	Ũ			
	OR	Std. Err	P> z	95% CI
Prior knowledge of tubal origin of OC	1.323	0.475	0.555	0.525 to 3.42
Personal history of BC	2.309	0.649	0.198	0.66 to 8.612
Previous RRM	0.721	0.528	0.537	0.25 to 2.014
Family complete	2.246	0.586	0.168	0.72 to 7.313
Carrier status	2.472	1.391	0.515	0.186 to 65.46
Marital status	0.78	0.499	0.617	0.291 to 2.087
Ethnicity	0.754	0.707	0.689	0.185 to 3.083
Education	0.741	0.556	0.589	0.243 to 2.184
Income	1.236	0.231	0.359	0.787 to 1.963
Timing of Surgery	0.415	1.393	0.528	0.015 to 5.335
Family history				
BC	1.8	0.742	0.428	0.421 to 8.126
OC	0.393	1.022	0.361	0.048 to 2.84
BC and OC	2.726	1.146	0.381	0.299 to 28.37
Concerns over premature menopause sequelae				
influencing decision to undergo RRESDO:				
Hot flushes/night sweats	1.636	0.795	0.536	0.34 to 7.958
Looking older	0.435	0.799	0.297	0.088 to 2.074
Decreased libido/other sexual side effects	0.971	0.765	0.97	0.212 to 4.413
Loss of fertility	0.564	0.735	0.436	0.128 to 2.366
Osteoporosis	2.03	0.851	0.405	0.386 to 11.539
Heart disease	0.393	0.949	0.325	0.054 to 2.359
Dementia/memory dysfunction	3.31	0.928	0.197	0.557 to 22.357
Impact on survival	0.437	0.72	0.251	0.099 to 1.714
Acceptability of having to take HRT until 51 years	2.638	0.71	0.172	0.684 to 11.342
Potential benefits of RRESDO influencing decision to undergo RRESDO:				
Reduces risk of ovarian cancer without premature menopause	3.823	1.192	0.261	0.413 to 54.046
Inspection of tubes/ovaries by doctor	0.643	0.79	0.577	0.127 to 2.989
delays hot flushes, night sweats	2.077	0.909	0.421	0.338 to 12.63
delays osteoporosis	1.237	1.92	0.912	0.041 to 94.98
delays potential change to sexual function	1.468	0.933	0.68	0.234 to 9.684
Not associated with increased risk of heart disease	0.941	1.817	0.973	0.02 to 32.459
Potential limitations of RRESDO influencing decision to undergo RRESDO:				
Two staged surgery	6.719 OR	0.767 Std.	0.013 P> z 	1.605 to 34.00 95% Cl
Detential promotive management	1 201	Err	0 650	0 226 + 5 5 244
Potential premature menopause	1.361	0.695	0.658	0.336 to 5.341
Increased complication rate	0.841	0.771	0.823	0.174 to 3.754
Interval monitoring between surgeries	8.855	0.974	0.025	1.465 to 71.831

Table S1. Factors affecting not sure versus no questionnaire responses on acceptability of undergoingRRESDO amongst premenopausal women who have not undergone RRSO

Additional time in hospital Additional time off work for surgery/post-	0.546 3.959	1.133 0.895	0.592 0.124	0.053 to 4.921 0.73 to 25.941
operative recovery Precise level of OC risk reduction with ES unknown	0.794	0.773	0.765	0.166 to 3.63
	4.092	0.784	0.073	0.926 to 21.065

RRESDO – risk reducing early salpingectomy with delayed oophorectomy; OC – ovarian cancer; BC – breast cancer; FH – family history; RRM – risk reducing mastectomy; HRT – hormone replacement therapy.

Multiple logistic regression analysis on factors affecting acceptability of undergoing RRESDO ("not sure" versus "no" responses) in 117 premenopausal women who have not undergone RRSO. Model adjusted for marital status, ethnicity, education, income, family history of ovarian cancer/breast cancer, risk reducing mastectomy and personal history of breast cancer.

