# Supplementary Information for

A monodomain class II terpene cyclase assembles complex isoprenoid scaffolds

Philipp Moosmann<sup>1,3†</sup>, Felix Ecker<sup>2†</sup>, Stefan Leopold-Messer<sup>1</sup>, Jackson K. B. Cahn<sup>1</sup>, Cora L. Dieterich<sup>1</sup>, Michael Groll<sup>2</sup>, Jörn Piel<sup>1\*</sup>

> †These authors contributed equally to this work. \*Correspondence to: michael.groll@tum.de, jpiel@ethz.ch

# **This PDF file includes:**

Supplementary Methods Supplementary Figures 1 to 36 Supplementary Tables 1 to 6

# **Table of contents**





Supplementary Table 6. Primers used for introducing single point mutations for activity screening. ... 41

### **Supplementary Methods**

**Synthesis General Procedures** Chemicals and solvents were purchased from commercial suppliers and were used without further purification. For silica gel chromatography, distilled technical grade solvents and silica gel SilicaFlash® P60 (Silicycle) were used. Thin layer chromatography (TLC) was performed using aluminum sheets "TLC Silica gel 60 F254" from Merck Millipore® and analysed with UV-light or by permanganate staining. LC-ESI mass spectrometry was performed on a Thermo Scientific Q Exactive mass spectrometer coupled to a Dionex Ultimate 3000 UPLC system. NMR spectra were recorded on a Bruker Avance III spectrometer equipped with a cold probe at 500 MHz for <sup>1</sup>H NMR and 125 MHz for <sup>13</sup>C NMR, as well as on a Bruker Avance III spectrometer equipped with a cold probe at 600 MHz for <sup>1</sup>H NMR and 150 MHz for <sup>13</sup>C NMR. Further a Bruker BBO 400MHz S1 and a Bruker BBO 500MHz S2 without a cold probe were used for the analysis of the synthetic intermediates. Chemical shifts are given in parts per million (ppm) and were referenced to the solvent peaks at  $\delta_H$  7.26 and  $\delta_C$  77.16 for CDCl<sub>3</sub>. Multiplicities are given as follows: s - singlet, d - doublet, t - triplet, q - quartet, quint. - quintet, m - multiplet. The obtained data were processed and analysed with Bruker Topspin 3.5 software. UV/Vis spectra were measured at room temperature on the UV-Vis Spectrometer Cary 50 from Agilent Technologies. IR spectra were measured at room temperature on the Spectrum Two<sup>™</sup> FT-IR Spectrometer from Perkin Elmer.

For the synthesis of  $8$  the procedure described by Lang M. and Steglich W. (2005) was followed<sup>42</sup>.

#### **Synthesis of**  $(E, E)$ **-farnesyl bromide (10)**



Farnesol (500 mg, 2.25 mmol, 1 eq., Sigma Aldrich) and PPh<sub>3</sub> (895 mg, 2.70 mmol, 1.2 eq.) were dissolved in 4 ml anhydrous CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> and cooled to 0 °C. After addition of CBr<sub>4</sub> (649 mg, 247 mmol, 1.1 eq.) the reaction was stirred for 3.5 h. After the solvent was removed under reduced pressure, 20 ml sat. aq. NaHCO<sub>3</sub> were added to the residue and the aqueous phase was extracted with  $Et<sub>2</sub>O(3 x 20 ml)$ . The combined organic layers were washed with H2O and brine, dried (Na2SO4) and concentrated. 10 ml of *n*-hexane were added to the residue and the flask was stored at -25  $^{\circ}$ C overnight. The precipitate was removed by filtration. The filter cake was washed 3 times with cold *n*-hexane. After some *n*-hexane was the flask was stored at -25 °C overnight, and the procedure was repeated the next day. Compound **10** was obtained as a brown oil (650 mg, 2.28 mmol, 91 %) from the filtrate after the complete removal of *n*-hexane.

<sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ 1.60 (s, 6 H), 1.68 (s, 3 H), 1.73 (s, 3 H), 1.94-2.16 (m, 8 H), 4.03 (d, 2 H,  $^{4}J = 8.53$  Hz), 5.05-5.13 (m, 2 H), 5.53 (t,  $^{4}J = 8.53$  Hz, 1 H). (Supplementary Fig. 18) HRMS (m/z):  $[M+H]$ <sup>+</sup> = 285.1219 (calcd. 285.1212)

#### **Synthesis of 3,4-dihydroxy-5-iodobenzaldehyde**



To a stirred solution of iodovanillin (1.39 g, 5.00 mmol, 1 eq.) a solution of BBr<sub>3</sub> (12.5 ml, 12.50 mmol, 2.5 eq., 1 M in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>) was added at 0  $^{\circ}$ C under argon atmosphere. The reaction was stirred at room temperature for 4 h. Then the mixture was cooled to  $0^{\circ}$ C and MeOH (2 ml/mmol) was added slowly. After refluxing for 40 min under argon atmosphere, the volatiles were evaporated and 30 ml H2O were added and extracted with EtOAc (3 x 40 mL). The combined organic phases were washed with brine and dried over Na2SO4. The solvent was evaporated to yield the crude 3,4-dihydroxy-5-iodobenzaldehyde.

**Synthesis of 3-iodo-4,5-bis(methoxymethoxy)benzaldehyde (11)**



1.32 g of crude 3,4-dihydroxy-5-iodobenzaldehyde (5.00 mmol, 1 eq.) were suspended in 15 ml dry CH2Cl2. Under Argon atmosphere, MOM-Cl (2.5 ml, 15.00 mmol, 3 eq., 6 M in EtOAc) and *i*-Pr<sub>2</sub>NEt (2.9 ml, 17.5 mmol, 3.5 eq.) were added. After stirring for 4.5 h at room temperature, the reaction was stopped by addition of 30 ml 2 N NH<sub>4</sub>OH. The solution was extracted and extracted with Et<sub>2</sub>O (3 x 40 ml) and the combined organic layers were washed with brine, followed by drying over Na2SO4. The solvent was removed under reduced pressure and **11** was obtained after purification by column chromatography (EtOAc:*n*-hexane = 2:5) as a colorless oil (1.363 g, 3.87 mmol, 77 % over two steps).

<sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ 3.49 (s, 3H, H-11), 3.65 (s, 3H, H-9), 5.24 (s, 2H, H-10), 5.31 (s, 2H, H-8), 7.62 (d, *J* = 1.86 Hz, 1H, H-6), 7.94 (d, *J* = 1.86 Hz, 1H, H-2), 9.81 (s, 1H, H-7). (Supplementary Fig. 19)

<sup>13</sup>C NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ 56.7 (C-11), 58.7 (C-9), 92.7 (C-3), 95.2 (C-10), 99.1 (C-8), 116.3 (C-6), 134.0 (C-1), 135.2 (C-2), 149.9 (C-5), 151.7 (C-4), 189.6 (C-7). (Supplementary Fig. 20) HRMS (m/z):  $[M+H]$ <sup>+</sup> = 352.9891 (calcd. 352.9880)

### **Synthesis of methyl 3-iodo-4,5-bis(methoxymethoxy)benzoate (12)**



**11** (1.00 g, 2.84 mmol, 1 eq.) was dissolved in 34 mL dry MeOH and cooled to 0 °C. A cold solution of KOH (414 mg, 7.38 mmol, 2.6 eq.) in 9.4 ml dry MeOH was added to the reaction, followed by the rapid addition of a cold solution of I2 (934 mg, 3.69 mmol, 1.3 eq.) in 9.4 ml dry MeOH. The reaction was stirred for 15 min at 0  $\degree$ C, then 40 mL of sat. aq. NH<sub>4</sub>Cl and 16 mL sat. aq. Na<sub>2</sub>S<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> were added. The resulting mixture was extracted with EtOAc (3 x 100 mL) and the combined organic phases were washed with water and brine. The solution was dried over Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> and the solvent evaporated. After purification by column chromatography (EtOAc:*n*-hexane = 1:5) **12** was isolated as a yellow oil (1.022 g, 2.67 mmol) with a yield of 94%.

<sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ 3.52 (s, 3H, H-11), 3.68 (s, 3H, H-9), 3.91 (s, 3H, H-12), 5.25 (s, 2H, H-10), 5.29 (s, 2H, H-8), 7.79 (d, *J* = 1.93 Hz, 1H, H-6), 8.16 (d, *J* = 1.93 Hz, 1H, H-2). (Supplementary Fig. 21)

<sup>13</sup>C NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ 52.5 (C-12), 56.7 (C-11), 58.6 (C-9), 92.1 (C-3), 95.3 (C-10), 99.5 (C-8), 117.8 (C-6), 127.7 (C-1), 134.1 (C-2), 149.1 (C-5), 150.5 (C-4), 165.3 (C-7). (Supplementary Fig. 22) HRMS (m/z):  $[M+H]$ <sup>+</sup> = 382.9997 (calcd. 382.9986)

**Synthesis of methyl 3,4-bis(methoxymethoxy)-5-((***2E,6E***)-3,7,11-trimethyldodeca-2,6,10-trien-1 yl)benzoate (13)**



Compounds **10** and **12**, as well as all syringes used, were dried under high vacuum for 3 h prior to use. Compound **12** (250 mg, 0.654 mmol, 1.2 eq.) was dissolved in 3.3 mL dry, freshly degassed THF and cooled to -20 °C. 1.765 mL *i*-PrMgBr (130.1 mg, 0.883 mmol, 1.35 eq., 0.5 M in THF) were added dropwise at -20 °C and the reaction was stirred for 30 min at this temperature. 0.260 mL Li<sub>2</sub>CuCl<sub>4</sub> (6 mg, 0.026 mmol, 0.04 eq., 0.1 M in THF) were added slowly and the reaction was stirred for 10 min at -20 °C before compound **10** (156 mg, 0.545 mmol, 1 eq.) in 1.7 mL dry, freshly degassed THF was added dropwise at - 20 °C over a period of 45 minutes. The reaction was stirred for 1.5 h at -20 °C before 18 mL of sat. aq. NH<sub>4</sub>Cl were added. The reaction mixture was extracted with Et<sub>2</sub>O ( $3 \times 75$  mL) and the combined organic phases were washed with 4% aq. NH4OH and brine before being dried over Na2SO4. The solvent was removed and compound **13** (110 mg, 0.239 mmol) was isolated with minor impurities as a clear oil with a yield of 37% after purification by column chromatography (EtOAc:*n*-hexane = 1:7). (Supplementary Fig. 23-28)

 $1_H NMR$  (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  1.59 (m, 6H, H-14`, H 15`), 1.67 (m, 3H, H-12`), 1.72 (m, 3H, H-13`), 1.93-2.17 (m, 8H, H-4`, H-5`, H-8`, H-9`), 3.44 (d, *J* = 7.16 Hz, 2H, H-1`), 3.51, 3.59 (s, 3H, H-9, H-11), 3.87 (s, 3H, H-12), 5.05-5.14 (m, 2H, H-6`, H-10`), 5.18, 5.23 (s, 2H, H-10, H-8), 5.31 (m, 1H, H-2`), 7.57 (d, *J* = 2.07 Hz, 1H, H-6), 7.65 (m, 1H, H-2). (Supplementary Fig. 23)

