complex 3D structures. J Struct Funct Genomics. 2016;17:83-99.
- Mouquet H, Scharf L, Euler Z, Liu Y, Eden C, Scheid JF, Halper-Stromberg A, Gnanapragasam PN, Spencer DI, Seaman MS, Schuitemaker H, Feizi T, Nussenzweig MC, Bjorkman PJ. Complex-type N-glycan recognition by potent broadly neutralizing HIV antibodies. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2012;109:E3268-77.
- Kawabata T, Nakamura H. 3D Flexible Alignment Using 2D Maximum Common Substructure: Dependence of Prediction Accuracy on Target-Reference Chemical Similarity. J Chem Inf Model. 2014;54:1850-63.
- 8. Guerois R, Nielsen JE, Serrano L. Predicting changes in the stability of proteins and protein complexes: a study of more than 1000 mutations. J Mol Biol. 2002;320:369-87.





Note: The genotypes of the tested individuals are indicated on the lower-side. All comorbidities were diagnosed by experienced psychiatrists according to *Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders, Fifth Edition* (DSM-5) criteria. c, coding DNA; p, protein ¹Autism Spectrum Disorder; ²Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder; ³Major Depression; ⁴Interectual Disability; ⁵Schizophrenia



Figure S2. Decreased NLGN1 binding activities of T737M and D772G variants

a. Binding of the extracellular domain of NRXN1 α fused to Fc to HEK293T cells transfected with FLAG-tagged NLGN1 (green). Cell surface-bound Fc fusion proteins were visualized using anti-Fc antibody (red). **b.** Ratios of staining signals for NRXN1 α -Fc and FLAG-tagged NLGN1 in **a**. (n=13–14 HEK293T cells). Scale bar, 10 µm. All data are presented as box plots. Horizontal line in each box shows median, box shows the interquartile range (IQR) and the whiskers are 1.5× IQR. *p < 0.05 and **p < 0.01, Tukey's test compared with wild-type NRXN1 α -Fc.



Figure S3. A modeled 3D complex structure of NLGN1 with NRXN1a

a. A complex model structure and the template bound structure. The complex crystal structure of LNS6 domain and rat NLGN1 is available as PDB ID: 3biw. Superimposition of LNS6 in 3biw on LNS6 in 3r05 provides a complex model structure of NRXN1 α and NLGN1. The model suggests that NLGN1 α interacts not only with LNS6, but also with LNS4. **b**. Enlarged view of the second best loop conformation for the wild type of NRXN1 α . R856 forms a salt bridge with D165 of NLGN1. **c**. Enlarged view of the best loop conformation for the R856W mutant of NRXN1 α . W856 is in the hydrophobic environment provided by P76, I78, P161, and I166 of NLGN1.



Figure S4. Six chosen model structures of LNS4 domain with the complex-type N-glycan among the 300 generated conformations

The N-glycan conformations a, b, c and d are located within 6 Å from D772. a, b, e and f are located within 6 Å from R856. **a**. 27-th N-glycan for the 2-nd loop conformation. This conformation is also shown in Figure 3b. **b**. 5-th N-glycan for the 2-nd loop conformation. **c**. 26-th N-glycan for the 1-st loop conformation. **d**. 30-th N-glycan for the 1-st loop conformation. **f**. 23-th N-glycan for the 7-th loop conformation.



Figure S5. A schematic view of the complex-type N-glycan taken from PDB entry 4fqc

NAG, FUC, BMA, MAN, GAL and SIA stand for N-acetylglucosamine, fucose, b-D-mannose, a-D-mannose, galactose, and sialic acid, respectively. Because GAL311 and SIA312 are missing in the PDB entry, they were modeled manually based on GAL308 and SIA310. The FUC309 was removed.



Figure S6. Locations of the LNS4 missense mutations in NRXN1α (PDB ID:3r05) with a modeled loop with N-glycan

a. Three ultra-rare missense sites regarded as disease-related variants (T737M, D772G, and R856W). The variants D772G and R856W showed decreased membrane localization, and T737M and D772G showed decreased NLGN1 binding. The sites D772 and R856 were close to the model of N-glycan. **b**. The sites five missense with equivalent CADD scores registered with high frequencies in gnomAD (M735V, M756I, T779M, H845Y, and L869M). These five variants had no obvious effects on membrane localization and NLGN1 binding (Fig. 4). The site T779 was close to the model of N-glycan. **c**. The sites three disease-associated missense with equivalent CADD scores (S743Y, S763C, and R813H). The variant S743Y showed decreased membrane localization, and R813H showed decreased NLGN1 binding (Fig. 4). The site S763 was close to the model of N-glycan. The sites S743 and R813 interact with the EGF2 domain.

