

Supplementary material

Appendix 1

For each case or control, the following variables were extracted:

1) demographical variables; 2) primary disease and indication (i.e. malignant vs. benign; level of the stenosis; eventual previous failed ERCP; eventual disconnected left/right hepatic ducts); 3) technical aspects (ie, stent type, length and diameter; procedure duration); 4) biochemical variables (total bilirubin before procedure, at day 7 and at nadir (with time-to-nadir); absolute and relative reduction); 5) adverse events; 6) outcomes (i.a. post-procedure hospital stay; time-to-chemotherapy initiation/resumption, dysfunction, eventual time-to-dysfunction and rescue procedures; post-procedural reinterventions; deaths and causes of death).

For EUS-IBD additional variables were evaluated: ascites or liver metastasis at the moment of the procedure; calibre and type of needle used to puncture the biliary tree; pre- and post-procedural C-reactive protein (CRP).

Appendix 2

See **Fig. 2**. We categorized the procedures following EUS-guided intrahepatic access as: 1) EUS-guided rendez-vous (e-RV) when EUS-IBD was used to allow antegrade trans-papillary placement of a guidewire, which was subsequently used for final retrograde cannulation; 2) EUS-guided antegrade stenting (e-AS) when a guidewire was advanced through the stenosis and subsequently a conventional self-expandable metal stent (SEMS) was advanced trans-gastric and trans-hepatic over the guidewire to cross the stenosis; 3) EUS-guided hepatico-gastrostomies (e-HG) when the drainage was guaranteed through the placement of a SEMS between the left intrahepatic duct and the stomach (see **Fig. 3**). For e-HGs either specifically-designed stents or conventional stents were used as addressed in the Definition section of the manuscript.

In the PTBD group we categorized the procedures as: 1) percutaneous antegrade stenting (p-AS) when a metal stent was advanced trans-hepatic and finally placed bypassing a stenosis; 2) percutaneous external/internal drainage (p-EID) for a trans-cutaneous, trans-hepatic, trans-papillary catheter; 3) percutaneous external drainage (p-ED) when the stenosis could not be passed and drainage was obtained through a trans-hepatic externally-placed catheter connected to a drainage bag.

Appendix 3

Clinical success was defined as: 1) the successful management of choledocholithiasis (clinical diagnosis); 2) a lowering bilirubin $\geq 25\%$ in case of

Supplementary material

stenosis with elevated bilirubin (laboratory diagnosis); 3) the achievement of a desired clinical result (e.g. successful internalization of a PTBD drainage, removal of an inward migrated stent, a clinical resolution of symptoms / clinically significant reduction in inflammatory markers or cholestatic set) in case of no bilirubin elevation or unavailable serial measurement (clinical diagnosis).

Supplementary material

Table S1 Laboratory evaluation among technically successful procedures.

Variable	Total N = 87
Cholestasis*	
Available biochemical serial measurement, n	66
Pre-procedural elevation of bilirubin, n (%)	63 (95.5%)
Median pre-procedural bilirubin [IQR], mg/dL	7 mg/dL [3.5-13.8]
Any post-procedural reduction of bilirubin, n (%)	61/63 (96.8%)
Reduction > 25% of bilirubin, n (%)	57/63 (90.5%)
Reduction > 50% of bilirubin, n (%)	44/63 (69.8%)
Median bilirubin reduction (C.I.), mg/dL [†]	-4.8 mg/dL (95%CI -6.3 to -3.4) [†]
Median relative reduction [IQR]	64% [42.2-78]
Median time to nadir bilirubin [IQR], days	7 [5-13]
Inflammation	
Available biochemical data, n	66
Pre-procedural elevation of CRP, n (%)	62 (93.9%)
Acute post-procedural increase of CRP, n (%)	48/63 (76.2%)
According to adverse events status	
Patients without any clinical correlate, n (%)	22/34 (64.7%) [§] Median increase at day 1 = +33.8 mg/dL ($P = 0.0001$) [‡] Median increase at day 7 = N.S. ⁴
Patients with mild post-procedural pain, n (%)	12/14 (85.7%) [§] Median increase at day 1 = +37.5 mg/dL ($P = 0.0093$) [‡] Median increase at day 7 = N.S. ⁴
Patients with adverse events, n (%)	14/15 (93.3%) [‡] Median increase at day 1 = +31.2 mg/dL ($P = 0.004$) [‡] Median increase at day 7 = +55.6 mg/dL ($P = 0.0085$) [‡]
Radiological evaluations	
Available clinical follow-up, n	77
Post-procedural radiology, n (%)	16 (20.8%)
Asymptomatic patients,	7/16 (43.8%)

