

BMJ Open is committed to open peer review. As part of this commitment we make the peer review history of every article we publish publicly available.

When an article is published we post the peer reviewers' comments and the authors' responses online. We also post the versions of the paper that were used during peer review. These are the versions that the peer review comments apply to.

The versions of the paper that follow are the versions that were submitted during the peer review process. They are not the versions of record or the final published versions. They should not be cited or distributed as the published version of this manuscript.

BMJ Open is an open access journal and the full, final, typeset and author-corrected version of record of the manuscript is available on our site with no access controls, subscription charges or pay-per-view fees (<u>http://bmjopen.bmj.com</u>).

If you have any questions on BMJ Open's open peer review process please email <u>info.bmjopen@bmj.com</u>

BMJ Open

Patterns and associated factors of advanced stage at diagnosis of cervical cancer in Addis Ababa, Ethiopia: A population based study

| Journal: | BMJ Open |
|-------------------------------|---|
| Manuscript ID | bmjopen-2020-040645 |
| Article Type: | Original research |
| Date Submitted by the Author: | 18-May-2020 |
| Complete List of Authors: | Dereje, Nebiyu; Addis Ababa University College of Health Sciences, Preventive Medicine; Wachemo University, Public Health Gebremariam, Alem; Adigrat University College of Health Sciences, Public Health; Addis Ababa University College of Health Sciences, Preventive Medicine Addissie, Adamu; Addis Ababa University College of Health Sciences, Preventive Medicine Worku, Alemayehu; Addis Ababa University College of Health Sciences, Preventive Medicine Assefa, Mathewos ; Addis Ababa University School of Medicine, Oncology Abraha, Aynalem; Addis Ababa University School of Medicine, Oncology Tigeneh, Wondemagegnehu; Addis Ababa University School of Medicine, Oncology Kantelhardt, Eva; Martin-Luther-Universitat Halle-Wittenberg, Institute for Medical Epidemiology, Biostatistics and Informatics; Department of Gynaecology Jemal, Ahmedin; American Cancer Society, Surveillance & Health Services Research |
| Keywords: | Gynaecological oncology < GYNAECOLOGY, Epidemiology < ONCOLOGY, PUBLIC HEALTH |
| | |

SCHOLARONE[™] Manuscripts



I, the Submitting Author has the right to grant and does grant on behalf of all authors of the Work (as defined in the below author licence), an exclusive licence and/or a non-exclusive licence for contributions from authors who are: i) UK Crown employees; ii) where BMJ has agreed a CC-BY licence shall apply, and/or iii) in accordance with the terms applicable for US Federal Government officers or employees acting as part of their official duties; on a worldwide, perpetual, irrevocable, royalty-free basis to BMJ Publishing Group Ltd ("BMJ") its licensees and where the relevant Journal is co-owned by BMJ to the co-owners of the Journal, to publish the Work in this journal and any other BMJ products and to exploit all rights, as set out in our <u>licence</u>.

The Submitting Author accepts and understands that any supply made under these terms is made by BMJ to the Submitting Author unless you are acting as an employee on behalf of your employer or a postgraduate student of an affiliated institution which is paying any applicable article publishing charge ("APC") for Open Access articles. Where the Submitting Author wishes to make the Work available on an Open Access basis (and intends to pay the relevant APC), the terms of reuse of such Open Access shall be governed by a Creative Commons licence – details of these licences and which <u>Creative Commons</u> licence will apply to this Work are set out in our licence referred to above.

Other than as permitted in any relevant BMJ Author's Self Archiving Policies, I confirm this Work has not been accepted for publication elsewhere, is not being considered for publication elsewhere and does not duplicate material already published. I confirm all authors consent to publication of this Work and authorise the granting of this licence.

reliez oni

For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml

Patterns and associated factors of advanced stage at diagnosis of cervical

| 3 |
|-----------|
| 4 |
| 5 |
| 6 |
| 7 |
| 8 |
| 9 |
| 10 |
| 11 |
| 12 |
| 13 |
| 14 |
| 15 |
| 16 |
| 17 |
| 10 |
| 10 |
| 19 |
| 20 |
| 21 |
| 22 |
| 23 |
| 24 |
| 25 |
| 26 |
| 27 |
| 28 |
| 29 |
| 30 |
| 31 |
| 32 |
| 33 |
| 34 |
| 35 |
| 36 |
| 37 |
| 38 |
| 39 |
| 40 |
| 41 |
| 42 |
| 43 |
| 44 |
| 45 |
| 46 |
| 47 |
| ۰۳ ۸۵ |
| 70 /0 |
| 77 50 |
| 50 E 1 |
| 51 |
| 52 |
| 53 |
| 54 |
| 55 |
| 56 |
| 57 |

58 59 60

1 2

| 2 | cancer in Addis Ababa, Ethiopia: A population based study | | | | |
|----------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| 3 | Nebiyu Dereje1*, Alem Gebremariam ² , Adamu Addissie ³ , Alemayehu Worku ⁴ , Mathewos | | | | |
| 4 | Assefa ⁵ , Aynalem Abraha ⁶ , Wondemagegnehu Tigeneh ⁷ , Eva Johanna Kantelhardt ⁸ and | | | | |
| 5 | Ahmedin Jemal ⁹ | | | | |
| 6 7 | ¹ Department of Preventive Medicine, School of Public health, Addis Ababa University; Department of Public Health, Wachemo University; Email <u>neba.jahovy@gmail.com</u> | | | | |
| 8 | ² Department of Public Health, Adigrat University; Email <u>alemg25@gmail.com</u> | | | | |
| 9 10 | ³ Department of Preventive Medicine, School of Public health, Addis Ababa University; Email <u>adamuaddis@yahoo.com</u> | | | | |
| 11 12 | ⁴ Department of Preventive Medicine, School of Public health, Addis Ababa University; Email <u>alemayehuwy@yahoo.com</u> | | | | |
| 13 14 | ⁵ Department of Oncology, School of Medicine, Addis Ababa University; Email <u>mathewosassefa80@hotmail.com</u> | | | | |
| 15 16 | ⁶ Department of Oncology, School of Medicine, Addis Ababa University; Email <u>aynalemab@yahoo.co.uk</u> | | | | |
| 17 18 | ⁷ Department of Oncology, School of Medicine, Addis Ababa University; Email <u>tigeneh@yahoo.com</u> | | | | |
| 19 20 21 | ⁸ Department of Gynaecology, Martin-Luther-University Halle, Germany; Institute of Medical Epidemiology, Biostatistics and Informatics, Martin-Luther-University Halle, Germany; Email <u>eva.kantelhardt@uk-halle.de</u> | | | | |
| 22 23 | ⁹ Surveillance & Health Services Research, American Cancer Society; Email <u>ahmedin.jemal@cancer.org</u> | | | | |
| 24 | *Corresponding author | | | | |
| 25 | Nebiyu Dereje; Email: <u>neba.jahovy@gmail.com</u> Mobile +251910148615; P.O.Box 667; | | | | |
| 26 | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | 1 | | | | |
| | For peer review only - http://bmiopen.bmi.com/site/about/quidelines.xhtml | | | | |

Abstract

1

| 2 | |
|----------|--|
| 3 | |
| Δ | |
| 5 | |
| ر د | |
| 0 | |
| / | |
| 8 | |
| 9 | |
| 10 | |
| 11 | |
| 12 | |
| 13 | |
| 14 | |
| 15 | |
| 16 | |
| 17 | |
| 18 | |
| 10 | |
| יי 20 | |
| ∠∪ ว1 | |
| 21 | |
| 22 | |
| 23 | |
| 24 | |
| 25 | |
| 26 | |
| 27 | |
| 28 | |
| 29 | |
| 30 | |
| 31 | |
| 32 | |
| 22 | |
| 37 | |
| 34 25 | |
| 35 | |
| 30 | |
| 37 | |
| 38 | |
| 39 | |
| 40 | |
| 41 | |
| 42 | |
| 43 | |
| 44 | |
| 45 | |
| 46 | |
| 47 | |
| 48 | |
| -0 ⊿0 | |
| 77 50 | |
| 5U | |
| 51 | |
| 52 | |
| 53 | |
| 54 | |
| 55 | |
| 56 | |
| 57 | |
| 58 | |
| 59 | |
| | |

Objective: To describe the patterns and associated factors of advanced stage at diagnosis of cervical cancer in Addis Ababa, Ethiopia

30 **Design:** A population based cross sectional study

Setting: The study was conducted in seven prominent cancer diagnosing health facilities in
Addis Ababa, Ethiopia.

Participants: All histopathology confirmed incident cervical cancer patients diagnosed from
January 2017 to June 2018 among Addis Ababa residents were included.

Outcome measures: A face to face interview was administered to the patients using a structured questionnaire. Additional clinical data were extracted from patients' medical records. Stage at diagnosis was grouped into early (Stage I and II) and advanced (Stage III and IV) according to FIGO staging criteria. Factors associated with advanced stage at diagnosis were examined by multi-variable analysis using Poisson regression with robust variance model.

40 **Results:** The mean age of the study participants was 52.9 years (± 13.3 years). Nearly two-thirds (60.4%, 95% CI; 53.8%, 66.5%) of patients with cervical cancer were diagnosed at an advanced 41 stage of the disease. Advanced stage at diagnosis was significantly associated with paying 42 medical bill out of pocket (adjusted prevalence ratio (APR) = 1.44, 95% CI; 1.08, 1.91), 43 diagnostic interval >90 days (APR = 1.31, 95% CI; 1.04, 1.71), practicing in religious activities 44 or not taking immediate action following symptom recognition (APR = 1.25, 95% CI; 1.08, 45 1.91), and visiting more than three different health facilities prior to diagnostic confirmation 46 (APR = 1.24, 95% CI; 1.07, 1.51). 47

| з |
|----------|
| 1 |
| 4 |
| 5 |
| 6 |
| 7 |
| 8 |
| 9 |
| 10 |
| 10 |
| 11 |
| 12 |
| 13 |
| 14 |
| 15 |
| 16 |
| 17 |
| 17 |
| 18 |
| 19 |
| 20 |
| 21 |
| 22 |
| 22 |
| ∠⊃ ⊃4 |
| 24 |
| 25 |
| 26 |
| 27 |
| 28 |
| 20 |
| 29 |
| 30 |
| 31 |
| 32 |
| 33 |
| 34 |
| 35 |
| 36 |
| 50 |
| 3/ |
| 38 |
| 39 |
| 40 |
| 41 |
| 42 |
| /2 |
| 43 |
| 44 |
| 45 |
| 46 |
| 47 |
| 48 |
| 49 |
| 50 |
| 50 |
| 51 |
| 52 |
| 53 |
| 54 |
| 55 |
| 56 |
| 57 |
| 5/ |
| 58 |
| 59 |

60

1 2

Conclusion: The findings of the study underscore the need to take measures to shorten 48 diagnostic waiting times for cervical cancer, increase the affordability of cancer care and creating 49 awareness on the severity of cervical cancer in addition to screening options. 50 Key words: Stage at diagnosis, Advanced stage, Delays, Cervical cancer 51 52 **Article Summary** Strengths and limitations of this study 53 It is the first population based study to describe the patterns and associated factors of 54 stage at diagnosis among incident histologically confirmed cervical cancer cases in Addis 55 Ababa, Ethiopia 56 The patients were recruited prospectively and primary data collection methods were used. 57 • Some patients might never have visited the health facilities and were not included in our 58 • study. 59 Recall bias on the dates of symptom recognition and presentations might have also 60 affected our findings. 61 62 **Funding statement** This work was supported by American Cancer Society 63 **Conflict of interest** 64 The authors declare that they have no conflict of interests. 65 Word count of main text: 2011 66

BMJ Open

67 Introduction

Cervical cancer is the second leading cause of cancer death in females in Ethiopia and other parts of Africa [1, 2]. Although cervical cancer can be prevented by detecting and removal of precancerous lesions and treated successfully if detected early [3], majority of patients in Ethiopia [4, 5] and many other parts of Africa are diagnosed at advanced stage of the disease [6-9], when the choice of treatment is limited and the probability of survival is poor. However, the findings on stage distribution in Ethiopia and in most parts of Africa are based on hospital-based studies rather than population-based studies and they cannot be generalizable.

