

Supplemental Online Content

Lowe DA, Wu N, Rohdin-Bibby L, et al. Effects of time-restricted eating on weight loss and other metabolic parameters in women and men with overweight and obesity: the TREAT randomized clinical trial. *JAMA Intern Med*. Published online September 28, 2020. doi:10.1001/jamainternmed.2020.4153

1 An initial power analysis showed that with a standard deviation (SD) of 9 kg in measured weight,
2 and conservatively assuming an intraclass correlation (ICC) of 0.8 between the baseline and
3 follow-up weights, the clinical sample of 50 participants would provide 80% power in 2-sided 5%
4 tests to detect a between-group difference in weight change of 5.0 kg.

5
6 In addition, before the data analysis was undertaken, we estimated the minimum detectable
7 effects in the planned analyses using linear mixed models (LMMs) for daily weights measured at
8 home by the virtual participants, without using the data. Specifically, we estimated that with
9 gradual attrition of 20% of the sample by the end of the trial, 81 of 90 expected daily
10 measurements per patient would on average be available. Then under the original assumption
11 of an SD of 9 kg, and considering ICCs between 0.8 and 0.95, we estimated that a sample of
12 100 virtual participants would provide 80% power in 2-sided 5% tests to detect between-group
13 differences in weight change of .44 to .89 kg; in the observed sample of 116, corresponding
14 estimates are 0.41 to 0.82 kg. In the clinical data, with single pre- and post-measurements,
15 corresponding estimates under the same assumptions were 2.5 to 5.0 kg.

16
17 The primary outcome will be weight, measured daily via iHealth, among virtual participants. To
18 estimate the intention-to-treat effect of treatment assignment, we will use an LMM with fixed
19 effects for treatment assignment, time since baseline (as a continuous variable with range 0-1),
20 and their interaction, and random effects for participant and time, with unstructured covariance
21 matrix. The treatment effect will be estimated by the fixed effect for the treatment by time
22 interaction. In sensitivity analyses, we will repeat the analysis after Winsorizing outliers, which
23 will be defined as points more than 1.5 times the interquartile range below the 25th or above the
24 75th percentile of the overall distribution. No adjustment will be made to p-values or confidence
25 intervals for multiple comparisons for the primary outcome.

26
27 *In response to a concern raised during the writing of the manuscript about possible lack of fit of
28 the LMMs for the daily measurements, we modified the analysis plan to accommodate any
29 detected ($P < 0.1$) non-linearity in the average weight trajectory using a 3-knot cubic spline in
30 time. If this case, the treatment effect was estimated by the fitted difference at 90 days net of
31 any fitted between-group difference at baseline.

32
33 Our secondary outcomes will include weight, body fat, lean mass, fasting glucose, insulin, and
34 HbA1c levels, resting metabolic rate, and total energy expenditure, assessed at the baseline
35 and 12-week clinical visits for a subset of 46 participants. To estimate the intention to treat
36 effect of treatment assignment on changes in these outcomes, we will use LMMs with fixed
37 effects for treatment assignment, an indicator for the 12-week visit, and their interaction, and a
38 random effects for participant. The treatment effect will be estimated by the interaction. In
39 sensitivity analyses, we will repeat these analyses Winsorizing any outliers, defined as in the
40 primary analysis. P-values and confidence intervals will be Bonferroni-corrected for 8
41 comparisons.

42
43 All other outcomes measured at the baseline and 12-week clinical visits will be considered
44 exploratory and analyzed using the methods described for the secondary outcomes, without
45 penalization for multiple comparisons.