<sup>13</sup>C NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ 16.1 (C-14`), 16.4 (C-13`), 17.8 (C-15`), 25.8 (C-12`), 26.7, 26.8 (C-9`, C-5`), 28.6 (C-1`), 39.8 (C-8`), 40.1 (C-4`), 52.2 (C-12), 56.5, 57.7 (C-9, C-11), 95.2, 99.1 (C-8, C-10), 115.2  $(C-2)$ , 122.1  $(C-2)$ , 124.5, 124.6  $(C-6, C-10)$ , 125.0  $(C-6)$ , 125.9  $(C-1)$ , 131.4, 135.2  $(C-7, C-11)$ , 136.2 (C-5), 137.1 (C-3`), 149.0, 149.4 (C-3, C-4), 166.8 (C-7). (Supplementary Fig. 24) HRMS (m/z):  $[M+H]$ <sup>+</sup> = 461.2896 (calcd. 461.2898)

### **Synthesis of 3,4-bis(methoxymethoxy)-5-((***2E,6E***)-3,7,11-trimethyldodeca-2,6,10-trien-1-yl)benzoic acid (14)**



Compound **13** (49.0 mg, 106 µmol, 1 eq.) and LiOH ∙ H2O (8.9 mg, 212 µmol, 2 eq.) were suspended in 2.00 mL MeOH and 0.66 mL H2O, refluxed for 3 h, before a second portion of LiOH ∙ H2O (6 mg, 143 µmol, 1.35 eq.) and 0.50 mL MeOH were added. The mixture was continued to reflux for 1.5 h and then quenched by addition of 20 mL H2O. The aquatic phase was acidified with 1 N HCl and extracted with EtOAc (3 x 30 mL), the combined organic phases were washed with water twice before being dried over Na2SO4. The solvent was evaporated to give the crude acid **14** (42.0 mg) as a yellow oil.

**Synthesis of 3-farnesyl-4,5-dihydroxybenzoic acid (8)**



Crude **14** (40 mg, 89.6 µmol, 1 eq.) was dissolved in 1 mL dry *i*-PrOH and AcCl (20.5 µL, 286.7 µmol, 3.2 eq.) was added under argon atmosphere. The reaction was stirred for 5h at room temperature and poured on 20 mL H2O. The aquatic phase was extracted with EtOAc (3 x 30 mL). The combined organic layers were washed with H<sub>2</sub>O, dried (Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>) and the solvent was evaporated. The product **8** (17 mg, 47.4  $\mu$ mol) was obtained after column chromatography (MeOH:CHCl<sub>3</sub> = 1:9) as an off-white solid with a yield of 47% over two steps. (Supplementary Fig. 29-36)

IR (ATR, cm–1 ): 3436, 3284, 3076, 2966, 2916, 2855, 1676, 1617, 1603, 1516, 1443, 1375, 1292, 1236, 1102, 987, 937, 890, 837, 777, 715, 562, 487.

<sup>1</sup>H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ 1.60 (m, 6H, H-14`, H 15`), 1.68 (m, 3H, H-12`), 1.79 (m, 3H, H-13`), 1.95-2.18 (m, 8H, H-4`, H-5`, H-8`, H-9`), 3.41 (d, *J* = 7.31 Hz, 2H, H-1`), 5.08 (m, 2H, H-6`, H-10`), 5.34 (m, 1H, H-2`), 7.50 (s, 2H, H-2, H-6). (Supplementary Fig. 29)

<sup>13</sup>C NMR (600 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ 16.0 (C-14`), 16.2 (C-13`), 17.6 (C-15`), 25.6 (C-12`), 26.1 (C-5`), 26.7 (C-9`), 29.8 (C-1`), 39.6 (C-8`), 39.8 (C-4`), 115.0 (C-2), 120.7 (C-2`), 121.1 (C-1), 123.4 (C-6`), 124.4 (C-10`), 124.8 (C-6), 127.1 (C-5), 131.4 (C-11`), 135.7 (C-7`), 139.5 (C-3`), 143.3 (C-3), 147.5 (C-4), 170.4 (C-7). (Supplementary Fig. 30)

HRMS (m/z): [M-H]<sup>-</sup> = 357.2083 (calcd. 357.2060) (Supplementary Fig. 35)

UV/Vis: λmax 447 nm (Supplementary Fig. 36)

