1 2 3	TOXICANT EXPOSURE AND HARM PERCEPTIONS IN CIGARETTE SMOKERS WHO USE OR DO NOT USE E-CIGARETTES				
4 5		STUDY PROTOCOLS & PROCEDURES			
6 7	I.	Introduction			
8	II.	Study Sites			
9	III.	Study Personnel			
10	IV.	Participant Recruitment			
11	V.	Participant Screening and Enrollment			
12	VI.	Study Design and Timeline			
13	VII.	Participant Retention			
14	VIII.	Laboratory Procedures and Sampling			
15 16	IX.	Laboratory Analysis			
17	Х.	Study Visits Overview			
18	XI.	Study Questionnaires			
19	XII.	Data Management			
20 21 22	XIII.	Recording and Reporting of Adverse Effects			

## 23 I. Introduction

24

This study, **Toxicant Exposure and Harm Perceptions in Cigarette Smokers Who Use or Do Not Use E- Cigarettes** is funded by the National Institutes of Health and registered on ClinicalTrials.gov.

28

## 29 Document Purpose

30

This document is intended to detail all relevant study protocols and procedures. Given

rapid advancement in the understanding of the effects of smokers switching to

electronic cigarettes, particularly on toxicant exposure (our primary outcome), we

considered how to maximize the scientific contribution of the project. The body of work

- 35 on the use of electronic cigarettes for risk reduction has been conducted with the
- general population and is now moving into vulnerable populations who carry a
   substantial disease burden from smoking. The investigative team is uniquely positioned
- to create progress in the field by examining the research questions with ethnically
- diverse populations who face significant barriers to smoking cessation. A commitment
- 40 was made at the San Diego site to enroll Latinx smokers and to offer the study in
- 41 Spanish in order to address the research questions with an under-studied population.

42 NIH prior approval and Institutional Review Board (IRB) approval was granted to add

the University of Kansas Medical Center as a study site to enroll African American

smokers. This change enhances the ethnic diversity of enrolled participants as well as

45 expands the science on risk reduction. The second study site (University of Kansas

46 Medical Center) agreed to rely on the IRB of the primary institution (CSUSM).

Additionally, because this project was funded on the first round, reviewer comments
were addressed in the implementation of the study protocol post-proposal. These
changes impacted inclusion/exclusion criteria and the length of the intervention period.
There were no changes to aims, hypotheses, or sample size.

51

52 The following Project Summary reflects our implemented protocol and contains an 53 updated premise reflecting the ethnic minority population focus.

- 54
- 55

## 56 Project Summary

57 This proposal addresses a critical gap in the research regarding the use of electronic 58 cigarettes (ECs) for harm reduction by ethnic minority smokers. Smokers cite reducing perceived harm from smoking as a leading reason for using ECs, and ECs have been 59 60 suggested by tobacco researchers as a potential harm reduction vehicle for smokers who cannot or will not achieve smoking cessation. The National Academies of Science 61 62 concluded that ECs pose significantly less exposure to toxicants and less short-term health risks than combustible cigarettes. However, smokers with less education and 63 from ethnic minority backgrounds are less likely to switch to exclusive EC use. 64 Socioeconomic disparities in switching to ECs will perpetuate a greater burden of 65 tobacco-related death and disease among disadvantaged populations. As a human 66 behavioral EC study in which toxicant exposure via the tobacco-specific nitrosamine, 67 68 NNAL, a highly potent pulmonary carcinogen will be measured, this project addresses a

critical barrier in the field and advances science on the widely used practice of EC use 69 70 for harm reduction among the two largest ethnic minority groups in the US, Latinx and African Americans. Furthermore, this will be among the first studies to determine how 71 72 uptake of ECs affects cognitive-perceptual factors involved in sustained tobacco use, such as risk perceptions, utility of smoking, self-efficacy to quit, and tobacco 73 dependence among under-represented minority smokers. This study is directly relevant 74 to the NIH's mission to address cancer risk factors and supports the long-term objective 75 to reduce the disease burden of tobacco use. In the current proposal, Latinx (n=90) and 76 African American (n=90) cigarette smokers will be randomized in a 2:1 fashion to an EC 77 group (n=60 per racial/ethnic group; N=120) or an assessment-only control group (n=3078 per racial/ethnic group; N=60). Those randomized to EC will receive a 6-week supply of 79 a fourth generation EC starter kit with their choice of liquid flavor from a standard list. 80 Those randomized to assessment only (n=60) will not be provided with an EC. Tobacco 81 consumption in both groups will be assessed at weeks 0 (baseline), 2, and 6. Changes 82 in toxic exposure (NNAL, a primary lung carcinogen and the primary outcome) and 83 carbon monoxide (CO) will be measured from baseline to week 6. Planned covariates 84 include study site, gender, income, tobacco dependence score, and mental health 85 symptoms. 86

