### **Androgen Receptor Signaling Regulates the Transcriptome of Prostate Cancer Cells by Modulating Global Alternative Splicing.**

#### **Discovery Human Transcriptome Array** LNCaP (Casodex vs DHT)

Differentially Expressed Genes Alternative splicing events

### **Filtering criteria for RT-PCR validation in LNCaP, 22RV1, and PC3 Cells**

- 1) Genes that are not differentially regulated
- 2) Splicing Events with FDR cut off of 0.05 and SI of  $>=$   $|2|$ .
- 3) Events with evidence from probes mapping to alternatively spliced region and junction surrounding it.
- 4) Genes with a known biological role in cancer.

#### **Functional Analysis**

- 1) Identify splicing within a curated list of 100 prostate cancer relevant genes.
- 2) Validation of functional impact of alternative splicing using TCGA data. 3) GO pathway analysis to study the biological
- relevance of genes alternatively spliced in response to treatment with AR inhibitor.

**Independent Validation RNASeq (rMATS analysis)** GSE110903, GSE110903 & in-house data LNCaP: Enzalutamide vs DMSO DHT vs DMSO MDA-PCA-2B : AR KD vs control

**Concordance between affy and RNAseq analysis** Identify alternative splicing analysis and events that overlap with human transcriptome array

#### **Functional Analysis**

- 1) Maser analysis to study the functional domains which are alternatively spliced in response to AR inhibitors.
- 2) rMAPs analysis to identify androgen regulated RNA Binding Proteins binding in and around alternatively spliced exons.

**Identifying potential splicing events associated with prostate cancer disease Dataset: GSE80609** 8 benign prostate hyperplasia (BPH), 16 localized prostate cancer (L.PC), 9 advanced prostate cancer (advPC), 12 castrate-resistant prostate cancer (CRPC), four pairs of advPC and CRPC samples from the same patient

**Androgen regulated alternatively spliced genes associated with progression of prostate cancer** Identify splicing event significant across all different stages of prostate cancer and those induced by pharmacological and genomic inhibition of AR in prostate cancer cells

**Functional Analysis** Validation of functional impact of alternative splicing using TCGA data







### $\begin{bmatrix} 0 & A \end{bmatrix}$ **A B**



**C**









0.0

 $\frac{50}{20}$  0 125 250 -250 -125 0 50

 $\overline{\phantom{a}}$ 

**AMA** 



 $-50\quad 0$  125 250 -250 -125 0 50 0.0

0.640



22RV1





**H I**

**L**









### **M**



### **Supplementary Figure and Table Legend**

**Supplementary Figure 1:** Analytical schematic to identify androgen regulated alternative splicing that may be associated with prostate cancer progression

**Supplementary Figure 2–4: RT-PCR validation of alternative splicing events predicted using splicing array.** Bar-graph showing the average splice index of genes including RANBP3L, AAK1, TTBK2, IDH1, ABCA1, MAN1A1, SYNE4, DOCK7, and CCDC74A across LNCaP, 22RV1, and PC3 cells from RT-PCR data calculated using the ∆∆Ct method relative to an endogenous reference gene (HPRT or GAPDH).

**Supplementary Figure 5:** (A) The heatmap comparing showing top–100 significantly different PSI for MEE and CE across different samples including LNCaP cells treated with DHT or enzalutamide. (B) Pie-charts show the frequency of splicing events induced by enzalutamide in comparison to DHT treatment of LNCaP cells that are mapping to the protein functional domains including transmembrane domain, coiled region, topo domain, metal binding, zinc finger binding, activation site for protein, and others. (C) Bar-graph showing the GO pathways enriched for a common set of genes undergoing alternatively splicing in response to treatment with DHT, enzalutamide or siRNA against AR. (D) Piecharts show the frequency of splicing events induced by the genomic inhibition of AR in MDA-PCa-2B cells that are mapping to the functional protein domain. (E) Protein-protein network analysis shows ESRP1 and ESRP2 binding proteins. The thickness of edges represents confidence in protein-protein associations. The table shows the differential expression (10µM casodex vs 10nm DHT) for ESRP1 and ESRP2 binding partners.

**Supplementary Figure 6**: PC3 and 22 RVI cells were transfected with indicated siRNA, after 24 h, western blotting of EMT markers (E-cadherin, and Vimentin) was performed using Tubulin as loading control.

**Supplementary Figure 7:** (A-C) Upset plot showing an overlap and unique cassette exon, Intron retention, and mutually exclusive events across comparison groups including patients with BPH, L.PC, AdvPC, CRPC, LNCaP cells treated including DHT or enzalutamide, MDA-PCa-2b cells treated with scrambled siRNA or siRNA. (D-L) A combined transcript plot including a box plot comparing the PSI between patients with AdvPC and CRPC, the schematic of the splicing event, and predicted ensembl transcript plot for cancer-relevant genes. (M) Heatmap comparing the PSI for the ASE predicted to be common across AdvPC vs. CRPC and a longitudinal comparison within patients who progressed from AdvPC to CRPC.

**Supplementary Table 1:** Table of differentially expressed genes in LNCaP cells that were cultured for 72 hours in charcoal-stripped fetal bovine serum and stimulated with DMSO, 10nM DHT, or 10µM casodex for 24 hours.

**Supplementary Table 2:** Table of differentially spliced genes in LNCaP cells that were cultured for 72 hours in charcoal-stripped fetal bovine serum and stimulated with DMSO, 10nM DHT, or 10µM casodex for 24 hours.

**Supplementary Table 3:** List of primers and their sequences used for validating splicing events predicted by HTA-2.0 analysis.

**Supplementary Table 4:** Raw data for RT-PCR assay validating HTA-2.0 predicted splicing events in LCNaP, 22RV1, and PC3 cells respectively. Each sheet represents three sets of biological replicates performed with three set of technical replicates.

**Supplementary Table 5:** List of curated 100 genes that are associated with prostate cancer progression and development.