Several previous studies from Sub-Saharan Africa countries associated advanced-stage cervical cancer diagnosis with low-level community awareness of the disease [10] and with lack of screening services and diagnostic facilities [8, 11]. No previous study in the region, however, examined the associations between advanced-stage diagnosis and source of medical bill coverage, and other health-related patient behaviours and health system factors such as delay in seeking medical consultation after recognition of symptoms and delay in receipt of diagnostic confirmation after healthcare provider consultation. Therefore, this study was conducted (1) to describe the stage distribution of cervical cancer (2) to identify factors associated with advanced stage of the disease in Addis Ababa, capital city of Ethiopia using all patients diagnosed from January 2017 through June 2018 among the residents of the city.

85 Methods

A multi-center prospective cross-sectional study was conducted among all newly diagnosed patients with cervical cancer among Addis Ababa residents during the 18 months study period from January 1, 2017 to June 30, 2018. There were 234 newly diagnosed patients during the

study period; however, three of them were not included in our study due to their plan to initiate treatment out of Ethiopia. Also 19 patients without stage information were excluded from the study. The study participants were recruited from seven major public and private health facilities in the city (representing 99% of cervical cancer incident cases among Addis Ababa residents). Recruiting and tracing of the patients were guided by the Addis Ababa population-based cancer registry [12].

All the cervical cancer cases were confirmed by histopathology and staged according to FIGO by
senior gynecological oncologist or clinical oncologist [13]. Distance metastasis (Stage IVb) was
determined by reviewing chest x-ray and abdominal ultrasound findings [14].

The ethical approval of this study was obtained from the institutional review board of Addis Ababa University College of Health Sciences. Written informed consent of the study participants was also obtained. Data from the cervical cancer patients were collected by using structured questionnaire, which was developed by reviewing previously conducted related articles [9, 11]. Initially the questionnaire was prepared by English and later translated to Amharic, the national language. Consistency of the questionnaire translation was checked by back translation by independent translator and its contents were validated by the experts (gynecologists and oncologists). A Face to face interview was administered by trained nurse interviewers at around the time of diagnosis.

For extracting patients' clinical information, medical charts were reviewed and data were extracted by junior oncologists (residents) using a structured checklist. Any inconsistency in the patient information was resolved by consulting senior oncologists during the data extraction. The histological results were extracted from the pathology reports.

Page 7 of 23

BMJ Open

| 1 | |
|----------|--|
| 2 | |
| 3 | |
| 4 | |
| 5 | |
| 6 | |
| 7 | |
| / 0 | |
| ð | |
| 9 | |
| 10 | |
| 11 | |
| 12 | |
| 13 | |
| 14 | |
| 15 | |
| 16 | |
| 17 | |
| 18 | |
| 10 | |
| 20 | |
| ∠∪ רב | |
| 21 | |
| 22 | |
| 23 | |
| 24 | |
| 25 | |
| 26 | |
| 27 | |
| 28 | |
| 29 | |
| 30 | |
| 31 | |
| 37 | |
| 32 33 | |
| 22 | |
| 34 | |
| 35 | |
| 36 | |
| 37 | |
| 38 | |
| 39 | |
| 40 | |
| 41 | |
| 42 | |
| 43 | |
| ΔΔ | |
| 77 15 | |
| 45 | |
| 40 | |
| 4/ | |
| 48 | |
| 49 | |
| 50 | |
| 51 | |
| 52 | |
| 53 | |
| 54 | |
| 55 | |
| 56 | |
| 50 | |
| 57 | |
| 28 | |
| 59 | |

60

111 Total diagnostic interval was defined as an interval from first date of symptom recognition by the 112 patients to the histological confirmation of the diagnosis. Total diagnostic interval was 113 considered delayed if the interval was >90 days [15-17].

The outcome variable of the study was stage at diagnosis, which was grouped in to two as early (stage I/II) and advanced (stage III/IV). The independent variables considered were: sociodemographic variables (age, educational status, marital status, family income, source of medical expense coverage), tumour related variables (tumour type), patient related factors (comorbidity, diagnostic delays and number of health facility visited prior to diagnostic confirmation).

Descriptive analyses were used to calculate summary statistics of frequencies, proportion, 119 median, mean and standard deviations. Bivariate and multi-variable analysis using a Poisson 120 121 regression with robust variance method were used to identify factors associated with patient's being at an advanced stage of cervical cancer at diagnosis. The Poisson regression with robust 122 variance method was used to directly estimate the prevalence ratio (the effect measure), since the 123 odds ratio (logistic regression) over-estimates the effect when the prevalence (magnitude) of the 124 outcome variable is not rare (>10%) [18]. Those variables with p value <0.25 in the bivariate 125 analysis were considered for the multi-variable analysis in the Poisson regression model. Level 126 of significance was set at p – value below 0.05 at 95% CI and prevalence ratio (PR) was used to 127 quantify the strength of association for each of the variables. Post estimation fitness of model 128 was checked by chi-square based goodness of fit test and the final model was found to be fit (p 129 value = 0.95). There were no multicollinearity between the variables using the collinearity 130 diagnostics (variance inflation factors (VIF) and tolerance). In accordance with the journal's 131 132 guidelines, we will provide our data for the reproducibility of this study in other centers if such is requested. 133

Patient and public involvement

135 Neither patients nor public were involved in the design of this study.

Results

137 Socio-demographic and clinical characteristics

The mean age of the study participants (n = 212) was 52.9 years (± 13.3 years), where the majority of the patients with cervical cancer were below 60 years old (68.4%), Christians (91.5%), and housewives (63.2%). More than two-thirds (67.5%) of the patients had a family monthly income below 3200 ETB (100 USD) and more than two-thirds (68.4%) were not entitled to free medical service. Only 2.8% of the patients have a family history of cervical cancer. Majority of the patients (98.6%) were non-smokers, however about a quarter (23.6%) of the patients were alcohol users. More than two-thirds (68.4%) of patients pay their medical expenses out of their pockets (Table 1). More than two-thirds (69.8%) of the patients had tumor size of greater than 4cm. Majority of the cervical cancer cases (91.0%) had a squamous cell carcinoma. About one in five (21.7%) cervical cancer patients were HIV positive and all were on antiretroviral therapy (ART).

Table 1: Socio-demographic and clinical characteristics of patients with cervical cancer in AddisAbaba

| Variables | Frequency (percent) | | |
|---------------|---------------------|--|--|
| | | | |
| Age | | | |
| <40 years | 42 (19.8%) | | |
| 40 – 59 years | 103 (48.6%) | | |

| 1 | |
|-------------|--|
| י ר | |
| 2 | |
| 3 | |
| 4 | |
| 5 | |
| 6 | |
| 7 | |
| 8 | |
| å | |
| 9 10 | |
| 10 | |
| 11 | |
| 12 | |
| 13 | |
| 14 | |
| 15 | |
| 16 | |
| 17 | |
| 10 | |
| 10 | |
| 19 | |
| 20 | |
| 21 | |
| 22 | |
| 23 | |
| 24 | |
| 25 | |
| 25 | |
| 20 | |
| 27 | |
| 28 | |
| 29 | |
| 30 | |
| 31 | |
| 32 | |
| 33 | |
| 34 | |
| 25 | |
| 22 | |
| 36 | |
| 37 | |
| 38 | |
| 39 | |
| 40 | |
| 41 | |
| 42 | |
| 43 | |
| 11 | |
| -+-+ / [| |
| 45 | |
| 46 | |
| 47 | |
| 48 | |
| 49 | |
| 50 | |
| 51 | |
| 52 | |
| 52 | |
| 22 | |
| 54 | |
| 55 | |
| 56 | |
| 57 | |
| 58 | |
| 59 | |
| ~ ~ | |

| >60 years | 67 (21 60/) |
|--|-------------|
| | 07 (31.070) |
| Formal education | |
| No | 86 (40.6%) |
| Yes | 126 (59.4%) |
| Family monthly income | |
| ≤3200 ETB | 143 (67.5%) |
| >3200 ETB | 69 (32.5%) |
| Source of medical expenses | |
| Out of pocket | 145 (68.4%) |
| Free/insured | 67 (31.6%) |
| Immediate action after symptom recognition | |
| Went to health facility | 149 (70.3%) |
| No action/ Religious activity | 63 (29.7%) |
| Number of different health facilities visited before | |
| diagnostic conformation | |
| ≤3 health facilities | 142 (67.0%) |
| >3 health facilities | 70 (33.0%) |
| Diagnostic interval | 4 |
| ≤90 days | 68 (32.1%) |
| >90 days | 144 (67.9%) |
| HIV infection | |
| Yes | 46 (21.7%) |
| No | 166 (78.3%) |
| Tumor size | |
| ≤4cm | 64 (30.2%) |
| >4cm | 148 (69.8%) |

153 Stage at diagnosis of cervical cancer

Nearly two out of three (60.4%, 95% CI; 53.8%, 66.5%) patients with cervical cancer were
diagnosed at advanced stage of cancer, majority of them (37.3%) being diagnosed at stage IV
(Figure 1). About 5.2% of the patients with cervical cancer had metastasized cancer to lung
(2.4%), liver (2.4%) and peritoneum (0.5%) at their diagnosis.

158 Factors associated with advanced stage at diagnosis of cervical cancer

In a bivariate analysis, advanced stage at diagnosis (stage III-IV) was significantly associated with source of medical expenses, not going to health facilities immediately after symptom recognition, visiting more than 3 different health facilities before diagnostic confirmation and total diagnostic interval >90 days (Table 2).

