Supplementary Information

Near-real-time monitoring of global CO₂ emissions 2 reveals the effects of the COVID-19 pandemic 3

4

1

Zhu Liu^{1*†}, Philippe Ciais^{2†}, Zhu Deng^{1†}, Ruixue Lei³, Steven J. Davis⁴, Sha Feng³, Bo Zheng², Duo Cui¹, Xinyu Dou¹, Biqing Zhu¹, Rui Guo¹, Piyu Ke¹, Taochun Sun¹, Chenxi 5 6

Lu¹, Pan He¹, Yuan Wang^{5,6}, Xu Yue⁷, Yilong Wang⁸, Yadong Lei⁹, Hao Zhou⁹, Zhaonan Cai¹⁰, Yuhui Wu¹¹, Runtao Guo¹², Tingxuan Han¹³, Jinjun Xue^{14, 15, 16}, Olivier Boucher¹⁷, Eulalie Boucher¹⁷, Frédéric Chevallier², Katsumasa Tanaka^{2,27}, Yimin Wei¹⁸, Haiwang Zhong¹⁹, Chongqing Kang¹⁹, Ning Zhang²⁰, Bin Chen²¹, Fengming Xi²², Miaomiao Liu²³, 7 8

9

10

François-Marie Bréon², Yonglong Lu²⁴, Qiang Zhang¹, Dabo Guan¹, Peng Gong¹, Daniel 11

M. Kammen²⁵, Kebin He¹¹ and Hans Joachim Schellnhuber^{26,1} 12

13

14 1 Department of Earth System Science, Tsinghua University, Beijing, China.

- 15 2 Laboratoire des Sciences du Climate et de l'Environnement LSCE, Orme de Merisiers, Gif-sur-Yvette, France
- 16 3 Department of Meteorology and Atmospheric Science, The Pennsylvania State University, University Park, PA, USA
- 17 4 Department of Earth System Science, University of California, Irvine, 3232 Croul Hall, Irvine, CA, USA
- 18 5 Division of Geological and Planetary Sciences, California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, CA, USA
- 19 6 Jet Propulsion Laboratory, California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, CA, USA
- 20 7 Jiangsu Key Laboratory of Atmospheric Environment Monitoring and Pollution Control, Collaborative Innovation

21 Center of Atmospheric Environment and Equipment Technology, School of Environmental Science and Engineering,

22 Nanjing University of Information Science & Technology, Nanjing, China

23 8 Key Laboratory of Land Surface Pattern and Simulation, Institute of Geographical Sciences and Natural Resources

- 24 Research, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing, China
- 25 9 Climate Change Research Center, Institute of Atmospheric Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing, China
- 10 Kev Laboratory of Middle Atmosphere and Global Environment Observation, Institute of Atmospheric Physics, 26 27 Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing, China.
- 28 11 School of Environment, Tsinghua University, Beijing, China
- 29 12 School of Mathematical School, Tsinghua University, Beijing, China
- 30 13 Department of Mathematical Sciences, Tsinghua University, Beijing, China
- 31 14 Center of Hubei Cooperative Innovation for Emissions Trading System, Wuhan, China
- 32 15 Faculty of Management and Economics, Kunming University of Science and Technology, 13 Kunming, China
- 33 16 Economic Research Centre of Nagoya University, Furo-cho, Chikusa-ku, Nagoya, Japan
- 34 17 Institut Pierre-Simon Laplace, Sorbonne Université / CNRS, Paris, France
- 35 18 Center for Energy and Environmental Policy Research, Beijing Institute of Technology, Beijing, China
- 36 19 Department of Electrical Engineering, the State Key Lab of Control and Simulation of Power Systems and
- 37 Generation Equipment, Institute for National Governance and Global Governance, Tsinghua University, Beijing, China
- 38 20 Jinan University, Guangzhou, China
- 39 21 Beijing Normal University, Beijing, China
- 40 22 Institute of Applied Ecology, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Shenyang, China
- 41 23 State Key Laboratory of Pollution Control and Resource Reuse, School of the Environment, Nanjing University, 42 Nanjing, China
- 43 24 Key Laboratory of Wetland Ecology of Ministry of Education, College of Ecology and the Environment, Xiamen 44 University, Xiamen, China
- 45 25 Energy and Resources Group and Goldman School of Public Policy, University of California, Berkeley, CA, USA
- 46 26 Potsdam Institute for Climate Impact Research, Potsdam, Germany
- 47 27 Center for Global Environmental Research, National Institute for Environmental Studies, Tsukuba, Japan
- 48 * Corresponding authors: zhuliu@tsinghua.edu.cn
- 49 [†] Authors contributed equally
- 50