**Structure elucidation of merosterolic acid B (9)** Merosterolic acid B (**9**) had a molecular formula of  $C_{27}H_{38}O_4$  as suggested by HR-LC-ESIMS (m/z 427.2837 [M+H]<sup>+</sup>,  $\Delta$  -0.596 mmu) suggesting nine instances of unsaturation (Supplementary Fig. 9). The  ${}^{1}H$  NMR spectrum and the HSQC spectrum suggested the presence of four aliphatic singlet methyls, as well as one exomethylene (Supplementary Fig. 11 and 13). The chemical shifts of C-16 through C-22 were assigned to the dihydroxylated benzoate moiety (Supplementary Table 2). Five levels of unsaturation were localized to the benzoate moiety and an additional one to the exomethylene double bond. The lack of further alkene signals suggested the presence of three cycles. The COSY spectrum revealed four substructures, which were limited due to overlapping signals (Supplementary Fig. 10 and 12). HMBC correlations from H-17 and H-19 to C-22 connected the carboxylic acid to C-18 (Supplementary Fig. 14). Strong HMBC correlations from H-17 and H-19 to C-21 suggested the carbon's meta position to C-17 and C-19. HMBC correlations from H-17 to C-15 and H-15 to C16 and C-21 suggested that the aliphatic moiety is attached to C-16 of the benzoic acid. **Ring A** could be determined based on HMBC correlations from H-25 to C-1, C-5 and C-10, and from H-26 to C-3, C-4, C-5 and C-27. There were also strong correlations from H-27 to C-26. **Ring C** could be established with HMBC correlations from H-24 to C-8, C-9 and C-14, from H-14 to C-13, and from H-23 to C-12 and C-13. Further correlations from H-15 to C-13 and C-14, and from H-14 to C-15 connected ring C to the benzoic acid. HMBC correlations from H-25 to C-9 connected ring A with ring C. **Ring B** was completed with HMBC correlations from H-6 to C-7, and from H-7 to C-8 and C-24. Determination of the relative stereochemistry was attempted with NOESY and ROESY spectra (Supplementary Fig. 15 and 16), but remained ambiguous due to overlapping signals. NOEs between H-17 and H-14, H15 and H-23 support the proximity of ring C to the benzoic acid. NOEs between H-5 and H-9, as well as H-9 and H-14 suggested that the relative stereochemistry is the same as in merosterolic acid A (**2**), but unclear signals from H-24 through H-27 made a confirmation challenging.

# **Supplementary Figures and Tables**



### **Supplementary Fig. 1. Purification of MstE.**

(**A**) Size-exclusion chromatogram of MstE performed on a Superdex 200 16/60 column. Arrows mark retention volumes of reference proteins according to the manufacturer. (**B**) SDS-PAGE analysis of WT and mutant proteins applied in crystallographic experiments. Each lane contains 5 µg of protein.



**Supplementary Fig. 2. Electron density maps of ligands bound to MstE.**

Left: Close-up views of the active sites in MstE:FS-DHB (**A**), MstE\_D109N:GG-DHB (**B**) and MstE\_D109A:MA (C). The 2F<sub>O</sub>-F<sub>C</sub> electron density maps (gray mesh, contoured to 1.0  $\sigma$ ) of ligands are shown together with residues engaged in ligand binding. Side-views of ligands (rotated by 90°) are depicted

on the right. Right: The corresponding Fo-Fc electron density maps (green mesh, contoured to 3.0  $\sigma$ ) with ligands omitted for structure refinement.



**Supplementary Fig. 3.** *In-vitro* **activity of MstE with 3-farnesyl-4,5-dihydroxybenzoic acid (FdHBA) (8).**

Shown are UV traces at 254 nm of HPLC chromatograms. The peak was assigned to 8 by mass spectrometry.



**Supplementary Fig. 4.** *In-vitro* **activity of MstE single point mutants used for crystallographic studies.** Shown are UV peaks (254 nm) of GG-DHB (3) and cyclized products merosterolic acid A (2) and merosterolic acid B (9). Peaks were identified based on their UV absorbance, *m/z*, and retention time.