## 87

## Aim 1. To characterize the toxic exposure of cigarette smokers randomized to the EC group compared to cigarette smokers randomized to assessment-only controls

- Hypothesis 1: It is hypothesized that toxicant exposure from baseline to week 6
   will be significantly lower in the EC group compared to the assessment-only
   group. Hypothesis 2: It is hypothesized that change in cigarette consumption in
   the EC group from baseline to week 6 will be associated with reduction in
   toxicant exposure from baseline to week 6.
- 96

# Aim 2. To assess the effects of uptake of e-cigarettes on perceptions of harm and utility of products

- It is hypothesized that from baseline to week 6, the EC as compared to control arm a) will have decreased perceptions of harm to their health from current tobacco use, b) will increase positive utility of EC and increase negative utility of cigarette products, and c) will have decreased expectations of the difficulty of quitting cigarette smoking.
- 104

# Aim 3. To understand patterns of tobacco product consumption among smokers switching to electronic cigarettes

- 107 Changes in tobacco product consumption (cotinine-verified), subjective effects of 108 smoking, and levels of tobacco dependence from baseline to week 6 will be 109 examined in the EC group and baseline predictors of those patterns, including 110 demographic, smoking history, and psychosocial characteristics will be identified.
- 111

This project will advance science on the widely used practice of EC use for harm reduction by examining change in toxicant exposure via NNAL and change in cognitiveperceptual variables among African American and Latinx smokers switching to EC compared to smokers who continue to use cigarettes alone. Study findings will have major public health implications, particularly for smokers who have experienced difficulty

in quitting cigarettes and for whom EC use as a harm reduction strategy has been
 considered. The study will enhance the research environment at the PI's university
 which is classified as both a Hispanic Serving Institution and an Asian American-Native
 American-Pacific Islander Serving Institution.

121

122

123

125	Important Terms and Abbreviations				
126	NNA	L 4-(methylnitrosamino)-1-(3-pyridyl)-1-butanol			
127	RED	Cap Research Electronic Data Capture			
128	CO	Carbon Monoxide			
129	TLFE	3 Timeline Followback			
130					
131	II.	Study Sites			
132					
133		1. San Diego			
134		a. California State University San Marcos – 333 S Twin Oaks Valley Rd,			
135		San Marcos, CA 92096			
136		b. San Diego State Research Foundation – 4283 El Cajon Blvd Suite			
137		226, San Diego, CA 92105			
138		c. Neighborhood Healthcare, Behavioral Health – 425 N. Date Street			
139		Escondido, CA 92025			
140		2. Kansas City – Swope Medical Center – 3801 Blue Pkwy, Kansas City, MO			
141		64130			
142					
143	III.	Study Personnel			
144					

