Supplementary Information

Fungal oxylipins direct programmed developmental switches in filamentous fungi

Niu et al.



Supplementary Fig. 1. Quantification of purified PpoA oxylipins using UHPLC-MS/MS. Standard curves and linear regression equations depicting the (a) 8R-HODE and (b) 5,8-diHODE concentration *vs.* ion intensity relationship measured by UHPLC-MS/MS using purified oxylipins. Daughter [M-H]⁻ ions with m/z =157.0859 and m/z=173.0810 were measured to quantify 8R-HODE and 5,8-diHODE abundance, respectively¹⁹. **c**, Tandem mass spectrum of 8R-HODE standard identifies characteristic [M-H]⁻ ions with m/z=157.0859, 277.2173, 295.2279; **d**, Tandem mass spectrum of 5,8-diHODE standard identifies characteristic [M-H]⁻ ions with m/z=115.0388, 173.0810, 293.2125, 311.2231.



Supplementary Fig. 2. Images of *A. fumigatus* Af293 WT and $\triangle ppoA$ liquid cultures. **a**, 10⁷/mL Af293 WT and $\triangle ppoA$ spores were inoculated in GMM and cultured at 25°C for 5 days, under constant shaking at 250 rpm. **b**, Af293 WT and $\triangle ppoA$ were cultured and grown as in (**a**), and at 65 hour-post inoculation, EtOH or 5,8-diHODE were added at the indicated concentrations. All cultures were evaluated for appearance and quantified for asexual spore production at 120 hour-post inoculation.



Supplementary Fig. 3. Illustration of the masters of the O-Channel microfluidic platform. A detailed fabrication method can be found in the Methods section.



T-1hr

а

Т

T + 1hr

T + 2hr

T + 3hr

T + 4hr















5,8-diHODE

No. of nuclei / 100 µm² branch area



Supplementary Fig. 4. Nuclear replication at lateral branching site is not altered by 5,8diHODE. GFP-histone tagged strain of *A. fumigatus* AF293 (TJMP 131.5) was grown for 7 hr in GMM at 37 °C before treated with 20 mM hydroxy urea for 2 hr, washed 3 times with GMM, exposed to either 1% EtOH or 5 µg/mL 5,8-diHODE, and settled for 1.5 hr briefly before timelapse fluorescent imaging. a, Representative image series of EtOH and 5,8-diHODE treated hyphae. Images were cropped to focus on a cell compartment within the apical hypha, bordered by two adjacent septa as indicated in white arrows. A 5-hr window for nuclei analysis was selected based on the lateral branch emergence at time T. Images are representative of 6 microscopic images acquired for each condition. Scale bars represent 10 µm. b, Quantification of the number of nuclei within the apical hyphal cell compartment and the new lateral branch derived from it starting at 1 hr before branching until 4 hr after (n = 6). The box-and-whisker plots show maximum, 75% quartile, median, 25% quartile, and minimum of the data. Two-way ANOVA was performed to detect the effect of time and treatment. **c**, Nuclei counts normalized to the length (along the lateral branch direction) or area of the branch plus apical compartment. A two-way ANOVA test was performed to identify the effect of time and treatment in (a) and multiple two-sided t tests were used to compare normalized nuclei numbers between treatments at T+ 4hr and T in (**b**, **c**). All values represent mean ± SEM.



Supplementary Fig. 5. Branching assessment of *A. flavus* canonical G-protein coupled receptor (GPCR) deletion mutants identified 3 GPCRs involved in 5,8-diHODE induced branching response. *A. flavus* wildtype control (CA14 $\Delta ku70\Delta pyrG$) and GPCR mutants were cultured in microfluidic platform in GMM containing either EtOH or 5 µg/mL 5,8-diHODE. Branching was quantified at 20 hr post incubation (n = 6). 6 randomly selected hyphae from each condition in each strain were imaged and quantified for branching. Multiple two-sided t tests were performed between conditions in the same strain, while Brown-Forsythe and Welch ANOVA tests followed by Dunnett's T3 multiple comparison test were used to compare across strains within each treatment group. Values represent mean ± SEM. *P*-values corresponding to asterisks (*) from left to right are: 0.0001, <0.0001, 0.0114, 0.0169, <0.0001, 0.0003, 0.0003, 0.0410, 0.0003, 0.0343, 0.0002, 0.0038, 0.0017. ns = not significant (*p* > 0.05).



