Appendix I: Ovarian cancer risk and risk reduction from RRSO

Criteria: Mutation based	Breast cancer risk (95% Cl)	Ovarian cancer risk (95% CI)	Age for RRSO ^a
BRCA1 ⁴	72% (65–79%)	44% (36–53%)	From 35–40 vears ^b
BRCA2 ⁴	69% (61–77%)	17% (11–25%)	From 40–45 years ^c
<i>RAD51C</i> ²⁴	21% (15–29%)	11% (6–21%)	From 40–50 years ^d
RAD51D ²⁴	20% (14–28%)	13% (7–23%)	From 40–50 years ^d
BRIP1 ²⁵	No increase	5.8% (3.6–9.1%)	> 45–50 years ^e
PALB2 ^{26g}	53% (44–63%)	~5% (2–10%)	> 45–50 years ^d
	Endometrial cancer risk (95% CI)	Ovarian cancer risk (95% Cl)	Age for hysterectomy and RRSO ^a
MLH1 ^{79–81}	37% (30.1–46.5%)	11% (7.4–19.7%)	From 35–40 vears
MSH2 ^{79–81}	48.9% (40.2–60.7%)	17.4% (11.8–31.2%)	From 35–40 years
MSH6 ^{79–81}	41.1% (28.6–61.5%)	10.8% (3.7–38.6%)	From 35–40 years
Criteria: FH based and BRCA status unknown ^h	Ovarian cancer familial relative risk	Ovarian cancer risk	
One FDR with OC ²⁷	~3 (2.4, 3.7)	~5.8% (4.7%, 7.2%)	
Two OC case families ²⁸	~4 (1.1, 10.4)	~7.7% (2.2%, 18.9%)	
Three or more OC case families ²⁸	~7.45 (2.0, 19.1)	~13.9% (3.9%, 31.9%)	
Criteria: FH based			RRSO may be delayed
and <i>BRCA</i> -negative ^h			until 50 years of age
One EDB with	~3 83 (2 / 6 1)	~7 1% (1 7% 11 6%)	(can be innuenced by
OC < 50 years ²⁷	5.65 (2.4, 0.1)	7.470 (4.770, 11.070)	of Ω in the family)
One FDR with serous OC^{27}	~2.56 (1.8, 3.7)	~5% (3.6%, 7.2%)	or oc in the family
Two OC familial cases ²⁸	$\sim 3-4$ (estimated)	~5.8–7.7%	
Three or more OC familial cases ²⁸	~7 (estimated)	~13%	
Familial high risk BC only ^{82,83}	≤ 1	Likely population level OC risk (~2%)	RRSO not recommended
Cancer risk reduction with RRSO	Breast cancer risk reduction	Ovarian cancer risk reduction	Mortality reduction ^f
BRCA1, BRCA2	Earlier studies: 50%	80–96% OC risk reduction ⁶	60–77% reduction in all
	reduction in primary		cause mortality ^{6,84}
	BC risk ⁷	2–4% residual PPC	,
	More recent studies ⁸	risk in BRCA carriers ⁶	79% reduction in OC
	No reduction in	Har In Direct Carriers	specific montality
			specific mortality
	primary BC risk	PPC post preventive	F / 9/
	Reduction in	surgery in Lynch	56% reduction
	premenopausal	syndrome is rare	in BC mortality
	BC risk in BRCA2		

Appendix I. (Continued)

	No reduction in	
	contralateral BC risk	
Low risk women		94% reduction in OC risk ¹³

BC, breast cancer; FDR, first degree relative; FH, family history; OC, ovarian cancer; PPC, primary peritoneal cancer; RRSO, risk-reducing salpingo-oophorectomy.

^aRRSO may be offered from up to 5 years before the earliest onset OC in the family in women with early onset ovarian cancer. ^bOC risk in *BRCA1* begins to rise from 35 years of age and increases significantly after 40 years of age.

^cOC risk in *BRCA2* begins to rise from 40 years of age and increases significantly after 45 years of age.

^dAlthough data are limited, OC has not yet been reported in *RAD51C, RAD51D* and *PALB2* carriers under 40 years of age. ^eOC has not been reported in *BRIP1* carriers under 45 years of age.

^fMortality data are based on medium term outcomes with median follow-up time in studies of 3.6–4.3 years⁸⁰ and 5.6 years.⁵ ^gPALB2 was recently confirmed as a moderate risk OC gene, with some now supporting RRSO in these women, while others citing limited evidence for this. RRSO can be considered for women with PALB2 mutations taking into account additional risk and protective factors, and is preferably carried out nearer/after menopause.

^hIn cases where ovarian cancer risk assessment appears complex or difficult, it is important to seek advice from a specialist with greater expertise such as a clinical geneticist or gynaecologist/gynaecological oncologist with special interest in genetic risk assessment or hereditary cancer risk management.

Appendix II: Flowchart for risk-reducing surgery and HRT management



b/l, bilateral; BC, breast cancer; BMI, body–mass index; BP, blood pressure; DEXA, dual-energy x-ray absorptiometry; E, estrogen; ER+, estrogen receptor-positive; GnRH, gonadotrophin-releasing hormone; HDL, high-density lipoprotein; HRT, hormone replacement therapy; LDL, low-density lipoprotein; OC, ovarian cancer; P, progestogen; PPC, primary peritoneal cancer; PR+, progesterone receptor-positive; RR, risk reducing; USS, ultrasound scan; VMS, vasomotor symptoms; VTE, venous thromboembolism. Appendix III: Summary of the benefits and risks of premenopausal RRSO in women at increased risk of ovarian cancer