Table 2: Bivariate association between advanced stage diagnosis of cervical cancer anddemographic and clinical characteristics in Addis Ababa, Ethiopia, 2018

| Advanc | P value | |
|------------|--|---|
| Yes | No | |
| | | |
| 99 (68.3%) | 46 (31.7%) | 0.001 |
| 29 (43.3%) | 38 (56.7%) | |
| | | |
| 16 (38.1%) | 26 (61.9%) | |
| 42 (40.8%) | 61 (59.2%) | 0.94 |
| 26 (38.8%) | 41 (61.2%) | |
| | Advance Yes 99 (68.3%) 29 (43.3%) 16 (38.1%) 42 (40.8%) 26 (38.8%) | Advanced stage Yes No 99 (68.3%) 46 (31.7%) 29 (43.3%) 38 (56.7%) 16 (38.1%) 26 (61.9%) 42 (40.8%) 61 (59.2%) 26 (38.8%) 41 (61.2%) |

| 1 |
|----------|
| 2 |
| 3 |
| 4 |
| 5 |
| 5 |
| 0 |
| / |
| 8 |
| 9 |
| 10 |
| 11 |
| 12 |
| 13 |
| 14 |
| 15 |
| 16 |
| 17 |
| 10 |
| 10 |
| 19 |
| 20 |
| 21 |
| 22 |
| 23 |
| 24 |
| 25 |
| 26 |
| 20 |
| 27 |
| 28 |
| 29 |
| 30 |
| 31 |
| 32 |
| 33 |
| 34 |
| 35 |
| 35 |
| 20 |
| 3/ |
| 38 |
| 39 |
| 40 |
| 41 |
| 42 |
| 43 |
| 44 |
| 45 |
| 46 75 |
| 40 |
| 4/ |
| 48 |
| 49 |
| 50 |
| 51 |
| 52 |
| 53 |
| 54 |
| 55 |
| 55 |
| 50 |
| 5/ |
| 58 |
| 59 |

| Formal education | | | |
|--|------------|------------|-------|
| No | 54 (62.8%) | 32 (37.2%) | 0.51 |
| Yes | 74 (58.7%) | 52 (41.3%) | |
| Spouse living together | | | |
| Yes | 48 (56.5%) | 37 (43.5%) | |
| No | 80 (63.0%) | 47 (37.0%) | 0.42 |
| Family monthly income | | | |
| ≤3200 ETB | 88 (61.5%) | 55 (38.5%) | 0.73 |
| >3200 ETB | 40 (58.0%) | 29 (42.0%) | |
| Immediate action after symptom recognition | | | |
| Went to health facility | 80 (53.7%) | 69 (46.3%) | 0.008 |
| No action/ Religious activity | 48 (76.2%) | 15 (23.8%) | |
| Number of different health facilities visited before | | | |
| diagnostic conformation | | | |
| \leq 3 health facilities | 77 (54.2%) | 65 (55.8%) | 0.006 |
| >3 health facilities | 51 (72.9%) | 19 (27.1%) | |
| Diagnostic interval | | | |
| ≤90 days | 33 (48.5%) | 35 (51.5%) | 0.02 |
| >90 days | 95 (66.0%) | 49 (34.0%) | |
| HIV infection | | | |
| Yes | 31 (67.4%) | 15 (32.6%) | 0.35 |
| No | 97 (58.4%) | 69 (41.6%) | |
| | | | I |

In the multi-variable analysis (Table 3), the proportion of advanced stage at diagnosis of cervical cancer was 1.4 times higher (Adjusted Prevalence Ratio (APR) = 1.44, 95% CI; 1.08, 1.91) among those women who paid their medical expenses out of their pocket as compared to those women who were entitled to free medical service or having health insurance coverage, and it was 1.3 times higher (APR = 1.31, 95% CI; 1.04, 1.71) among women with total diagnostic interval of >90 days than those with \leq 90 days. Similarly, the proportion of being diagnosed at an advanced stage of cervical cancer was 1.25 times higher (APR = 1.25, 95% CI; 1.05, 1.53) among those women who went to religious practices or do nothing immediately after their symptom recognition as compared to those women who immediately went to the health facilities, and 1.2 times higher (APR = 1.24, 95% CI; 1.08, 1.91) among those women who visited more than three different health facilities prior to diagnostic confirmation compared to those who visited ≤ 3 health facilities.

Table 3: Multi-variable analysis showing factors associated with advanced stage at diagnosis ofcervical cancer in Addis Ababa residents, 2018

| Variables | ariables Advanced stage | | Crude PR (95% | Adjusted PR | P value | |
|-------------------------|-------------------------|----|-------------------|-------------------|---------|--|
| | Yes | No | CI) | (95% CI) | | |
| Medical expenses | | | | | | |
| Out of pocket | 99 | 46 | 1.54 (1.15, 2.05) | 1.44 (1.08, 1.91) | 0.003 | |
| Free/insured | 29 | 38 | 1.00 | 1.00 | | |
| Immediate action | | | | | | |
| after symptom | | | | | | |
| Went to health facility | 80 | 69 | 1.00 | 1.00 | | |

| | No action/ Religious | 48 | 15 | 1.38 (1.13, 1.69) | 1.25 (1.05, 1.53) | 0.02 |
|---|----------------------------|----|----|-------------------|-------------------|------|
| | activity | | | | | |
| | Number of health | | | | | |
| | facilities contacted | | | | | |
| | \leq 3 health facilities | 77 | 65 | 1.00 | 1.00 | |
| | >3 health facilities | 51 | 19 | 1.35 (1.10, 1.65) | 1.24 (1.07, 1.51) | 0.01 |
| | Diagnostic interval | | | | | |
| | ≤90 days | 33 | 35 | 1.00 | 1.00 | |
| | >90 days | 95 | 49 | 1.45 (1.10, 1.91) | 1.31 (1.04, 1.71) | 0.02 |
| 0 | Discussion | | 0 | | 1 | |

Discussion

The present study provides data on cervical cancer stage distribution in Addis Ababa along with its predictors based on a prospective, population-based, representative sample and primary data sources. We found that nearly two-thirds of the patients with cervical cancer in Addis Ababa were diagnosed at an advanced stage of the disease, and that financial hardship to cover medical expenses and delays in diagnosis are major contributors to advanced stage at diagnosis.

Although our finding of high proportion of advanced-stage cervical cancer in Addis Ababa is generally similar to findings from other sub-Saharan African countries [8, 11], it is slightly higher than that reported from Kenya (53.9%) [9] and lower than that reported from Sudan (71.5%) [19]. The higher proportion in Sudan in part reflects the predominantly rural resident study participants, where access to health facilities is limited and health literacy is expected to be lower. In contrast, the lower proportion in Kenyan study may reflect the higher coverage of

recent cervical cancer screening program in the country (14%) [20] as compared to Ethiopia
(0.6%) [21]. Additionally, the screening program created awareness on cervical cancer [20].

Our finding also showed that the proportion of advanced stage cervical cancer was considerably higher among women who waited for more than three months to receive diagnostic confirmation after they noticed symptom compared to those waited for ≤ 3 months. Such long diagnostic waiting time, which may lead to migration to higher-stage disease, in part reflects lack of knowledge about cervical cancer among the general population and healthcare providers, as well as lack of diagnostic infrastructure in the country [10]. These underscore the need for programs to enhance knowledge of cervical cancer in the community in order to shorten delays in the diagnosis of the disease.

More than four out of five patients, who went to practice religious activities immediately after symptom recognition, were found to be diagnosed at advanced stage of cervical cancer. In Ethiopia, it is common to see patients going to religious activities as a solution for their disease [22]. This can affect their prompt health-seeking behavior and may contribute to advanced stage at diagnosis. A qualitative study from Ethiopia reported that patients with cervical cancer have a strong belief that *Tsebel* (holy water)" will cure from the disease [10]. Similarly, seeking traditional and religious practices for cervical cancer care have been associated with advanced-stage disease in other parts of Africa [8].

Financial hardship is barrier to accessing health, leading to cancer progression and poor outcome [23]. Consistent with our findings, previous studies conducted in Sudan [19] and Uganda [11] associated advanced stage with financial difficulties or being uninsured. Other study conducted among gynecologic cancer patients also reported that women with financial hardship are seven Page 15 of 23

BMJ Open

times more likely to avoid or delay their cancer care [24]. Providing free diagnostic and treatment services to all women with cervical cancer needs to be incorporated into the governments' strategy on cervical cancer care. This will be in line with the WHO's global efforts to ensure universal health coverage [25].

The strengths of this study are the use of population-based cancer registry and rigorous and multiple data collection methods (patients' interview and medical record review) in a prospective approach to document strong association between late-stage diagnosis and patient and health system factors, including diagnosis delay, in African settings. However, the study has limitations because some patients might never have visited the health facilities and were not included in our study. Recall bias on the dates of symptom recognition and presentations might have also affected our findings. CZ.

Conclusions

Using a population-based study, we found that more than two-third of cervical cancer patients in Addis Ababa are diagnosed at advanced stage of the disease, which was strongly associated with diagnostic delay, failure to take immediate action following symptom recognition, and paying medical bill out of pocket. These findings underscore the need to take measures to enhance awareness about the severity of the disease and preventive measures among the general population and healthcare providers, expand the availability of screening services, and increase the affordability of cancer care in the city. Of note, implementing free diagnostic service would ensure accessibility to care for increasing number of patients with precancerous lesion or early-stage disease through the ongoing up scaled screening program by the Ethiopian government.

Ethics Approval and Consent to Participate

Declarations

This study was approved by the Institutional Review Board (IRB) of Wachemo University (Approval number: WCU/IRB/086/17). All participants of the study were informed about the study and they gave their written consent to be included in the study.

Consent for publication

Not applicable

Availability of data and material

Data can be obtained from the corresponding author upon reasonable request.

Author contributions

ND, AG, AA, AW, MA, AJ were involved in the conception of the study, methodological design of the study, analysis and interpretation of data, and manuscript writing. AA, WT, EK were involved in the methodology of the study, data collection/extraction, visualization of the data and interpretation of the data. All authors have revised the manuscript.

Acknowledgement

The authors want to acknowledge American Cancer Society for funding of this study and Addis Ababa University College of Health Sciences for the administrative support. The authors are also grateful to the participants of the study for their cooperation.

References

countries. CA Cancer J Clin. 2018;68(6):394-424.

BMJ Open

1. Bray F, Ferlay J, Soerjomataram I, Siegel RL, Torre LA, Jemal A. Global cancer statistics

2018: GLOBOCAN estimates of incidence and mortality worldwide for 36 cancers in 185

| 1 2 | |
|----------------|-----|
| 3 | 254 |
| 5 6 7 | 255 |
| 7 8 9 | 256 |
| 10 11 | 257 |
| 12 13 | 258 |
| 14 15 16 | 259 |
| 10 17 18 | 260 |
| 19 20 | 261 |
| 21 22 | 262 |
| 23 24 25 | 263 |
| 25 26 27 | 264 |
| 28 29 | 265 |
| 30 31 | 266 |
| 32 33 | 267 |
| 34 35 36 | 268 |
| 37 38 | 269 |
| 39 40 | 270 |
| 41 42 | 271 |
| 43 44 45 | 271 |
| 46 47 | 272 |
| 48 49 | 275 |
| 50 51 | 274 |
| 52 53 | |
| 54 55 56 | |
| 57 58 | |
| 59 | |

60

2. de Martel C, Plummer M, Vignat J, Franceschi S. Worldwide burden of cancer attributable to 58 HPV by site, country and HPV type. Int J Cancer. 2017;141(4):664-70. 59 60 3. Gauravi AM, Sharmila AP, Surendra SS. An overview of prevention and early detection of cervical cancers. Indian J Med Paediatr Oncol. 2011 Jul-Sep;32(3):125–32. 61 4. Begoihn M, Mathewos A, Avnalem A, Wondemagegnehu T, Moelle U, Gizaw M, et al. 62 Cervical cancer in Ethiopia - predictors of advanced stage and prolonged time to diagnosis. 63 Infectious agents and cancer. 2019;14:36. 64 5. Kantelhardt EJ, Moelle U, Begoihn M, Addissie A, Trocchi P, Yonas B, et al. Cervical cancer 65 in Ethiopia: survival of 1,059 patients who received oncologic therapy. The oncologist. 66 2014;19:727-34 67 6. Jemal AB, F. Forman, D. O'Brien, M. Ferlay, J. Center, M. Parkin, et al. Cancer burden in 68 Africa and opportunities for prevention. Cancer. 2012;118(18):4372-84. 69 7. Dunyo P, Effah K, Udofia EA. Factors associated with late presentation of cervical cancer 70 cases at a district hospital: a retrospective study. BMC Public Health. 2018;18(1):1156. 71 8. Mlange RM, D. Rambau, P. Kidenya. Patient and disease characteristics associated with late 72 tumour stage at presentation of cervical cancer in northwestern Tanzania. BMC Womens Health. 73 2016;16:5. 74

