SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION

WNT11-FZD7-DAAM1 signalling supports tumour initiating abilities and melanoma amoeboid invasion

Irene Rodriguez-Hernandez, Oscar Maiques, Leonie Kohlhammer, Gaia Cantelli, Anna Perdrix, Joanne Monger, Bruce Fanshawe, Victoria L. Bridgeman, Sophia N. Karagiannis, Rosa M. Penin, Joaquim Marcolval, Rosa M. Marti, Xavier Matias-Guiu, Gilbert O. Fruhwirth, Jose L. Orgaz, Ilaria Malanchi and Victoria Sanz-Moreno.



Supplementary Figure 1. Related to Figure 1. Amoeboid cells support tumour initiation in melanoma *in vitro* and *in vivo*

(a) Quantification of percentage of cells with score intensity 0-3 for p-MLC2 staining in tumour body (TB) and invasive front (IF) of A375M2 (n=9) and A375P (n=8) tumours from 50,000 cells' condition from Fig.1b. (b) Cell viability in vitro of A375M2 and A375P cells after 7 days (n=3). (c) Representative images (left) and quantification (right) of ki-67 positive cells in TB and IF of A375M2 (n=9) and A375P (n=8) tumours from 50,000 cells' condition from Fig.1b. Scale bar, 100 µm; inset, 25 μ m. (d) Representative immunoblots of p-MLC2 in the indicated cell lines (n=3). (e) Representative phase-contrast images (top) and quantification of sphere formation index (bottom) of A375M2 (n=3) and WM983B cells (n=5) serially passaged. Scale bar, 250 µm. (f,g) Representative images (top) and quantification (bottom) of (f) H-score of p-MLC2 staining and (g) ki-67 positive cells of A375M2 and WM983B spheres serially passaged (n=4). Scale bar, 50 µm. (h-j) Representative confocal images (top) and quantification (bottom) of (h) cell morphology (>200 cells pooled from n=3), (i) p-MLC2 immunofluorescence signal normalized by cell area (>75 cells pooled from n=4) and (j) percentage of blebbing cells (5 fields of view per experiment for A375M2 and 10 for WM983B, >85 cells per experiment, n=3) of individual A375M2 and WM983B cells from adherent conditions (P0) and from dissociated cells from spheres serially passaged (P1-P3) on collagen I matrix. Scale bar, (i) 50 µm and (j) 20 µm. Yellow arrows indicate blebs. (k,l) (k) Representative immunoblots and (I) representative confocal images of F-actin (red) and GFP (green) of WM983A cells over-expressing EGFP-wild type MLC2 or control EGFP (n=3). Scale bar, 20 µm. (m-o) Quantification of (m) sphere formation index (n=4), (n) cell morphology (>170 cells pooled from n=3) and (o) p-MLC2 immunofluorescence signal normalized by cell area (>165 cells pooled from n=3) of WM983A cells over-expressing EGFP-wild type MLC2 or control EGFP. (a,b,e-g,j,m) Graphs show mean \pm s.e.m. (c,h,i,n,o) Box limits show 25th and 75th percentiles, the horizontal line shows the median and whiskers show minimum and maximum range of values. (b,d-o) n means number of independent biological experiments. (a,c,e-g,j) One-way ANOVA with Tukey post-hoc test. (b,m-o) two-tailed t-test. (h,i) Kruskal-Wallis with Dunn's multiple comparison test. For all graphs, p<0.05, p<0.01, p<0.01, p<0.001, p<0.001. The exact significant p values for p, p>0.001. and ***p are provided in Supplementary Table 1.



Supplementary Figure 2. Related to Figure 2. EMT genes regulated by ROCK1/2 control amoeboid invasive features

(a) Pie chart representing the combined regulation of EMT-related genes in A375M2 and WM1361 cells after 4 h of ROCKi (H1152) (n=4). (b,c) Top two enriched networks of downregulated genes in A375M2 and WM1361 cells after 4 h of ROCKi (H1152) predicted by Ingenuity Pathway Analysis software. (d-g) After WNT11 knockdown in A375M2 and WM1361 cells, (d) mRNA expression of WNT11 by qRT-PCR (n=3), (e) quantification of cell morphology (>200 cells pooled from n=3), (f) representative immunoblots (left) and quantification (right) of p-MLC2 levels (n=4 for WNT11#1, n=3 for WNT11#2 and WNT11#3) and (g) 3D invasion index into a collagen I matrix (n=4 for A375M2, n=3 for WM1361). (h-j) After WNT5B knockdown in A375M2 cells, (h) mRNA expression of WNT5B by qRT-PCR (n=5), (i) quantification of cell morphology (>250 cells pooled from n=3) and (j) immunoblots (left) and quantification (right) of p-MLC2 (n=4). (d,f-h,j) Graphs show mean \pm s.e.m. (e,i) Box limits show 25th and 75th percentiles, the horizontal line shows the median and whiskers show minimum and maximum range of values. (a,d-j) n means number of independent biological experiments. (d,f,g) One-way ANOVA with Dunnett post-hoc test. (e) Kruskal-Wallis with Dunn's multiple comparison test. (h,j) two-tailed t-test. (i) two-tailed Mann-Whitney test. For all graphs, p<0.05, p<0.01, p<0.01, p<0.001, p<0.001. The exact significant p values for p, p>0.001. and ***p are provided in Supplementary Table 1.



Supplementary Figure 3. Related to Figure 3. Non-canonical Wnt ligands support melanosphere formation and amoeboid behaviour

(a) mRNA expression of stem cell-related markers by qRT-PCR in A375M2 cells treated with ROCKi (H1152) for 24 h compared to control A375M2 cells (n=3 for *JARID1B*, *SOX2*, *OCT4*, *CD44*; n=4 for *ALDH1A3*, *ALCAM*, *ALDH1A1*). (b) Representative phase-contrast images and (c) immunoblots of p-MLC2 in A375M2 cells after 24 h treatment with ROCKi (H1152 or GSK269962A) (n=3). Scale bar, 50µm. (d-e) Quantification of (d) sphere formation index (n=3) and (e) cell viability (n=4 for WM1361, n=3 for WM983B) of WM1361 and WM983B cells treated with one dose of ROCKi (GSK269962A). (f,g) mRNA expression of indicated genes by qRT-PCR in (f) A375M2 (n=4) and (g) WM1361 cells (n=3) after *ROCK1/2*, *MYL9* or *MYL12B* knockdown. (a,d-g) Graphs show mean \pm s.e.m. (a-g) n means number of independent biological experiments. (a,d,e) two-tailed t-test. (f,g) One-way ANOVA with Dunnett post-hoc test. For all graphs, *p<0.05, **p<0.01, ***p<0.001, ****p<0.0001. The exact significant p values for *p, **p and ***p are provided in Supplementary Table 1.