52

53 Supplementary Figure 1 | Daily thermal generation for countries. Daily thermal generation (or

total electricity generation, i.e. Russia) in 2019 (grey lines) and 2020 (red lines) in the U.S., India,

55 Russia, France, Germany, Italy, Spain, other EU countries (Austria, Belgium, Bulgaria, Cyprus,

56 Czech Republic, Denmark, Estonia, Finland, Greece, Hungary, Ireland, Latvia, Lithuania,

- 57 Netherlands, Poland, Portugal, Romania, Slovakia, Slovenia, Sweden), UK, Brazil, Japan and
- 58 China (grey areas represent the national lockdown periods in 2020).
- 59





62 Supplementary Figure 2 | Daily CO₂ emission changes in the ground (road) transport sector.



- 64 lines; grey lines for uncertainties; grey areas for the national lockdown periods in 2020).
- 65





68 **Supplementary Figure 3** | Daily variations of surface PM_{2.5}, NO₂ and CO concentrations.

69 Daily variations of surface (a, d) $PM_{2.5}$, (b, d) NO_2 , (c, f) CO concentrations from (a-c) China and

70 (d-f) U.S. during the first quarters of 2019 and 2020. The bold lines are the mean values from all

quality-controlled sites, with shadings indicating one standard deviation. The data on February 29th
 2020 are removed from the plot.

73



74

Supplementary Figure 4 | The relationship between TomTom congestion level with the
daily mean car counts. The relationship between TomTom congestion index and the actual
vehicle counts (Q in number of vehicles per hour each day) for Paris. a) the regression between
TomTom congestion level (x-axis) and Q (y-axis); b) Q reconstructed based on TomTom
congestion indexes (red) and the actual Q.



82 Supplementary Figure 5 | Monthly series of NO₂, aerosol optical depth (AOD) and column

83 CO₂ mixing ratio (XCO₂). Monthly series of a) NO₂ from OMI, b) aerosol optical depth (AOD)

84 from MODIS and c) column CO₂ mixing ratio (XCO₂) from GOSAT over China, US, selected EU

85 countries (UK, Germany, Italy and France), and India.

86



88

89 Supplementary Figure 6 | Tropospheric column NO₂ observation. Tropospheric column NO₂

- 90 observation in January May of 2020. Source maps from GSHHG (Global Self-consistent,
- 91 Hierarchical, High-resolution Geography Database)¹.



93 Supplementary Figure 7 | Difference of tropospheric column NO₂ observation. Difference of

- 94 tropospheric column NO₂ observation in January May of 2020. Source maps from GSHHG
- 95 (Global Self-consistent, Hierarchical, High-resolution Geography Database)¹.



97 Supplementary Figure 8 | Anomaly of monthly NO₂. Anomaly of NO₂ from OMI in the January 98 - May of 2020

99 The anomaly maps conducted by apply the same algorithm on every grid point. The anomaly

100 defined as the deseasonalized value. For NO₂ (Supplementary Fig. 8), the anomaly along the

101 eastern coast of China was negative in January and February 2020, then partially become positive.

102 About half of the anomalies over U.S. and Europe were positive in January 2020, then most areas

103 over U.S. and Europe became negative, which also matches the COVID-19 epidemic delays

104 compared to China. Source maps from GSHHG (Global Self-consistent, Hierarchical, High-

105 resolution Geography Database)¹.