9. Kirema MF, Wagoro M, Carole A, Michael H. Socio-Demographic Factors Associated with

Advanced Stage of Cervical Cancer at Diagnosis in Kenyatta National Hospital, Kenya: A Cross Sectional Study. J Cancer Sci Ther. 2017;9:554-61. 10. Zewdie B, Alemseged A, Tefera B, Amare D, Hailemariam S, Vivien T, et al. Health seeking behavior for cervical cancer in Ethiopia: a qualitative study. International Journal for Equity in Health. 2012;11(83). 11. Mwaka, Amos DG, Christopher OW, Edward MR, Martin W, Henry L, et al. Social, demographic and healthcare factors associated with stage at diagnosis of cervical cancer: cross-sectional study in a tertiary hospital in Northern Uganda. BMJ Open. 2016;6(1):e007690. 12. Genebo T, Asmare S, Assefa M, Adamu A, Solomon B, Tigeneh W, et al. First data from a population based cancer registry in Ethiopia. Cancer Epidemiology. 2018;53:93 - 8. 13. FIGO Committee on Gynecologic Oncology. FIGO staging for carcinoma of the vulva, cervix, and corpus uteri. International Journal of Gynecology & Obstetrics. 2014;125(2):97-8. 14. C. Marth FL, S. Mahner, M. McCormack, A. Gonzalez-Martin, N. Colombo. Cervical cancer: ESMO Clinical Practice Guidelines for diagnosis, treatment and follow-up. Annals of Oncology 28 (Supplement 4). 2017. Fatima O, Rahou ZH, Bouchra HR, Rachid B, Bouchra B, Abdelhalem Mesfioui. The 15. Measurement of Delay in Diagnosis and Treatment among Moroccan Women with Cervical Cancer. Cancer and Oncology Research. 2017;5(1):10-9. 16. Deepak G, Gita K, Rajan P, Archana A, Jitendra P, Sharad RO. Estimates of delays in diagnosis of cervical cancer in Nepal. BMC Women's Health. 2014; 14(29). 17. Deepak G, Jitendra P, Sharad RO. Factors Associated with Late Diagnosis of Cervical

- 297 Cancer in Nepal. Asian Pac J Cancer Prev. 2013;14(7):4373-7.
 - For peer review only http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml

Page 19 of 23

1

BMJ Open

| 2 | |
|----------|---|
| 3 4 | 2 |
| 5 | 2 |
| 6 7 | |
| 8 | 3 |
| 9 10 | |
| 11 | 3 |
| 12 13 | - |
| 14 | 5 |
| 15 16 | _ |
| 17 | 3 |
| 18 19 | Э |
| 20 | _ |
| 21 | 5 |
| 23 24 | Э |
| 25 | _ |
| 26 27 | 3 |
| 28 | Э |
| 29 30 | - |
| 31 | 3 |
| 32 33 | Э |
| 34 25 | - |
| 35 36 | 5 |
| 37 20 | Э |
| 30 39 | - |
| 40 41 | 5 |
| 42 | Э |
| 43 44 | - |
| 45 | 2 |
| 46 47 | 3 |
| 48 | - |
| 49 50 | 3 |
| 51 | 3 |
| 52 53 | - |
| 54 | 3 |
| 55 56 | 3 |
| 57 | |
| 58 59 | |

60

18. Barros AJ, Hirakata VN. Alternatives for logistic regression in cross-sectional studies: an 298 empirical comparison of models that directly estimate the prevalence ratio. BMC medical 299 research methodology. 2003;3(1):21. 300 19. Ibrahim A, Rasch V, Pukkala E, Aro AR. Predictors of cervical cancer being at an advanced 301 stage at diagnosis in Sudan. International journal of women's health. 2011;3:385. 302 20. Ng'ang'a A, Nyangasi M, Nkonge NG, Gathitu E, Kibachio J, Gichangi P, et al. Predictors of 303 cervical cancer screening among Kenvan women: results of a nested case-control study in a 304 nationally representative survey. BMC Public Health. 2018;18(3):1221. 305 21. Bruni L AG, Serrano B, Mena M, Gómez D, Muñoz J, Bosch FX, de Sanjosé S. Human 306 Papillomavirus and Related Diseases in Ethiopia. ICO/IARC Information Centre on HPV and 307 308 Cancer (HPV Information Centre), 2018. 22. Kebede W. Kebede K. Psychosocial experiences and needs of women diagnosed with 309 cervical cancer in Ethiopia. International social work. 2017;60(6):1632-46. 310 23. Perrone F, Jommi C, Di Maio M, Gimigliano A, Gridelli C, Pignata S, et al. The association 311 of financial difficulties with clinical outcomes in cancer patients: secondary analysis of 16 312 academic prospective clinical trials conducted in Italy[†]. Annals of Oncology. 2016;27(12):2224-313 9. 314 24. Bouberhan S, Shea M, Kennedy A, Erlinger A, Stack-Dunnbier H, Buss MK, et al. Financial 315 toxicity in gynecologic oncology. Gynecologic Oncology. 2019;154(1):8-12. 316 25. Knaul FM, Bhadelia A, Atun R, Frenk J. Achieving effective universal health coverage and 317 diagonal approaches to care for chronic illnesses. Health Affairs. 2015;34(9):1514-22. 318 319 320

Figure 1: FIGO stages of cervical cancer at diagnosis of patients residing in Addis Ababa, 2018

to beet terien only



STROBE Statement-checklist of items that should be included in reports of observational studies

| | Item No. | Recommendation | Page No. |
|----------------------|-------------|---|--|
| Title and abstract | 1 | (a) Indicate the study's design with a commonly used term in the title or the abstract | Title page, Page No.1 |
| | | (b) Provide in the abstract an informative and balanced summary of what was done and what was found | Abstract, Page No.2 |
| Introduction | | | |
| Background/rationale | 2 | Explain the scientific background and rationale for the investigation being reported | Introduction, Page No. 4, Paragraph 1 and 2 |
| Objectives | 3 | State specific objectives, including any prespecified hypotheses | Introduction, Page No. 4, Paragraph 2 |
| Methods | | | |
| Study design | 4 | Present key elements of study design early in the paper | Methods, Page No. 5 |
| Setting | 5 | Describe the setting, locations, and relevant dates, including periods of recruitment, exposure, follow- | Methods, Page No. 5 |
| Participants | 6 | (a) Cohort study—Give the eligibility criteria, and the sources and methods of selection of participants. Describe methods of follow-up Case-control study—Give the eligibility criteria, and the sources and methods of case ascertainment and control selection. Give the rationale for the choice of cases and controls Cross-sectional study—Give the eligibility criteria, and the sources and methods of selection of participants | Methods, Page No. 5 |
| | | (b) Cohort study—For matched studies, give matching criteria and number of exposed and unexposed Case-control study—For matched studies, give matching criteria and the number of controls per case | N/A |
| Variables | 7 | Clearly define all outcomes, exposures, predictors, potential confounders, and effect modifiers. Give diagnostic criteria, if applicable | Methods, Data management and analysis, Page No. 6 |
| Data sources/ | 8* | For each variable of interest, give sources of data and details of methods of assessment (measurement). | Methods, Data tools and procedures, |
| measurement | | Describe comparability of assessment methods if there is more than one group | Page No. 5 |
| Bias | 9 | Describe any efforts to address potential sources of bias | Methods, Data tools and procedures, Page No. 5; Data management and analysis, Page No. 6 |
| Study size | 10 | Explain how the study size was arrived at | Methods, Page No. 5, study setting |

For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml

| | | | and design |
|------------------------|-----|---|---|
| Quantitative variables | 11 | Explain how quantitative variables were handled in the analyses. If applicable, describe which groupings were chosen and why | Methods, Data management and analysis, Page No. 6 |
| Statistical methods | 12 | (<i>a</i>) Describe all statistical methods, including those used to control for confounding | Methods, Data management and analysis, Page 6 |
| | | (b) Describe any methods used to examine subgroups and interactions | Methods, Data management and analysis, Page No. 6 |
| | | (c) Explain how missing data were addressed | N/A |
| | | (d) Cohort study—If applicable, explain how loss to follow-up was addressed | N/A |
| | | Case-control study—If applicable, explain how matching of cases and controls was addressed | |
| | | Cross-sectional study—If applicable, describe analytical methods taking account of sampling strategy | |
| | | (<u>e</u>) Describe any sensitivity analyses | N/A |
| Results | | | |
| Participants | 13* | (a) Report numbers of individuals at each stage of study—eg numbers potentially eligible, examined for eligibility, confirmed eligible, included in the study, completing follow-up, and analysed | N/A |
| | | (b) Give reasons for non-participation at each stage | N/A |
| | | (c) Consider use of a flow diagram | N/A |
| Descriptive data | 14* | (a) Give characteristics of study participants (eg demographic, clinical, social) and information on exposures and potential confounders | Results, Page No. 7 and 8 |
| | | (b) Indicate number of participants with missing data for each variable of interest | Results, Page No. 7 and 8 |
| | | (c) <i>Cohort study</i> —Summarise follow-up time (eg, average and total amount) | N/A |
| Outcome data | 15* | Cohort study—Report numbers of outcome events or summary measures over time | N/A |
| | | <i>Case-control study</i> —Report numbers in each exposure category, or summary measures of exposure | N/A |
| | | Cross-sectional study-Report numbers of outcome events or summary measures | Results, Page No. 8 |
| Main results | 16 | (<i>a</i>) Give unadjusted estimates and, if applicable, confounder-adjusted estimates and their precision (eg, 95% confidence interval). Make clear which confounders were adjusted for and why they were included | Results, Page No. 8 |
| | | (b) Report category boundaries when continuous variables were categorized | N/A |
| | | (c) If relevant, consider translating estimates of relative risk into absolute risk for a meaningful time period | N/A |

Continued on next page

| Other analyses | 17 | Report other analyses done-eg analyses of subgroups and interactions, and sensitivity analyses | N/A |
|------------------|----|--|--|
| Discussion | | | |
| Key results | 18 | Summarise key results with reference to study objectives | Discussion, Page No. 9, first paragraph |
| Limitations | 19 | Discuss limitations of the study, taking into account sources of potential bias or imprecision. Discuss both direction and magnitude of any potential bias | Discussion, Page No. 11, last paragraph |
| Interpretation | 20 | Give a cautious overall interpretation of results considering objectives, limitations, multiplicity of analyses, results from similar studies, and other relevant evidence | Conclusions, Page No. 11 |
| Generalisability | 21 | Discuss the generalisability (external validity) of the study results | Discussion, Page No. 11, last paragraph |
| Other informati | on | | |
| Funding | 22 | Give the source of funding and the role of the funders for the present study and, if applicable, for the original study on which the present article is based | Source of funding, Page No. 12 |

*Give information separately for cases and controls in case-control studies and, if applicable, for exposed and unexposed groups in cohort and cross-sectional studies.

Note: An Explanation and Elaboration article discusses each checklist item and gives methodological background and published examples of transparent reporting. The STROBE checklist is best used in conjunction with this article (freely available on the Web sites of PLoS Medicine at http://www.plosmedicine.org/, Annals of Internal Medicine at http://www.annals.org/, and Epidemiology at http://www.epidem.com/). Information on the STROBE Initiative is available at www.strobe-statement.org.