Supplementary Figure 4. Related to Figure 4. FZD7 downstream of WNT11 supports melanosphere formation and amoeboid invasion *via* DAAM1

(a,b) After FZD7 or RYK knockdown in A375M2 and WM1361 cells, (a) mRNA expression of indicated genes by qRT-PCR (n=6) and (b) representative immunoblots of p-MLC2 (n=3 for A375M2, n=4 for WM1361). (c-g) (c) mRNA expression of FZD7 by qRT-PCR (n=4 for A375M2, n=3 for WM1361), quantification of (d) sphere formation index (n=3), (e) cell viability (n=3 for A375M2, n=4 for WM1361) and (f) cell morphology (>200 cells pooled from n=3) and (g) representative immunoblots of p-MLC2 (n=3) in A375M2 and WM1361 cells expressing nonsilencing shRNA (shControl) and two different shRNAs against FZD7 (shFZD7). (h) Heatmap representing log2 fold change in PLCB isoforms' expression in metastatic versus primary melanoma samples. Raw data were obtained from GEO and TCGA databases. (i,j) After PLCB1 or DAAM1 knockdown in A375M2 and WM1361 cells, (i) mRNA expression of indicated genes by qRT-PCR (n=5) and (j) representative immunoblots of p-MLC2 (n=3). (k-p) (k) mRNA expression of DAAM1 by qRT-PCR (n=5), (l) representative phase-contrast images (scale bar, 250 µm) (top) and quantification of sphere formation index (bottom) (n=4 for shDAAM1#1, n=3 for shDAAM1#2), (m) cell viability (n=3 for A375M2, n=4 for WM1361), (n) cell morphology (>200 cells pooled from n=3), (o) representative immunoblots of p-MLC2 (n=3) and (p) mRNA expression of stem cellrelated markers by qRT-PCR (n=3 for NANOG, OCT4, CD44, ALDHA1A; n=4 for JARID1B, ALDH1A3; n=5 for SOX2, ALCAM) in A375M2 and WM1361 cells expressing shControl and two different shRNA against DAAM1 (shDAAM1). (q) mRNA expression of indicated genes by qRT-PCR in A375P cells after *PLCB1* or *DAAM1* knockdown (n=4). (a,c-e,i,k-m,p,q) Graphs show mean \pm s.e.m. (f,n) Box limits show 25th and 75th percentiles, the horizontal line shows the median and whiskers show minimum and maximum range of values. (a-g,i-q) n means number of independent biological experiments. (a,c-e,i,k-m,q) One-way ANOVA with Dunnett post-hoc test. (f,n) Kruskal-Wallis with Dunn's multiple comparison test. (h) two-tailed t-test with Welch's correction. (p) twotailed t-test. For all graphs, p<0.05, p<0.01, p<0.01, p<0.001, p<0.001. The exact significant p values for *p, **p and ***p are provided in Supplementary Table 1.



Supplementary Figure 5. Related to Figure 5. FZD7-DAAM1-RhoA-ROCK1/2 supports tumour initiation and metastasis *in vivo*

(a) Cell viability of A375M2 cells treated with ROCKi (5µM H1152 or 5µM GSK269962A) for 5 days in vitro (n=4). (b) Cell viability of B16F10 cells treated with ROCKi (5µM or 1µM GSK269962A) for 5 days in vitro (n=3). (c,d) (c) Schematic of experiment and (d) tumour weight of ROCKi (5µM or 1µM GSK269962A) pre-treated B16F10 cells 11 days post-subcutaneous injection in C57BL/6J mice (n=6 mice/group). (e-g) Quantification of (e) melanoma cell shape score, (f) Hscore of p-MLC2 staining and (g) ki-67 positive cells in B16F10 tumours (n=6 tumours/group) from (c). (h,i) Quantification of (h) FZD7 staining and (i) percentage of cells with score intensity 0-3 for p-MLC2 staining in shControl (n=16) and shFZD7 (n=9) WM1361 derived tumours from 50,000 cells' condition from Fig.5e. (j,k) Quantification of (j) DAAM1 staining and (k) percentage of cells with score intensity 0-3 for p-MLC2 staining in shControl (n=10) and shDAAM1 (n=5) WM1361 derived tumours from 50,000 cells' condition from Fig.5i. (1) Limiting dilution assay estimating tumour initiating frequency (TIF) (top) and tumour volume (bottom) of shControl and shDAAM1 A375M2 cells when injected at different dilutions (500,000, 50,000, 10,000 and 5,000 cells) in NOD/SCID/IL2Ry-/- (NSG) mice (Number of tumours per condition indicated in table). TIF was determined using ELDA. (m-o) Representative images (top) and quantification (bottom) of (m) melanoma cell shape score, (n) H-score and percentage of cells with score intensity 0-3 for p-MLC2 staining and (o) ki-67 positive cells in TB and IF of shControl (n=26) and shDAAM1 (n=12) derived tumours from 10,000 and 5,000 cells' conditions from (1). Scale bar, 100 µm; inset, 25 µm. (p) Quantification of DAAM1 staining in shControl (n=26) and shDAAM1 (n=12) A375M2 derived tumours from 10,000 and 5,000 cells' conditions from (l). (q) Representative confocal images (left) and percentage of fluorescence area (right) of mouse lungs 30 min after tail vein injection of WM1361 cells expressing shControl or shDAAM1 constructs (n=2 mice/group). Scale bar, 50µm. (a,b,d,i,k,q) Graphs show mean \pm s.e.m. (e-h,j,l-p) Box limits show 25th and 75th percentiles, the horizontal line shows the median and whiskers show minimum and maximum range of values. (a,b) One-way ANOVA with Dunnett post-hoc test. (d-h,q) two-tailed t-test. (j,l,p) two-tailed Mann-Whitney test. (i,k,m-o) One-way ANOVA with Tukey post-hoc test. For all graphs, *p<0.05, **p<0.01, ***p < 0.001, ****p < 0.0001. The exact significant p values for *p, **p and ***p are provided in Supplementary Table 1. Schematics in this figure were created using Servier Medical Art templates licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 3.0 Unported License (https://smart.servier.com).



Supplementary Figure 6. Related to Figure 6. Amoeboid behaviour enhances tumour formation, tumour progression and metastasis *in vivo*

(**a,b**) Representative images of score intensity 0-3 for p-MLC2 staining in (**a**) IF and (**b**) distal invasive front (DIF) from primary tumours from Fig.6a. Dashed lines represent the boundary between IF and DIF. Scale bar, 50 μ m. (**c**) Heatmaps showing expression of top 100 proliferative and invasive genes from Verfaille study¹ enriched in A375M2 cells compared to A375M2 cells treated with ROCKi (H1152, Y27632) and blebbistatin or to A375P cells². (**d**) GSEA plots showing enrichment of proliferative and invasive gene signatures from Hoek study³ in A375M2 cells compared to A375M2 cells compared to A375M2 cells compared to A375M2 cells compared to A375M2 cells treated with ROCKi (H1152, Y27632) and blebbistatin or to A375M2 cells compared to A375M2 cells compared to A375M2 cells treated with ROCKi (H1152, Y27632) and blebbistatin or to A375P cells². NES, normalized enrichment score; FDR, false discovery rate. (**e**) Representative images of mouse lungs (left) and quantification of tumour area (right) 30 min after tail vein injection of 4599 cells pre-treated with ROCKi (5 μ M GSK269962A) for 24 h in NSG mice (n=2 mice/group). Scale bar, 100 μ m. (e) Graphs show mean ± s.e.m. (e) two-tailed Mann-Whitney test.