Supplementary material

n (%)
Findings, n (%)
Negative 5/16 (31.3%)
Free subdiaphragmatic air 2/16 (12.5%)
Pleuro-pulmonary involvement 9/16 (56.3%)
New or increase pleural effusion 6
Basal hypoventilation 5
Free fluid in Douglas 1/16
Hemoperitoneum 1/16

IQR, interquartile range; CRP, C-reactive protein; NS, not significant

*Among patients with biliary stenosis (excluding choleodocolithiasis)

†Hodges-Lehmann median bilirubin reduction comparing pre-procedural and nadir bilirubin (Wilcoxon test, $P < 0.0001$).

§ χ^2 -squared test for proportions of elevation $P = 0.0607$, χ^2 -squared test for trend $P = 0.0208$.

‡Hodges-Lehmann median difference between pre-procedural CRP and CRP at day 1 or 7 at Wilcoxon test.

Supplementary material

Table S2 Technical aspects among hepatico-gastrostomies.

Hepatico-gastrostomies		N=43
Technical success, n (%)		38 (88.4%)
Procedural length [IQR], minutes		34 [24-52]
Type of stent, n (%)		
FC-SEMS		13 (34.2%)
UC-SEMS		1 (2.2%)
PC-SEMS		1 (2.2%)
Overlapping UC (intrahepatic) + FC (transgastric)-SEMS		7 (15.6%)
HC-SEMS (Giobor)		16 (37.7%)
Diameter 8 mm		4
Diameter 10 mm		12
Variable	Purpose-specific stents (N=16)	Previous approaches (N=22)
Clinical success, n (%)	15/16 (93.7%)	21/22 (95.5%)
Procedural length [IQR], minutes	25 [19-31.8]	48 [32-64]
Bilirubin reduction ≥ 25%, n (%) [†]	12/13 (92.3%)	15/18 (83.3%)
Bilirubin reduction ≥ 50%, n (%) [†]	8/13 (61.5%)	8/18 (44.4%)
Adverse events, n (%)	4/17 (23.5%)	7/22 (31.8%)
Stent dysfunction, n (%)	1/15 (6.7%)	6/20 (30%)

* Statistically significant

† Among patients with pre-procedural bilirubin elevation

Supplementary material

Table S3 Failures/adverse events/dysfunctions among hepatico-gastrostomies.

Age	Year	Primary Disease	Level of stenosis	AScit es	Metasta sis	Reasons for a transgastric approach	Isolat ed bile ducts	Stent model	Stent diamet er	PP leng th	Dea th	Hospi tal stay	Survival	Comment	
Technical failures															
74	2018	Pancreatic cancer	Distal	0	0	Papillary inaccessible for tumor/stenosis/inflammation	N			4	0	439		Opacification and biliary cannulation, but scope issue	
78	2018	Cholangiocarcinoma	Hilar	0	0	Failed ERCP cannulation	Y			9	0	399		Impeding stent placement Opacification and biliary cannulation, but not enough space for stent placement	
87	2019	Pancreatic cancer	Distal	0	0	Failed ERCP cannulation	N			4	1	82		GW dislocation	
71	2019	Cholangiocarcinoma	Hilar	NA	NA	Failed ERCP cannulation	Y	HC	NA	NA	12	0	146		Extragastric stent opening + bile leak > surgery
67	2019	Benign stricture	Anastomotic	0	0	Surgery impeding access to papillary region	Y			9	0	36		Inability to puncture BD	