BMJ Open

Factors associated with advanced stage at diagnosis of cervical cancer in Addis Ababa, Ethiopia: A population-based study

| Journal: | BMJ Open |
|--------------------------------------|---|
| Manuscript ID | bmjopen-2020-040645.R1 |
| Article Type: | Original research |
| Date Submitted by the Author: | 18-Aug-2020 |
| Complete List of Authors: | Dereje, Nebiyu; Addis Ababa University College of Health Sciences, Preventive Medicine; Wachemo University, Public Health Gebremariam, Alem; Adigrat University College of Health Sciences, Public Health; Addis Ababa University College of Health Sciences, Preventive Medicine Addissie, Adamu; Addis Ababa University College of Health Sciences, Preventive Medicine Worku, Alemayehu; Addis Ababa University College of Health Sciences, Preventive Medicine Assefa, Mathewos ; Addis Ababa University College of Health Sciences, Preventive Medicine Assefa, Mathewos ; Addis Ababa University School of Medicine, Oncology Abraha, Aynalem; Addis Ababa University School of Medicine, Oncology Tigeneh, Wondemagegnehu; Addis Ababa University School of Medicine, Oncology Kantelhardt, Eva; Martin-Luther-Universitat Halle-Wittenberg, Institute for Medical Epidemiology, Biostatistics and Informatics; Department of Gynaecology Jemal, Ahmedin; American Cancer Society, Surveillance & Health Services Research |
| Primary Subject Heading : | Oncology |
| Secondary Subject Heading: | Public health, Epidemiology |
| Keywords: | Gynaecological oncology < GYNAECOLOGY, Epidemiology < ONCOLOGY, PUBLIC HEALTH |
| | |

SCHOLARONE[™] Manuscripts



I, the Submitting Author has the right to grant and does grant on behalf of all authors of the Work (as defined in the below author licence), an exclusive licence and/or a non-exclusive licence for contributions from authors who are: i) UK Crown employees; ii) where BMJ has agreed a CC-BY licence shall apply, and/or iii) in accordance with the terms applicable for US Federal Government officers or employees acting as part of their official duties; on a worldwide, perpetual, irrevocable, royalty-free basis to BMJ Publishing Group Ltd ("BMJ") its licensees and where the relevant Journal is co-owned by BMJ to the co-owners of the Journal, to publish the Work in this journal and any other BMJ products and to exploit all rights, as set out in our <u>licence</u>.

The Submitting Author accepts and understands that any supply made under these terms is made by BMJ to the Submitting Author unless you are acting as an employee on behalf of your employer or a postgraduate student of an affiliated institution which is paying any applicable article publishing charge ("APC") for Open Access articles. Where the Submitting Author wishes to make the Work available on an Open Access basis (and intends to pay the relevant APC), the terms of reuse of such Open Access shall be governed by a Creative Commons licence – details of these licences and which <u>Creative Commons</u> licence will apply to this Work are set out in our licence referred to above.

Other than as permitted in any relevant BMJ Author's Self Archiving Policies, I confirm this Work has not been accepted for publication elsewhere, is not being considered for publication elsewhere and does not duplicate material already published. I confirm all authors consent to publication of this Work and authorise the granting of this licence.

review only

For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml

| 2 |
|--------|
| 3 |
| 4 |
| 5 |
| 6 |
| 7 |
| 2 2 |
| 0 |
| 9 |
| 10 |
| 11 |
| 12 |
| 13 |
| 14 |
| 15 |
| 16 |
| 10 |
| 17 |
| 18 |
| 19 |
| 20 |
| 21 |
| 22 |
| 22 |
| 23 |
| 24 |
| 25 |
| 26 |
| 27 |
| 28 |
| 20 |
| 29 |
| 30 |
| 31 |
| 32 |
| 33 |
| 34 |
| 35 |
| 22 |
| 30 |
| 37 |
| 38 |
| 39 |
| 40 |
| 41 |
| 12 |
| 42 |
| 43 |
| 44 |
| 45 |
| 46 |
| 47 |
| 48 |
| 10 |
| 49 |
| 50 |
| 51 |
| 52 |
| 53 |
| 54 |
| 55 |
| 55 |
| 50 |
| 57 |

Factors associated with advanced stage at diagnosis of cervical cancer in Addis Ababa, Ethiopia: A population-based study Nebiyu Dereje^{1*}, Alem Gebremariam², Adamu Addissie³, Alemayehu Worku⁴, Mathewos

- 5 Ahmedin Jemal⁹
- ⁶ ¹Department of Preventive Medicine, School of Public health, Addis Ababa University;
- 7 Department of Public Health, Wachemo University; Email <u>neba.jahovy@gmail.com</u>
- ⁸ ²Department of Public Health, Adigrat University; Email <u>alemg25@gmail.com</u>
- ³Department of Preventive Medicine, School of Public health, Addis Ababa University; Email
 <u>adamuaddis@yahoo.com</u>
- ⁵ 11 ⁴Department of Preventive Medicine, School of Public health, Addis Ababa University; Email
 ⁶ 12 <u>alemayehuwy@yahoo.com</u>
- ³ 13
 ⁵Department of Oncology, School of Medicine, Addis Ababa University; Email
 <u>mathewosassefa80@hotmail.com</u>
- ¹ 15 ⁶Department of Oncology, School of Medicine, Addis Ababa University; Email 16 <u>aynalemab@yahoo.co.uk</u>
- ⁴ 17 ⁷Department of Oncology, School of Medicine, Addis Ababa University; Email 18 <u>tigeneh@yahoo.com</u>
- ⁷⁸ 19 ⁸Department of Gynaecology, Martin-Luther-University Halle, Germany; Institute of Medical
 - 20 Epidemiology, Biostatistics and Informatics, Martin-Luther-University Halle, Germany; Email
 21 <u>eva.kantelhardt@uk-halle.de</u>
- ¹2²22⁹Surveillance & Health Services Research, American Cancer Society; Email ahmedin.jemal@cancer.org
- ⁴ 5 24 ***Corresponding author**
 - 25 Nebiyu Dereje; Email: <u>neba.jahovy@gmail.com</u> Mobile +251910148615; P.O.Box 667
 - 26

58

59

Abstract

1

BMJ Open

| 2 | |
|------------------|--|
| 3 | |
| 4 | |
| 5 | |
| 6 | |
| 7 | |
| , 8 | |
| 0 | |
| 9 10 | |
| 10 | |
| 11 | |
| 12 | |
| 13 | |
| 14 | |
| 15 | |
| 16 | |
| 17 | |
| 18 | |
| 19 | |
| 20 | |
| 21 | |
| 22 | |
| <u>∽</u> ∠ כך | |
| 2.J 7∧ | |
| 24 | |
| 25 | |
| 26 | |
| 27 | |
| 28 | |
| 29 | |
| 30 | |
| 31 | |
| 32 | |
| 33 | |
| 34 | |
| 35 | |
| 36 | |
| 30 | |
| 27 20 | |
| 20 | |
| 39 | |
| 40 | |
| 41 | |
| 42 | |
| 43 | |
| 44 | |
| 45 | |
| 46 | |
| 47 | |
| 48 | |
| 49 | |
| 50 | |
| 51 | |
| 51 | |
| 52 52 | |
| <u>کر</u> | |
| 54 57 | |
| 55 | |
| 56 | |
| 57 | |
| 58 | |
| 59 | |
| 60 | |

41

42

43

44

Objective: To describe the patterns and associated factors of advanced stage at diagnosis of 28 cervical cancer in Addis Ababa residents, Ethiopia 29 Design: A population based cross sectional study 30 31 Setting: Seven major hospitals or diagnostic facilities in Addis Ababa, Ethiopia. Participants: All histopathology confirmed incident cervical cancer patients diagnosed from 32 January 01, 2017 to June 30, 2018 among Addis Ababa residents. 33 **Outcome measures:** The proportion of cervical cancer patients diagnosed at early-stage (Stage 34 I and II) and advanced-stage (Stage III and IV) diseases according to FIGO staging criteria, and 35 36 adjusted prevalence ratio (APR) for factors associated with advanced-stage diagnosis using Poisson regression with robust variance model. 37 **Results:** The mean age of the study participants was 52.9 years (±13.3 years). Nearly two-thirds 38 (60.4%, 95%CI; 53.8%, 66.5%) of patients with cervical cancer were diagnosed at an advanced 39 40 stage of the disease. Advanced stage at diagnosis was significantly associated with paying

45 Conclusion: Our findings of the high proportion advanced-stage diagnosis of cervical cancer in 46 Addis Ababa and its strong associations with out of pocket medical bill, seeking care out of 47 conventional medicine settings, and multiple visits to healthcare facilities before diagnostic

health facilities prior to diagnostic confirmation (APR = 1.24, 95%CI; 1.07, 1.51).

medical bill out of pocket (APR = 1.44, 95%CI; 1.08, 1.91), diagnostic interval >90 days (APR =

1.31, 95%CI; 1.04, 1.71), practicing religion as a remedy or not taking immediate action

following symptom recognition (APR = 1.25, 95%CI; 1.08, 1.91), and visiting >3 different

| 2 | |
|--|--|
| 3 | |
| 4 | |
| 5 | |
| 6 | |
| 7 | |
| 8 | |
| 9 | |
| 10 | |
| 11 | |
| 11 | |
| 12 | |
| 13 | |
| 14 | |
| 15 | |
| 16 | |
| 17 | |
| 18 | |
| 19 | |
| 20 | |
| 20 21 | |
| ∠ I วา | |
| 22 | |
| 23 | |
| 24 | |
| 25 | |
| 26 | |
| 27 | |
| 28 | |
| 29 | |
| 20 | |
| 50 21 | |
| 31 | |
| 32 | |
| 33 | |
| 34 | |
| 35 | |
| 36 | |
| 37 | |
| 38 | |
| 30 | |
| 10 | |
| 40 | |
| 41 | |
| 42 | |
| 43 | |
| 44 | |
| 45 | |
| 46 | |
| 47 | |
| 48 | |
| 10 | |
| 49 50 | |
| 511 | |
| 50 | |
| 50 | |
| 50 51 52 | |
| 50 51 52 53 | |
| 50 51 52 53 54 | |
| 50 51 52 53 54 55 | |
| 50 51 52 53 54 55 56 | |
| 50 51 52 53 54 55 56 57 | |
| 50 51 52 53 54 55 56 57 57 | |

60

54

55

56

57

58

59

60

1

48 confirmations underscore the need for public policies to improve the affordability of cancer care,

49 and enhance community awareness about the severity of the disease and referral system, in

50 addition to expanding cervical cancer screening.

51 Key words: Stage at diagnosis, Advanced stage, Delays, Cervical cancer

52 Article Summary

53 Strengths and limitations of this study

- It is the first population-based study to describe factors associated with advanced stage cervical cancer diagnosis in African setting.
- All cervical incident cases in Addis Ababa may not have been included in the study because some patients might never have visited healthcare facilities or visited local healthcare facilities that do not report incident cancers to the central cancer registry.
- Recall bias about dates of symptom recognition and of presentations might have also affected our findings.

61 **Funding statement**

62 This work was supported by the American Cancer Society. Award/Grant number is not63 applicable.

64 **Conflict of interest**

65 The authors declare that they have no conflict of interests.

66 Word count of main text: 2050

BMJ Open

Introduction

Cervical cancer is the second leading cause of cancer death in females in Ethiopia and other parts of Africa (1, 2). Although cervical cancer can be prevented by detection and removal of precancerous lesions and treated successfully if detected early (3), the majority of patients in Ethiopia (4, 5) and many other parts of Africa are diagnosed at advanced stage of the disease (6-9), when the choice of treatment is limited and the probability of survival is poor. Previous findings on stage distribution in Ethiopia and in most parts of Africa, however, may not be generalizable as they were hospital-based studies rather than population-based studies.

