

---

## Appendix

Hypertensive disease (preeclampsia or pregnancy induced hypertension) and normotensive participants. Median and interquartile range.

	PE n=3	PIH n=4	Normotensive n = 172	p-value
Age	24 (n.a)	33 (10)	30 (6)	0.393
BMI, 10-12 gw	25 (n.a)	27 (7.8)	24 (5.4)	0.389
Smoking	none	none	none	Na
BPs, 10-12 gw	110 (n.a)	129 (6)	113 (12)	0.007†
BPd, 10-12 gw	65 (n.a)	78 (12)	65 (10)	0.076
NTproBNP, 10-12 gw	38 (n.a)	84 (152.5)	57 (46.8)	0.770
range	24–120	27–196	5–312	
Hs-cTNT, 10-12 gw	<5.0 (n.a)	<5.0 (0)	<5.0 (0)	0.001††
range	<5.0–5.8	<5.0–<5.0	<5.0–6.1	
eGFR, 10-12 gw	105 (n.a)	102 (17)	110 (12)	0.129
range	97–109	92–112	71–139	
BPs, 20-25 gw	124 (n.a)	132 (25)	116 (12)	0.043‡
BPd, 20-25 gw	72 (n.a)	80 (4)	68 (9)	0.003▲
NTproBNP, 20-25 gw	27 (n.a)	58 (167.5)	46 (49)	0.360
range	21–59	43–265	7–279	
Hs-cTNT, 20-25 gw	5.0 (n.a)	5.0 (0)	5.0 (0)	0.978
range	<5.0–<5.0	<5.0–<5.0	<5.0–10.5	
eGFR, 20-25 gw	115 (n.a)	110 (12.8)	114 (13)	0.656
range	105–118	100–115	86–143	
Cardiac index, 20-25 gw	3.0 (n.a)	3.2 (1.2)	3.1 (0.7)	0.962
NTproBNP peripartum	n.a	52 (n.a)	44 (52)	
range	19–50	29–302	5–990	
Hs-cTNT peripartum	n.a	5.2 (n.a)	5.2 (2.5)	
range	<5–5.7	5.2–11.7	<5–30.5	

eGFR peripartum	106 (n.a)	103 (n.a)	101 (16)
range	83–107	78–112	70–125
NTproBNP postpartum	38 (n.a)	70 (n.a)	52 (47)
range	17–65	36–99	6–305
Hs-cTNT postpartum	<5 (n.a)	<5 (n.a)	<5 (0)
range	<5–<5	<5–<5	<5–11.7
eGFR postpartum	85 (n.a)	96 (n.a)	93 (13)
range	81–93	70–104	62–120

PE=preeclampsia. PIH=pregnancy induced hypertension. BMI=body mass index.

Gw=gestational week. BP<sub>s</sub>=systolic blood pressure. BP<sub>d</sub>=diastolic blood pressure.

eGFR=estimated glomerular filtration rate (ml/min/1.73). n.a=not applicable. All comparisons below are Bonferroni-adjusted significance tests for pairwise comparisons by using Kruskal-Wallis mean rank tests.

† PE vs. PIH, p = 0.033, PE vs. NT, p = 1.00, NT vs. PIH, p = 0.007.

†† PE vs. PIH, p = 0.010, PE vs. NT, p = 0.001, NT vs. PIH, p = 1.000.

‡ PE vs. PIH, p = 1.000, PE vs. NT, p = 0.571, NT vs. PIH, p = 0.090.

▲ PE vs. PIH, p = 1.000, PE vs. NT, p = 0.322, NT vs. PIH, p = 0.006.