SUPPLEMENTARY FIGURE LEGENDS

Fig. S1. Optimal ssDNA sequence length -25nt - for stem-loop structure prediction. **a)** Loop stability scores (Δ G, kcal/mol) with gradually increased sequence length of ssDNA (starting from 13nt, 4nt increase per escalation, centred on the mutation site) considering 6 passenger mutations. Passengers include 4 silent mutations, 1 mutation within transit peptide and 1 missense mutation on gene with an absence of mRNA expression in bladder cancer. Colours represent different passenger mutations. Solid and dashed arrows represent the completion of primary and occurrence of neighbouring/ secondary stem-loop structures, respectively. **b)** Examples of predicted stem-loop structures for *PDE3A* L275L mutation across series of ssDNA lengths. *In PDF file page 4*.

Fig. S2. The frequency of all mutations in 602 bladder cancers follows a long-tail distribution (**a**) and identification of the optimal frequency threshold (counts ≥ 4) for 130 hotspot mutations (**b**). Ratios of the number of mutations with a count larger than this integer (n(c>x)) to the number of mutations with a count equal to this integer (n(c=x)). Details of these hotspot mutations are summarised in Supplementary Tables 1. Related to Figure 1a and Table 1. *In PDF file page 5.*

Fig. S3. Identification of 44 APOBEC-associated hotspot mutations in BCa. Hotspot mutations corresponding to an APOBEC-type motif (TCN \rightarrow T[G/T]N mutations, N = any base) were considered as candidate APOBEC-associated hotspot mutations (n=59). Comparisons were made for the fraction score of APOBEC-mediated mutagenesis in tumours bearing one of the given candidate APOBEC-associated hotspot mutation with tumours free of any these hotspot mutations. *P* value: Wilcoxon test. ***, *P*<0.001;**,*P*<0.01;*,*P*<0.05 and N.S. for not significant. Color scale for *P* value from lowest to highest. X axis: 44 APOBEC-associated hotspot mutations are in red and others in black. Related to Figure 1a and Table 1. *In PDF file page 6.*

Fig. S4. Attributable mutagenic process to each of 130 hotspot mutations identified from 602 bladder cancers using Letouzé *et al.* algorithm **(a)** 44 hotspot mutations classified as APOBEC-associated one according to our initial method and **(b)** 86 other hotspot mutations. Red represents tumour sample bearing the given mutation that was attributed to APOBEC mutagenesis; Blue for Age-associated mutagenesis; Green for ERCC2-related mutagenesis. *In PDF file page 7.*

Fig. S5. *AID/APOBEC* gene expression (RSEM, log2) in relation to APOBEC-associated mutations – tumours with *vs.* without any of 44 APOBEC-associated mutations in 602 bladder cancers. *P* value: Wilcoxon test between two groups. *In PDF file page 8.*

Fig. S6. APOBEC-mediated mutagenesis confers special characteristics to its target mutations, containing 43 figures in total from **a**) to **aq**). Plots related to Figure 2a-c. For each of the included 43 APOBEC-associated hotspot mutations (mapping to 32 genes) identified in bladder cancer, we show replication fork directionality (RFD) during DNA replication in the HeLa cell line (upper panel),

predicted stem-loop structure (middle panel) and the mutation spectrum of the corresponding gene (lower panel). DNA stem-loop structures were predicted with 25 nt length ssDNA centred on mutated site. The interpretation of the results is similar to that of the example of *ERBB2* S310F shown in the main figures (Figure 2e-g). The RFDs of eight other cell lines are summarised in Supplementary Table 1. *In PDF file page 10-52*.

Fig. S7. Mutation spectra for the 55 APOBEC-target genes identified from other cancer types, including 55 figures in total. Related to Figure 3c. Red rectangles indicate APOBEC-associated hotspot mutations. The other cancer types corresponded to 3,751 tumours from patients with cervical, head and neck, breast and lung cancer. Most of the over-represented mutations are associated with APOBEC-mediated mutagenesis within its target genes. *In PDF file page 54-108*.