Supplementary material

Clinical failures	82	201	Pancreatic cancer	Distal	0	0	Papillary region inaccessible for tumor/stenosis/inflammation	1	FC Wallflex / Boston Scientific c	10	80	1	2	Non-lowering bilirubin + fatal adverse event, see £
	8													
	74	201	Cholangiocarcinoma	Anastomotic	1	1	Surgery impeding access to papillary region	1	HC Giobor / Taewoo ng	8	80	16	1	Non-lowering bilirubin
Adverse events [excluding mild abdominal pain]														
	66	201	Cholangiocarcinoma	Hilar	1	1	Papilla accessible but ERCP failed	1	FC Nit-S / Taewoo ng	10	100	1	11	Cholangitis, PP day 3
	4													
	89	201	Ampulloma	Distal	0	1	Papillary region inaccessible for tumor/stenosis/inflammation	0	HC Giobor / Taewoo ng	10	80	1	21	Severe abdominal pain, SDPP, requiring additional hospitalization
	6													
	45	201	Pancreatic cancer	Distal	0	1	Papillary region inaccessible for tumor/stenosis/inflammation	0	FC Wallflex / Boston Scientific c	10	80	17	1	379 Cholangitis, PP day 4
	7													
	59	201	Cholangiocarcinoma	Hilar	0	0	Papilla accessible but ERCP failed	0	UC + FC Wallflex / Boston Scientific c	10	60	10	1	273 Bacteremia, SDPP
	7													

Supplementary material

76	201 8	Cholangiocarcinoma	Anastomotic	0	Surgery impeding access to papillary region	1	UC + FC Wallflex /	10	60	1	138	Cholangitis, PP day 1
82	201 8	Pancreatic cancer	Distal	0	Papillary region inaccessible for tumor/stenosis/inflammation	0	FC Wallflex /	10	80	1	2	Severe bleeding PP day 1, CT suspecting origin near the HG tract.
57	201 8	Pancreatic cancer	Distal	NA	Papillary region inaccessible for tumor/stenosis/inflammation	0	FC Wallflex /	10	80	4	1	136

Boston Scientific

Duodenal perforation during a previous procedure, which is likely to have played a major role in the outcome. Bile leak peritonitis managed conservatively

Supplementary material

78	201 8	Cholangiocarci- noma	Hilar	0	0	Surgery impeding access to papillary region	1	PC Wallflex / Boston Scientifi- c	10	80	13	0	337 Cholangiti- s, SDPP
52	201 9	Benign stricture	Anastom- otic	0	0	Surgery impeding access to papillary region	0	HC Giobor / Taewoo ng	10	100	11	0	99 Cholangiti- s, PP day 0
78	201 9	Pancreatic cancer	Distal	0	0	Papilla accessible but ERCP failed	0	HC Giobor / Taewoo ng	8	100	9	0	21 Cholangiti- s, PP day 1
50	201 6	Stent dysfunction	Distal	1	1	Surgery impeding access to papillary region	0	FC Wallflex / Boston Scientifi- c	10	80	16	1	49 Stent obstruction PP day 42, no additional procedure
57	201 7	Cholangiocarci- noma	Hilar	0	1	Surgery impeding access to papillary region	0	FC Wallflex / Boston Scientifi- c	10	80	7	0	866 Stent obstruction PP day 424 solved by substitutio- n of the SEMS using the same fistulous tract

Supplementary material

59	2017	Cholangiocarcinoma	Hilar	0	0	Papilla accessible but ERCP failed	0	UC + FC Wallflex / Boston Scientific c	10	60	10	1	273	Stent obstruction PP day 140 solved by SEMS in SEMS
64	2018	Pancreatic cancer	Anastomotic	1	0	Surgery impeding access to papillary region	0	UC + FC Wallflex / Boston Scientific c	10	60	8	1	167	Stent obstruction PP day 96 solved by new HG
61	2018	Ab estrinseco malignant compression	Hilar	1	0	Papilla accessible but ERCP failed	0	UC + FC Wallflex / Boston Scientific c	10	60	8	1	251	Stent obstruction PP day 77 solved by new HG
78	2018	Cholangiocarcinoma	Hilar	0	0	Surgery impeding access to papillary region	1	PC Wallflex / Boston Scientific c	10	80	13	0	337	Cholangitis, PP day 0 + Stent obstruction PP day 196 solved by intra-SEMS plastic placement

Supplementary material

75	201	Benign stricture	Anastomotic	1	0	Surgery impeding access to papillary region	0	HC	8	80	7	0	57
	9					Giobor / Taewoo ng							

Stent obstruction PP day 43 solved by transgastric SEMS cleaning through Dormia

SEMS, self-expanding metal stent; GW, guidewire; PP, post-procedure; HG, hepatico-gastrostomy; SDPP, same day post-procedure