106 Supplementary Figure 9 | Anomaly of monthly AOD. Anomaly of AOD from MODIS in the

- 107 January May of 2020
- 108 The anomaly maps conducted by apply the same algorithm on every grid point. The anomaly
- defined as the deseasonalized value. For AOD (Supplementary Fig. 9), the negative anomaly area
- along the eastern coast of China expanded from January to March 2020. For US and Europe, AOD
- anomalies on land did not change too much. The shutdown of COVID-19 may not affect AOD
- over them since their AOD was always Low. Source maps from GSHHG (Global Self-
- 113 consistent, Hierarchical, High-resolution Geography Database)¹...
- 114

115 Supplementary Tables

116 Supplementary Table 1 | Sectoral changes of CO₂ emission (2020 compared to the same

117	periods	in	2019).
-----	---------	----	--------

Unit. MtCO	Dowon	Ground	Industry	Desidential	Domestic	Sum	Decline
Unit: $MtCO_2$	Power	Transport	(with Process)	Residential	Aviation	Sum	(%)
China	-31.3	-96.8	-40.0	-8.0	-11.1	-187.2	-3.7%
India	-83.6	-33.6	-92.6	7.0	-2.3	-205.2	-15.4%
U.S.	-66.3	-195.8	-36.5	-14.7	-24.9	-338.3	-13.3%
EU27 & UK	-98.5	-43.6	-43.5	-17.0	-3.1	-205.7	-12.7%
Russia	-20.6	-8.6	-3.3	-6.8	-1.2	-40.5	-5.3%
Japan	-16.0	-7.9	-16.2	-2.1	-1.0	-43.1	-7.5%
Brazil	1.3	-17.4	-8.3	0.0	-1.5	-25.9	-12.0%
ROW	-26.3	-209.6	-23.1	-0.9	-9.8	-269.6	-5.5%
International							
Aviation						-146.0	-48.5%
International							
Shipping						-89.1	-25.0%
Sum	-341.4	-613.3	-263.5	-42.5	-54.8	-1550.5	-8.8%
Decline (%)	-5.0%	-18.6%	-5.5%	-2.2%	-35.1%	-8.8%	

120 Supplementary Table 2 | Monthly changes of CO2 emissions in the power sector (2020

121 compared to the same periods in 2019).

	China	India	U.S.	EU27 & UK	Russia	Japan	Brazil	ROW	World
Jan	-3.6%	-0.3%	-9.7%	-18.8%	-1.8%	-6.2%	101.6%	0.0%	-4.2%
Feb	-14.4%	9.0%	-3.0%	-20.3%	-2.5%	-2.4%	-9.0%	3.8%	-5.1%
Mar	-8.0%	-12.8%	-9.0%	-10.8%	-6.5%	-3.6%	-16.7%	-2.7%	-7.1%
Apr	1.1%	-29.9%	-8.4%	-30.8%	-8.9%	-9.1%	-21.0%	-10.1%	-9.7%
May	11.5%	-20.7%	-13.9%	-26.3%	-6.6%	-12.8%	-11.5%	-0.8%	-3.3%
Jun	5.5%	-17.8%	-2.0%	-9.2%	-4.9%	-2.8%	5.0%	0.4%	-1.1%
Jan-Feb	-8.3%	4.1%	-6.6%	-19.5%	-2.1%	-4.4%	36.7%	1.7%	-4.6%
Jan-Mar	-8.2%	-1.9%	-7.4%	-17.0%	-3.6%	-4.2%	17.9%	0.3%	-5.4%
Jan-Apr	-6.0%	-9.2%	-7.6%	-19.9%	-4.8%	-5.2%	8.5%	-2.1%	-6.4%
Jan-May	-2.8%	-11.7%	-8.9%	-21.0%	-5.1%	-6.4%	4.7%	-1.8%	-5.8%
Jan-Jun	-1.4%	-12.7%	-7.6%	-19.3%	-5.1%	-5.9%	4.7%	-1.5%	-5.0%

127 Sup	oplementary T	Table 3 Monthl	y changes of	f CO2 emissions	in the industry	sector (202
---------	----------------------	------------------	--------------	-----------------	-----------------	-------------