120 min DEGs, Up-regulated

GO Term (Molecular Function) None

b

GO Term (Biological Process)

fumagillin metabolic process fumagillin biosynthetic process epoxide metabolic process ether biosynthetic process secondary metabolic process secondary metabolite biosynthetic process

ether metabolic process pseurotin A metabolic process pseurotin A biosynthetic process antibiotic biosynthetic process antibiotic metabolic process fumitremorgin B biosynthetic process fumitremorgin B metabolic process mycotoxin biosynthetic process toxin biosynthetic process mycotoxin metabolic process toxin metabolic process organic heteropentacyclic compound biosynthetic process organic heteropentacyclic compound metabolic process alkaloid biosynthetic process alkaloid metabolic process heterocycle biosynthetic process drug metabolic process

120 min DEGs, Down-regulated

GO Term (Molecular Function)

transporter activity transmembrane transporter activity

GO Term (Biological Process)

NA



а

Supplementary Fig. 6. RNA sequencing analysis of the transcriptomes after 120 min exposure of hyphae to 5,8-diHODE or EtOH. a, Hierarchical clustering analysis depicts differentially expressed genes (DEGs) with FDR < 0.05 and $|\log_2FC| > 1$ at 30 min post treatment. The \log_2 values of FPKM (Fragments Per Kilobase of transcript per Million mapped reads) were used to construct the heat map using the ComplexHeatmap package in R. Log transformation was performed via the zfpkm package and genes with $\log_2(FPKM) <=-3$ were disregarded. Cont120: EtOH controls at 120 min; S58120: 5,8-diHODE treated samples at 120 min. b, GO analysis of DEGs ($|Log_2FC|>1$) at 120 min post treatment was performed using FungiDB. A Fisher's Exact test followed by Benjamini-Hochberg multiple-testing correction was performed and GO terms with false discovery rate < 0.05 are listed. c, All over-represented GO terms with Fischer's Exact test *p*-value <0.05 in the GO analysis were used to generate scatterplots with REVIGO to eliminate redundant terms. Semantic relations between GO terms are represented as the relative distance between each circle.



30 min DEGs, Up-regulated

GO Term (Molecular Function)

calcium-dependent phospholipid binding

GO Term (Biological Process)

fumitremorgin B biosynthetic process fumitremorgin B metabolic process organic heteropentacyclic compound biosynthetic process organic heteropentacyclic compound metabolic process indole alkaloid metabolic process indole alkaloid biosynthetic process alkaloid biosynthetic process mycotoxin biosynthetic process alkaloid metabolic process toxin biosynthetic process mycotoxin metabolic process toxin metabolic process ether biosynthetic process verruculogen biosynthetic process prostaglandin biosynthetic process brevianamide F metabolic process prostaglandin metabolic process oxylipin metabolic process dipeptide transport prostanoid metabolic process prostanoid biosynthetic process verruculogen metabolic process oxylipin biosynthetic process fatty acid derivative biosynthetic process eicosanoid metabolic process eicosanoid biosynthetic process

30 min DEGs, Down-regulated

GO Term (Molecular Function)

NA

GO Term (Biological Process)

NA

Supplementary Fig. 7. Gene Ontology (GO) analysis of over-represented differentially expressed genes with $|Log_2FC|>1$ in 5,8-diHODE-treated vs. EtOH-treated samples at 30 min post treatment. a, GO analysis of DEGs ($|Log_2FC|>1$) at 30 min post treatment was performed using FungiDB. A Fisher's Exact test followed by Benjamini-Hochberg multiple-testing correction was performed and GO terms with false discovery rate < 0.05 are listed. **b**, All over-represented GO terms with Fischer's Exact Test *p*-value <0.05 in the GO analysis were used to generate scatterplots with REVIGO to eliminate redundant terms. Semantic relations between GO terms are represented as the relative distance between each circle.