Chr	Position			Amino acid variant	gnomAD	ClinVar	CADD ^a
	dbSNP ID	Ref	Val		MAF		
2	50531371 rs200622829	т	С	M735V	19/247224 7.7×10 ⁻⁵	-	22.4
2	50531306 rs201741425	С	т	M756I	20/248466 8.0×10 ⁻⁵	-	23.5
2	50531238 rs201881725	G	A	Т779М	13/247448 5.3×10 ⁻⁵	-	26
2	50497679 rs200391188	G	A	H845Y	143/279762 5.1×10 ⁻⁴	Uncertain significance	25
2	50497607 rs201818223	G	т	L869M	212/279966 7.6×10 ⁻⁴	Conflicting interpretations of pathogenicity	23.8
2	50531346 rs796052774	G	т	S743Y	-	Uncertain significance	28.7
2	50531286 rs1558889761	G	С	S763C	-	Uncertain significance	32
2	50506554 rs773464948	С	т	R813H de novo ¹	9/279772 3.2×10 ⁻⁵	-	27.9

Table S1. Overview of eight control SNVs in NRXN1-LNS4

Note: Genomic position is based on NCBI build GRCh38.p12. Amino acid position is based on NCBI reference sequence NP_004792.

Ref, reference; Val, variant; gnomAD, Genome Aggregation Database; ClinVar, NCBI ClinVar; MAF, minor allele frequency

^a Reference genome SNVs at the 10th-% of CADD scores are assigned to 10, top 1% to 20, and top 0.1% to 30.

¹Reported in the reference 75. O'Roak BJ, Stessman HA, Boyle EA, Witherspoon KT, Martin B, Lee C, et al. Recurrent de novo mutations implicate novel genes underlying simplex autism risk. Nat Commun. 2014;5:5595.

Table	S2.	Overview	of	SNVs	for	in	vitro	functional	assay	and	3D	models	of
structu	ires												

			<i>In vitro</i> func	ctional assay	Feature	es from 3D r	nodels
Type of Varian	ıt		Cell surface	Interaction	Stability	N-glycan	NLGN1
			expression	with NLGN1	change ^a	model ^b	model ^c
Reported		T737M		down	1.321		
in this study		D772G	decrease down		1.935	contact	
		R856W	decrease		0.022	contact	contact
Registered M735					1.100		
with high M756I					1.761		
frequencies		T779M			-0.095	contact	
in gnomAD		H845Y			-1.592		contact
	Registered	L869M			-0.606		
Not listed in	in ClinVar	S743Y	decrease		0.7435		
gnomAD		S763C			1.588	contact	
De novo findin	g	R813H		down	1.231		

Note: gnomAD, Genome Aggregation Database; ClinVar, NCBI ClinVar

^a stability changes of NRX1α by mutations were calculated by *FoldX*. A negative value

indicates an increased stability, whereas a positive value indicates a decreased stability.

^b 3D structure models of N-glycan are shown in Figures 3, S4 and S6.

 $^{\rm c}$ 3D structure models of NRXN1 α and NLGN1 are shown in Figures S3 and S6.

Table S3. Cross tabulation of variants for cell surface expression and N-glycan model

MCC=0.386 ^a		Cell surface expression			
		Decrease	No change		
Contact with	Contact	D772G, R856W	T779M, S763C		
N-glycan model	No contact	S743Y	T737M, H845Y,		
			M735V, L869M,		
			M756I, R813H		

Note: ^a*MCC is* Matthews correlation coefficient, as a measure of two-class classifications. It is defined as follows:

$$MCC = \frac{N_{11}N_{00} - N_{10}N_{01}}{\sqrt{(N_{11} + N_{10})(N_{11} + N_{01})(N_{00} + N_{01})(N_{00} + N_{10})}}$$

where N_{11} , N_{00} , N_{10} , and N_{01} is a number of true positive, true negative, false positive and false negative.

Table	S4 .	Cross	tabulation	of	variants	for	interaction	with	NLGN1	and	stability
chang	e										

MCC=0.463		Interaction with NLGN1			
		Decrease	No change		
Stability change	Unstable	T737M, D772G,	M735V, M756I,		
		R813H	S763C, S743Y		
	No change or more		R856W , H845Y,		
	stable		T779M, L869M		