Appendix 1 - COREQ checklist

The Consolidated Criteria for Reporting Qualitative Studies (COREQ): 32-item checklist (Table developed from Tong et al., 2007)

No. Item	Guide questions/description	Page Number	
Domain 1: Research team and reflexivity			
The research team		6	
Personal			
Characteristics			
1. Inter	Which author/s conducted the interview or focus	7	
viewer/facilitator	group?		
2. Credentials	What were the researcher's credentials? E.g.	1	
	PhD, MD		
3. Occupation	What was their occupation at the time of the	6	
	study?		
4. Gender	Was the researcher male or female?	7	
5. Experience and	What experience or training did the researcher(s)	7	
training	have?		
Relationship with participants			
6. Relationship	Was a relationship established prior to study	7	
established	commencement?	_	
7. Participant	What did the participants know about the	7	
knowledge of the	researcher? e.g. personal goals, reasons for doing		
interviewer	the research		
8. Interviewer	What characteristics were reported about the	7	
characteristics	inter viewer/facilitator? e.g. Bias, assumptions,		
	reasons and interests in the research topic		
Domain 2: Study de			
Theoretical framew		T	
9. Methodological	What methodological orientation was stated to	9	
orientation and	underpin the study? e.g. grounded theory,		
Theory	discourse analysis, ethnography,		
	phenomenology, content analysis		
Participant selectio	n		
10. Sampling	How were participants selected? e.g. purposive,	5	
	convenience, consecutive, snowball		
11. Method of	How were participants approached? e.g. face-to-	6	
approach	face, telephone, mail, email		
12. Sample size	How many participants were in the study?	6,10	
13. Non-	How many people refused to participate or	6	
participation	dropped out? Reasons?		
Setting			

/here was the data collected? e.g. home, clinic,	6		
rorkplace	0		
/as anyone else present besides the participants	8		
	10		
•	10		
ample: e.g. demographic data, date			
Vere questions prompts guides provided by the			
	9		
athors: was it phot tested:			
/ere repeat inter views carried out? If yes, how	8		
nany?			
_	7		
	7		
	8		
roup?			
/as data saturation discussed?	5,8		
	_		
·	7		
•			
Domain 3: Analysis and findings Data analysis			
ow many data coders coded the data?	9		
	0		
	9		
	0		
	9		
	9		
	9		
	9		
	9		
nungs:			
/ere participant quotations presented to	10-18		
ustrate the themes/ findings? Was each	10 10		
uotation identified? E.g. participant number	10-18		
uotation identified? E.g. participant number /as there consistency between the data	10-18		
uotation identified? E.g. participant number	10-18		
uotation identified? E.g. participant number /as there consistency between the data resented and the findings?	10-18		
uotation identified? E.g. participant number /as there consistency between the data			
uotation identified? E.g. participant number /as there consistency between the data resented and the findings? /ere major themes clearly presented in the			
r/a // ind/r/ / and of ind/rin	Independent of the important characteristics of the imple? e.g. demographic data, date gere questions, prompts, guides provided by the others? Was it pilot tested? gere repeat inter views carried out? If yes, how any? deter the data? gere field notes made during and/or after the other terview or focus group? That was the duration of the interviews or focus oup? That was the duration discussed? The transcripts returned to participants for the other and/or correction?		