Fig. S8. mRNA levels (RSEM, log2) for **(a)** known and **(b)** suspected tumour suppressor genes (TSGs) according to the APOBEC-associated mutations of these TSGs – tumours with a given APOBEC-associated hotspot mutation *vs.* tumours with wild-type alleles for each known/suspected TSG in bladder cancer. *RREB1* as a suspected TSG. RNA-Seq data are available in 406 bladder cancers from The Cancer Genome Atlas (TCGA). *P* value: Wilcoxon test between two groups. *In PDF file page 109.*

Fig. S9. Evaluation for the stringency of expression and loop stability for cancer gene and likely passenger mutation. **a)** Distribution comparison of mRNA levels between known cancer genes and all genes at the whole-transcriptome scale (as background) across 32 cancer types from The Cancer Genome Atlas (TCGA). All genes' expression was rank-transformed and functional annotation for cancer genes are curated from a recent publication (Method). Cancer genes' expression ranks in 32 cancer types were shown in Supplementary Table 3. **b)** Stem-loop deltaG distribution of 1000 expression-matched non-recurrent mutations and the 7 known passenger mutations. 1000 mutations were randomly selected from non-recurrent mutations (mutated only once in the cohort) in genes with matched expression level (\pm 1%) to the genes hosting the known passengers (n = 7) (Methods). *In PDF file page 110*.

Fig. S10. Permutation-based FDR estimation for predicted APOBEC-associated drivers and passengers. Benjamin-Hochberg adjustment was applied for multiple testing to produce the corrected final FDR estimation (Methods). Predictions with FDR < 0.05 were considered as confident. *In PDF file page 111.*

Fig. S11. Oncoprint (A) and interaction plot (B) of the 26 driver mutations. Driver mutations include the known drivers (n=9) and predicted ones (n=17). *P*-value for probability of mutations being co-occurred or mutual exclusive. *In PDF file page 112.*

Fig. S12. Functionality evaluation for *TBC1D12* mutations in bladder cancer (BCa). **a)** *TBC1D12* Mutation spectrum in BCa. Red rectangle marked *TBC1D12* (c.-1G>A) 5'-UTR mutation which was APOBEC-associated. **b)** Computed selection intensity of *TBC1D12* mutations. Selection intensity was calculated by cancer effect size algorithm that estimates functional importance of each mutation (Method). Red dot marked *TBC1D12* (c.-1G>A) 5'-UTR mutation which was APOBEC-associated and showed a very low intensity, indicating less important function of this mutation. *In PDF file page 113.*

Fig. S13. Distribution of the fraction of APOBEC signature mutations between tumors of luminal and non-luminal subtypes. *P*-value for wilcoxon rank-sum test. *In PDF file page 114.*

Fig. S14. Correlation between *AHR* gene expression and GRISPR-mediated AhR knock-out effect. TPM, transcripts per million . *In PDF file page 115.*

Fig. S15. Scatter plot showing BCa cell lines' AHR/ARNT dependency scores against corresponding cumulative fractions by subtype. P-value from Wilcoxon signed-rank test comparing luminal cell lines' dependency scores and their quantile conterparts in nonLuminal cell lines, either directly extracted or obtained by localized linear interpolation. *In PDF file page 116.*

Fig. S16. GLR (generalized linear regression modeling) predicted driver probability by similaritybased classification. *In PDF file page 117.*



R







Α

В

Mutations ordered by frequency, decreasing





Candidate APOBEC-associated hotspot mutations, n = 59

Attributable mutagenic process

APOBEC-related



Hotspot mutation

Age-related







Fig. S6

(Including 43 subfigures)

```
FGFR3 S249C, T<u>C</u>C \rightarrow T<u>G</u>C
```



FGFR3 mutations

 $\textit{PIK3CA E545K, T}\underline{G}A \rightarrow T}\underline{A}A$





PIK3CA mutations

RXRA S427F, T<u>C</u>C \rightarrow T<u>T</u>C







TP53 mutations

TP53 R280T, T<u>C</u>T \rightarrow T<u>G</u>T

F



TP53 mutations

G *KDM6A* Q555*, T<u>C</u>A → T<u>T</u>A





TBC1D12 mutations



C3orf70 mutations



RHOB mutations

196aa

J

Frequency



AHR mutations



LPAR6 F316F, A<u>G</u>A \rightarrow A<u>A</u>A

– – 5'



F316F, n = 8 (F316F/L)