	China	India	U.S.	EU27 & UK	Russia	Japan	Brazil	ROW	World
Jan	-6.4%	1.8%	-0.7%	-1.2%	3.9%	-2.4%	1.5%	0.0%	-2.4%
Feb	-16.8%	3.8%	0.0%	-1.6%	4.9%	-5.6%	-0.4%	4.6%	-4.2%
Mar	-8.0%	-22.4%	-5.4%	-12.8%	2.6%	-5.3%	-4.2%	-2.2%	-7.3%
Apr	3.4%	-67.1%	-20.5%	-29.6%	-9.9%	-15.1%	-31.6%	-9.7%	-11.2%
May	4.4%	-39.3%	-16.6%	-21.6%	-7.2%	-26.3%	-23.7%	-1.1%	-5.4%
Jun	3.8%	-10.7%	-11.1%	-18.0%	-6.4%	-17.7%	-10.0%	0.0%	-2.0%
Jan-Feb	-10.9%	2.8%	-0.4%	-1.4%	4.4%	-4.0%	0.6%	2.1%	-3.2%
Jan-Mar	-9.7%	-6.1%	-2.1%	-5.2%	3.7%	-4.5%	-1.1%	0.5%	-4.7%
Jan-Apr	-5.9%	-20.5%	-6.7%	-11.3%	0.1%	-7.1%	-9.1%	-2.3%	-6.5%
Jan-May	-3.4%	-24.3%	-8.6%	-13.4%	-1.4%	-10.8%	-12.3%	-2.0%	-6.3%
Jan-Jun	-2.1%	-22.1%	-9.1%	-14.1%	-2.3%	-12.0%	-11.9%	-1.7%	-5.5%

128 compared to the same periods in 2019).

132 Supplementary Table 4 | Monthly changes of CO2 emissions in the ground

transportation sector (2020 compared to the same periods in 2019).

	China	India	U.S.	EU27 & UK	Russia	Japan	Brazil	ROW	World
Jan	-18.6%	0.2%	7.7%	5.4%	6.1%	-3.0%	1.8%	3.2%	1.3%
Feb	-53.8%	1.9%	9.3%	7.1%	6.0%	0.9%	-0.2%	0.6%	-3.5%
Mar	-25.0%	-25.7%	-23.5%	-16.7%	-3.2%	-7.1%	-15.2%	-22.2%	-21.0%
Apr	-16.1%	-65.6%	-49.1%	-31.9%	-26.1%	-17.4%	-37.7%	-41.9%	-38.6%
May	-10.8%	-34.4%	-45.7%	-20.7%	-20.4%	-18.4%	-34.2%	-37.9%	-32.6%
Jun	-5.9%	-16.7%	-30.2%	-1.2%	-4.9%	-4.0%	-19.0%	-14.1%	-15.2%
Jul	-4.2%	-7.2%	-30.9%	-0.3%	-3.5%	-4.0%	-15.6%	-9.3%	-13.0%
Jan-Feb	-35.4%	1.0%	8.5%	6.2%	6.1%	-1.1%	0.8%	1.9%	-1.0%
Jan-Mar	-31.8%	-8.1%	-2.7%	-1.8%	2.8%	-3.2%	-4.8%	-6.5%	-8.0%
Jan-Apr	-27.9%	-22.3%	-14.7%	-9.4%	-4.6%	-6.7%	-13.2%	-15.4%	-15.7%
Jan-May	-24.4%	-24.8%	-21.2%	-11.7%	-7.9%	-9.1%	-17.6%	-20.1%	-19.2%
Jan-Jun	-21.4%	-23.5%	-22.7%	-10.0%	-7.4%	-8.3%	-17.8%	-19.2%	-18.6%
Jan-Jul	-18.9%	-21.1%	-24.0%	-8.5%	-6.8%	-7.7%	-17.5%	-17.8%	-17.8%