ALDH1A1 A375M2 WM1361

Supplementary Figure 7. Related to Figure 7. Analysis of the invasive front of human primary melanomas

(a,b) Representative images (left) and quantification (right) of percentage of cells with score intensity 0-3 for (a) p-MLC2 staining and (b) DAAM1 staining in matched TB and IF from primary melanomas. Scale bar, 300 µm. (c,d) Representative images (left) and quantification (right) of (c) ALDH1A3 and (d) OCT4 in matched TB and IF from primary melanomas. Scale bar, 100 µm; inset, 50 µm. (a-d) 53 primary melanomas. (e) IHC images and hotspot maps for ALDH1A1, CD44, p-MLC2, DAAM1 and ki-67 stainings in consecutive sections of IF and TB areas from a human primary melanoma. Red circles show the areas with higher spatial correlation. (f-j) After ALDH1A1 knockdown in A375M2 and WM1361 cells, (f) mRNA expression of ALDH1A1 by qRT-PCR (n=6 for A375M2, n=3 for WM1361), (g) representative phase-contrast images (scale bar, 250 µm) (left) and quantification of sphere formation index (right) (n=4 for A375M2, n=3 for WM1361), (h) cell morphology (>200 cells pooled from n=3), (i) representative immunoblots of p-MLC2 (n=3) and (j) reactive oxygen species (ROS) measurement (n=3 for A375M2, n=5 for WM1361). (k) Gating strategy for intracellular measurement of ROS. (a,b,f,g,j) Graphs show mean \pm s.e.m. (c,d,h) Box limits show 25th and 75th percentiles, the horizontal line shows the median and whiskers show minimum and maximum range of values. (f-j) n means number of independent biological experiments. (a-d) two-tailed Wilcoxon test. (f,g,j) two-tailed t-test. (h) two-tailed Mann-Whitney test. For all graphs, p<0.05, p<0.01, p<0.01, p<0.001, p<0.001. The exact significant p values for *p, **p and ***p are provided in Supplementary Table 1.



Supplementary Figure 8. Related to Figure 8. Analysis of the invasive front of human metastatic melanomas

(**a,b**) Representative images (left) and quantification (right) of percentage of cells with score intensity 0-3 for (**a**) p-MLC2 staining and (**b**) DAAM1 staining in matched TB and IF from melanoma metastasis. Scale bar, 300 μ m. (**c,d**) Representative images (left) and quantification (right) of (**c**) ALDH1A3 and (**d**) OCT4 in matched TB and IF from melanoma metastasis. Scale bar, 100 μ m; inset, 50 μ m. (**a**-d) 45 metastatic melanomas. (**e**-**h**) Fold change expression of (**e**) amoeboid markers, (**f**) ki-67 proliferative marker, (**g**) non-canonical Wnt markers and (**h**) stem cell-like markers in TB of melanomas. (**i**) RNA-seq expression data of PDZ-RhoGEF (ARHGEF11) across tumour types from the human protein atlas (www.proteinatlas.org). (a,b,g,h) Graphs show mean ± s.e.m. (c-f) Box limits show 25th and 75th percentiles, the horizontal line shows the median and whiskers show minimum and maximum range of values. (**i**) Box limits show 25th and 75th percentiles, the horizontal line shows the median and points are displayed as outliers if they are above or below 1.5 times the interquartile range. (a-d) two-tailed Wilcoxon test. (e,g) two-tailed t-test. (f,h) two-tailed Mann-Whitney test.

Supplementary Table 1. Exact significant p values from figures

Figure 1c Comparison 500k A375M2 vs. A375P 50k A375M2 vs. A375P 5k A375M2 vs. A375P	Exact p value *p=0.0255 *p=0.0105 **p=0.0071	Figure 1d Comparison A375M2 TB vs. A375M2 IF A375M2 TB vs. A375P TB A375M2 IF vs. A375P IF A375P TB vs. A375P IF	Exact p value ****p<0.0001 **p=0.0049 ****p<0.0001 ***p=0.0004
Figure 1e		Figure 1f	P 0.0001
Comparison	Exact n value	Comparison	Exact p value
A375M2 TB vs. A375M2 IF	**** <i>p</i> <0.0001	A375P P1 vs. P3	* <i>p</i> =0.0185
A375M2 IF vs. A375P IF	**p=0.0016	A375P P1 vs. P4	**p=0.004
A375P TB vs. A375P IF	*p=0.0265	A375P P2 vs. P4	*p=0.0493
		WM983A P1 vs. P3	*p=0.0168
		WM983A P1 vs. P4	***p=0.0008
		WM983A P2 vs. P4	**p=0.0025
Figure 1g		Figure 1h	
Comparison	Exact p value	Comparison	Exact p value
A375P P1 vs. P3	*p=0.0393	A375P P1 vs. P3	***p=0.0004
A375P P1 vs. P4	** <i>p</i> =0.0023	A375P P1 vs. P4	***p=0.0001
A375P P2 vs. P4	*p=0.0141	A375P P2 vs. P3	**p=0.0079
WM983A P1 vs. P3	*** <i>p</i> =0.0001	A375P P2 vs. P4	**p=0.0018
WM983A P1 vs. P4	**** <i>p</i> <0.0001	WM983A P1 vs. P3	**p=0.0059
WM983A P2 vs. P3	* <i>p</i> =0.0126	WM983A P1 vs. P4	***p=0.0003
WM983A P2 vs. P4	**** <i>p</i> <0.0001	WM983A P2 vs. P4	**p=0.0042
WM983A P3 vs. P4	* <i>p</i> =0.0312		
Figure 1i		Figure 1j	
Comparison	Exact p value	Comparison	Exact p value
A375P P0 vs. P1	**** <i>p</i> <0.0001	A375P P0 vs. P2	****p<0.0001
A375P P0 vs. P2	**** <i>p</i> <0.0001	A375P P0 vs. P3	**p=0.001
A375P P0 vs. P3	**** <i>p</i> <0.0001	A375P P1 vs. P2	****p<0.0001
A375P P1 vs. P2	****p<0.0001	A375P P1 vs. P3	****p<0.0001
A375P P1 vs. P3	*p=0.0348	WM983A P0 vs. P1	****p<0.0001
WM983A P0 vs. P1	****p<0.0001	WM983A P0 vs. P2	****p<0.0001
WM983A P0 vs. P2	**** <i>p</i> <0.0001	WM983A P0 vs. P3	**** <i>p</i> <0.0001
WM983A P0 vs. P3	****p<0.0001	WM983A P1 vs. P2	** <i>p</i> =0.0054
WM983A P1 vs. P2	**** <i>p</i> <0.0001	WM983A P1 vs. P3	**** <i>p</i> <0.0001
WM983A P1 vs. P3	**** <i>p</i> <0.0001		
Figure 1k	_		
Comparison	Exact p value		
A375P P0 vs. P3	*** <i>p</i> =0.0007		
A375P P1 vs. P3	* <i>p</i> =0.0282		
WM983A P0 vs. P2	** <i>p</i> =0.0039		
WM983A P0 vs. P3	** <i>p</i> =0.0031		
WM983A P1 vs. P2	** <i>p</i> =0.0044		
WM983A PI vs. P3	** <i>p</i> =0.0035		