Supplementary Fig. 8. Initial screen of 33 transcription factor deletion mutants identifies 9 transcription factors showing differential hyphal branching either in GMM + 1% EtOH or in response to 5,8-diHODE (5 µg/mL). a, Branching analysis of the WT control (MFIG001) and the mutants in GMM + 1% EtOH. 1000 spores in 100 µL were inoculated in 96-well plate, incubated for 15 hr before time-lapse imaging. Hyphal branching analysis was performed on images acquired at 20 hr post incubation. Strains with the same color were screened in the same batch and compared to the WT in that batch. *P*-values corresponding to asterisks (*) from left to right are: <0.0001, <0.0001. b, Normalized ratios of branches/100 µm of all strains grown in GMM + 5,8-diHODE vs. GMM + EtOH. *P*-values corresponding to asterisks (*) from left to right are: 0.0321, <0.0001, <0.0001, 0.0044, 0.0184, 0.0313, 0.0388, 0.0255, 0.0005. Ordinary one-way ANOVA with post-hoc Dunnett's multiple comparison test was used to compare between mutant and the corresponding WT control. Data obtained from different experiments are separated by vertical dashed lines. All values represent mean \pm SEM.



Supplementary Fig. 9. Deletion mutants of transcription factors display alterations in septal distance and chitin content in hyphae. a, Quantification of CFW fluorescence intensity in CEA10 TF mutants and the A1160 $pyrG^+$ WT control. 2500 spores in 400 µL were inoculated in 24-well plate pre-mounted with coverslip at the bottom. All cultures were incubated for 14 hr before CFW staining and imaging. Acquired DAPI images were analyzed in FIJI. Hyphae in each image was selected through the thresholding function in FIJI, and the mean fluorescence intensity of each threshold area was measured. **b**, Quantification of septal distance in CEA10 TF mutants and the A1160 $pyrG^+$ WT control. Septa were visualized in the acquired DAPI images and distances were measured in the NIS software package. All comparisons were made using two-sided Welch's t-test. All values represent mean \pm SEM. ns = not significant (p > 0.05).





Supplementary Fig. 10. Construction of the AFUB 082490 and AFUB 089440 **overexpression mutants.** a, Genetic contexts of the wildtype (A1160 $pyrG^+$) and the OE:: AFUB 082490. Double fusion PCR was performed to create transformation genetic construct to insert the A. nidulans gpdA promoter fused with the selection marker A. parasiticus pyrG in front of the transcription start site of AFUB 082490 or AFUB 089440. The genetic construct was transformed into uridine/uracil auxotroph CEA17 $\Delta pyrG$. Primer pairs of the forward primer gpdA For and the reverse primer GOI Rev were used for positive selection of target mutants via PCR. b, Results of PCR screen of transformation mutants. A 1kb fragment was expected to be amplified from correct OE::AFUB 082490 TNLR 28 an OE::AFUB 089440 TNLR 29 mutants. c, Assessment of AFUB 082490 and AFUB 089440 expression in 3 independent transformants of TNLR 28 and TNLR 29 confirmed in (b) and the WT A1160 pyrG⁺ through semi-quantitative PCR. act1 was assessed visually to control for even loading. gDNA and water were used as positive 13 and negative control for PCR.