Several previous studies from Sub-Saharan Africa countries associated advanced-stage cervical cancer diagnosis with low-level community awareness of the disease (10) and with lack of screening services and diagnostic facilities (8, 11). No previous study in the region, however, examined the associations between advanced-stage diagnosis and source of medical bill coverage, and other health-related patient behaviours and health system factors such as delay in seeking medical consultation after recognition of symptoms and delay in receipt of diagnostic confirmation after healthcare provider consultation. Therefore, this study was conducted to describe the stage distribution of cervical cancer in Addis Ababa residents and to identify factors associated with advanced stage of the disease based on all incident cancer cases diagnosed from January 01, 2017 through June 30, 2018 among the residents of the city.

86 Methods

> A multi-center cross-sectional study was conducted among all newly diagnosed patients with cervical cancer among Addis Ababa residents during the 18 months study period from January 1, 2017 to June 30, 2018. Patients were considered to be residents of the city if they lived at least 6 months before date of diagnosis. Study participants were recruited from seven major hospitals or diagnostic facilities aided by the Addis Ababa Population-based Cancer Registry, which actively registers all incident cancer cases among the residents of the city (12). During the study period, 234 histopathologically comfirmed newly diagnosed patients were recorded in the registry. Of these patients, 22 patient were excluded from the study because of they sought treatment abroad (3 patients) or because of lack of stage information in their medical records (19 patients).

All the cervical cancer cases were confirmed by histopathology and staged according to the 2014 International Federation of Gynaecology and Obstetrics (FIGO) criteria by senior gynaecological oncologist or clinical oncologist (13). In addition to physical examination, distant metastasis (Stage IVb) was determined by reviewing chest x-ray and abdominal ultrasound findings (14). The ethical approval of this study was obtained from the Institutional Review Board of Addis Ababa University College of Health Sciences. Written informed consent of the study participants was also obtained. A face to face interview was administered by trained nurse interviewers at around the time of diagnosis to collect data on socio-demographic and health behaviors using a structured questionnaire, adapted from previous surveys (9, 11). Initially the questionnaire was prepared by English and later translated to Amharic, the national language. Consistency of the questionnaire translation was checked by back translation by an independent translator and its contents were validated by the experts (gynaecologists and oncologists).

BMJ Open

Patient's clinical characteristics were extracted from medical charts by junior oncologists (residents) using a structured checklist and any inconsistencies was resolved by consulting senior oncologists. Information on histology type and date of diagnostic confirmation was obtained from pathology reports.

Total diagnostic time interval was defined as the interval from t date of first symptom(s)
recognition by the patient to date of the histological confirmation of the diagnosis. Total
diagnostic interval was considered delayed if the interval was >90 days (<u>15-17</u>).

The main outcome variable of the study was stage at diagnosis, which was grouped in to two: early (stage I/II) and advanced (stage III/IV). The independent variables include sociodemographic characteristics (age, educational status, marital status, family income, source of medical expense coverage), clinical characteristics (histologic type, comorbidity), and other patient or provider related factors (diagnostic delays and number of health facility visited prior to diagnostic confirmation).

Descriptive analyses were used to calculate summary statistics of frequencies, proportion, median, mean and standard deviations. Bivariate and multi-variable analysis using a Poisson regression with robust variance method were used to identify factors associated with patient's being at an advanced stage of cervical cancer at diagnosis. The Poisson regression with robust variance method was used to directly estimate the prevalence ratio (the effect measure), since the odds ratio (logistic regression) over-estimates the effect when the prevalence (magnitude) of the outcome variable is not rare (>10%) (18). Those variables with p value < 0.25 in the bivariate analysis were considered for the multi-variable analysis in the Poisson regression model. Level of significance was set at p – value below 0.05 at 95% CI and prevalence ratio (PR) was used to quantify the strength of association for each of the variables. Post estimation fitness of this model

was checked by chi-square based goodness of fit test and the final model was found to be fit (*p* value = 0.95). There was no multicollinearity between the variables using the collinearity diagnostics (variance inflation factors (VIF) and tolerance). In accordance with the journal's guidelines, we will provide our data for the reproducibility of this study in other centers if such is requested.

Patient and public involvement

137 Neither patients nor the public were involved in the design of this study.

Results

139 Socio-demographic and clinical characteristics

The mean age of the study participants (n = 212) was 52.9 years (± 13.3 years), with the majority of them (68.4) below 60 years old (68.4%), Christians (91.5%), and housewives (63.2%). More than two-thirds (67.5%) of the patients had a family monthly income below 3200 ETB (100 USD). Only 2.8% of the patients had a family history of cervical cancer. Majority of the patients (98.6%) were non-smokers; however, about a quarter (23.6%) of the patients were alcohol users. More than two-thirds (68.4%) of patients have paid their medical expenses out of pocket (Table 1). The extents of delays to diagnosis of cervical cancer (patient and diagnostic) were described in previous publication (19).

More than two-thirds (69.8%) of the patients had tumor size of greater than 4cm. Majority of the cervical cancer cases (91.0%) were a squamous cell carcinoma. About one in five (21.7%) cervical cancer patients were HIV positive and all were on antiretroviral therapy (ART).

For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml

BMJ Open

| 110 | baba | |
|-----|---|--------------------|
| | | |
| Va | ariables | Frequency (percent |
| | | |
| Ag | ge | |
| <4 | 0 years | 42 (19.8%) |
| 40 | – 59 years | 103 (48.6%) |
| ≥6 | 50 years | 67 (31.6%) |
| Fo | ormal education | |
| No | 0 | 86 (40.6%) |
| Ye | es | 126 (59.4%) |
| Fa | amily monthly income | |
| ≤3 | 3200 ETB | 143 (67.5%) |
| >3 | 3200 ETB | 69 (32.5%) |
| So | ource of medical expenses | |
| Ou | ut of pocket | 145 (68.4%) |
| Fre | ee/insured | 67 (31.6%) |
| In | nmediate action after symptom recognition | V |
| W | ent to health facility | 149 (70.3%) |
| No | o action/ Religious activity | 63 (29.7%) |
| Nı | umber of different health facilities visited before | |
| dia | agnostic confirmation | |
| ≤3 | b health facilities | 142 (67.0%) |
| >3 | bealth facilities | 70 (33.0%) |
| Di | agnostic interval | |
| ≤9 | 00 days | 68 (32.1%) |
| >9 | 00 days | 144 (67.9%) |
| H | IV infection | |
| Ye | es | 46 (21.7%) |
| | | |

| No | 166 (78.3%) |
|------------|-------------|
| Tumor size | |
| ≤4cm | 64 (30.2%) |
| >4cm | 148 (69.8%) |

155 Stage at diagnosis of cervical cancer

Nearly two out of three (60.4%, 95%CI; 53.8%, 66.5%) patients with cervical cancer were
diagnosed at advanced stage of cancer, with 37.3% of them diagnosed at stage IV (Figure 1).
Further, for about 5.2% of the patients, the disease had metastasized to lung (2.4%), liver (2.4%)
or peritoneum (0.5%) at diagnosis.

160 Factors associated with advanced stage at diagnosis of cervical cancer

In a bivariate analysis (Supplementary material), advanced stage at diagnosis (stage III-IV) was significantly associated with source of medical expenses, not going to healthcare facilities immediately after symptom recognition, and visiting >3 different healthcare facilities before diagnostic confirmation and total diagnostic interval >90 days.

In the multi-variable analysis (**Table 2**), the proportion of advanced stage at diagnosis of cervical cancer was 1.4 times higher (Adjusted Prevalence Ratio (APR) = 1.44, 95%CI; 1.08, 1.91) among those women who paid their medical expenses out of pocket as compared to those women who were entitled to free medical service or having health insurance coverage. The proportion was 1.3 times higher (APR = 1.31, 95%CI; 1.04, 1.71) among women with total diagnostic interval of >90 days than those with \leq 90 days. Similarly, the proportion of being diagnosed at an advanced stage of cervical cancer was 1.25 times higher (APR = 1.25, 95%CI; 1.05, 1.53) among

| 1 | |
|-----------|--|
| 2 | |
| 3 | |
| 4 | |
| 5 | |
| 6 | |
| 7 | |
| 8 | |
| 9 | |
| 10 | |
| 11 | |
| 12 | |
| 13 | |
| 14 | |
| 15 | |
| 16 | |
| 17 | |
| 10 | |
| 10 | |
| עו 20 | |
| 20 21 | |
| ∠ I 22 | |
| 22 | |
| 23 | |
| 24 | |
| 25 | |
| 26 | |
| 27 | |
| 28 | |
| 29 | |
| 30 | |
| 31 | |
| 32 | |
| 33 | |
| 34 | |
| 35 | |
| 36 | |
| 37 | |
| 20 | |
| 20 | |
| 29 40 | |
| 40 41 | |
| 41 | |
| 4Z | |
| 43 | |
| 44 | |
| 45 | |
| 46 | |
| 47 | |
| 48 | |
| 49 | |
| 50 | |
| 51 | |
| 52 | |
| 53 | |
| 54 | |
| 55 | |
| 56 | |
| 57 | |
| 58 | |
| 50 | |
| 60 | |
| 00 | |

those women who went to religious practices or did nothing immediately after their symptom recognition as compared to those women who immediately went to the healthcare facilities, and 1.2 times higher (APR = 1.24, 95%CI; 1.08, 1.91) among those women who visited >3 different healthcare facilities prior to diagnostic confirmation compared to those who visited \leq 3 healthcare facilities.

Table 2: Multi-variable analysis showing factors associated with advanced stage at diagnosis ofcervical cancer in Addis Ababa residents, 2018

| Variables | Advanc | ed stage | Crude PR | Adjusted PR | P value |
|--------------------------------|--------|----------|-------------------|-------------------|---------|
| | Yes | No | (95%CI) | (95%CI) | |
| Medical expenses | | | | | |
| Out of pocket | 99 | 46 | 1.54 (1.15, 2.05) | 1.44 (1.08, 1.91) | 0.003 |
| Free/insured | 29 | 38 | 1.00 | 1.00 | |
| Immediate action | | | | | |
| after symptom | | | | | |
| Went to health facility | 80 | 69 | 1.00 | 1.00 | |
| No action/ Religious | 48 | 15 | 1.38 (1.13, 1.69) | 1.25 (1.05, 1.53) | 0.02 |
| activity | | | | | |
| Number of healthcare | | | | | |
| facilities contacted | | | | | |
| \leq 3 healthcare facilities | 77 | 65 | 1.00 | 1.00 | |
| >3 healthcare facilities | 51 | 19 | 1.35 (1.10, 1.65) | 1.24 (1.07, 1.51) | 0.01 |
| Diagnostic interval | | | | | |

| ≤90 da | iys | 33 | 35 | 1.00 | 1.00 | |
|--------|-----|----|----|-------------------|-------------------|------|
| >90 da | ıys | 95 | 49 | 1.45 (1.10, 1.91) | 1.31 (1.04, 1.71) | 0.02 |

Discussion

The present study provides data on cervical cancer stage distribution in Addis Ababa along with its predictors based on a population-based study. We found that nearly two-thirds of patients with cervical cancer in Addis Ababa were diagnosed at an advanced stage of the disease, and that advanced stage at diagnosis was strongly associated with out of pocket medical bill coverage and with delays in diagnosis confirmation.

