

## **Inhibition of CPT2 exacerbates cardiac dysfunction and inflammation in experimental sepsis**

Marina Makrecka-Kuka<sup>1,\*</sup>, Stanislava Korzh<sup>1</sup>, Melita Videja<sup>1,2</sup>, Reinis Vilskersts<sup>1,2</sup>, Eduards Sevostjanovs<sup>1</sup>, Olga Zharkova-Malkova<sup>1</sup>, Pavel Arsenyan<sup>1</sup>, Janis Kuka<sup>1</sup>, Maija Dambrova<sup>1,2</sup>, Edgars Liepinsh<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Latvian Institute of Organic Synthesis, Aizkraukles Str. 21, Riga, LV-1006, Latvia

<sup>2</sup> Riga Stradins University, Faculty of Pharmacy, Dzirciema Str 16, Riga, LV-1007, Latvia

\*Corresponding author: Marina Makrecka-Kuka, Ph.D.

Latvian Institute of Organic Synthesis,  
Aizkraukles Str. 21, Riga LV-1006, Latvia

Phone/fax: +371 66155159

E-mail address: [makrecka@farm.osi.lv](mailto:makrecka@farm.osi.lv)

### **Supporting Information**

#### **Methods**

##### **Synthesis of aminocarnitine**

Straightforward, totally stereo controlled synthesis of (*R*)-aminocarnitine was performed in 5 sequential steps from carnitine (Castagnani et al., 1995). Semi pure product was isolated as brownish foam. Taking in mind a necessity to utilize as pure as possible material in pharmacological studies this technical (*R*)-aminocarnitine was modified to Cbz-protected derivative, purified by reverse-phase chromatography on C18-silica gel to reed off all impurities. Then Cbz-protecting group was removed by bubbling of hydrogen gas in methanol solution of Cbz-(*R*)-aminocarnitine in the presence of palladium/charcoal (10%, wet). Finally, pure (*R*)-aminocarnitine was obtained as colourless foam after simple filtration, stirring of solution on Si-TMT (transition metal scavenger) and evaporation of a solvent.

Castagnani, R., et al., *Stereospecific synthesis of (R)-aminocarnitine (emeriamine) starting from (R)-carnitine via double inversion of configuration*. Journal of Organic Chemistry, **1995**. 60(25): 8318-8319.

**Gene accession number and primer sequences of qPCR primers**

<b>Gene symbol</b>	<b>Full name</b>	<b>NCBI Accession number</b>	<b>Forward primer sequence (5'-&gt;3')</b>	<b>Reverse primer sequence (5'-&gt;3')</b>	<b>Amplicon length, b</b>
β-actin	Beta actin	<a href="#">NM_007393.5</a>	CCTCTATGCCAACACAGTGC	CATCGTACTCCTGCTTGCTG	215
TNFα	Tumor necrosis factor	<a href="#">NM_013693.3</a>	GACCCTCACACTCAGATCATCTTCT	CCTCCACTTGGTGGTTTGCT	80
IL6	Interleukin-6	<a href="#">NM_001314054.1</a>	TCTATACCACTTCACAAGTCGGA	GAATTGCCATTGCACAACCTCTT	88
Il1β	Interleukin-1-beta	<a href="#">NM_008361.4</a>	GGGCCTCAAAGGAAAGAATC	TTGCTTGGGATCCCACTCT	88

Ye J, et al., *Primer-BLAST: a tool to design target-specific primers for polymerase chain reaction*. BMC Bioinformatics. **2012**;13(1):134.

doi:10.1186/1471-2105-13-134

## Results

**Supplementary Table S1. Echocardiographic heart parameters 4 h after LPS administration**

	Control	LPS 10 mg/kg			
	Saline	Control	C75	A769662	AminoCarnitine
Heart rate, bpm	473±17	516±11*	517±20	504±21	420±13 <sup>#</sup>
LVPWs, mm	1.40±0.09	1.08±0.07*	1.15±0.07	1.16±0.07	1.20±0.07
LVPWd, mm	0.69±0.05	0.67±0.03	0.72±0.05	0.69±0.06	0.82±0.04 <sup>#</sup>
LVIDs, mm	2.51±0.03	2.49±0.12	2.52±0.05	2.59±0.13	2.44±0.15
LVIDd, mm	4.38±0.06	3.85±0.07*	3.84±0.08	3.98±0.11	3.76±0.12
IVSs, mm	1.37±0.10	1.31±0.06	1.30±0.03	1.29±0.03	1.33±0.05
IVSd, mm	0.67±0.03	0.72±0.02	0.75±0.03	0.71±0.03	0.80±0.05
ESV, ml	0.042±0.002	0.042±0.006	0.043±0.002	0.047±0.007	0.041±0.007
EDV, ml	0.200±0.014	0.144±0.008*	0.144±0.009	0.159±0.012	0.136±0.013
Stroke volume, ml	0.158±0.013	0.102±0.005*	0.101±0.008	0.112±0.008	0.096±0.007

Left ventricular posterior wall thickness at end-systole (LVPWs) and at end-diastole (LVPWd), left ventricular internal dimension at end-systole (LVIDs) and at end-diastole (LVIDd), interventricular septal thickness at end-systole (IVSs) and at end-diastole (IVSd), left ventricular volume at end-systole (ESV) and at end-diastole (EDV), and stroke volume calculated as difference between EDV and ESV 4 h after LPS administration. Each value represents the mean ± SEM of 5-6 animals. \*Significant difference between saline control and LPS control groups (Student's t-test, P<0.05); <sup>#</sup>Significantly different from the LPS control group (ANOVA followed by Dunnett's test, P < 0.05).