Figure 2c			
Comparison A375M2	Exact p value	Comparison WM1361	Exact p value
KRT19	**** <i>p</i> <0.0001	SERPINE1	**** <i>n</i> <0.0001
WNT11	**** <i>n</i> <0.0001	IGFBP4	**** <i>n</i> <0.0001
RGS2	**** <i>n</i> <0.0001	WNT11	**** <i>n</i> <0.0001
GSC	****n < 0.0001	CDH2	***n-0.0001
CAV2	p < 0.0001	CAV2	***n=0.0002
SEDDINE 1	p < 0.0001	TCFA	<i>p</i> =0.0003
SERI IIVEI SNAII	**************************************		*** <i>p</i> =0.0004
SNAII	**** <i>p</i> <0.0001		p=0.0008
CAMINZINI TCE2	**************************************		** <i>p</i> =0.0011
	**** <i>p</i> <0.0001	GEMINZ TIMP1	** <i>p</i> =0.0013
JAGI	**** <i>p</i> <0.0001	IIMPI	** <i>p</i> =0.001/
NODAL	**** <i>p</i> <0.0001	FNI	** <i>p</i> =0.002
FOXC2	**** <i>p</i> <0.0001	DESII	** <i>p</i> =0.0021
STEAPI	*** <i>p</i> =0.0001	RGS2	**p=0.0023
CTNNB1	***p=0.0001	KRT7	**p=0.0028
ITGA5	***p=0.0001	PDGFRB	** <i>p</i> =0.0031
MMP3	***p=0.0002	EGFR	**p=0.0047
PLEK2	***p=0.0002	DSP	**p=0.0049
AHNAK	***p=0.0002	TGFB2	**p=0.007
PDGFRB	***p=0.0003	COL1A2	**p=0.0098
VIM	***p=0.0003	CALD1	*p=0.0106
STAT3	***p=0.0004	MSN	*p=0.0111
SOX10	*** <i>p</i> =0.0005	MMP3	*p=0.0128
WNT5B	***p=0.0005	ILK	*p=0.0136
TGFB3	***p=0.0005	ITGB1	*p=0.0177
TGFB1	***p=0.0005	TFPI2	*p=0.0181
IGFBP4	***p=0.0006	SMAD2	*p=0.0181
TSPAN13	***p=0.0007	SNAI2	*p=0.0195
MMP2	***p=0.0009	TCF3	*p=0.0222
FGFBP1	**n=0.0011	ILIRN	*p=0.0227
SNAI3	**p=0.0012	TGFB1	p = 0.0238
CDH2	**n=0.0013	NODAL	*p=0.0239
KRT7	**n=0.0019	TMFFF1	*n=0.0239
IL1RN	**n=0.0035	KRT14	p = 0.023
TIMP1	**n=0.004	MMP2	*n=0.0258
COLIA?	**n-0.0053	NUDT13	*n=0.0258
7FR1	**n-0.0055	VIM	p=0.0250 * $n=0.0271$
CDH1	p=0.000	ITGAV	p=0.0271 * $n=0.0289$
NUDT13	p=0.000+	KRT10	p=0.0207
SMAD2	p=0.007 ** $p=0.007$	WNT5R	p=0.0357 * $n=0.0454$
NOTCH1	p=0.007	WIVISD	p=0.0434
	p=0.0072		
ICI'4 ECEP	*p=0.0077 **n=0.0082		
CNC11	p=0.0082		
VCAN	p=0.0101		
VCAN ITCP1	p=0.0102		
	p=0.0103		
COLSA2	p=0.0114		
	p=0.0115		
	* <i>p</i> =0.0117		
	p=0.0120		
CALDI DESU	p = 0.013		
DESII	p = 0.0131		
GEMIIN2	p = 0.0142		
DELN	* <i>p</i> =0.0159		
KACI	* <i>p</i> =0.018		
MSN	* <i>p</i> =0.0189		
FIIR	* <i>p</i> =0.0208		
ITGAV	*p=0.048		

Figure 2e

Comparison siControl vs. siSERPINE1 siControl vs. siWNT11 siControl vs. siWNT5B siControl vs. siAHNAK siControl vs. siTCF4 siControl vs. siCAV2 Figure 2g

Comparison

siControl vs. siSERPINE1 siControl vs. siWNT11 siControl vs. siWNT5B siControl vs. siCAV2

Figure 3a

Comparison ALCAM CD44 ALDH1A3 ALDH1A1 OCT4 JARID1B SOX2 Figure 3c Comparison Control vs. H1152 Control vs. GSK269962A Control vs. Blebbistatin

Figure 3e

Comparison A375M2 siControl vs. si*ROCK1/2* A375M2 siControl vs. si*MYL9* A375M2 siControl vs. si*MYL12B* WM1361 siControl vs. si*ROCK1/2* WM1361 siControl vs. si*MYL9* WM1361 siControl vs. si*MYL12B*

Figure 3h Comparison

A375M2 siControl vs. si*WNT5B* WM1361 siControl vs. si*WNT5B*

Figure 4a Comparise

Comparison	Exact p value	Exact p value	Exact p value	Exact p value
A375M2 vs	A375P	H1152	Y27632	Blebbistatin
FZD7	*p=0.0320	*p=0.0190	*p=0.0314	ns
RYK	ns	**p=0.0013	***p=0.0004	*p=0.0395
FZD3	ns	ns	ns	ns
FZD6	***p=0.0006	***p=0.0010	**p=0.0030	**p=0.0041
FZD1	***p=0.0008	*p=0.0102	**p=0.0070	**p=0.0078
ROR2	ns	ns	ns	*p=0.0148
FZD2	ns	ns	*p=0.0145	**p=0.0019
FZD9	ns	ns	ns	ns

Exact p value

****p<0.0001 ****p<0.0001 ****p<0.0001 ****p<0.0001 ****p<0.0001 ****p<0.0001

Exact p value

***p=0.0007 *p=0.0387 **p=0.0076 ***p=0.0007

Exact p value

p = 0.0140 p = 0.0249 p = 0.0003 p = 0.0075 p = 0.0437 p = 0.0712p = 0.143

Exact p value

***p=0.0008 **p=0.0038 **p=0.0035

Exact p value

p=0.007p=0.0031**p=0.0036*p=0.0424**p=0.0078**p=0.0003

Exact p value

****p*=0.0003 ***p*=0.0012

Comparison siControl vs. si*SERPINE1*

Figure 2f

siControl vs. si*WNT11* siControl vs. si*WNT5B* siControl vs. si*AHNAK* siControl vs. si*TCF4*

Figure 2h

Comparison siControl vs. si*SERPINE1* siControl vs. si*WNT11* siControl vs. si*WNT5B* siControl vs. si*AHNAK*

Figure 3b

Comparison Control vs. H1152 Control vs. GSK269962A Control vs. Blebbistatin

Figure 3d

Comparison

A375M2 siControl vs. si*ROCK1/2* A375M2 siControl vs. si*MYL9* A375M2 siControl vs. si*MYL12B* WM1361 siControl vs. si*ROCK1/2* WM1361 siControl vs. si*MYL9* WM1361 siControl vs. si*MYL12B* Figure 3g

Comparison

A375M2 siControl vs. siWNT11#1 A375M2 siControl vs. siWNT11#2 A375M2 siControl vs. siWNT11#3 WM1361 siControl vs. siWNT11#1 WM1361 siControl vs. siWNT11#2 WM1361 siControl vs. siWNT11#2