Supplementary Fia. 11. Phenotype assessment of OE::AFUB 082490 and OE:: AFUB 089440 mutants. a, Assessment of branching response of the A1160 pyrG⁺ WT control and 3 independent transformants of OE::AFUB 082490 and OE::AFUB 089440. Branching assessment was performed in 96-well format with hyphae grown in GMM + 1% EtOH or GMM + 5 µg/mL of 5,8-diHODE. Quantification was performed on 20 hr-old hyphae that contained more than 2 lateral branches at time of data acquisition (n = 8 for WT and TNR 28 mutants, n = 7 for TNR 29.3, n = 6 for TNR 29.6, and n = 4 for TNR 29.10). b, Branching levels of the A1160 pyrG⁺ WT, OE::AFUB 082490, and OE::AFUB 089440 when grown in GMM + 1% EtOH in (a). c, CFW intensity of hyphae from the A1160 pyrG⁺WT and the TF overexpression strains, guantified using images acquired in Fig. 7a as described in the Methods section. Twosided Welch's t-test was used to compare between treatment groups in (a, c) and Brown-Forsythe and Welch ANOVA tests followed by post-hoc Dunnett's T3 multiple comparison test were used to compare across strains in (b). All values represent mean ± SEM. ns = not significant (p > 0.05).

Gene ID	Log₂FC (30 min)	Log ₂ FC (120 min)	Gene Description	
AFUA_1G03800	0.97884	1.1427	C6 transcription factor, putative	
AFUA_1G13750	0.84172	1.3687	C2H2 transcription factor (Rpn4), putative	
AFUA_1G15230	0.71812	1.0266	C6 transcription factor, putative	
AFUA_1G15850	0.82339	1.1581	C6 transcription factor, putative	
AFUA_1G17460	1.4327	1.1581	C6 transcription factor, putative	
AFUA_3G02590	1.0865	1.1647	C6 transcription factor, putative	
AFUA_3G03230	0.49477	1.1139	bZIP transcription factor, putative	
AFUA_3G03900	1.2672	1.2637	C6 transcription factor, putative	
AFUA_3G05760	1.2526	1.0585	C6 transcription factor (Fcr1), putative	
AFUA_3G09130	1.4708	0.75138	C6 transcription factor, putative	
AFUA_4G10110	0.8675	1.0677	homeobox transcription factor, putative	
AFUA_4G10200	1.1313	1.2302	transcription factor RfeF, putative	
AFUA_5G10040	-	1.1284	C6 transcription factor, putative	
AFUA_6G01840	1.0192	0.71543	C6 transcription factor, putative	
AFUA_6G07010	-	1.7052	C6 transcription factor RosA	
AFUA_6G11520	2.4579	1.4945	C6 transcription factor, putative	
AFUA_6G12020	1.1448	- C2H2 finger domain protein, putative		
AFUA_6G12150	1.4053	-	bZIP transcription factor (Atf7), putative	
AFUA_6G12160	1.4865	1.2913	C6 transcription factor, putative	
AFUA_7G00210	1.0245	1.0559	C6 transcription factor, putative	
AFUA_7G03910	1.0648	1.2933	1.2933 C2H2 zinc finger protein	
AFUA_7G04820	-	1.7195	C6 transcription factor, putative	
AFUA_8G00420	1.0539	1.4364	C6 finger transcription factor, putative	
AFUA_8G05010	2.6181	3.4992	C2H2 finger domain protein, putative	

b

Gene ID	Log₂FC (30 min)	Log₂FC (120 min)	Gene Description
AFUA_1G03780	-1.1048	-0.63548	C6 finger domain protein, putative
AFUA_2G13770	-1.0264	-	C2H2 conidiation transcription factor FlbC
AFUA_2G15110	-2.2696	-	C2H2 finger domain protein, putative
AFUA_4G04320	-1.2774	-2.0421	homeobox transcription factor, putative
AFUA_4G11480	-1.4949	-	C2H2 finger domain protein, putative
AFUA_4G13600	-1.3444	-	C2H2 finger domain protein, putative
AFUA_5G02800	-1.1179	-0.75052	C6 transcription factor, putative
AFUA_7G00130	-1.3014	-	C6 transcription factor, putative
AFUA_7G01890	-0.69089	-1.2652	C6 transcription factor, putative
AFUA_7G06370	-1.1062	_	C6 transcription factor, putative
AFUA_8G01150	-0.96336	-1.0155	C6 transcription factor, putative

Supplementary Table 1. List of differentially expressed genes (DEGs) that encode putative transcriptional factors and have more than 2-fold (a) under- or (b) over-expression when hyphae were treated with 5 μ g/mL 5,8-diHODE compared with EtOH control in the RNA Sequencing experiment. Yellow cells represent DEGs at both 30 min and 120 min, blue cells represent DEGs at 30 min only, purple cells represent DEGs at 120 min only.