					Domestic	:				Intern	
Month	China	India	U.S.	EU27 & UK	Russia	Japan	Brazil	ROW	All	ational	World
Jan	2.4%	4.4%	8.5%	0.0%	14.4%	7.2%	6.4%	7.9%	6.8%	3.7%	4.8%
Feb	-71.2%	11.6%	12.1%	2.7%	13.1%	10.3%	9.5%	9.2%	-5.4%	-3.0%	-3.8%
Mar	-56.4%	-18.8%	-13.2%	-44.6%	6.1%	-4.0%	-18.3%	-20.3%	-22.7%	-39.5%	-33.6%
Apr	-51.7%	-98.6%	-65.4%	-90.9%	-65.6%	-47.3%	-87.7%	-80.5%	-67.6%	-83.5%	-78.1%
May	-34.9%	-93.7%	-64.4%	-87.9%	-64.1%	-75.3%	-83.2%	-77.9%	-63.8%	-78.8%	-73.7%
Jun	-24.6%	-70.8%	-53.4%	-76.5%	-36.5%	-61.0%	-76.0%	-64.2%	-51.8%	-78.5%	-69.6%
Jul	-14.7%	-70.3%	-41.9%	-48.8%	-9.8%	-36.9%	-69.7%	-54.1%	-39.6%	-72.0%	-61.3%
Jan-Feb	-33.7%	7.8%	10.2%	1.3%	13.8%	8.7%	7.8%	8.6%	0.9%	0.5%	0.7%
Jan-Mar	-41.2%	-1.1%	1.6%	-15.2%	11.1%	4.3%	-0.5%	-1.5%	-7.4%	-13.5%	-11.4%
Jan-Apr	-43.8%	-24.0%	-15.9%	-35.6%	-9.1%	-8.5%	-20.4%	-21.6%	-22.8%	-31.8%	-28.7%
Jan-May	-42.0%	-38.4%	-26.4%	-47.2%	-21.5%	-22.5%	-31.7%	-33.4%	-31.5%	-41.8%	-38.3%
Jan-Jun	-39.1%	-43.9%	-31.3%	-52.7%	-24.5%	-29.0%	-38.4%	-38.8%	-35.1%	-48.5%	-43.9%

-21.9%

-30.2%

-43.2%

-41.2% -35.8% -52.4% -46.7%

-52.0%

138 Supplementary Table 5 | Monthly changes of CO2 emissions in the aviation Sector (2020

139 compared to the same periods in 2019).

-35.3% -47.8% -33.0%

140

Jan-Jul

142
143

Supplementary Table 6 | The observation of air quality and dry column CO₂ (XCO₂)

