

 $CD95^{+}$ $CCR7^{+} GZMK^{-} CCR7^{+} GZMK^{+}$ $0^{+} 0^{+} 0^{-} 0^{+} 0^{-} 0^{+} 0^{-} 0^{+} 0^{-} 0^{+} 0^{-} 0^{+} 0^{$

1	Supplementary Fig. 1. Strategy for the isolation of T cell subsets via FACS. a, Flow
2	cytometric gating strategy for the isolation of $CD8^+$ naive, T_{STEM} , T_{SCM} PD-1 ⁻ TIGIT ⁻ , T_{CM} PD-
3	1 ⁻ TIGIT ⁻ , T _{PEX} , and T _{EM} cells. b , Representative flow cytometric analysis of early differentiated
4	CD8 ⁺ memory T cells showing the expression of PD-1 and TIGIT.



1	Supplementary Fig. 2. Transcriptomic comparison of T_{SCM} and T_{CM} cells after depletion of
2	T_{PEX} cells. Heatmap showing DEGs (adjusted <i>P</i> value < 0.01) for the indicated CD8 ⁺ memory T
3	cell subsets (n = 3 donors for T_{EM} , n = 5 donors for T_{SCM} , T_{CM} , and T_{PEX}). Significance was
4	evaluated using edgeR analysis with glmQLFTest and Benjamini-Hochberg correction.
5	



Supplementary Fig. 3. Proliferation and self-renewal capabilities of CD8⁺ T cell subsets. a. 1 Dot plot showing proliferation indices for the indicated FACS-purified CD8⁺ T cell subsets after 2 stimulation for 10 d with IL-15. Each dot represents one donor (n = 6 from four independent 3 experiments). Bars indicate mean \pm SEM. **b**, Dot plot showing the expression of selected 4 markers among the indicated $CFSE^{dim} CD8^+ T$ cell subsets after stimulation as in **a**. Each dot 5 represents one donor (n = 5 from four independent experiments for T_{EM} , n = 6 from four 6 independent experiments for all other subsets). Bars indicate mean \pm SEM. *P < 0.05, **P < 7 0.01, ***P < 0.001, ****P < 0.0001 (two-way ANOVA). c, Dot plot showing median telomere 8 lengths for T_{STEM} , T_{PEX} , and T_{EM} cells. Each dot represents one donor (n = 6). Bars indicate mean 9 \pm SEM. **P < 0.01 (one-way repeated measures ANOVA). d, Bar graph summarizing the 10 expression of PD-1 and TIGIT among FACS-purified T_{STEM} and T_{PEX} cells after stimulation with 11 anti-CD3 plus CD28 for 4 d in the presence of IL-7 and IL-15 (n = 5 donors from three 12 independent experiments). Bars indicate mean \pm SEM. ***P < 0.001 (two-tailed Mann-Whitney 13 U test). 14



С

 $T_{\text{STEM}} \text{ (stim)}$ P = 1e-60 CASTCASS P = 1e-53 CASTCASS P = 1e-53 CASTCASS P = 1e-14 CASTCASS P = 1e-14 CASTCASS P = 1e-7 CASTCASS

 $T_{PEX} \text{ (stim)}$ EOMES FIGURES FIGURES FOR CACCET P = 1e-6 FIGURES FOR CACCET P = 1e-6 FIGURES FOR CACE F = 1e-4 FIGURES FOR CACE

1	Supplementary Fig. 4. Epigenetic and transcriptomic comparison of activated T_{STEM} and
2	T_{PEX} cells. FACS-purified T_{STEM} and T_{PEX} cells were stimulated with anti-CD3 plus CD28 for 4
3	d in the presence of IL-2 and IL-12. Cells were then processed for ATAC-seq ($n = 3$ donors) or
4	RNA-seq ($n = 4$ donors). a , Normalized enrichment score (NES) of selected gene sets obtained
5	from GSEA of the RNA-seq data in Fig. 4h (adjusted P value < 0.05 based on 1,000
6	permutations). b, Heatmap showing DARs related to the experiment in Fig. 4i. Labels highlight
7	accessible genes associated with memory or effector differentiation or exhaustion. c, TFBMs
8	enriched among the DARs identified between activated T_{STEM} and T_{PEX} cells in Fig. 4i.
9	Enrichment was assessed using a one-sided hypergeometric test in HOMER with correction for
10	FDR. Stim: stimulated.



UMAP_1





Supplementary Fig. 5. Antigen specificity and repertoire characteristics of T_{STEM} and T_{PEX} 1 cells. a. UMAP plot showing the expression of selected markers as determined by CyTOF. 2 Similar data were obtained from other healthy donors (n = 4). **b.** UMAP plots showing the 3 distribution of T_{STEM} and T_{PEX} cells (top left) and antigen-specific CD8⁺ memory T cells as 4 determined by CyTOF. Similar data were obtained from other healthy donors (n = 4). c, Dot plot 5 showing the matched frequencies of all (wt) or high-avidity (KA) CMV NV9-specific CCR7⁺ 6 $CD8^+$ T cells expressing the T_{PEX} signature marker GZMK. Data were obtained using flow 7 cytometry. Each dot represents one donor (n = 7 from three independent experiments for wt, n =8 9 4 from three independent experiments for KA). Bars indicate mean \pm SEM. **d**, Dot plot showing the Chao1 estimator of clonal diversity for TCRB repertoires obtained from the T_{STEM}, T_{PEX}, and 10 T_{EM} subsets. Each dot represents one donor (n = 6). Bars indicate median values. *P < 0.05, **P11 < 0.01, ***P < 0.001 (two-tailed paired t-test with Bonferroni correction). e, Dot plot showing 12 pairwise comparisons of weighted overlap (F2 metric) for the TCRB repertoires obtained in **d**. 13 Each dot represents one donor (n = 6). Bars indicate median values. *P < 0.05, **P < 0.01, ***P14 < 0.001 (two-tailed paired t-test with Bonferroni correction). f, Dot plot showing clonotype 15 frequency correlations (R metric) for the TCR β repertoires obtained in **d**. Higher values indicate 16 stronger correlations. Each dot represents one donor (n = 6). Bars indicate median values. ***P 17 = 0.0007 (two-tailed paired t-test with Bonferroni correction). 18