**Supplementary Fig. 5.** *In-vitro* **activity of MstE single point mutants proposed to be involved in protonation.**

Shown are UV peaks (254 nm) of GG-DHB (**3**) and cyclized product merosterolic acid A (**2**). Peaks were identified based on their UV absorbance, *m/z*, and retention time.



**Supplementary Fig. 6.** *In-vitro* **activity of MstE single point mutants without relaxed activity.** Shown are UV peaks (254 nm) of GG-DHB (**3**) and cyclized product merosterolic acid A (**2**) and. Peaks were identified based on their UV absorbance, *m/z*, and retention time. Aromatic residues F49, W59, Y100 and W210 show close interactions with the substrate in the crystal structures.



**Supplementary Fig. 7.** *In-vitro* **activity of MstE single point mutants synthesizing new product merosterolic acid B.**

Shown are UV peaks (254 nm) of GG-DHB (**3**) and cyclized products merosterolic acid A (**2**) and merosterolic acid B (**9**). Peaks were identified based on their UV absorbance, *m/z*, and retention time.



**Supplementary Fig. 8. Structure of merosterolic acid B (9)** Carbon atoms are numbered for referencing the NMR data.





**Supplementary Fig. 9. HPLC chromatogram and mass spectrum of merosterolic acid B (9).**

(**A**) UV trace at 254 nm of the chromatogram of assays containing MstE Y157A and 3-geranylgeranyl-4,5 dihydroxybenzoic acid (GG-DHB, **3**). The three major peaks are merosterolic acid A (**2**) at 11.93 min, substrate **3** at 12.14 min and merosterolic acid B (**9**) at 12.50 min. (**B**) Mass spectrum of the peak for **9** at 12.50 min ( $m/z$  427.2837 [M+H]<sup>+</sup>).



**Supplementary Fig. 10. Key correlations identified in the NMR spectra of merosterolic acid B (9).**

A)



**Supplementary Fig. 11. <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum of merosterolic acid B (9) in acetonitrile-***d***<sup>3</sup> (600 MHz).** (A) Entire NMR spectrum. (**B**) Zoomed into the  $0 - 3$  ppm region.



**Supplementary Fig. 12. COSY spectrum of merosterolic acid B (9) in acetonitrile-***d***<sup>3</sup> (600 MHz).** (A) Entire NMR spectrum. (**B**) Zoomed into the  $0 - 3$  ppm region.



**Supplementary Fig. 13. HSQC spectrum of merosterolic acid B (9) in acetonitrile-***d***<sup>3</sup> (600 MHz).** (A) Entire NMR spectrum. (**B**) Zoomed into the  $0 - 3$  ppm and 0-60 ppm regions.



**Supplementary Fig. 14. HMBC spectrum of merosterolic acid B (9) in acetonitrile-***d***<sup>3</sup> (600 MHz).** (**A**) Entire NMR spectrum. (**B**) Left: Zoomed into the 0 – 3 ppm and 0-60 ppm regions. Right: Zoomed into HMBC signals of methyl groups.



**Supplementary Fig. 15. NOESY spectrum of merosterolic acid B (9) in acetonitrile-***d***<sup>3</sup> (600 MHz).** (A) Entire NMR spectrum. (**B**) Zoomed into the  $0 - 3$  ppm region.



A)

**Supplementary Fig. 16. ROESY spectrum of merosterolic acid B (9) in acetonitrile-***d***<sup>3</sup> (600 MHz).** (A) Entire NMR spectrum. (**B**) Zoomed into the  $0 - 3$  ppm region.