Exact p value

Exact p value

****p<0.0001

*****p*<0.0001

****p<0.0001

*****p*<0.0001

Exact p value

***p=0.0001 ***p=0.0003

****p*=0.0003

***p=0.0003

Exact p value

****p*=0.0002

****p*=0.0004

*p=0.0159

**p*=0.0012

p=0.0074 **p=0.0016 *p=0.0008 ***p=0.0005 ****p<0.0001 ****p<0.0001

Exact p value

*p=0.0131 **p=0.0056 **p=0.0068 ***p=0.0002 ***p=0.0002 ***p<0.0001

Comparison Metastasis vs Primary FZD7 RYK	Exact p value Xu *p=0.0141 ****p<0.0001	Exact p value Riker *p=0.0232 ns	Exact p value Kabbarah * <i>p</i> =0.0251 * <i>p</i> =0.0172	Exact p value TCGA ns *p=0.0131		
FZD3	***p=0.0001	ns	**** <i>p</i> <0.0001	ns		
FZD6	** <i>p</i> =0.0011	ns	ns	ns		
FZD1	ns	ns	*p=0.0262	***p=0.0004		
ROR2	ns	ns	***p=0.0007	ns		
FZD2	ns	ns	ns	ns		
FZD9	ns	ns	ns	* <i>p</i> =0.0124		
Figure 4c			Figure 4e			
Comparison	Exa	act p value	Comparison		Exact p value	
A375M2 siControl vs. siF2	ZD7 **µ	=0.0022	A375M2 siCont	rol vs. si <i>FZD7</i>	****p<0.0001	
WM1361 siControl vs. siF2	ZD7 ***	p=0.0004	A375M2 siCont	rol vs. si <i>RYK</i>	****p<0.0001	
WM1361 siControl vs. siR	YK **µ	D =0.0054	WM1361 siCon WM1361 siCon	trol vs. si <i>FZD7</i> trol vs. si <i>RYK</i>	**** <i>p</i> <0.0001 *** <i>p</i> =0.0001	
Figure 4f			Figure 4g			
Comparison	Exa	act p value	Comparison		Exact p value	
A375M2 siControl vs. siF2	ZD7 *p=	=0.0441	A375M2 siCont	rol vs. si <i>FZD7</i>	***p=0.0006	
A375M2 siControl vs. siRI	′K *p=	=0.0403	WM1361 siCon	trol vs. si <i>FZD7</i>	*p=0.0259	
WM1361 siControl vs. siF2	ZD7 ***	p=0.0001				
WM1361 siControl vs. siR	YK ***	** <i>p</i> <0.0001				
Figure 4h			Figure 4i			
Comparison	Exa	act p value	Comparison		Exact p value	
A375M2 siControl vs. siPI	LCB1 ***	** <i>p</i> <0.0001	A375M2 siCont	rol vs. si <i>DAAM1</i>	** <i>p</i> =0.0047	
A375M2 siControl vs. siDA	AAM1 ***	** <i>p</i> <0.0001	WM1361 siCon	trol vs. si <i>PLCB1</i>	** <i>p</i> =0.0093	
WM1361 siControl vs. siP	LCB1 ***	p=0.0002	WM1361 siCon	trol vs. si <i>DAAM1</i>	****p<0.0001	
WM1361 siControl vs. siD	AAM1 ***	** <i>p</i> <0.0001				
Figure 4k			Figure 4l			
Comparison	Exa	act p value	Comparison		Exact p value	
A375M2 siControl vs. siDA	AAM1 **µ	p=0.0015	siControl vs. siC	Control+WNT11	****p<0.0001	
WM1361 siControl vs. siD	AAM1 ***	<i>M1</i> ***p=0.0004		siPLCB1 vs. siPLCB1+WNT11		
			siControl vs. si <i>F</i>	**p=0.005		
			siControl vs. si <i>L</i>	DAAM1	**p=0.0012	
Figure 4m			Figure 4n			
Comparison	Exa	act p value	Comparison		Exact p value	
siControl vs. siControl+Wi	NT11 *p=	=0.0462	siControl vs. siC	Control+WNT11	*p=0.0112	
Figure 5a			Figure 5b			
Comparison	Exa	act p value	Comparison		Exact p value	
Control vs. GSK269962A	**µ	p=0.0092	Control vs. GSK	269962A	*p=0.0141	
Control vs. H1152	**µ	p=0.0019	Control vs. H11	52	** <i>p</i> =0.0063	
Figure 5c			Figure 5d			
Comparison	Exa	act p value	Comparison		Exact p value	
Control vs. GSK269962A	**µ	p=0.0019	Control vs. GSK	269962A	**p=0.0055	
Control vs. H1152	**µ	p=0.0013	Control vs. H11	52	***p=0.0004	
Figure 5f		_	Figure 5g		_	
Comparison	Exa	act p value	Comparison		Exact p value	
shControl TB vs. shControl	l IF ***	p=0.0003	shControl TB vs	s. shControl IF	**** <i>p</i> <0.0001	
shControl TB vs. shFZD7	I'B ***	** <i>p</i> <0.0001	shControl TB vs	s. sh <i>FZD7</i> TB	**** <i>p</i> <0.0001	
shControl IF vs. shFZD7 II	*** *n-	** <i>p<</i> 0.0001 =0.0196	shControl IF vs.	sh <i>FZD7</i> IF	**** <i>p</i> <0.0001	

Figure 5h

Comparison shControl TB vs. shControl IF shControl TB vs. shFZD7 TB shControl IF vs. shFZD7 IF

Figure 5k

Comparison shControl TB vs. shControl IF shControl TB vs. shDAAM1 TB shControl IF vs. shDAAM1 IF

Figure 5m

Comparison

24 h shControl vs. shDAAM1 3 weeks shControl vs. shDAAM1

Figure 6d

Comparison Control TB vs. Control IF Control TB vs. Control DIF Control IF vs. Control DIF Control IF vs. ROCKi IF Control DIF vs. ROCKi DIF ROCKi TB vs. ROCKi IF ROCKi TB vs. ROCKi DIF ROCKi IF vs. ROCKi DIF

Figure 6e Comparison

Control TB vs. Control IF Control TB vs. Control DIF Control IF vs. Control DIF Control DIF vs. ROCKi DIF ROCKi TB vs. ROCKi DIF ROCKi IF vs. ROCKi DIF

Figure 6j Comparison

Control vs. ROCKi **Figure 6l Comparison** Control vs. ROCKi **Supplementary Figure 1a** Comparison A375M2 TB vs. A375M2 IF A375M2 IF vs. A375P IF

Supplementary Figure 1e Comparison

A375M2 P1 vs. P4 A375M2 P2 vs. P4 A375M2 P3 vs. P4 WM983B P1 vs. P4

Exact p value

p=0.0001 *p<0.0001 ****p<0.0001

Exact p value

****p<0.0001 **p=0.0011 *****p*<0.0001

Exact p value

***p=0.0001 **p*=0.0177

Exact p value

p=0.0002 **p*<0.0001 ****p<0.0001 **p=0.0064 **p=0.0095 *p=0.0198 ****p<0.0001 *****p*<0.0001

Exact p value

*p=0.0139 ****p<0.0001 ****p*=0.0002 **p=0.0029 **p=0.0019 *p=0.0139

Exact p value *p=0.0107Exact p value **p=0.0022

Exact p value ****p<0.0001 ***p=0.0001

Exact p value

***p=0.0005 ***p=0.001 **p=0.01 *p=0.0296

Figure 5j Comparison

shControl TB vs. shControl IF shControl TB vs. shDAAM1 TB shControl IF vs. shDAAM1 IF shDAAM1 TB vs. shDAAM1 IF Figure 51

