# natureresearch

Corresponding author(s): Charles Lee, Sunita R. Setlur

Last updated by author(s): Feb 5, 2020

## **Reporting Summary**

Nature Research wishes to improve the reproducibility of the work that we publish. This form provides structure for consistency and transparency in reporting. For further information on Nature Research policies, see<u>Authors & Referees</u> and the<u>Editorial Policy Checklist</u>.

#### Statistics

For	all st	atistical analyses, confirm that the following items are present in the figure legend, table legend, main text, or Methods section.		
n/a	Confirmed			
	×	The exact sample size (n) for each experimental group/condition, given as a discrete number and unit of measurement		
	×	A statement on whether measurements were taken from distinct samples or whether the same sample was measured repeatedly		
	×	The statistical test(s) used AND whether they are one- or two-sided Only common tests should be described solely by name; describe more complex techniques in the Methods section.		
X		A description of all covariates tested		
	×	A description of any assumptions or corrections, such as tests of normality and adjustment for multiple comparisons		
	×	A full description of the statistical parameters including central tendency (e.g. means) or other basic estimates (e.g. regression coefficient) AND variation (e.g. standard deviation) or associated estimates of uncertainty (e.g. confidence intervals)		
	×	For null hypothesis testing, the test statistic (e.g. <i>F</i> , <i>t</i> , <i>r</i> ) with confidence intervals, effect sizes, degrees of freedom and <i>P</i> value noted Give <i>P</i> values as exact values whenever suitable.		
×		For Bayesian analysis, information on the choice of priors and Markov chain Monte Carlo settings		
×		For hierarchical and complex designs, identification of the appropriate level for tests and full reporting of outcomes		
		Estimates of effect sizes (e.g. Cohen's d, Pearson's r), indicating how they were calculated		
		Our web collection on statistics for biologists contains articles on many of the points above.		

### Software and code

Policy information abo	availability of computer code
Data collection	We used already available datasets [Mills, R. E. et al., Nature 470 (2011); Conrad, D. F. et al., Nature 464 (2010); Bell, J. T. et al., Genome Biol 12 (2011); The ENCODE Project Consortium et al., Nature 489 (2012); Rao, S. S. P. et al., Cell 159 (2014)]
Data analysis	The custom code can be found here - https://github.com/shilab/CNVmQTL/

For manuscripts utilizing custom algorithms or software that are central to the research but not yet described in published literature, software must be made available to editors/reviewers. We strongly encourage code deposition in a community repository (e.g. GitHub). See the Nature Research guidelines for submitting code & software for further information.

#### Data

Policy information about availability of data

All manuscripts must include a data availability statement. This statement should provide the following information, where applicable:

- Accession codes, unique identifiers, or web links for publicly available datasets
- A list of figures that have associated raw data
- A description of any restrictions on data availability

and a street

The DNA methylation dataset, generated for validation studies, supporting the conclusions of this article is available in the Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO) repository, (https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo), accession number: GSE114131

### Field-specific reporting

Please select the one below that is the best fit for your research. If you are not sure, read the appropriate sections before making your selection.

✗ Life sciences

Behavioural & social sciences

Ecological, evolutionary & environmental sciences For a reference copy of the document with all sections, see nature.com/documents/nr-reporting-summary-flat.pdf

### Life sciences study design

	close on these points even when the disclosure is negative.
Sample size	
Data exclusions	We used data where the CNV genotypes and methylation were both assayed in those individuals.
Replication	We replicated the association using 24 samples. In addition, we used an alternate technology to assess methylation and validated the association with copy number
Randomization	This was an association study. Therefore by design the samples were not assigned into experimental groups / randomized. Corrected methylation data from a published study was used (Bell, J. T. et al., Genome Biol 12, R10, 2011)
Blinding	Blinding is not applicaple siunce this study just examines for correlation betwen cn and methylation.

## Reporting for specific materials, systems and methods

We require information from authors about some types of materials, experimental systems and methods used in many studies. Here, indicate whether each material, system or method listed is relevant to your study. If you are not sure if a list item applies to your research, read the appropriate section before selecting a response.

#### Materials & experimental systems

n/a	Involved in the study
×	Antibodies
	Eukaryotic cell lines
×	Palaeontology
×	Animals and other organisms
×	Human research participants
×	Clinical data

### Eukaryotic cell lines

Policy information about <u>cell lines</u>						
Cell line source(s)	The HapMap cell lines were purchased from Coriell Institute					
Authentication	The cell lines were authenticated by Coriell Institute					
Mycoplasma contamination	Coriell cell lines are tested for mycoplasma before distribution					
Commonly misidentified lines (See <u>ICLAC</u> register)	None of the misidentified cell lines were used					

#### Methods

Involved in the study n/a × ChIP-seq X Flow cytometry × MRI-based neuroimaging