**Supplementary Fig. 17. Synthesis of 3-farnesyl-4,5-dihydroxybenzoic acid (8).**





**Supplementary Fig. 19. <sup>1</sup>H-NMR of 3-iodo-4,5-bis(methoxymethoxy)benzaldehyde (11) in CDCl<sup>3</sup> (400 MHz).**



**Supplementary Fig. 20. <sup>13</sup>C-NMR of 3-iodo-4,5-bis(methoxymethoxy)benzaldehyde (11) in CDCl<sup>3</sup> (400 MHz).**



**Supplementary Fig. 21. <sup>1</sup>H-NMR of methyl 3-iodo-4,5-bis(methoxymethoxy)benzoate (12) in CDCl<sup>3</sup> (400 MHz).**



**Supplementary Fig. 22. <sup>13</sup>C-NMR of methyl 3-iodo-4,5-bis(methoxymethoxy)benzoate (12) in CDCl<sup>3</sup> (400 3MHz).**



**Supplementary Fig. 23. <sup>1</sup>H-NMR of 3,4-bis(methoxymethoxy)-5-((2E,6E)-3,7,11 trimethyldodeca-2,6,10-trien-1-yl)benzoate (13) in CDCl<sup>3</sup> (400 MHz).**

![](_page_25_Figure_0.jpeg)

**Supplementary Fig. 24. <sup>13</sup>C-NMR of 3,4-bis(methoxymethoxy)-5-((2E,6E)-3,7,11 trimethyldodeca-2,6,10-trien-1-yl)benzoate (13) in CDCl<sup>3</sup> (400 MHz).**

![](_page_25_Figure_2.jpeg)

**Supplementary Fig. 25. COSY spectrum of 3,4-bis(methoxymethoxy)-5-((2E,6E)-3,7,11 trimethyldodeca-2,6,10-trien-1-yl)benzoate (13) in CDCl<sup>3</sup> (400 MHz).**

![](_page_26_Figure_0.jpeg)

**Supplementary Fig. 26. HSQC spectrum of 3,4-bis(methoxymethoxy)-5-((2E,6E)-3,7,11 trimethyldodeca-2,6,10-trien-1-yl)benzoate (13) in CDCl<sup>3</sup> (400 MHz).**

![](_page_26_Figure_2.jpeg)

**Supplementary Fig. 27. HMBC spectrum of 3,4-bis(methoxymethoxy)-5-((2E,6E)-3,7,11 trimethyldodeca-2,6,10-trien-1-yl)benzoate (13) in CDCl<sup>3</sup> (400 MHz).**

![](_page_27_Figure_0.jpeg)

**Supplementary Fig. 28. NOESY spectrum of 3,4-bis(methoxymethoxy)-5-((2E,6E)-3,7,11 trimethyldodeca-2,6,10-trien-1-yl)benzoate (13) in CDCl<sup>3</sup> (500 MHz). (A)** Entire NMR spectrum. **(B)** Zoomed into the 4 – 7 ppm region.

![](_page_28_Figure_0.jpeg)

**Supplementary Fig. 29. <sup>1</sup>H-NMR of 3-farnesyl-4,5-dihydroxybenzoic acid (8) in CDCl<sup>3</sup> (600 MHz).**

![](_page_28_Figure_2.jpeg)

**Supplementary Fig. 30. COSY spectrum of 3-farnesyl-4,5-dihydroxybenzoic acid (8) in CDCl<sup>3</sup> (600 MHz).**

![](_page_29_Figure_0.jpeg)

**Supplementary Fig. 31. HSQC spectrum of 3-farnesyl-4,5-dihydroxybenzoic acid (8) in CDCl<sup>3</sup> (600 MHz).**

![](_page_30_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_30_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_31_Figure_0.jpeg)

**Supplementary Fig. 32. HMBC spectrum of of 3-farnesyl-4,5-dihydroxybenzoic acid (8) in CDCl<sup>3</sup> (600 MHz).**

**(A)** Entire NMR spectrum. **(B)** Zoom in on 1 - 6 ppm and 115 - 150 ppm regions. **(C)** Zoom in on 1 - 8 ppm and 10 - 50 ppm regions.