Comparison

shControl TB vs. shControl IF shControl TB vs. shDAAM1 TB shControl IF vs. shDAAM1 IF

Figure 6e

Comparison Control TB vs. Control IF Control TB vs. Control DIF Control IF vs. Control DIF Control IF vs. ROCKi IF Control DIF vs. ROCKi DIF ROCKi TB vs. ROCKi IF ROCKi TB vs. ROCKi DIF ROCKi IF vs. ROCKi DIF Figure 6f

Comparison

Control TB vs. Control IF Control TB vs. Control DIF Control IF vs. Control DIF Control TB vs. ROCKi TB Control IF vs. ROCKi IF Control DIF vs. ROCKi DIF ROCKi TB vs. ROCKi IF ROCKi TB vs. ROCKi DIF ROCKi IF vs. ROCKi DIF Figure 6k Comparison Control vs. ROCKi Figure 6m Comparison Control vs. ROCKi **Supplementary Figure 1c** Comparison A375M2 TB vs. A375M2 IF A375M2 TB vs. A375P TB A375M2 IF vs. A375P IF A375P TB vs. A375P IF **Supplementary Figure 1f** Comparison A375M2 P1 vs. P3 A375M2 P1 vs. P4

A375M2 P2 vs. P4

WM983B P1 vs. P3

WM983B P1 vs. P4 WM983B P2 vs. P3

WM983B P2 vs. P4

Exact p value

Exact p value

****p<0.0001

*****p*<0.0001

****p<0.0001

Exact p value

**p=0.0069

**p=0.0058

***p=0.0009

*p=0.0289

****p<0.0001 ****p<0.0001 **p=0.0038 **p=0.002 **p=0.0036 **p=0.0027 ****p<0.0001 ***p*=0.0036

Exact p value

p*=0.0243 **p<0.0001 ***p*=0.0016 ***p=0.0007 ***p*=0.0016 *p=0.0452 *p=0.0167 ****p<0.0001 ***p=0.0001 Exact p value

**p*=0.0317 Exact p value *p=0.0411

Exact p value

****p<0.0001 *****p*<0.0001 *****p*<0.0001 ****p<0.0001

Exact p value

p=0.0087 **p*=0.0002 **p=0.0026 **p=0.0011 *****p*<0.0001 **p*=0.0144 ****p*=0.0006

Supplementary Figure 1g Comparison

A375M2 P1 vs. P3 A375M2 P1 vs. P4 A375M2 P2 vs. P3 A375M2 P2 vs. P4 WM983B P1 vs. P3 WM983B P1 vs. P4 WM983B P2 vs. P4 **Supplementary Figure 1i** Comparison A375M2 P0 vs. P1 A375M2 P0 vs. P2 A375M2 P0 vs. P3 WM983B P0 vs. P1 WM983B P0 vs. P2 WM983B P0 vs. P3 WM983B P1 vs. P3 WM983B P2 vs. P3

Supplementary Figure 1n Comparison

GFP vs. MLC-GFP

Supplementary Figure 2d Comparison

A375M2 siControl vs. siWNT11#1 A375M2 siControl vs. siWNT11#2 A375M2 siControl vs. siWNT11#3 WM1361 siControl vs. siWNT11#1 WM1361 siControl vs. siWNT11#2 WM1361 siControl vs. siWNT11#3

Supplementary Figure 2g Comparison

A375M2 siControl vs. siWNT11#1 A375M2 siControl vs. siWNT11#2 A375M2 siControl vs. siWNT11#3 WM1361 siControl vs. siWNT11#1 WM1361 siControl vs. siWNT11#2 WM1361 siControl vs. siWNT11#3

Supplementary Figure 3a Comparison

JARIDIB ALDHIA3 SOX2 CD44 OCT4 ALCAM ALDHIA1

Supplementary Figure 4c Comparison

A375M2 shControl vs. shFZD7#1 A375M2 shControl vs. shFZD7#2 WM1361 shControl vs. shFZD7#1 WM1361 shControl vs. shFZD7#2

Exact p value

 $\begin{array}{c} ***p = 0.0002 \\ ****p = 0.0001 \\ *p = 0.0245 \\ ***p = 0.0008 \\ **p = 0.0044 \\ ***p = 0.0002 \\ **p = 0.0096 \end{array}$

Exact p value

****p<0.0001 **p=0.0013 *p=0.0001 *p=0.0101 **p=0.0016 ****p<0.0001 ***p<0.0001 ***p<0.0001

Exact p value ***p=0.0007

p 0.0007

Exact p value ***p=0.0006

***p=0.0006 **p=0.0055 **p=0.0043 **p=0.0092 **p=0.0066

Exact p value

**p=0.0035*p=0.0153*p=0.0276*p=0.0458*p=0.0289*p=0.0498

Exact p value

 $\begin{array}{l} ***p=0.0005\\ **p=0.0025\\ p=0.0559\\ **p=0.0046\\ p=0.1202\\ p=0.557\\ p=0.616 \end{array}$

Exact p value

****p<0.0001 ****p<0.0001 **p=0.0011 **p=0.0073

Supplementary Figure 1h Comparison A375M2 P0 vs. P3

A375M2 P0 vs. P3 A375M2 P1 vs. P3 WM983B P0 vs. P1 WM983B P0 vs. P2 WM983B P0 vs. P3

Supplementary Figure 1j Comparison A375M2 P0 vs. P3

A375M2 P1 vs. P3 WM983B P0 vs. P3 WM983B P1 vs. P3

Supplementary Figure 1m Comparison GFP vs. MLC-GFP Supplementary Figure 1o Comparison GFP vs. MLC-GFP

Supplementary Figure 2f Comparison

A375M2 siControl vs. siWNT11#1 A375M2 siControl vs. siWNT11#2 A375M2 siControl vs. siWNT11#3 WM1361 siControl vs. siWNT11#1 WM1361 siControl vs. siWNT11#2 WM1361 siControl vs. siWNT11#3 Supplementary Figure 2h

Comparison

siControl vs. siWNT5B

Supplementary Figure 3d

Comparison WM1361 Control vs. GSK WM983B Control vs. GSK

Supplementary Figure 3e Comparison

WM1361 Control vs. GSK WM983B Control vs. GSK Supplementary Figure 4d

Comparison

A375M2 shControl vs. shFZD7#1 A375M2 shControl vs. shFZD7#2 WM1361 shControl vs. shFZD7#1 WM1361 shControl vs. shFZD7#2

