Supplementary Online Content

- Wu SY, Lazar AA, Gubens MA, et al. Evaluation of a National Comprehensive Cancer Network guidelines—based decision support tool in patients with non—small cell lung cancer: a nonrandomized clinical trial. *JAMA Netw Open.* 2020;3(9):e209750. doi:10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2020.9750
- **eTable 1.** FACT-L Scores With and Without Multiple Imputation by Chained Equations Using 20 Imputation Datasets
- **eTable 2.** Decisional Conflict Scale (DCS) Scores Before and After Consultation Incorporating Web-Based Access to Non–Small Cell Lung Cancer Guidelines: A Pooled Analysis Using 20 Imputation Data Sets
- **eTable 3.** Treatment Including Surgery, Radiation and Chemotherapy in the Trial Cohort and Retrospective Cohort
- eTable 4. Distribution of Active Smokers by Clinical Stage
- **eTable 5.** Patients Included in Each Benchmark Analysis by Self-Reported Race/Ethnicity
- eAppendix. Post-Consultation Questionnaire

This supplementary material has been provided by the authors to give readers additional information about their work.

eTable 1. FACT-L scores with and without multiple imputation by chained equations using 20 imputation datasets

FACT-L Subscore	Original score, median (IQR)	Pooled scores, median (IQR)
Physical subscore	7 (3-11)	7 (2-11)
Social subscore	20 (15-24)	20 (15-24)
Emotional subscore	8 (6-11)	8 (6-10)
Functional subscore	15 (12-20)	15 (11-20)
Additional subscore	15 (14-19)	15 (14-19)
Total subscore	67 (61-75)	67 (61-75)

eTable 2. Decisional Conflict Scale (DCS) scores before and after consultation incorporating webbased access to non-small cell lung cancer guidelines: a pooled analysis using 20 imputation data sets

Subscore	Pre-consultation, median (IQR)	Post-consultation, median (IQR)	Change, median (IQR)	P value
Informed	50 (25-58)	25 (0-33)	25 (0-42)	< 0.001
subscore				
Clarity subscore	50 (33-58)	25 (8-33)	22 (0-50)	< 0.001
Support	25 (17-50)	17 (0-25)	8 (0-25)	< 0.001
subscore				
Uncertainty	50 (25-75)	25 (0-45)	25 (0-50)	< 0.001
subscore				
Effective	50 (25-50)	25 (0-40)	13 (0-44)	< 0.001
subscore				
Total score	44 (30-53)	25 (9-33)	18 (5-34)	< 0.001

eTable 3. Treatment including surgery, radiation and chemotherapy in the trial cohort and retrospective cohort

Treatment	Trial cohort (N, % ^a of 76)	Pre-trial cohort (N, % of 157)
Surgical resection	14 (18.4%)	58 (36.9%)
Radiation to primary +/- nodes	40 (52.6%)	89 (56.7%)
Use of chemotherapy		
Neoadjuvant	7 (9.2%)	6 (3.8%)
Concurrent with radiation (+/- neoadjuvant or adjuvant)	13 (17.1%)	41 (26.1%)
Adjuvant	1 (1.3%)	15 (9.6%)
Neoadjuvant and Adjuvant	0 (0.0%)	3 (1.9%)
Palliative	13 (17.1%)	40 (25.5%)

^a Percentages may not add to 100 due to rounding

^{*}As this was not intended to be compared between the two cohorts, no p-values provided

eTable 4. Distribution of active smokers by clinical stage

Stage	Trial cohort (N, % ^a of 76)	Pre-trial cohort (N, % of 157)	P- value
I	2 (2.6%)	5 (3.2%)	0.40
II	1 (1.3%)	1 (0.6%)	
III	1 (1.3%)	11 (7.0%)	_
IV	1 (1.3%)	7 (4.5%)	

eTable 5. Patients Included in Each Benchmark Analysis by Self-reported Race/Ethnicity

Benchmark	Trial cohort	Pre-trial cohort	P - value
Benchmark 1: Documented smoking cessation			0.11
counseling or intervention in active smokers			
White	1	13	
Black	3	3	
Asian	0	2	
Other/Declined	1	6	
Total	5	24	
Benchmark 2: Adjuvant chemotherapy for patients			0.43
with stage IB-IIB disease following surgery			
White			
Black	5	9	
Asian	0	0	
Other/Declined	2	1	
Total	0	1	
	7	11	
Benchmark 3: Pathologic mediastinal staging			0.68
completed prior to surgery for patients with stage			
III disease			
White	2	8	
Black	0	2	
Asian	0	2	
Other/Declined	0	2	
Total	2	14	
Benchmark 4: Pathologic mediastinal staging			0.38
prior to initiation of treatment in patients with			
stage III disease not undergoing surgery			
White	10	12	
Black	3	3	
Asian	0	5	
Other/Declined	1	14	
Total	14	34	
Benchmark 5: Upfront chemoradiation for			0.55
patients with stage III disease not undergoing			
surgery			
White	10	12	
Black	3	3	
Asian	0	5	
Other/Declined	1	14	
Total	14	34	
Benchmark 6: Testing for EGFR or ALK			0.22
alteration status in patients with stage IV disease			
White			
Black	12	35	
Asian	$\frac{12}{2}$	3	
Other/Declined	7	11	
Total	2	11	
10111	$\begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 23 \end{bmatrix}$	60	
	20	1 00	1

$eAppendix.\ Post-Consultation\ Question naire$

1.	Treatme	Treatment options discussed:							
		Surgery			Obser	vation			
		Radiation 7	Гһегару		Hospi	ce			
		Chemother	rapy		No Tr	eatment			
		Don't Kno	w		Target	ted Therapy			
2.	Treatme	Treatment recommended:							
		□ Surgery			Obser	Observation			
		Radiation Therapy			Hospi	Hospice			
		Chemotherapy			No Tr	No Treatment			
		Don't Know			Target	Targeted Therapy			
3.	Treatment selected:								
		Surgery			Obser	Observation			
		Radiation Therapy			Hospi	Hospice			
		Chemotherapy			No Tr	No Treatment			
		Don't Know			Target	ted Therapy			
4.	Surviva	l prognosis v	with no treatment:	y	ears	months			
5.	Surviva	l prognosis v	with recommended tre	eatment: _		years	months		
6.	Expected tolerance of proposed treatment plan:								
	Not Tolo	erated	Poorly Tolerated o	Don't	Know	Somewhat To o	olerated	Well Tolerated o	
7.	Your satisfaction with the medical encounter:								
	Very U1 0	nsatisfied	Unsatisfied o	Neutr o	al	Satisfied o	Very S o	Satisfied	
8.	This pro	This process helped me understand my cancer treatment options better:							
	Strongly o	y Disagree	Disagree o	Neutr o	al	Agree o	Strong o	ly Agree	

9. This process gave me more confidence in my doctor:

Strongly Disagree Disagree Neutral Agree Strongly Agree
o o o o o