(2020 compared to the same periods in 201	9)
---	---	---

		China	U.S.	EU4	India
	Jan	$-32.26\% \pm 11.93\%$	$22.98\% \pm 15.94\%$	$15.78\% \pm 15.39\%$	$-8.96\% \pm 13.55\%$
	Feb	$-34.22\% \pm 12.00\%$	$-23.08\% \pm 12.45\%$	$-25.12\% \pm 12.52\%$	$-13.79\% \pm 13.16\%$
	Mar	$-4.53\% \pm 13.73\%$	$-14.32\% \pm 13.16\%$	$-15.56\% \pm 13.15\%$	$-13.37\% \pm 13.17\%$
$OMI NO_2$	Apr	$-3.59\% \pm 13.97\%$	$-2.16\% \pm 13.89\%$	$-23.40\% \pm 12.52\%$	$-11.10\% \pm 13.40\%$
	May	$-12.63\% \pm 13.40\%$	$-1.25\% \pm 13.98\%$	$-12.49\% \pm 13.37\%$	$-8.12\% \pm 13.57\%$
	Jan-May	$-20.18\% \pm 12.99\%$	$-3.70\% \pm 13.93\%$	$-12.69\% \pm 13.20\%$	$-11.09\% \pm 13.43\%$
	Jan	$10.17\% \pm 48.48\%$	$10.64\% \pm 95.09\%$	$19.81\% \pm 77.91\%$	$-5.46\% \pm 36.66\%$
	Feb	$-7.88\% \pm 41.27\%$	$-3.98\% \pm 82.63\%$	$-1.95\% \pm 70.87\%$	$7.29\% \pm 40.64\%$
MODIE AOD	Mar	$3.55\% \pm 41.33\%$	$-15.65\% \pm 66.76\%$	$29.42\% \pm 62.13\%$	$1.84\% \pm 40.56\%$
MODIS AOD	Apr	$17.35\% \pm 45.72\%$	$20.16\% \pm 86.26\%$	-23.83% ± 46.27%	$-7.65\% \pm 39.46\%$
	May	$-15.88\% \pm 40.58\%$	$-35.61\% \pm 51.83\%$	$-3.74\% \pm 58.60\%$	$-19.66\% \pm 36.57\%$
	Jan-May	$0.97\% \pm 43.26\%$	$-10.54\% \pm 71.89\%$	$0.83\% \pm 59.73\%$	$-4.91\% \pm 38.20\%$
	Jan	$0.53\% \pm 0.52\%$	$0.60\% \pm 0.52\%$	$0.42\% \pm 0.52\%$	$0.65\% \pm 0.52\%$
	Feb	$0.45\% \pm 0.52\%$	$0.53\% \pm 0.52\%$	$0.65\% \pm 0.52\%$	$0.44\% \pm 0.52\%$
COSAT VCO	Mar	$0.67\% \pm 0.52\%$	$0.37\% \pm 0.52\%$	$0.51\% \pm 0.51\%$	$0.66\% \pm 0.53\%$
$GOSAT ACO_2$	Apr	$0.48\% \pm 0.52\%$	$0.46\% \pm 0.51\%$	$0.39\% \pm 0.52\%$	$0.51\% \pm 0.52\%$
	May	$0.66\% \pm 0.52\%$	$0.59\% \pm 0.52\%$	$0.45\% \pm 0.51\%$	$0.78\% \pm 0.52\%$
	Jan-May	$0.56\% \pm 0.52\%$	$0.51\% \pm 0.51\%$	$0.48\% \pm 0.51\%$	$0.61\% \pm 0.52\%$
	Jan	2.67%±5.20%	4.94%±3.02%	2.37%±1.89%	-0.41%±3.37%
троромі со	Feb	$0.47\% \pm 7.11\%$	2.97%±3.91%	$1.08\% \pm 2.33\%$	$3.23\% \pm 5.20\%$
	Mar	$3.98\% \pm 6.77\%$	-1.84%±3.39%	-1.14%±3.10%	2.12%±3.45%
	Jan-Mar	2.38%±4.84%	$1.85\% \pm 1.94\%$	$0.72\% \pm 1.40\%$	$1.66\% \pm 2.38\%$
	Jan	-18.05%±23.90%	-3.80%±12.80%		
Site NO ₂	Feb	-30.33%±21.78%	14.98%±68.82%		
	Mar	-23.03%±17.29%	$-8.98\% \pm 44.17\%$		
	Jan-Mar	-23.00%±14.97%	0.34%±79.05%		
	Jan	-2.67%±41.56%	-8.77%±49.43%		
Site DM	Feb	-26.71%±26.94%	$-14.78\% \pm 59.12\%$		
Site F 1012.5	Mar	$-21.80\% \pm 17.51\%$	$-20.55\% \pm 39.30\%$		
	Jan-Mar	-15.39%±19.06%	-14.68%±40.49%		
	Jan	-5.89%±22.22%	-12.35%±23.12%		
Site CO	Feb	$-19.60\% \pm 20.49\%$	-6.19%±45.39%		
	Mar	$-14.24\% \pm 19.77\%$	4.94%±74.21%		

	Jan-Mar	-12.51%±15.41%	-5.11%±26.53%	
	Ion		-0.99%	
	Jan		(-1.36~-0.86%)	
	Esh		2.43%	
Internet over NO	Fed		(2.11~3.33%)	
Inventory NO ₂	Mar	-15.49%	-7.72%	
		(-21.20~-13.46%)	(-10.58~-6.72%)	
		-17.47%	-2.57%	
	Jan-Mar	(-23.94~-15.20%)	(-3.52~-2.24%)	

