

1 **Appendix: Technical details for computations of figures and tables.**

2 **Table 1** shows relations under the standard 1 degree-of-freedom (df)  $\chi^2$  approximation for the  
3 LR statistic when **H** is a hypothesis that a parameter equals a specific value, e.g., for the  
4 hypothesis that a hazard ratio HR equals the number r, **H**: HR = r. For normal (Gaussian) data  
5 these relations are exact and the LR statistic reduces to squared Z-score for the hypothesis [1].  
6 The *S*-value and LR statistic track each other rather closely although the latter increases more  
7 rapidly. Their relation reflects that, under the test model and the standard approximations, the *P*-  
8 value is uniform and hence the *S*-value is unit-exponential, which is half a 2 df  $\chi^2$  [2] and hence  
9 has a heavier right tail than the 1 df LR statistic; specifically, with  $x = \ln(r)$ , the ratio of densities  
10 for the 2 df and 1 df  $\chi^2$  is proportional to  $x^{1/2}$ .

11  
12 For **Table 2** and the figures, statistics were computed from the approximate normal distribution  
13 used for the CIs in Brown et al. [3], in which the log-hazard ratio  $\ln(\text{HR})$  is estimated to have  
14 mean  $m = \ln(1.61)$  and standard deviation  $d = \ln(2.59/0.997)/2(1.96)$ . The *P*-value for **H**: HR = r  
15 is then derived from the normal score  $Z = \ln(1.61/r)/d$ , and the LR statistic and MLR are  
16 approximated by  $Z^2$  and  $\exp(Z^2/2)$ . For contrast to the *P*-graph in **Fig. 2**, **Figure S1** shows the  
17 relative likelihood function,  $1/\text{MLR}$ , produced from the Brown et al. HDPS results, taking the  
18 maximum as the reference point so that the graph extends from 0 to 1. It may be noticed that this  
19 function appears proportional a posterior probability density for  $\ln(\text{HR})$ , but this proportionality  
20 holds only under very special conditions. For contrast to the *S*-graph in **Fig. 3**, **Figure S2** shows  
21 the corresponding deviance function  $2\ln(\text{MLR})$ .

22

23

24 **References**

25

26 1. Cummings P. Analysis of Incidence Rates. CRC Press; 2019.

27 <https://doi.org/10.1201/9780429055713>.

28 2. Fisher RA. Statistical methods for research workers. Oliver and Boyd: Edinburgh; 1925.

29 <https://books.google.com/books?id=GmNAAAAIAAJ&q>.

30 3. Brown HK, Ray JG, Wilton AS, Lunskey Y, Gomes T, Vigod SN. Association between

31 serotonergic antidepressant use during pregnancy and autism spectrum disorder in children. J

32 Am Med Assoc. 2017;317:1544–52.

33

34