9





TP53 mutations

*TP*53 E271K, T<u>C</u>A \rightarrow T<u>T</u>A

Ν



TP53 mutations

0



RARS2 mutations

SF3B1 E902K, TCT \rightarrow TTT

Ρ



SF3B1 mutations

TP53 R280K, T<u>C</u>T \rightarrow T<u>T</u>T



TP53 mutations

ERBB3 E332K, T<u>G</u>A \rightarrow T<u>A</u>A



ERBB3 mutations



MROH2B mutations



Τ

PIK3CA mutations

 $PPCS \text{ S113L}, \text{ T}\underline{C}\text{G} \rightarrow \text{T}\underline{T}\text{G}$

U





STAG2 Q593*, T<u>C</u>A \rightarrow T<u>T</u>A

V







STAG2 mutations





q24.12

q24.31



ACSS mutations

CELSR3 E356K, T<u>C</u>G → T<u>T</u>G



CELSR3 mutations

KCNF1 E158K, CGA \rightarrow CAA

Υ



KCNF1 mutations

Z PDE3A L275L, T<u>G</u>A → T<u>A</u>A





O — 3' strand (-), ∠G = -11.24kcal/mol



PDE3A mutations

AA *PIK3CA* E726K, T<u>G</u>A → T<u>A</u>A


Frequency

PLXNA2 E1480K, T<u>C</u>G \rightarrow T<u>T</u>G





RHOB mutations

AD





 $CAMK2G \text{ I132I}, \text{ C}\underline{G}\text{A} \rightarrow \text{C}\underline{A}\text{A}$



 $CELSR1 \text{ E1382K}, \text{ T}\underline{C}\text{G} \rightarrow \text{T}\underline{T}\text{G}$





ERBB4 E317K, T<u>C</u>T \rightarrow T<u>T</u>T





AJ _{FAM90A1} L251L, GGA → GCA





FURIN mutations

AL *KDM6A* S1061*, T<u>C</u>A → T<u>G</u>A



AM



AN



RB1 mutations

AO





Frequency



TP53 mutations

393aa



TTC23L mutations

Fig. S7

(Including 55 subfigures)

PIK3CA mutations





MB21D2 mutations

MAPK1 mutations



TP53 mutations





NFE2L2 mutations



RARS2 mutations

NUP93 mutations





100

C3orf70 mutations

200



ESR1 mutations

FGFR3 mutations





KLF5 mutations

PTEN mutations



RHOA mutations





ACTB mutations



APH1A mutations

CASP8 mutations



FAM83G mutations



HIST1H1B mutations



HIST2H2BE mutations




E530K (n=6) 6 ¬ > Frequer ... 0 – Myosin_head 400 800 1200 1600 1960aa

MYH9 mutations

PDE3A mutations



ZSCAN22 mutations



COL4A2 mutations





DEGS2 mutations

FOXA1 mutations





GJA8 mutations

HIST1H1C mutations



213aa

HIST1H2BF mutations





HIST1H3B mutations

RUBCN mutations





NOS3 mutations

PELI3 mutations



TGFBR2 mutations



ACTL6B mutations



ARID1A mutations



ATXN2L mutations





CDH1 mutations

CUL1 mutations



EPHA2 mutations



EXOC4 mutations



E261K, n=4 (E261K/D) 5 ¬ ۰ Frequency 0 – TNFR_c6 TNFR_c6 Death 100 200 300 335aa

FAS mutations

GMEB2 mutations



HIST1H2BC mutations



126aa

HIST1H2BH mutations



126aa

HLA-A mutations







KLC2 mutations



KMT2C mutations



MRPL53 mutations



NFE2 mutations



NOTCH1 mutations



NPC2 mutations



PCDHA8 mutations



SURF2 mutations






Α



Fig.S8





1.00 •



В

	U.			U		u	\sim
		(200 a)	100				







В

Α

TP53 Q192* RREB1 Q392* RB1 Q217* KDM6A S1061* EP300 Q1082* TP53 K132N RHOB E47K PIK3CA E726K STAG2 Q593* **PPCS** S113L PIK3CA E545Q ERBB3 E332K TP53 R280K SF3B1 E902K *TP53* Q331* TP53 E271K **AHR** Q383H **RHOB E172K** KDM6A Q555* TP53 R280T * TP53 E285K RXRA S427F PIK3CA E542K ERBB2 S310F PIK3CA E545K

FGFR3 S249C





∗ p < 0.05



В • c.-3C>T 10000 -

Α





APOBEC-associated

Others

TBC1D12 mutation positions









Fig. S13



BCa tumours