148Supplementary Table 7 | Percentage uncertainty for daily emission 2020

Items	Uncertainty Range
Power	$\pm 14.0\%$
Ground transport	±9.3%
Industry	$\pm 36.0\%$
Residential	$\pm 40.0\%$
Aviation	$\pm 10.2\%$
International shipping	$\pm 13.0\%$
Projection of emissions growth rate in 2019	$\pm 0.8\%$
EDGAR emissions in 2018	$\pm 5.0\%$
Overall	±7.2%

151 Supplementary Note 1 Comparison of Liu et al. and Le Quéré et al.

152 As requested by reviewer and editor, we compared our study with the recently published

- work² by Le Quéré et al. that addressed a similar topic although with a different approach
 when looking in details:
- 155 In short:

156 Le Quéré et al. relied on confinement index intensity to attribute changes of CO₂ emissions

due to COVID-19 pandemic. Le Quéré et al. assumed that emissions reductions scaled

158 linearly according to activity data for selected periods / countries and established

relationships between % change activity data and confinement indexes severity. These

relationships were then applied with daily confinement indexes to infer emission changes persector / country.

162 In this approach, only COVID (confinement) effects on emissions were modeled, not effects

163 of other factors which also drove actual daily emissions in 2020: changes of weather (cold /

164 mild winter) or in energy mix for power production, due to low gas price at the same time as

the confinement. When confinement levels returned to zero, real emissions can be reduced or

166 increased and by construction the approach of Le Quéré et al. cannot track those changes.

167 In our study, we used daily activity data to quantify emissions for all sectors, which allows

168 continuing to track daily emission dynamics after the end of the lockdowns. At face value,

169 our approach gives an assessment of actual emissions changes from all factors, including

170 dominant effects of COVID during the lockdown but also effect of warm winter weather,

171 rebounds of industry emissions after confinements, continued depletion of transport

emissions (especially aviation), and changes of energy mix (power sector emissions). Our

- 173 methodology also captures emission reductions during holidays when they happen, which can
- be on different days of the year across different years.
- 175

176 In more details:

177 We document below some key methodological differences.

178 Traffic emissions: Le Quéré et al. traffic emissions were scaled linearly with 7-days traffic

179 TomTom congestion indices, supplemented by data from data from Apple (58 countries), the

180 US MS2 corporation (20 states) and the UK government. Their approach was to take %

181 changes of those indices in the week of April 4 at discrete confinement levels (as defined by

182 policies in place) across the available datasets, and then apply this relationship (% changes of

traffic indices vs discrete confinement level) according to daily confinement index in each

184 country. The discrete nature of confinement index values explains why there are 'steps' in

- daily changes reconstructed from Le Quéré et al. when the index moves from one discrete
- 186 value to another.

187 Instead, we used directly TomTom indices as a continuous variable because we accessed

daily data in several cities within each country. We showed this index was a nonlinear

189 function of the actual car flux (thus emissions) and calibrated and applied this nonlinear

190 function to infer daily emissions from each country.

- 192 Residential emissions: Le Quéré et al. estimates were based on confinement indexes and
- electricity consumption for the city of London (assuming implicitly that electricity
- 194 consumption may scale with fuel use in buildings). In our study, residential emissions are
- assumed to depend on temperature in cold countries and were estimated based on 2019 fuel
- 196 consumption data with established temperature functions of temperature in 2019 and 2020.
- 197 We adopted this approach after verifying that there has been no effect of confinement
- severity on residential emissions by analyzing actual daily natural gas residential
- 199 consumption data in EU countries, where such data were available.
- 200 Power sector: Le Quéré et al. used daily electricity demand data and did not indicate energy
- 201 mix changes coincident to the COVID period and used power production dataset for US,
- 202 India and European Total (see table below) corrected for temperature. We included daily
- 203 energy mix changes by using thermal production data to calculate power sector emissions and
- 204 consider the changes of fuel mix in thermal production in the uncertainties, with data for 31
- 205 countries. Our emission estimates are provided as actual values, not corrected for temperature
- but we also provide attribution to temperature vs. COVID in the manuscript.
- 207 Aviation emissions Le Quéré et al. used weekly OAG global flight numbers. We used
- individual flight data (thus daily) split into countries between domestic and internationalemissions
- 210 Industry fuel use: Le Quéré et al. used total coal consumption and we used actual production
- 211 data for China. Le Quéré et al. used confinement indexes for other countries. We used
- 212 production indexes for the other countries and confinement in ROW.
- 213
- Importantly, Le Quéré's paper indicated the urgent need and research gap of the real time
 CO₂ study, which has exactly been addressed by our research:
- 216 "Despite the critical importance of CO_2 emissions for understanding global climate change,
- **systems are not in place to monitor global emissions in real time**." (page 1 paragraph 3 in
- 218 Le Quéré paper²)
- 219