Impact of premenopausal RRSO: summary of benefits and risks			
Benefits	Comment		
Reduction in OC risk	See Appendix I		
Reduction in all-cause mortality	See Appendix I		
Reduction in OC specific mortality	See Appendix I		
Reduction in BC specific mortality	See Appendix I		
Reduction in anxiety and depression			
Reduction in OC worry	5% risk in DDCA convious Incompany of our inclusion		
concert at histology	5% risk in BRCA carriers. Improved survival		
	with identification of early stage disease		
Risks (high risk women)	Comment		
Infertility			
Premature menopause			
Vasomotor symptoms	Minimised by HRT		
Sexual dysfunction	Improved by HRT, but sexual discomfort		
	remains higher compared to women who		
	retain their ovaries		
QOL	No difference in generic QoL with KRSU		
Osteoporosis	increase in fracture risk reported with		
Primary peritoneal cancer residual risk	2–4% in BRCA carriers rare in Lynch		
	syndrome		
Surgical complications	3–4% risk		
Additional risks from conhorectomy in	Comment		
low risk women (with lack of	comment		
adequate data specific to high risk			
women)			
Coronary heart disease ^a	Seen predominantly in women who do not		
,	take HRT. Ameliorated by HRT		
Mortality from heart disease	3% increase risk in women who do not		
	take HRT		
Dementia or neurocognitive dysfunction	Seen predominantly in women who do not		
	take HRT		
Parkinson's disease	Not significantly increased		
Stroke	Not significantly increased		

BC, breast cancer; HRT, hormone replacement therapy; OC, ovarian cancer; QoL, quality of life; RRSO, risk-reducing salpingooophorectomy.

^aTwo small studies in women undergoing RRSO do not demonstrate increase in risk of heart disease but these need to be interpreted with caution and should not be used to draw significant inferences.

Appendix IV: HRT adverse effects

Estrogenic	Breast tenderness Fluid retention Leg cramps Nausea Headaches
Progestogenic	Premenstrual syndrome-like symptoms Nausea Acne Fluid retention Bloating Headache Mood changes Pelvic pain
Androgen	Hirsutism Acne
Other	Erratic breakthrough uterine bleeding in first 3–6 months of continuous combined and long cycle HRT regimens

Appendix V: HRT and breast cancer risk following RRSO

Genetic risk factor	BC risk with HRT post RRSO	RRSO studies reporting HRT and BC risk ^a	Summary advice
BRCA1, BRCA2	No increase in primary risk if no personal history of BC	 BC with HRT post RRSO (HR 0.37, Cl 0.14–0.96), similar to BC HR in overall RRSO cohort⁴⁹ <i>BRCA1</i> RRSO ever vs never HRT users (OR 0.58, Cl 0.35–0.96; <i>P</i> = 0.03)⁵¹ <i>BRCA1</i> RRSO ever versus never HRT users (OR 0.80, Cl 0.55– 1.16; <i>P</i> = 0.24)⁵⁰ <i>BRCA1</i> RRSO ever versus never HRT users (HR 0.97, Cl 0.62– 1.52; <i>P</i> = 0.89)⁵⁴ 	HRT can be given up to age 51 if no personal history of BC and no other HRT contraindications. Good prognostic TNBC: short-term HRT may be considered on a case-by-case basis. ER+/PR+BC: No HRT

BC, breast cancer; ER+, estrogen receptor-positive; HR, hazard ratio; HRT, hormone replacement therapy; PR+, progesterone receptor-positive; RRSO, risk-reducing salpingo-oophorectomy; TNBC, triple negative breast cancer.

^aThese data are based on short-term outcomes. Additional well-designed studies with long-term outcomes are needed.

This Scientific Impact Paper was produced on behalf of the Royal College of Obstetricians and Gynaecologists by:

Professor R Manchanda MRCOG, London; Dr F Gaba MRCOG, London; Dr VS Talaulikar MRCOG, London; Dr J Pundir MRCOG, London; Dr S Gessler PhD, University College Hospital Gynaecological Cancer Research Centre; Miss MC Davies FRCOG, London; and Professor U Menon FRCOG, London.

The following individuals and organisations submitted comments at peer review:

Professor J Barwell, FRCP, University of Leicester; British Menopause Society; Mr DI Fraser FRCOG, Norwich; Professor F Guidozzi FRCOG, Johannesburg, South Africa; Dr M Hunter, PhD CPsychol AFBPS King's College London; UK Cancer Genetics Group; RCOG Women's Network; Dr WA Rocca MD, Mayo Clinic, Rochester, MN, USA; Dr AN Rosenthal FRCOG, UCL Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust, London; and Professor M Tischkowitz, PhD FRCP, University of Cambridge.

The Scientific Advisory Committee lead reviewer was: Dr N Potdar FRCOG, Leicester.

The Chair of the Scientific Advisory Committee was: Professor S Ghaem-Maghami¹ MRCOG, London; and Professor MD Kilby² FRCOG, Birmingham.

¹until May 2018; ²from June 2018

All RCOG guidance developers are asked to declare any conflicts of interest. A statement summarising any conflicts of interest for this Scientific Impact Paper is available from: www.rcog.org.uk/en/guideline s-research-services/guidelines/sip66.

The final version is the responsibility of the Scientific Advisory Committee of the RCOG.

The paper will be considered for update 3 years after publication, with an intermediate assessment of the need to update 2 years after publication.

DISCLAIMER

The Royal College of Obstetricians and Gynaecologists produces guidance as an educational aid to good clinical practice. They present recognised methods and techniques of clinical practice, based on published evidence, for consideration by obstetricians and gynaecologists and other relevant health professionals. The ultimate judgement regarding a particular clinical procedure or treatment plan must be made by the doctor or other attendant in the light of clinical data presented by the patient and the diagnostic and treatment options available.

RCOG Scientific Impact Paper No. 66