![](_page_32_Figure_0.jpeg)

**Supplementary Fig. 33. NOESY spectrum of of 3-farnesyl-4,5-dihydroxybenzoic acid (8) in CDCl<sup>3</sup> (600 MHz).**

**(A)** Entire NMR spectrum. **(B)** Zoom in Zoom in on 2 - 6 ppm and 0.5 - 3.7 ppm regions.

![](_page_33_Figure_0.jpeg)

**Supplementary Fig. 34. Key correlations identified in the 2D NMR spectra of 3-farnesyl-4,5 dihydroxybenzoic acid (8).**

![](_page_34_Figure_0.jpeg)

# **Supplementary Fig. 35. HR-LCMS data of 3-farnesyl-4,5-dihydroxybenzoic acid (8).**

**(A)** Chromatogram (upper trace) and extracted ion chromatogram (*m/z* 357.2082 - 357.2118) (lower trace) **(B)** Mass spectrum of the peak at 10.66 min retention time (*m/z* 357.2083 [M-H]- (upper trace) and calculated exact mass spectrum for compound **8** (C<sub>22</sub>H<sub>29</sub>O<sub>4</sub>) in negative mode.

![](_page_35_Figure_0.jpeg)

**Supplementary Fig. 36. UV-Vis spectra of 3-farnesyl-4,5-dihydroxybenzoic acid (8) in CDCl3.**

Blue - CDCl3, green - compound **8** - light green - compound **8** (4x dilution), red - compound **8** (8x dilution). Exact maximum: 447.053 nm, Abs 0.5896.

# **Supplementary Data Table 1.**

X-ray data collection and refinement statistics.

![](_page_36_Picture_674.jpeg)

 $\begin{bmatrix}^{[a]} & \text{Asymmetric unit} \\ \text{[b]} & \text{The values in par} \end{bmatrix}$ 

[b] The values in parentheses for resolution range, completeness, R<sub>merge</sub> and I/σ (I) correspond to the highest resolution shell<br>[c] Data reduction was carried out with XDS and from a single crystal.

Data reduction was carried out with XDS and from a single crystal. \*Friedel pairs were treated as individual reflections

# Friedel pairs were treated as identical reflections

 $\mathsf{R}_{\mathsf{merge}}(\mathsf{I}) = \Sigma_{\mathsf{hkl}}\Sigma_\mathsf{j}$  | I(hkl) $_\mathsf{j}$  - <I(hkl)> | / Σ $_{\mathsf{hkl}}$  Σ $_\mathsf{j}$ I(hkl) $_\mathsf{j}$ , where I(hkl) $_\mathsf{j}$  is the j<sup>th</sup> measurement of the intensity of reflection hkl and <I(hkl)> is the average intensity

<sup>[e]</sup> R = Σ<sub>hkl</sub> | |F<sub>obs</sub>| - |F<sub>calc</sub>| |/Σ<sub>hkl</sub> |F<sub>obs</sub>|, where R<sub>free</sub> is calculated without a sigma cut off for a randomly chosen 5 % of reflections, which were not used for structure refinement, and  $R_{work}$  is calculated for the remaining reflections

<sup>[f]</sup> Deviations from ideal bond lengths/angles<br>  $[$ g<sub>1</sub> Percentage of residues in favored/allow

Percentage of residues in favored/allowed/outlier region

### **Supplementary Table 2.**

NMR data for MB (**9**) measured in acetonitrile-*d*3.

![](_page_37_Picture_215.jpeg)

#### **Supplementary Table 3.**

Sitting-drop crystallization parameters of diffracting crystals.

![](_page_38_Picture_184.jpeg)

# **Supplementary Table 4.**

Structurally related proteins identified by DALI searches (*35*). The three best hits are shown.

![](_page_38_Picture_185.jpeg)

### **Supplementary Table 5.**

Primer sequences used for MstE mutagenesis for crystallization experiments.

![](_page_39_Picture_136.jpeg)

# **Supplementary Table 6.**

![](_page_40_Picture_265.jpeg)

Primers used for introducing single point mutations for activity screening.