Name	Sequence (5'-3')	Purpose
		qRT-PCR of AFUA_2G15110
MN_AFUA_2G15110_FOR	ATGCATTCAAGCGCTTATGCC	Forward
		qRT-PCR of AFUA_2G15110
MN_AFUA_2G15110_REV	GGACGATCGCAGTTGAAATTGAG	Reverse
MN_act1_FOR	CTTCCAGCCTAGCGTTCT	qRT-PCR of actin1_Forward
MN_act1_REV	GTACATGGTGGTACCACCAG	qRT-PCR of actin1_Reverse
		qRT-PCR of AFUA_4G11480
MN_asIA_FOR	CGTAAATCCGATCTCTGCAGAC	Forward
		qRT-PCR of AFUA_4G11480
MN_asIA_REV	GAGGCTTTTCGCCAGTGTG	Forward
		qRT-PCR of AFUA_7G03910
MN_nsdC_FOR	GGCTGCATTGACGCAATCGAC	Forward
		gRT-PCR of AFUA 7G03910
MN nsdC REV	CGATGGACGTCAAGCTCGAATC	Reverse
		gRT-PCR of AFUA 8G05010
MN zfpA FOR	GGACTCGTCACGTCAACAAC	Forward
		gRT-PCR of AFUA 8G05010
MN_zfpA_REV	CCGTAATAAGATGTTGGTGCGC	Reverse
		Creating OE::AFUB 082490
NR AFUB 082490 5' FOR	TTATTTTGCCCGACTCTTGG	strain
		Creating OE::AFUB 082490
NR AFUB 082490 NEST FOR	CAATGCACACCAGATGATCC	strain
	CCCTATAGTGAGTCGTATTACGG	Creating OE::AFUB 082490
NR AFUB 082490 5' REV	ATGGCTTCTTTGTGCGCTAAT	strain
	CTTGAGCAGACATCACCATGATG	Creating OE::AFUB 082490
NR AFUB_082490 3' FOR	TTGGCATTGGACTCGTC	strain
		Creating OE::AFUB_082490
NR AFUB 082490 NEST REV	TTCCCTTGTAATGGGTGAGC	strain
		Creating OE::AFUB 082490
NR AFUB_082490 3' REV	CGCTTAAAGACATCCGCTCT	strain
		Creating OE::AFUB_089440
NR AFUB_089440 5' FOR	GTGTTCGCCCTCAGAAAGAG	strain
		Creating OE::AFUB_089440
NR AFUB_089440 NEST FOR	GCCCATCAGTCAGTCCATCT	strain
	CCCTATAGTGAGTCGTATTACGG	
	GATAACAGATGCTTGTTGTCCGC	Creating OE::AFUB_089440
NR AFUB_089440 5' REV	C	strain
	CTTGAGCAGACATCACCATGATG	Creating OE::AFUB_089440
NR AFUB_089440 3' FOR	GCTGCATTGACGCAATC	strain
		Creating OE::AFUB_089440
NR AFUB_089440 NEST REV	GCGCAAAACTTCCCATACTG	strain
		Creating OE::AFUB_089440
NR AFUB_089440 3' REV	GACCGTCCATGGTATCTTCG	strain
		PCR screen of OE::TF
NLR_gpdA_FOR	ATTCATCTTCCCATCCAAGAACC	transformants_Forward
		PCR screen for TNLR 28
NLR_zfpA_REV	CGCTTAAAGACATCCGCTCT	transformants_Reverse
		PCR screen for TNLR 29
NLR_nsdC_REV	GACCGTCCATGGTATCTTCG	transformants_Reverse

Supplementary Table 2. Primers used in this study.