Prior knowledge of tubal origin of OC: yes vs no; personal history of BC: yes vs no; previous RRM: yes vs no; family complete: no vs yes; carrier status: BRCA1/BRCA2 vs intermediate risk (RAD51C carrier/RAD51D carrier/BRIP1 carrier/BRCA negative but strong FH of OC/BRCA untested but strong FH of OC); marital status: in a relationship (married, cohabiting/living with partner) vs not in a relationship (single, divorced, separated, widowed); ethnicity: non-Caucasian vs Caucasian; education: university level education (PhD, Masters, Bachelors degree) vs below university level education (NVQ4, A-level/NVQ3, NVQ1/NVQ2, GCSE/O-level/CSE, no formal qualification); timing of future OC prevention surgery: planning surgery now/within 5 years vs not planning surgery; FH BC (FH of BC alone plus FH of BC and OC): yes vs no; FH OC (FH of OC alone plus FH of OC and BC): yes vs no; FH BC and OC: yes vs no; hot flushes/night sweats: yes vs no; looking older: yes vs no; decreased libido/other sexual side effects: yes vs no; loss of fertility: yes vs no; osteoporosis (self-reported): yes vs no; heart disease: yes vs no; dementia/memory dysfunction: yes vs no; impact on survival: yes vs no; acceptability of having to take HRT until 51 years: yes vs no; reduces risk of OC without premature menopause: yes vs no; inspection of tubes/ovaries by doctor: yes vs no; delays hot flushes/night sweats: yes vs no; delays osteoporosis: yes vs no; delays potential change to sexual function: yes vs no; not associated with increased risk of heart disease: yes vs no; two staged surgery: yes vs no; potential premature menopause: yes vs no; increased complication rate: yes vs no; interval monitoring between surgeries: yes vs no; additional time in hospital: yes vs no; additional time off work for surgery/post-operative recovery: yes vs no; precise level of OC risk reduction with ES unknown: yes vs no; developing an interval OC between the two surgeries: yes vs no.

	F	Pre-menopausal salpingo-oophorectomy			Post-menopausal salpingo-oophorectomy				P value		
	Strongly disagree	Disagree	Neither agree nor disagree	Agree	Strongly Agree	Strongly disagree	Disagree	Neither agree nor disagree	Agree	Strongly Agree	
It was the right decision	5/161 (3.11%)	5/161 (3.11%)	8/161 (4.97%)	18/161 (11.18%)	125/161 (77.64%)	1/84 (1.19%)	1/84 (1.19%)	2/84 (2.38%)	8/84 (9.52%)	72/84 (85.71%)	0.635
I regret the choice that was made	114/160 (71.25%)	23/160 (14.38%)	8/160 (5%)	11/160 (6.88%)	4/160 (2.5%)	74/81 (91.36%)	4/81 (4.94%)	2/81 (2.47%)	1/81 (1.23%)	0/81 (0%)	0.008
I would make the same decision if I had to do it over	5/161 (3.11%)	6/161 (3.73%)	9/161 (5.59%)	27/161 (16.77%)	114/161 (70.81%)	3/84 (3.57%)	1/84 (1.19%)	1/84 (1.19%)	9/84 (10.71%)	70/84 (83.33%)	0.186
again The decision did me a lot of	91/160	36/160	15/160	12/160	6/160	65/80	8/80	3/80 (3.75%)	0/80	4/80	0.006
harm The decision was a wise one	(56.88%) 4/158	(22.5%) 3/158	(9.38%) 4/158	(7.5%) 41/158	(3.75%) 106/158	(81.25%) 2/83	(10%) 0/83	4/83 (4.82%)	(0%) 11/83	(5%) 66/83	0.088
I was directly influenced by the direct wishes of my	(2.53%) 86/160 (53.75%)	(1.9%) 28/160 (17.5%)	(2.53%) 34/160 (21.25%)	(25.95%) 9/160 (5.62%)	(67.09%) 3/160 (1.88%)	(2.41%) 43/82 (52.44%)	(0%) 9/82 (10.98%)	17/82 (20.73%)	(13.25%) 10/82 (12.2%)	(79.52%) 3/82 (3.66%)	0.257
family I was influenced by the	88/159	27/159	34/159	6/159	4/159	52/79	10/79			0/79	0.501
unexpressed wishes of my family	(55.35%)	(16.98%)	(21.38%)	(3.77%)	4/159 (2.52%)	(65.82%)	(12.66%)	15/79 (18.99%)	2/79 (2.53%)	(0%)	0.501

Table S2. Satisfaction and regret according to menopausal status at the time of RRSO

This table compares satisfaction and regret responses in women who have undergone premenopausal and postmenopausal RRSO.

