

Editorial Note: This manuscript has been previously reviewed at another journal that is not operating a transparent peer review scheme. This document only contains reviewer comments and rebuttal letters for versions considered at *Nature Communications*.

REVIEWERS' COMMENTS

Reviewer #1 (Remarks to the Author):

The revised manuscript is significantly improved and my concerns have been addressed.

Reviewer #2 (Remarks to the Author):

the authors have sufficiently addressed the comments and suggestions that I made on the ms when submitted to another branch of the Nature family.

Reviewer #1 (Remarks to the Author):

The revised manuscript is significantly improved and my concerns have been addressed.

We thank the referee for the positive comment about our manuscript.

Reviewer #2 (Remarks to the Author):

the authors have sufficiently addressed the comments and suggestions that I made on the ms when submitted to another branch of the Nature family.

We thank the referee for the positive comment about our manuscript.