		Liu et al. paper	Le Quéré et al. paper
Results	Missions for each day	Included for 2019 and January-June 2020 based on continuous daily activity data with some sectors updated to July	Daily emission decline caused by COVID only based on relationship between confinement levels and activity data for the period January-April 2020 (duration of confinement period)
	Weekly difference	Considered	N/A
	Seasonality	Considered whole year 2019 and 2020 seasonality	Considered by HDD for power sector during January-April 2020
	Holidays	Considered	N/A
	Changes in the energy mix or e.g. the fossil/renewable ratio	Considered	N/A
	Temperature effects on power and residential emissions	Considered for both sectors	Considered for power sector
Method	Total	Estimated daily emissions based on actual daily activity data in each country	Confinement index based: establish % activity change at each confinement level across the available datasets, and then apply those % changes according to confinement levels in place on each day in each country
	Sector-Power	Hourly to daily thermal production for 31 countries including variable fuel mix; Temperature effects separated for each country	Relationship between confinement levels and daily temperature corrected electricity generation data in U.S., European countries (as a total), and India
	Sector-Industry	Emissions based on industrial production or industry output index data in each country	Confinement index based on daily coal consumption data for the six largest coal companies in China
	Sector-Surface Transport	TomTom congestion Index- Emission' model calibrated against car flux data (in	Confinement index based: establish fixed % traffic proxies (TomTom, Apple, US MS2, UK)

220 Supplementary Table 8 | Comparison of Liu et al. paper and Le Quéré et al. paper

		Paris). This emission model evaluated for few other cities	and changes at each confinement level across the available datasets, and then apply those % changes according to confinement levels in place on each day in each country
	Sector-Commercial and Residential	Population-weighted heating degree days in 206 countries assuming no direct effect of	Public: estimated by the changes in transport sector and electricity sector
		COVID on sector's fuel consumption This assumption was partly verified by daily natural gas consumption by commercial and residential buildings in France, Italy, Belgium and Spain	Residential: emission changes inferred from residential electricity use monitored with UK smart meters
	Sector-Aviation	Daily individual flight distance with each aircraft type by Flight Radar24	weekly flight numbers by OAG
	Sector-Shipping	Decline ratio by reports	Projections of the World Trade Organization of -20% regardless of the confinement level
Data	Sector-Power	31 countries	(US, India and European Total)
	Sector-Industry	62 countries	China (six power companies) and US
	Sector-Surface Transport	57 countries and 416 cities	63 countries and 413 cities
	Sector-Commercial and Residential	206 countries	UK
	Sector-Aviation	All countries	14 countries
	Sector-Shipping	International Shipping (only global total)	International Shipping (only global total)

223 Supplementary References:

- 1. Wessel, Pål; Smith, Walter H. F. A global, self-consistent, hierarchical, high-resolution
- shoreline database. Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth. 101 (B4): 8741–
- **226** 8743(1996). doi:10.1029/96JB00104
- 227 2. Le Quéré, C., Jackson, R.B., Jones, M.W. et al. Temporary reduction in daily global CO2
- emissions during the COVID-19 forced confinement. Nat. Clim. Chang. 10, 647–653 (2020).
- 229 https://doi.org/10.1038/s41558-020-0797-x