Although our finding of high proportion of advanced-stage cervical cancer in Addis Ababa is generally similar to findings from other sub-Saharan African countries (8, 11), it is slightly higher than that reported from Kenya (53.9%) (9) and lower than that reported from Sudan (71.5%) (20). The higher proportion in Sudan in part reflects the predominantly rural resident study participants, where access to healthcare facilities is limited and health literacy is expected to be lower. In contrast, the lower proportion in the Kenyan study may reflect the higher coverage of a recent cervical cancer screening program in the country (14%) (21) as compared to Ethiopia (0.6%) (22). Additionally, the screening program created awareness on cervical cancer (21).

Our findings also showed that the proportion of advanced stage cervical cancer was considerably higher among women who waited for more than three months to receive diagnostic confirmation after they noticed symptom compared to those waited for ≤ 3 months. This may in part reflect differences in knowledge about cervical cancer early detection and treatments between the two groups of women, as well as differences in access to care (<u>10</u>). Thus, there is a need for Page 13 of 25

BMJ Open

concerted efforts to enhance community awareness about cervical cancer prevention and earlydetection in order to minimize delays in the diagnosis of the disease.

More than four out of five patients, who went to practice religious activities immediately after symptom recognition, were found to be diagnosed at advanced stage of cervical cancer. In Ethiopia, it is not uncommon for patients to seek prayer or use holly water (Tsebel) as a remedy for their illnesses before turning to conventional medicine. (23). A qualitative study from Ethiopia reported that patients with cervical cancer have a strong belief that *Tsebel* (holy water)" will cure one from the disease (10). Similarly, seeking traditional and religious practices for cervical cancer care has been associated with advanced-stage disease in other parts of Africa (8).

Financial hardship is a barrier to accessing healthcare, leading to cancer progression and poor outcome (24). Consistent with our findings, previous studies conducted in Sudan (20) and Uganda (11) associated advanced stage with financial difficulties or being uninsured. Another study conducted among gynaecologic cancer patients also reported that women with financial hardship are seven times more likely to avoid or delay their cancer care (25). Providing free diagnostic and treatment services to all women with cervical cancer needs to be incorporated into the governments' strategy on cervical cancer care. This will be in line with the WHO's global efforts to ensure universal health coverage (26).

The strengths of this study is the use of population-based cancer registry to document strong association between late-stage diagnosis and patient and health system factors, including diagnosis delay, in African settings. The registry, however, may not capture all incident cases diagnosed in the city because some patients might have never visited healthcare facilities or visited local healthcare facilities that do not report cases to the cancer registry. Also,

interpretation of our findings may be affected by recall bias about dates of symptom recognition
and presentations though we do not expect the biases differ between patients diagnosed with
early and advanced stage diseases.

224 Conclusions

 Using a population-based study, we found that more than two-thirds of cervical cancer patients in Addis Ababa are diagnosed at advanced stage of the disease, which was strongly associated with diagnostic delay, failure to take immediate action following symptom recognition, and paying medical bill out of pocket. These findings underscore the need for public health campaigns and programs to raise awareness about the severity of the disease and preventive measures and to expand the availability of screening services, and for policies to improve the affordability of cancer care in the city. Of note, implementing free diagnostic service would ensure accessibility to care for increasing number of patients with precancerous lesion or early-stage disease through the ongoing scaled-up screening program by the Ethiopian government.

Declarations

235 Ethics Approval and Consent to Participate

This study was approved by the Institutional Review Board (IRB) of Addis Ababa University, College of Health Sciences (Approval number: 005/19/SPH). All participants of the study were informed about the study and they gave their written consent to be included in the study.

239 Consent for publication

240 Not applicable

| 1 2 | | |
|----------------------------|-----|--|
| 2 3 4 | 241 | Availability of data and material |
| 5 6 7 | 242 | Data can be obtained from the corresponding author upon reasonable request. |
| 8 9 10 | 243 | Author contributions |
| 11 12 13 | 244 | ND, AG, AA, AW, MA, AJ were involved in the conception of the study, methodological design |
| 14 15 | 245 | of the study, analysis and data interpretation. ND and AJ wrote the first draft of the manuscript. |
| 16 17 | 246 | AA, WT, EK were involved in the methodology of the study, data collection/extraction, |
| 19 20 | 247 | visualization of the data and data interpretation. All authors have revised the manuscript. |
| 21 22 23 | 248 | Acknowledgement |
| 24 25 26 | 249 | The authors would like to acknowledge American Cancer Society for funding of the study and |
| 27 28 | 250 | Addis Ababa University College of Health Sciences for the administrative support. The authors |
| 29 30 31 | 251 | are also grateful to the study participants for their cooperation. |
| 32 33 34 35 36 | 252 | |
| 37 38 39 40 41 | | |
| 42 43 | | |
| 44 45 46 | | |
| 40 47 48 | | |
| 49 50 | | |
| 50 51 52 | | |
| 53 54 | | |
| 55 55 | | |
| 50 57 | | |
| 58 59 | | 14 |
| 60 | | For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml |

References

- Bray F, Ferlay J, Soerjomataram I, Siegel RL, Torre LA, Jemal A. Global cancer statistics
 2018: GLOBOCAN estimates of incidence and mortality worldwide for 36 cancers in 185
 countries. CA Cancer J Clin. 2018;68(6):394-424.
- 257 2. de Martel C, Plummer M, Vignat J, Franceschi S. Worldwide burden of cancer attributable to
- HPV by site, country and HPV type. Int J Cancer. 2017;141(4):664-70.
- 3. Gauravi AM, Sharmila AP, Surendra SS. An overview of prevention and early detection of
 cervical cancers. Indian J Med Paediatr Oncol. 2011 Jul-Sep;32(3):125–32.
- 2 261 4. Begoihn M, Mathewos A, Aynalem A, Wondemagegnehu T, Moelle U, Gizaw M, et al.
 2 262 Cervical cancer in Ethiopia predictors of advanced stage and prolonged time to diagnosis.
 2 263 Infectious agents and cancer. 2019;14:36.
- 264 5. Kantelhardt EJ, Moelle U, Begoihn M, Addissie A, Trocchi P, Yonas B, et al. Cervical cancer
 265 in Ethiopia: survival of 1,059 patients who received oncologic therapy. The oncologist.
 266 2014;19:727-34
- 267 6. Jemal AB, F. Forman, D. O'Brien, M. Ferlay, J. Center, M. Parkin, et al. Cancer burden in
 268 Africa and opportunities for prevention. Cancer. 2012;118(18):4372-84.
- 269 7. Dunyo P, Effah K, Udofia EA. Factors associated with late presentation of cervical cancer
 270 cases at a district hospital: a retrospective study. BMC Public Health. 2018;18(1):1156.
- 8. Mlange RM, D. Rambau, P. Kidenya. Patient and disease characteristics associated with late
 tumour stage at presentation of cervical cancer in northwestern Tanzania. BMC Womens Health.
 273 2016;16:5.

Page 17 of 25

1

BMJ Open

| 2 | |
|----|--|
| 3 | |
| Δ | |
| 5 | |
| 5 | |
| 6 | |
| 7 | |
| 8 | |
| 9 | |
| 10 | |
| 11 | |
| 11 | |
| 12 | |
| 13 | |
| 14 | |
| 15 | |
| 16 | |
| 17 | |
| 10 | |
| 18 | |
| 19 | |
| 20 | |
| 21 | |
| 22 | |
| 23 | |
| 23 | |
| 24 | |
| 25 | |
| 26 | |
| 27 | |
| 28 | |
| 29 | |
| 20 | |
| 20 | |
| 31 | |
| 32 | |
| 33 | |
| 34 | |
| 35 | |
| 36 | |
| 27 | |
| 57 | |
| 38 | |
| 39 | |
| 40 | |
| 41 | |
| 42 | |
| 43 | |
| ΔΛ | |
| 44 | |
| 45 | |
| 46 | |
| 47 | |
| 48 | |
| 49 | |
| 50 | |
| 50 | |
| 21 | |
| 52 | |
| 53 | |
| 54 | |
| 55 | |
| 56 | |
| 57 | |
| 57 | |
| 58 | |
| 59 | |

60

9. Kirema MF, Wagoro M, Carole A, Michael H. Socio-Demographic Factors Associated with 274 Advanced Stage of Cervical Cancer at Diagnosis in Kenyatta National Hospital, Kenya: A Cross 275 Sectional Study. J Cancer Sci Ther. 2017;9:554-61. 276 10. Zewdie B, Alemseged A, Tefera B, Amare D, Hailemariam S, Vivien T, et al. Health seeking 277 behavior for cervical cancer in Ethiopia: a qualitative study. International Journal for Equity in 278 279 Health. 2012;11(83). 11. Mwaka, Amos DG, Christopher OW, Edward MR, Martin W, Henry L, et al. Social, 280 demographic and healthcare factors associated with stage at diagnosis of cervical cancer: cross-281 sectional study in a tertiary hospital in Northern Uganda. BMJ Open. 2016;6(1):e007690. 282 12. Genebo T, Asmare S, Assefa M, Adamu A, Solomon B, Tigeneh W, et al. First data from a 283 population based cancer registry in Ethiopia. Cancer Epidemiology. 2018;53:93 - 8. 284 13. FIGO Committee on Gynecologic Oncology. FIGO staging for carcinoma of the vulva, 285 cervix, and corpus uteri. International Journal of Gynecology & Obstetrics. 2014;125(2):97-8. 286 14. C. Marth FL, S. Mahner, M. McCormack, A. Gonzalez-Martin, N. Colombo. Cervical 287 cancer: ESMO Clinical Practice Guidelines for diagnosis, treatment and follow-up. Annals of 288 Oncology 28 (Supplement 4). 2017. 289 Fatima O, Rahou ZH, Bouchra HR, Rachid B, Bouchra B, Abdelhalem Mesfioui. The 290 15. Measurement of Delay in Diagnosis and Treatment among Moroccan Women with Cervical 291 292 Cancer. Cancer and Oncology Research. 2017;5(1):10-9. 293 16. Deepak G, Gita K, Rajan P, Archana A, Jitendra P, Sharad RO. Estimates of delays in diagnosis of cervical cancer in Nepal. BMC Women's Health. 2014; 14(29). 294 17. Deepak G, Jitendra P, Sharad RO. Factors Associated with Late Diagnosis of Cervical 295 Cancer in Nepal. Asian Pac J Cancer Prev. 2013;14(7):4373-7. 296

| 2 | |
|----------------------|--|
| 2 | |
| 4 | |
| 5 | |
| 6 | |
| 7 | |
| 8 | |
| 9 | |
| 10 | |
| 11 | |
| 12 | |
| 13 | |
| 14 | |
| 15 | |
| 16 | |
| 17 | |
| 10 | |
| 10 | |
| 19 | |
| 20 | |
| 21 | |
| 22 | |
| 23 | |
| 24 | |
| 25 | |
| 26 | |
| 27 | |
| 28 | |
| 29 | |
| 30 | |
| 31 | |
| 37 | |
| J∠ 22 | |
| 22 | |
| 34 25 | |
| 35 | |
| 36 | |
| 37 | |
| 38 | |
| 39 | |
| 40 | |
| 41 | |
| 42 | |
| 43 | |
| 44 | |
| 45 | |
| 46 | |
| 47 | |
| 47 //Q | |
| 01- 10 | |
| 49 50 | |
| 50 | |
| 51 | |
| 52 | |
| 53 | |
| 54 | |
| 55 | |
| 56 | |
| 57 | |
| 58 | |
| 59 | |
| 60 | |

1

18. Barros AJ, Hirakata VN. Alternatives for logistic regression in cross-sectional studies: an
empirical comparison of models that directly estimate the prevalence ratio. BMC medical
research methodology. 2003;3(1):21.

