

Supplemental information

The proteomic landscape of genome-wide genetic perturbations

Christoph B. Messner, Vadim Demichev, Julia Muenzner, Simran K. Aulakh, Natalie Barthel, Annika Röhl, Lucía Herrera-Domínguez, Anna-Sophia Egger, Stephan Kamrad, Jing Hou, Guihong Tan, Oliver Lemke, Enrica Calvani, Lukasz Szyrwił, Michael Mülleder, Kathryn S. Lilley, Charles Boone, Georg Kustatscher, and Markus Ralser

Table S1, related to STAR methods. Timing and mobile-phase composition for the applied non-linear chromatography gradient.

Time (min)	Mobile phase (% A)
initial	97
0.86	92.9
2.42	88.8
5.53	84.7
9.38	80.6
13.02	76.4
15.48	72.3
17.27	68.2
19	60
20	20
20.5	20
21.5	97
27.5	97

Table S2, related to STAR Methods. Lower and upper m/z limits of precursor isolation windows in the applied variable window SWATH-MS method.

Lower m/z	Upper m/z
399.5	410.4
409.4	420.3
419.3	429.7
428.7	439.6
438.6	448.9
447.9	458.8
457.8	468.7
467.7	478.1
477.1	488.5
487.5	499
498	509.4
508.4	519.9
518.9	530.9
529.9	541.9
540.9	552.8
551.8	564.4
563.4	575.9
574.9	588
587	600.1
599.1	612.2
611.2	625.4
624.4	639.2
638.2	652.9

651.9	667.2
666.2	681.5
680.5	696.9
695.9	712.3
711.3	728.8
727.8	747.5
746.5	766.2
765.2	786
785	806.9
805.9	828.9
827.9	854.8
853.8	882.8
881.8	915.3
914.3	957.6
956.6	1015.4
1014.4	1098.4
1097.4	1249.7

Table S3, related to Figure 2. Proteins that are up-regulated upon deletion of their paralogue.

Knock-out strain	Up-regulated protein	Fold change	Adjusted p-value
<i>rps14aΔ</i>	Rps14b	4.085177	6.7E-34
<i>rpl4aΔ</i>	Rpl4b	2.72258	4.6E-04
<i>rpl8aΔ</i>	Rpl8b	1.310114	6.1E-04
<i>rps29bΔ</i>	Rps29a	1.372421	2.3E-05
<i>rps1aΔ</i>	Rps1b	1.534089	1.2E-14
<i>rpl6bΔ</i>	Rpl6a	1.36172	2.4E-04
<i>rnr4Δ</i>	Rnr2	6.540719	5.7E-119
<i>rpl7aΔ</i>	Rpl7b	4.987068	2.3E-48
<i>ura7Δ</i>	Ura8	1.691639	1.6E-09
<i>rps9bΔ</i>	Rps9a	5.445963	4.4E-03
<i>cit1Δ</i>	Cit2	2.337526	5.5E-03
<i>rps7aΔ</i>	Rps7b	1.858272	6.7E-13
<i>rpl16bΔ</i>	Rpl16a	2.150493	5.7E-46
<i>rpl14aΔ</i>	Rpl14b	1.772908	2.6E-06
<i>rpl8bΔ</i>	Rpl8a	2.291356	2.7E-13
<i>tif4631Δ</i>	Tif4632	1.952875	4.1E-03
<i>rps29aΔ</i>	Rps29b	1.473908	7.4E-06
<i>rpl6aΔ</i>	Rpl6b	2.249223	8.2E-39
<i>sse1Δ</i>	Sse2	2.356487	8.0E-06

Table S4, related to Figure 4. The top 15 strains selected by the elastic net model are listed with corresponding feature importance.

Gene name	Feature importance
<i>elp4Δ</i>	100
<i>spt10Δ</i>	89.19691
<i>mot2Δ</i>	88.79057
<i>ubp3Δ</i>	86.14195
<i>def1Δ</i>	84.50996
<i>yjl175wΔ</i>	80.81533
<i>fyv4Δ</i>	80.60749
<i>npr3Δ</i>	78.62733
<i>ykl169cΔ</i>	74.90061
<i>ino1Δ</i>	71.6569
<i>get1Δ</i>	69.56296
<i>blm10Δ</i>	66.9569
<i>bar1Δ</i>	64.10587
<i>seh1Δ</i>	59.31983
<i>ecm30Δ</i>	58.42478

Table S5, related to Figure 4. The top 15 strains selected by the elastic net model are listed below with corresponding feature importance.

Strain	Feature importance
<i>fun30Δ</i>	100
<i>sds24Δ</i>	96.3988
<i>ino1Δ</i>	95.01005
<i>ncs2Δ</i>	88.48427
<i>ybr196c-aΔ</i>	84.96767
<i>dur12Δ</i>	82.29651
<i>gim4Δ</i>	72.66398
<i>mot2Δ</i>	70.20363
<i>ybr220cΔ</i>	69.28264
<i>vps51Δ</i>	59.81237
<i>get1Δ</i>	59.42979
<i>rps11bΔ</i>	56.14677
<i>bud25Δ</i>	55.60507
<i>ydr048cΔ</i>	53.71978
<i>sbe2Δ</i>	53.65612