Model and variable	Coef.	Std. Err	P> z	95% CI
Decision Regret Scale				
Hot flushes	18.273	10.786	0.092	3.051 to 39.597
Night sweats	21.526	10.554	0.043	0.66 to 42.393
Sleep disturbance	40.216	10.615	<0.001	19.227 to 61.204
Vaginal dryness	35.005	10.921	0.002	13.414 to 56.596
Sexual dysfunction	43.242	9.711	<0.001	24.043 to 62.440
Osteoporosis	1.246	8.289	0.881	-15.141 to 17.633
Urinary incontinence	42.294	14.436	0.004	13.754 to 70.835
Fatigue	34.501	10.673	0.002	13.399 to 55.602
Memory loss	23.738	11.174	0.035	1.646 to 45.83
Mood alterations	48.464	9.926	<0.001	28.838 to 68.089
Negative impact on	56.882	10.642	<0.001	35.839 to 77.926
relationship with partner				

Table S3. Effect of menopausal sequelae on satisfaction and regret amongst women who have undergonepremenopausal RRSO

Multiple linear regression analysis on effect of various menopausal sequelae on satisfaction and regret levels in 164 women who have undergone premenopausal RRSO. Analysis adjusted for marital status, ethnicity, education, income, family history of ovarian cancer and family history of breast cancer.

Decision Regret Scale: 5 item questionnaire with each item scored on a five point Likert-scale from 0-100 with total scores ranging from 0-500. Higher scores indicate less satisfaction/more regret.

Hot flushes: yes vs no; night sweats: yes vs no; sleep disturbance: yes vs no; vaginal dryness: yes vs no; sexual dysfunction: yes vs no; loss of fertility: yes vs no; osteoporosis (self-reported): yes vs no; urinary incontinence: yes vs no; fatigue: yes vs no; memory loss: yes vs no; mood alterations: yes vs no; impact on relationship with partner: yes vs no.

Menopausal sequelae	OR	Std. Err	P> z	95% CI
Hot flushes	0.454	0.421	0.06	0.194 to 1.019
Night sweats	0.780	0.396	0.531	0.357 to 1.694
Sleep disturbance	0.429	0.441	0.055	0.175 to 1.000
Vaginal dryness	0.397	0.413	0.025	0.172 to 0.879
Sexual dysfunction	0.898	0.394	0.784	0.41 to 1.939
Osteoporosis	0.716	0.431	0.438	0.306 to 1.669
Urinary incontinence	1.367	0.439	0.475	0.583 to 3.287
Fatigue	0.593	0.417	0.21	0.257 to 1.331
Memory loss	1.138	0.374	0.729	0.546 to 2.374
Mood alterations	1.052	0.392	0.897	0.487 to 2.279
Negative impact on relationship with partner	1.231	0.38	0.584	0.586 to 2.611

Table S4. Effect off HRT use versus non-use on menopausal sequelae in women who have undergonepremenopausal RRSO

Multiple logistic regression analysis on effect of HRT use versus non-use on menopausal sequelae in 88 women who have undergone premenopausal RRSO. Analysis adjusted for marital status, ethnicity, education, income, family history of ovarian cancer and family history of breast cancer. Analysis excludes women with a previous history of breast cancer who were ineligible for HRT.

Hot flushes: yes vs no; night sweats: yes vs no; sleep disturbance: yes vs no; vaginal dryness: yes vs no; sexual dysfunction: yes vs no; loss of fertility: yes vs no; osteoporosis (self-reported): yes vs no; urinary incontinence: yes vs no; fatigue: yes vs no; memory loss: yes vs no; mood alterations: yes vs no; impact on relationship with partner: yes vs no.

	Premenopausal	Postmenopausal	P value
	RRSO	RRSO	
Hot flushes	111/163 (68.1%)	23/59 (38.98%)	<0.001
Night sweats	109/163 (66.87%)	15/59 (25.42%)	<0.001
Sleep disturbance	120/162 (74.07%)	24/59 (40.68%)	<0.001
Vaginal dryness	100/163 (61.35%)	33/59 (55.93%)	0.536
Sexual dysfunction	108/163 (66.26%)	33/59 (55.93%)	0.207
Osteoporosis (self reported)	38/162 (23.46%)	24/59 (40.68%)	0.017
Urinary incontinence	39/163 (23.93%)	17/60 (28.33%)	0.492
Fatigue	115/163 (70.55%)	29/59 (49.15%)	0.004
Memory loss	97/163 (59.51%)	19/57 (33.33%)	0.001
Mood alterations	103/162 (63.58%)	18/59 (30.51%)	<0.001
Negative impact on	80/160 (50%)	15/56 (26.79%)	0.003
relationship with partner			

Table S5. Prevalence of menopausal sequelae by menopausal status at the time off RRSO

The table compares the proportion of women experiencing menopausal sequelae post-surgery in women who have undergone premenopausal and postmenopausal RRSO.