OMTO, Volume 19

Supplemental Information

FOXA1 Regulation Turns Benzamide HDACi

Treatment Effect-Specific in BC, Promoting

NIS Gene-Mediated Targeted Radioiodine Therapy

Maitreyi Rathod, Madhura Kelkar, Snehal Valvi, Girish Salve, and Abhijit De

Supplementary methods:

MTT cell toxicity assay:

To evaluate the IC-20, IC-30 concentrations of bHDACi, MCF-7, ZR-75-1 and ARO cells were seeded at a density of 5×10^3 , in 96 well plates (Corning, USA), in triplicates. Cells were exposed to different concentrations of bHDACi for 48 hours. Cell viability was assessed using 10µl of 5mg/ml MTT (3-[4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl]c-2,5-diphenyltetrazolium bromide) (Sigma, USA) reagent, and absorbance measured at 570nm and 630nm.

Measurement of ¹³¹I uptake by Gamma Counter:

Mice from CI-994 treated and untreated groups were injected with 1mCi ¹³¹I. Day 1, day 3 and day 7 post ¹³¹I injection, the mice were dissected and the thyroid gland was isolated. The uptake of ¹³¹I from thyroid glands of CI-994+¹³¹I and ¹³¹I alone groups, was measured by HIDEX-AMG Gamma counter.

Cerenkov Luminescence Imaging:

CLI was performed using IVIS spectrum system. For *in vivo* Cerenkov imaging, animals in the ¹³¹I alone or AR-42/MS-275+¹³¹I groups were injected with 1mCi of ¹³¹I intraperitoneally. Animals were placed in a light-tight chamber under isoflurane anaesthesia, and the luminescence scan was taken in open filter, F/Stop 1, subject height 1.5 cm.

Bioinformatics analysis:

FOXA1 expression analysis from breast and thyroid cancer patient samples was done by cBioPortal using METABRIC data set, n=2509 for breast cancer cohort and Cell 2018 dataset, n=498 for thyroid cancer cohort [2-4].

References:

- 1. West, A.C. and R.W. Johnstone, *New and emerging HDAC inhibitors for cancer treatment*. J Clin Invest, 2014. **124**(1): p. 30-9.
- 2. Cerami, E., et al., *The cBio cancer genomics portal: an open platform for exploring multidimensional cancer genomics data.* Cancer Discov, 2012. **2**(5): p. 401-4.
- 3. Pereira, B., et al., *The somatic mutation profiles of 2,433 breast cancers refines their genomic and transcriptomic landscapes.* Nat Commun, 2016. **7**: p. 11479.
- Hoadley, K.A., et al., *Cell-of-Origin Patterns Dominate the Molecular Classification of 10,000 Tumors from 33 Types of Cancer.* Cell, 2018. **173**(2): p. 291-304 e6.

Supplementary table 1 (ST1): Table showing the different benzamide class HDAC

inhibitors selected for the study [1]

Group	Candidate	HDAC targets	Clinical Trial	Type of malignancy		
Benzamide						
	CI-994	Class 1 HDAC Phase 2 Pancreatic		Pancreatic cancer		
	Chidamide	Class1,2 HDAC	Phase 2	Solid tumours, lymphoma		
	MS-275	Class 1	Phase 2/1	Melanoma, Refractory solid		
		(HDAC1,3)		tumours and lymphoma		
	AR-42	Class1,2 HDAC	Phase 1	Haematological malignancies		

Supplementary Figures



Supplementary Figure 1: Benzamide class HDACi are non-toxic to MCF-7, ZR-75-1 and ARO cells. S1A-D: Graphs showing the cytotoxicity profile of CI-994, Chidamide, MS-275 and AR-42 at different concentrations in MCF-7, ZR-75-1 and ARO cell lines. Y-axis shows

percent survival fraction with respect to their untreated controls.



Supplementary Figure 2: bHDACi enhance NIS expression in MCF-7 cells and sodium butyrate induces NIS in TC cells. S2A: Immunofluorescence images showing NIS (red) expression in ZR-75-1 and NPA cells in response to bHDACi treatments. Blue indicates nucleus stained by DAPI. S2B: Immunofluorescence images showing enhanced NIS expression in ARO, NPA cells after sodium butyrate (NaB) treatment.



Supplementary Figure 3: HDAC1 is a negative regulator of NIS expression in breast cancer. S3A: Flow cytometry graph showing enhanced NIS expression after HDAC1 knockdown (MCF-7 shHDAC1-GFP+ve) which is the orange peak. The blue peak shows parental MCF-7 cells and red peak shows secondary control. S3B: Table showing the difference in the mean fluorescence intensity of NIS (APC channel) as quantified by flow cytometry.