Exact p value ***p=0.0001 *p=0.0274 **p=0.0054 *p=0.0377 ***p=0.0002

Exact p value **p=0.0096 **p=0.0022 ***p=0.0003 ***p=0.0002

Exact p value **p=0.0016

Exact p value **p=0.0044

Exact p value

p=0.0391 p=0.0115 p=0.0109 p=0.0113 p=0.0014p=0.0024

Exact p value **p=0.0035

Exact p value ***p*=0.0013 ***p*=0.0034

Exact p value

*****p*<0.0001 **p*=0.0303

Exact p value

p=0.0033p=0.0046**p=0.0067**p=0.0061

Supplementary Figure 4h						
Comparison Metastasis vs Primarv	Exact p value Xu	Exact p value Riker	Exact p value Kabbarah	act p value Exact p value		
PLCB1	*** <i>p</i> =0.0002	ns	*** <i>p</i> =0.0002		* <i>p</i> =0.0312	
PLCB2	ns	ns	* <i>p</i> =0.0209	****p<0.0	0001	
PLCB3	ns	*p=0.0323	ns	ns		
PLCB4	ns	ns	*p=0.0115	****p<0.0	0001	
Supplementary Figure 4i		Suppleme	entary Figure 41			
Comparison	Exact p value	Comparis	ion		Exact p value	
A375M2 siControl vs. si <i>PLCB1</i>	**** <i>p</i> <0.0001	A375M2 s	shControl vs. shDA	A <i>AM1</i> #1	** <i>p</i> =0.0047	
A375M2 siControl vs. siDAAM1	****p<0.0001	A375M2 s	shControl vs. shDA	A <i>AM1</i> #2	*p=0.0308	
WM1361 siControl vs. siPLCB1	****p<0.0001	WM1361	shControl vs. shD	AAM1#1	**** <i>p</i> <0.0001	
WM1361 siControl vs. siDAAM1	***p=0.001	WM1361	shControl vs. shD	AAM1#2	***p=0.0004	
Supplementary Figure 4n		Suppleme	entary Figure 4p		*	
Comparison	Exact p value	Comparis	on		Exact p value	
A375M2 shControl vs. shDAAM1#1	***p=0.0002	NANOG			**p=0.0026	
A375M2 shControl vs. shDAAM1#2	****p<0.0001	OCT4			*p=0.0105	
WM1361 shControl vs. shDAAM1#1	**** <i>p</i> <0.0001	SOX2			**p=0.0046	
WM1361 shControl vs. shDAAM1#2	****p<0.0001	CD44			*p=0.0205	
		ALCAM			**p=0.0011	
		JARID1B			<i>p</i> =0.0716	
		ALDH1A1			<i>p</i> =0.1855	
		ALDHIA3			<i>p</i> =0.3215	
Supplementary Figure 5d		Suppleme	entary Figure 5e			
Comparison	Exact p value	Comparis	on		Exact p value	
Control vs. GSK269962A 5µM	***p=0.0003	Control vs	. GSK269962A		**p=0.0044	
Control vs. GSK269962A 1µM	*p=0.0343					
Supplementary Figure 5f		Suppleme	entary Figure 5g			
Comparison	Exact p value	Comparis	on		Exact p value	
Control vs. GSK269962A	***p=0.0008	Control vs	. GSK269962A		**p=0.0076	
Supplementary Figure 5j		Suppleme	entary Figure 5m			
Comparison	Exact p value	Comparis	on		Exact p value	
shControl vs. shDAAM1	***p=0.0007	shControl	TB vs. shControl	IF	****p<0.0001	
		shControl TB vs. shDAAM1 TB		ТВ	* <i>p</i> =0.0124	
		shControl IF vs. shDAAM1 IF		F	* <i>p</i> =0.0228	
S		snDAAM1	IB VS. ShDAAMI		**** <i>p</i> <0.0001	
Supplementary Figure 5n left	Event n velue	Suppleme	entary Figure 5n	right	Event n velue	
comparison shControl TP vs_shControl IE	Exact p value	comparis	TP vs. shControl	IC	Exact p value	
shControl TP vs. shControl IF	*n=0.0127	shControl	I D VS. SIICOIIIIOI IE va $abDAAMI$		**** <i>p</i> <0.0001	
shControl IE vs. shDAAM1 IE	***n=0.0003	silconuor	II' vs. siiDAAMT	1,	<i>p</i> =0.0003	
shDAAM1 TB vs. shDAAM1 IF	*** <i>p</i> =0.0003					
Supplementary Figure 50	<i>p</i> =0.0001	Sunnleme	entary Figure 7f			
Comparison	Exact n value	Comparis	inter y rigure /r		Exact n value	
shControl TB vs. shControl IF	**** <i>p</i> <0.0001	A375M2 s	siControl vs. siALl	DH1A1	**** <i>p</i> <0.0001	
shControl TB vs. shDAAM1 TB	***p=0.0008	WM1361	siControl vs. siAL	DHIAI	*p=0.0230	
shControl IF vs. shDAAM1 IF	***** <i>p</i> <0.0001				Ĩ	
shDAAM1 TB vs. shDAAM1 IF	***p=0.0009					
Supplementary Figure 7g		Suppleme	ntary Figure 7i			
Comparison	Exact p value	Comparis	ion		Exact p value	
A375M2 siControl vs. siALDH1A1	****p<0.0001	A375M2 s	siControl vs. siALl	DH1A1	*p=0.0248	
WM1361 siControl vs. siALDH1A1	**p=0.0082	WM1361	siControl vs. siAL	DH1A1	*p=0.0411	
	-					

	Patients (n = 53)
Age, years (mean ± SD)	65 ± 17.5
Gender	
Male	30 (56.6%)
Female	23 (43.4%)
Stage	
II	13 (24.5%)
III	31 (58.5%)
IV	6 (11.3%)
n.a.	3 (5.7%)
Location	
Trunk	23 (43.4%)
Head and Neck	15 (28.3%)
Lower Limb	8 (15.1%)
Upper Limb	5 (9.4%)
Foot	2 (3.8%)

Supplementary Table 2. Clinical information for primary melanoma patients

	Patients (n = 45)
Age, years (mean ± SD)	63 ± 16.3
Gender	
Male	26 (57.8%)
Female	19 (42.2%)
Location	
Lymph Node	39 (86.7%)
Cutaneous/ subcutaneous	5 (11.1%)
Lung	1 (2.2%)

Supplementary Table 3. Clinical information for metastatic melanoma patients

Supplementar	y Table 4.	List of	siRNA	sequences.
--------------	------------	---------	-------	------------