300 19. Dereje N, Addissie A, Worku A, Assefa M, Abraha A, Tigeneh W, et al. Extent and
301 Predictors of Delays in Diagnosis of Cervical Cancer in Addis Ababa, Ethiopia: A Population302 Based Prospective Study. JCO Glob Oncol. 2020;6:277-84.

303 20. Ibrahim A, Rasch V, Pukkala E, Aro AR. Predictors of cervical cancer being at an advanced
304 stage at diagnosis in Sudan. International journal of women's health. 2011;3:385.

305 21. Ng'ang'a A, Nyangasi M, Nkonge NG, Gathitu E, Kibachio J, Gichangi P, et al. Predictors of
306 cervical cancer screening among Kenyan women: results of a nested case-control study in a
307 nationally representative survey. BMC Public Health. 2018;18(3):1221.

308 22. Bruni L AG, Serrano B, Mena M, Gómez D, Muñoz J, Bosch FX, de Sanjosé S. Human
309 Papillomavirus and Related Diseases in Ethiopia. ICO/IARC Information Centre on HPV and
310 Cancer (HPV Information Centre), 2018.

311 23. Kebede W, Kebede K. Psychosocial experiences and needs of women diagnosed with
312 cervical cancer in Ethiopia. International social work. 2017;60(6):1632-46.

24. Perrone F, Jommi C, Di Maio M, Gimigliano A, Gridelli C, Pignata S, et al. The association
of financial difficulties with clinical outcomes in cancer patients: secondary analysis of 16
academic prospective clinical trials conducted in Italy[†]. Annals of Oncology. 2016;27(12):2224-

316

9.

317 25. Bouberhan S, Shea M, Kennedy A, Erlinger A, Stack-Dunnbier H, Buss MK, et al. Financial
318 toxicity in gynecologic oncology. Gynecologic Oncology. 2019;154(1):8-12.

BMJ Open

| 2 3 | 319 | 26. Knaul FM, Bhadelia A, Atun R, Frenk J. Achieving effective universal health coverage and |
|-------------|-----|--|
| 4 5 6 | 320 | diagonal approaches to care for chronic illnesses. Health Affairs. 2015;34(9):1514-22. |
| 0 7 | 224 | |
| 8 9 | 321 | |
| 10 11 | | |
| 12 | | |
| 13 14 | | |
| 15 16 | | |
| 17 19 | | |
| 19 | | |
| 20 21 | | |
| 22 23 | | |
| 24 25 | | |
| 25 26 | | |
| 27 28 | | |
| 29 30 | | |
| 31 | | |
| 33 | | |
| 34 35 | | |
| 36 37 | | |
| 38 | | |
| 39 40 | | |
| 41 42 | | |
| 43 44 | | |
| 45 | | |
| 46 47 | | |
| 48 49 | | |
| 50 51 | | |
| 52 | | |
| 53 54 | | |
| 55 56 | | |
| 57 58 | | 10 |
| 59 | | 18 |
| 60 | | i or peer review only - http://binjopen.binj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml |

322 Legend of Figure

Figure 1: FIGO stages of cervical cancer at diagnosis of patients residing in Addis Ababa, 2018

to beet teries only



Table: Bivariate association between advanced stage diagnosis of cervical cancer and demographic and clinical characteristics in Addis Ababa, Ethiopia, 2018

| Variables | Advanced stage | | P value |
|--|----------------|------------|---------|
| | Yes | No | |
| Source of medical expenses | | | |
| Out of pocket | 99 (68.3%) | 46 (31.7%) | 0.001 |
| Free/insured | 29 (43.3%) | 38 (56.7%) | |
| Age | | | |
| <40 years | 16 (38.1%) | 26 (61.9%) | |
| 40 – 59 years | 42 (40.8%) | 61 (59.2%) | 0.94 |
| ≥60 years | 26 (38.8%) | 41 (61.2%) | |
| Formal education | • | | |
| No | 54 (62.8%) | 32 (37.2%) | 0.51 |
| Yes | 74 (58.7%) | 52 (41.3%) | |
| Spouse living together | | | |
| Yes | 48 (56.5%) | 37 (43.5%) | |
| No | 80 (63.0%) | 47 (37.0%) | 0.42 |
| Family monthly income | | | |
| ≤3200 ETB | 88 (61.5%) | 55 (38.5%) | 0.73 |
| >3200 ETB | 40 (58.0%) | 29 (42.0%) | |
| Immediate action after symptom recognition | | | |
| Went to health facility | 80 (53.7%) | 69 (46.3%) | 0.008 |

Page 23 of 25

| No action/ Religious activity | 48 (76.2%) | 15 (23.8%) | | | | |
|--|------------|------------|-------|--|--|--|
| Number of different health facilities visited before | | | | | | |
| diagnostic conformation | | | | | | |
| \leq 3 health facilities | 77 (54.2%) | 65 (55.8%) | 0.006 | | | |
| >3 health facilities | 51 (72.9%) | 19 (27.1%) | | | | |
| Diagnostic interval | | | | | | |
| ≤90 days | 33 (48.5%) | 35 (51.5%) | 0.02 | | | |
| >90 days | 95 (66.0%) | 49 (34.0%) | | | | |
| HIV infection | | | | | | |
| Yes | 31 (67.4%) | 15 (32.6%) | 0.35 | | | |
| No | 97 (58.4%) | 69 (41.6%) | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |

STROBE Statement-checklist of items that should be included in reports of observational studies

| | Item No. | Recommendation | Page No. |
|----------------------|-------------|---|--|
| Title and abstract | 1 | (a) Indicate the study's design with a commonly used term in the title or the abstract | Title page, Page No.1 |
| | | (b) Provide in the abstract an informative and balanced summary of what was done and what was found | Abstract, Page No.2 |
| Introduction | | | |
| Background/rationale | 2 | Explain the scientific background and rationale for the investigation being reported | Introduction, Page No. 4, Paragraph 1 and 2 |
| Objectives | 3 | State specific objectives, including any prespecified hypotheses | Introduction, Page No. 4, Paragraph 2 |
| Methods | | | |
| Study design | 4 | Present key elements of study design early in the paper | Methods, Page No. 5 |
| Setting | 5 | Describe the setting, locations, and relevant dates, including periods of recruitment, exposure, follow- up, and data collection | Methods, Page No. 5 |
| Participants | 6 | (a) Cohort study—Give the eligibility criteria, and the sources and methods of selection of participants. Describe methods of follow-up Case-control study—Give the eligibility criteria, and the sources and methods of case ascertainment and control selection. Give the rationale for the choice of cases and controls Cross-sectional study—Give the eligibility criteria, and the sources and methods of selection of participants | Methods, Page No. 5 |
| | | (b) Cohort study—For matched studies, give matching criteria and number of exposed and unexposed Case-control study—For matched studies, give matching criteria and the number of controls per case | N/A |
| Variables | 7 | Clearly define all outcomes, exposures, predictors, potential confounders, and effect modifiers. Give diagnostic criteria, if applicable | Methods, Data management and analysis, Page No. 6 |
| Data sources/ | 8* | For each variable of interest, give sources of data and details of methods of assessment (measurement). | Methods, Data tools and procedures, |
| measurement | | Describe comparability of assessment methods if there is more than one group | Page No. 5 |
| Bias | 9 | Describe any efforts to address potential sources of bias | Methods, Data tools and procedures, Page No. 5; Data management and analysis, Page No. 6 |
| Study size | 10 | Explain how the study size was arrived at | Methods, Page No. 5, study setting |

For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml

| | | | and design |
|------------------------|-----|---|------------------------------|
| Quantitative | 11 | Explain how quantitative variables were handled in the analyses. If applicable, describe which groupings | Methods, Data management and |
| | 10 | | anarysis, Page No. 6 |
| statistical methods | 12 | (a) Describe all statistical methods, including those used to control for confounding | Methods, Data management and |
| | | | analysis, Page 6 |
| | | (b) Describe any methods used to examine subgroups and interactions | Methods, Data management and |
| | | | analysis, Page No. 6 |
| | | (c) Explain how missing data were addressed | N/A |
| | | (d) Cohort study—If applicable, explain how loss to follow-up was addressed | N/A |
| | | Case-control study—If applicable, explain how matching of cases and controls was addressed | |
| | | Cross-sectional study—If applicable, describe analytical methods taking account of sampling strategy | |
| | | (<u>e</u>) Describe any sensitivity analyses | N/A |
| Results | | | |
| Participants | 13* | (a) Report numbers of individuals at each stage of study-eg numbers potentially eligible, examined for | N/A |
| | | eligibility, confirmed eligible, included in the study, completing follow-up, and analysed | |
| | | (b) Give reasons for non-participation at each stage | N/A |
| | | (c) Consider use of a flow diagram | N/A |
| Descriptive data | 14* | (a) Give characteristics of study participants (eg demographic, clinical, social) and information on exposures | Results, Page No. 7 and 8 |
| | | and potential confounders | |
| | | (b) Indicate number of participants with missing data for each variable of interest | Results, Page No. 7 and 8 |
| | | (c) <i>Cohort study</i> —Summarise follow-up time (eg, average and total amount) | N/A |
| Outcome data | 15* | Cohort study—Report numbers of outcome events or summary measures over time | N/A |
| | | <i>Case-control study</i> —Report numbers in each exposure category, or summary measures of exposure | N/A |
| | | Cross-sectional study-Report numbers of outcome events or summary measures | Results, Page No. 8 |
| Main results | 16 | (a) Give unadjusted estimates and, if applicable, confounder-adjusted estimates and their precision (eg, 95% | Results, Page No. 8 |
| | | confidence interval). Make clear which confounders were adjusted for and why they were included | |
| | | (b) Report category boundaries when continuous variables were categorized | N/A |
| | | (c) If relevant consider translating estimates of relative risk into absolute risk for a meaningful time period | N/A |

Continued on next page

| Other analyses | 17 | Report other analyses done-eg analyses of subgroups and interactions, and sensitivity analyses | N/A |
|------------------|----|--|--|
| Discussion | | | |
| Key results | 18 | Summarise key results with reference to study objectives | Discussion, Page No. 9, first paragraph |
| Limitations | 19 | Discuss limitations of the study, taking into account sources of potential bias or imprecision. Discuss both direction and magnitude of any potential bias | Discussion, Page No. 11, last paragraph |
| Interpretation | 20 | Give a cautious overall interpretation of results considering objectives, limitations, multiplicity of analyses, results from similar studies, and other relevant evidence | Conclusions, Page No. 11 |
| Generalisability | 21 | Discuss the generalisability (external validity) of the study results | Discussion, Page No. 11, last paragraph |
| Other informati | on | | |
| Funding | 22 | Give the source of funding and the role of the funders for the present study and, if applicable, for the original study on which the present article is based | Source of funding, Page No. 12 |

*Give information separately for cases and controls in case-control studies and, if applicable, for exposed and unexposed groups in cohort and cross-sectional studies.

Note: An Explanation and Elaboration article discusses each checklist item and gives methodological background and published examples of transparent reporting. The STROBE checklist is best used in conjunction with this article (freely available on the Web sites of PLoS Medicine at http://www.plosmedicine.org/, Annals of Internal Medicine at http://www.annals.org/, and Epidemiology at http://www.epidem.com/). Information on the STROBE Initiative is available at www.strobe-statement.org.