Supplementary Figure 4: Sub optimal dose of AR-42 treatment has no effect on tumour volume. S4: Charts showing tumour volume from control and treated mice. Tumour volumes were measured on day 0 (pre-treatment) and day 6 (post-treatment), as indicated on x-axis. ns stands for non-significant.

S4A



Supplementary Figure 5: Cerenkov luminescence imaging showing higher accumulation of ¹³¹I in MS-275+ ¹³¹I group. S5A: Mice images showing Cerenkov luminescence after ¹³¹ I injection in ¹³¹I and MS-275+¹³¹I groups. Scale bar shows the counts of Cerenkov luminescence output. S5B: Chart depicting the distribution of ¹³¹I in tumour. S5C: Graph indicating in vivo uptake of ¹³¹I in thyroid gland of ¹³¹I and CI-994+¹³¹I groups. ns stands for non-significant.

0 <u>YY1 [T00915]</u>	1 AhR:Amt [T05394]	2 <u>GR-beta [T01920]</u>	CEBPbeta [T00581]	4 C/EBPalpha [T00105]	GR-alpha [T00337]	5 <u>EBF [T05427]</u>	7 XBP-1 [T00902]
8 Egr-3 [T00243]	9 TFIID [T00820]	10 <u>SRY (T009971</u>	TCF-4E [T02878]	12 NEUCTE (T00094)	13 <u>GR [T05076]</u>	14 <u>AP-2alphaA [T00035]</u>	15 Pax-5 [T00070]
16 <u>p53 [T00671]</u>	17 FOXP3 [T04280]	18 <u>RXR-alpha [T01345]</u>	19 <u>RAR-beta [T00721]</u>	10 TFII-1 (T00824)	21 <u>STAT4 [T01577]</u>	22 <u>c-Ets-1 [T00112]</u>	23 <u>VDR [T00885]</u>
24 PXR-1:RXR-alpha [T05671]	25 <u>c-Jun [T00133]</u>	26 <u>COUP-TF1 [T00149]</u> .	27 <u>RAR-beta RXR-alpha [T05420]</u>	28 <u>NF-1 (T00539)</u>	29 <u>ER-alpha [T00261]</u>	30 <u>RAR-alpha1 [T00719]</u>	31 <u>STAT1beta [T01573</u>
32 <u>E2F-1 [T01542]</u>	33 HNF-1C [T01951]	34 <u>HNF-1B [T01950]</u>	35 <u>ETF (T00270)</u>	36 <u>ENKTF-1 [T00255]</u>	37 <u>c-Myb [T00137]</u>	38 <u>GATA-1 [T00306]</u>	39 IRF-2 [T01491]
40 c-Ets-2 [T00113]	41 HNF-3alpha (T0251)	42 IRF-1 [T00423]	43 <u>NF-AT1 [T00550]</u>	44 PPAR-alpha:RXR-alpha (T05221)	45 <u>Elk-1 [T00250]</u>	<mark>46 LEF-1 [T02905]</mark>	47 TCF-4 [T02918]
48 <u>NF-AT2 [T01945]</u>	49 <u>RelA [T00594]</u>	50 <u>USF2 [T00878]</u>	N <u>PR B (T00696)</u>	52 <u>PR A [T01661]</u>	53 <u>MAZ [T00490]</u>	54 <u>GATA-3 [T00311]</u>	55 <u>AR [T00040]</u>
56 <u>Sp1 [T00759]</u>	57 <u>NF-Y [T00150]</u>	58 <u>T3R-beta1 [T00851]</u>	99 <u>PEA3 [T00685]</u>	60 <u>GATA-2 [T00308]</u>	61 <u>HNF-4alpha [T03828]</u>	02 <u>MEF-2A [T01005]</u>	63 <u>CTF [T00174]</u>
<mark>64</mark> <u>AhR [T01795]</u>	<mark>65</mark> <u>STAT5A [T04683]</u>	<mark>66</mark> <u>Ik-1 (T02702)</u>	67 <u>HOXD9 [T01424]</u>	68 HOXD10 [T01425]			

Factors predicted within a dissimilarity margin less or equal than 15 % :

Supplementary Figure 6: HNF3 alpha (FOXA1) has putative binding sites on NIS

promoter. Image showing the putative transcription factors that bind to NIS promoter with 15% dissimilarity range, as predicted by promo software. HNF3-alpha (FOXA1) is highlighted in red box.



Supplementary Figure 7: Depletion of FOXA1 in MCF-7 cells leads to a decrease in NIS transcription. Graph showing relative mRNA expression of NIS. Error bar indicates SEM. * indicates $p \le 0.05$.



Supplementary Figure 8: FOXA1 expression is higher in breast cancer patients as compared to thyroid cancer patients. Retrospective analysis from TCGA data set showing differential expression of FOXA1 across breast and thyroid cancer patients.