Target	siRNA sequence
Control siRNA (siGenome Non-Targeting	5'-UGGUUUACAUGUCGACUAA-3'
siRNA #5 or OT non-targeting siRNA #1)	
SERPINE1	siGENOME SMARTpool
	5'-GCUAUGGGAUUCAAGAUUG-3'
	5'-GGAGCACGGUCAAGCAAGU-3'
	5'-CUAGAGAACCUGGGAAUGA-3'
	5'-CGACAUGUUCAGACAGUUU-3'
WNT11	<pre>#1: siGENOME SMARTpool:</pre>
	5'-CAGGAUCCCAAGCCAAUAA-3'
	5'-CGACAGCUGCGACCUUAUG-3'
	5'-GUCGAGCGGUGCCACUGUA-3'
	5 -GGACUCGGAACUCGUCUAU-3
	#2 OT6: 5'-GCGCUAUGUCUGCAAGUGA-3'
	#3 OT7: 5'-AACAAGACAUCCAACGGAA-3'
WNT5B	siGENOME SMARTpool:
,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	5'-GCAGGGCUGUGUAUAAGAU-3'
	5'-AGAGGAAGCUGUGCCAAUU-3'
	5'-GACCCGAGAUGUUUAUCAU-3'
	5'-AGACGUAGCCUGCAAAUGC-3'
AHNAK	siGENOME SMARTpool:
	5'-GCCUGAAGCUGCACCGCAA-3'
	5'-GGGACCAGAUUGUGGGUGC-3'
	5' HCACCAUCCCCACACGCA 2'
	sigeNOME SMARTpool:
1074	5'-GAAAUUAGAUGACGACAAG-3'
	5'-ACAAAGAGCUGAGUGAUUUU-3'
	5'-GGGCAACUCUUCUCAUAUU-3'
	5'-GCACUUGCUUCGAUCUAUU-3'
CAV2	siGENOME SMARTpool:
	5'-GUAAAGACCUGCCUAAUGG-3'
	5'-UAUCAUUGCUCCAUUGUGU-3'
	5'-GGACGUACAGCUCUUCAUG-3'
DOCKI	ON TARGETPlus individual seguences
ROCKI	ON-TARGETPIUS Individual sequence: OT8: 5'-CCAGGAAGGUAUAUGCUAU-3'
POCK2	ON-TARGETplus individual sequence:
ROCKZ	OT8: 5'-GAAACUAAUAGGACACUAA-3'
MYL9	ON-TARGETplus individual sequence:
	OT5: 5'-CCAAGGAUAAAGACGACUA-3'
MYL12B	ON-TARGETplus SMARTpool:
	5'-CCACUUAGCACUUGUAUAA-3'
	5'-GGGUGUAAAUUGUAUUGAA-3'
	5'-CCUCAUAGAACCUGUUGCA-3'
Faba	-CENOME SMADTreat
FZD/	SIGENOME SMAR I pool:
	5'-GUUCGUCUACCUCUUCAUA-3'
	5'-CAUAGGCACGUCCUUCUUG-3'
	5'-UGAUGUACUUUAAGGAGGA-3'
RYK	siGENOME SMARTpool:
	5'-GAAAGAUGGUUACCGAAUA-3'
	5'-GGUGAAGGAUAUAGCAAUA-3'
	5'-CGAAGUCCAAGGUUGAAUA-3'
DI GD I	5'-AGUAAUAUUUCUCGUAGCA-3'
PLCBI	SIGENOME SMAR I pool:
	5' GAUGAUGACUCAACUAUUG 3'
	5'-GCAAUUGGCUGCUUUGACA-3'
	5'-GAAGAUAACAGAAGCUAAA-3'
DAAMI	siGENOME SMARTpool:
	5'-GAGAUAAGUUUGUGUCUGU-3'
	5'-GUACGAAUGUUGGUUAAUG-3'
	5'-CGGAAUCGCAAACGUAUUA-3'
	5'-GAGCCGAAUUAAUCACUAU-3'
ALDH1A1	siGENOME SMARTpool:
	5'-GGACAAUGCUGUUGAAUUU-3'
	J -GUACUGAGCUGUGGAAACA-3' 5' CCACGUGGCAUCUUUAAUA 2'
	5'-GAACAGUGUGGGUGAAUUG-3'

Detection Method #Catalog	VECTASTAIN® ABC HRP Kit #PK-4000	VECTASTAIN® ABC HRP Kit #PK-4000	VECTASTAIN® ABC HRP Kit #PK-4000	EnVision Detection Systems Peroxidase/DAB, Rabbit/Mouse, HRP. Rabbit/Mouse (DAB+) #K500711	EnVision Detection Systems Peroxidase/DAB, Rabbit/Mouse, HRP. Rabbit/Mouse (DAB+) #K500711	EnVision Detection Systems Peroxidase/DAB, Rabbit/Mouse, HRP. Rabbit/Mouse (DAB+) #K500711	VECTASTAIN® ABC HRP Kit #PK-4000	EnVision Detection Systems Peroxidase/DAB, Rabbit/Mouse, HRP. Rabbit/Mouse (DAB+) #K500711	EnVision Detection Systems Peroxidase/DAB, Rabbit/Mouse, HRP. Rabbit/Mouse (DAB+) #K500711	EnVision Detection Systems Peroxidase/DAB, Rabbit/Mouse, HRP. Rabbit/Mouse (DAB+) #K500711	VECTASTAIN® ABC HRP Kit #PK-4000	VECTASTAIN® ABC HRP Kit #PK-4000	VECTASTAIN® ABC HRP Kit #PK-4000
Antigen Retrieval	10 min at 100°C, pH 6 Citrate Buffer H-3300	10 min at 100°C, pH 9 Tris Buffer H-3301	10 min at 100°C, pH 6 Citrate Buffer H-3300	10 min at 100°C, pH 9 Tris Buffer H-3301	10 min at 100°C, pH 9 Tris Buffer H-3301	10 min at 100°C, pH 6 Citrate Buffer H-3300	10 min at 100°C, pH 6 Citrate Buffer H-3300	10 min at 100°C, pH 9 Tris Buffer H-3301	10 min at 100°C, pH 9 Tris Buffer H-3301	10 min at 100°C, pH 9 Tris Buffer H-3301	10 min at 100°C, pH 6 Citrate Buffer H-3300	10 min at 100°C, pH 6 Citrate Buffer H-3300	10 min at 100°C, pH 9 Tris Buffer H-3301
Primary antibody conditions	Rabbit, 1:50, 40 min, Room Temperature (RT)	Rabbit, 1:500, 20 min, RT	Rabbit, 1:300, 40 min, RT	Rabbit, 1:200, 20 min, RT	Rabbit, 1:200, 20 min, RT	Mouse, 1:100, 20 min, RT	Rat, 1:400, 40 min, RT	Rabbit, 1:100, 30 min, RT	Rabbit, 1:200, 20 min, RT	Rabbit, 1:100, 30 min, RT	Mouse, 1:500, 40 min, RT	Rat, 1:200, 40 min, RT	Rabbit, 1:200, 40 min, RT
Clone	Polyclonal	Polyclonal	Polyclonal	Polyclonal	Polyclonal	44/ALDH	IM7	Polyclonal	Polyclonal	EPR17929	MIB-1	TEC-3	Polyclonal
Antibody #Catalog	Cell Signaling #3671	Abcam #ab167453	Abcam #ab71327	Novus Biologicals #NBP1-31406	Abcam #ab115563	BD Biosciences #611194	Thermo Fisher #MA1-10225	Abcam #ab80892	Novus Biologicals #NBP2-15339	Abcam #ab181557	Dako_Agillent #GA626	Dako_Agillent #M7249	Abcam #ab64636
Antibody	p-MLC2	mCherry	DAAMI	MNT11	WNT5B	ALDH1A1	CD44	NANOG	ALDH1A3	OCT4	ki-67 (Human)	ki-67 (Mouse)	FZD7

Supplementary Table 5. Detailed information about immunohistochemical stainings

SUPPLEMENTARY REFERENCES

- 1. Verfaillie A, *et al.* Decoding the regulatory landscape of melanoma reveals TEADS as regulators of the invasive cell state. *Nat Commun* **6**, 6683 (2015).
- 2. Sanz-Moreno V, *et al.* ROCK and JAK1 signaling cooperate to control actomyosin contractility in tumor cells and stroma. *Cancer Cell* **20**, 229-245 (2011).
- 3. Hoek KS, *et al.* Metastatic potential of melanomas defined by specific gene expression profiles with no BRAF signature. *Pigment Cell Res* **19**, 290-302 (2006).