Supplementary Materials:

Impact of Polypharmacy for Chronic Ailments in Colon Cancer Patients: A Review Focused on Drug Repurposing

Riccardo Giampieri *, Luca Cantini, Enrica Giglio, Alessandro Bittoni, Andrea Lanese, Sonia Crocetti, Federica Pecci, Cecilia Copparoni, Tania Meletani, Edoardo Lenci, Alessio Lupi, Maria Giuditta Baleani and Rossana Berardi

Table S1. Summary of studies for drugs cited in the review, listed by effect on colorectal cancer incidence.

	Moderate						
Drugs	Num ber of Patients	Results Favouring Drug	Res ults Not Favourin g Drug	Results Against Drug	Citation No.		
ALL antihyperten sive	324,1 68	/	No differenc e in cancer incidenc e in users vs. not.	ACEi + ARB 10% RR increase.	Bangalore [9]		
ARB/A CEi vs. others	138,7 69	/	No differenc e in CRC risk between ARB users vs. other	/	ARB trialists [10]		
ARB users vs. others	111,5 33	/	No differenc e in CRC risk between ARB users vs. other. No differenc e for short- or long- term use.	/	Htoo [11]		

CCBs users vs. others	23,16 7	/	No differenc e in CRC risk between CCBs vs. other.	/	Sorensen [12]
ALL antihyperten sive	11,69 7 + 10× controls	/	No differenc e in CRC risk between users vs. not.	/	Assimes [13]
SSRI vs. others TCA vs. others	6544 for tryciclic 3367 for SSRI	SSRI users decreased risk of CRC	No differenc e in CRC risk between TCA users vs. other	/	Xu [69]
SSRI vs. others TCA vs. others	10,01 1 for tryciclic 2484 for SSRI	SSRI users decreased risk of CRC	No differenc e in CRC risk between TCA users vs. other	/	Coogan [70]
SSRI vs. others TCA vs. others	649 (+656 controls)	SSRI users decreased risk of CRC TCA users decreased risk of CRC	/	/	Chubak [71]
TCA vs. others	31,95 3 + 2× controls	TCA users had decreased risk of CRC The effect was cancer- specific	No differenc e in risk of other cancer types (lung, breast and prostate)	/	Walker [72]
ALL antidepressa nts	49,34 2 + 240,985 controls	Mirtazapine users decreased risk of CRC	/	MAOI users increased risk of CRC	Lee [74]

ALL antidepressa nts	9979 + 99,790 controls	/	No differenc e in CRC risk between users vs. not	/	Cronin- Fenton [75]
ALL antidepressa nts	418,5 88 + 1× controls	/	/	Small (not statistically significant) increased risk of CRC	Haukka [76]
ALL antidepressa nts	109,0 96 + 426,402 controls	/	No differenc e in CRC risk between users vs. not	Increased risk of lung and breast cancer for SSRI and TCA users	Boursi [77]
ALL antidepressa nts	2580 + 142,610 controls	Severe depressive symptoms associated with 20% risk of CRC	No differenc e in CRC risk between users vs. not	/	Kiridly- Calderbank [78]
Statins vs. nonusers	1953 cases + 2015 healty controls	Statin users decreased risk of CRC	/	/	Poynter [97]
Statins vs. nonusers	3244 cases + 14,844 controls	/	No differenc e in CRC risk between users vs. not	/	Kaye [98]
Statins vs. nonusers or users of other lipid- lowering drugs	12,25 1 statin users + 1257 users of other lipid- lowering drugs (populatio n-based cohort study)	/	No differenc e in CRC risk between users vs. not	/	Friis [99]

HMG- CoA reductase inhibitors vs. users of bile acid-binding resins	542 cases + 5420 controls	/	No differenc e in CRC risk between HMG- CoA reductas e inhibitor s users vs. not	/	Blais [100]
Statins vs. nonusers	Meta- analysis of 18 studies	Modest reduction in CRC risk among case- control studies	No differenc e in CRC risk among RCTs and cohort studies	/	Bonovas [101]
Statins vs. nonusers	Meta- analysis of 42 studies	Modest reduction in CRC risk	/	/	Liu [102]
Statins vs. nonusers	Meta- analysis of 40 studies	Modest reduction in CRC risk among case- control and cohort studies	No differenc e in CRC risk among RCTs	/	Lytras [103]
Statins vs. nonusers	15,55 8 statin users + 75,597 nonusers (cohort study)	Reduction in the risk of rectal cancer	No differenc e in overall CRC risk between users vs. not	/	Lee [104]
Statins vs. nonusers (non-elderly population)	32,61 6 cases + 325,086 controls	Stronger effect of statins on CRC risk in participants aged 55 years or younger	/	/	Sehdev [105]
Statins vs. nonusers (patients with inflammator y bowel disease)	11,00 1 patients with inflammat ory bowel disease (cohort study)	Reduction in CRC risk	/	/	Ananthakris hnan [106]

Statins vs. nonusers	25,81 1 cases + 129,117 controls	Reduction in the risk of rectal cancer	No differenc e in overall CRC risk between users vs. not	/	Ibáñez-Sanz [107]
ALL antibiotics	25 observatio nal studies (n = 7,947,270 patients)	/	/	18% higher risk of cancer in antibiotics users (small increase in risk in CRC)	Petrelli [45]
ALL antibiotics	4029 cases and 15988 controls	/	/	Increase in CRC risk with penicillin and quinolone	Dik [53]
Oral antibiotics vs. not users	28,98 0 CRC cases and 137,077 controls identified	Decrease in rectal cancer risk with tetracyclines	/	Increase in colon cancer risk with penicillin and ampicillin/amoxi cillin	Zhang [54]
Oral insulin secretagogue s and insulin vs. not users	8194 incident cancer cases and 32,776 diabetic controls	/	/	Sulfonylure as and glinides increased the risk for overall cancer but to a lesser extent than insulin	Chang [81]
Metfor min vs. not users	151 patients randomise d	Reduced the prevalence and number of metacronous polyps	/	/	Higurashi [84]
ASA vs. not users	Review	Chemoprotective properties in CRC	/	Side effects	Lee [24]
NSAIDs vs. not users	Review	Protective effects on intestinal tumours	/	/	Couturier [25]
ASA vs. not users	Review	Protective effects on CRC PIK3CA- mutated patients	/	/	Grancher [26]
ASA vs. not users	Review	Beneficial effect against some types of cancer, particularly of the GI tract	/	/	Santilli [30]

Salicylic acid vs. not users		Reduction of incidence and mortality of CRC	/	/	Ai [31]
ASA vs. not users	Review	Reduction of long-term risk of some cancers, particularly CRC	/	/	Dovizio [32]
ASA vs. not users	Review	Reduction of risk of CRC and recurrence of adenomatous polyps	/	ASA-induced bleeding	Thun [33]
ASA and NA- NSAIDs	Meta- analysis of 23 studies (1,000,000)	Chemopreve ntion of CRC	/	/	Tomić [39]