Name	Organization	Role				
Kim Pulvers, PhD, MPH	California State University San Marcos	Principal Investigator				
Jasjit Ahluwalia, MD, MPH, MS	Brown University	Co-Investigator				
Nicole Nollen, PhD	University of Kansas School of Medicine	Co-Investigator				
Tricia Snow, MA	University of Kansas Medical Center	Project Manager				
Brian Hernandez, BA	University of Kansas Medical Center	Lead Researcher				
Michael Arnold, BA	University of Kansas Medical Center	Research Assistant				
Myra Rice, BA	California State University San Marcos	Project Manager				
Amanda Dean, BA	California State University San Marcos	Research Assistant				
Dalia Hipolito, BA	California State University San Marcos	Research Assistant				
Jennifer Mosley, BA	California State University San Marcos	Research Assistant				
Mirella Orozco, BA	California State University San Marcos	Research Assistant				
Ana Leon, BA	California State University San Marcos	Research Assistant				
Justin Sanchez	California State University San Marcos	Research Assistant				
Crystal Marez, BA	California State University San Marcos	Research Assistant				
Juan Alva	California State University San Marcos	Research Assistant				
John Le	California State University San Marcos	Research Assistant				
Laura Wells, BA	California State University San Marcos	Research Assistant				
Jeremy Mills-Shimmel	California State University San Marcos	Research Assistant				
Shyla Everett	California State University San Marcos	Research Assistant				
Daniell Derry	California State University San Marcos	Research Assistant				
Flavia Ponce, BS	University of California San Diego	Research Assistant				
Nathan Au-Yeng, BS	University of California San Diego	Research Assistant				
Madison Garrett	University of California San Diego	Research Assistant				
Alexis Osuna	San Diego State University	Research Assistant				
415 Table 4 Study personnal for Droject Switch						

145 *Table 1.* Study personnel for Project Switch.

## 146 IV. Participant Recruitment

Recruitment will utilize online sources including Craigslist and Facebook, as well as radio advertisements, newspaper advertisements, flyers, information cards, clinic referrals, participant referrals, and community outreach. Enrolled participants will be encouraged to refer other smokers to the study and provided material such as information cards, pens, and t-shirts to facilitate referrals.

152

**Sample Size**. We estimated our sample requirements using power analyses for primary 153 aims to characterize the reduction in toxic exposure of cigarette smokers randomized to 154 the e-cigarette group compared to cigarette smokers randomized to assessment-only 155 controls (Aim 1:H1) from baseline to week 6 will be significantly lower in the EC group 156 compared to the assessment-only group. Empirical power estimates were assessed by 157 generating multivariate random samples that were matched to the expected response 158 patterns for smokers in control and switching arms with each condition using the same 159 correlation structure of assessments over time as observed in a previous study. In the 160 switching condition we expect larger effects (d=-0.67) on primary outcomes (NNAL) for 161 the ~40% of smokers able to switch more completely relative to smokers partially 162 switching (*d*=-0.16). With no change expected in control, we powered primary outcomes 163 for end-of-treatment analyses using an average of effects given 30% of switching 164 165 smokers with large and 70% with small effects. With a median effect of -0.37 (SD=0.11) across 1000 data sets, simulations revealed that the planned design would provide 166 greater than 0.82 power for detecting the treatment differences with a sample of 180 167 subjects, with an allowance for up to 20% attrition. For Aim1: H2 we will have power to 168 detect moderate effects of changes in cigarette consumption on change in toxicants. In 169 published effects of differences in consumption levels on nitrosamines, 77 differences in 170 consumption (20 vs 10 cig/day) reflected mean difference in log NNAL of 2.60-171 2.35=0.24 and effect sizes with d=0.48 after 10 weeks 78. We estimate adequate power 172 >0.80 given expected cigarette reductions. Changes in cognitive measures (Aim 2) 173 including decreased perception of harm, average differences in utility of combustible 174 and EC, and increased self-efficacy for guitting are expected to be moderately 175 associated with EC use. Empirical power analyses using regression models of change 176 in cognitive measures over 1000 simulated data sets support the ability to detect 177 moderate effects (d>0.30) using a standardized difference in means of cognitive 178 measures with power >0.80. 179

180 181

## V. Participant Screening and Enrollment

Prospective participants will be determined eligible or ineligible within 48 hours of initial contact. If eligible, the baseline visit will be scheduled, and a postcard reminder sent out. Screening will occur over the phone, in person, or with an online screening survey developed in REDCap.

186

Final eligibility is not decided until baseline visit, contingent on blood pressure under 160 (systolic) and 105 (diastolic), carbon monoxide over 5 PPM to validate smoking status, and researcher-assessed stability. Participants who do not meet criteria will not be included in the study and given a smoking cessation referral. San Diego ineligible participants will be referred to the California Smokers' Helpline and Kansas City ineligible participants will be referred to the Kansas Tobacco Quitline. Transportation will be provided to and from study visits when needed in San Diego.

194		
195		
196	Α.	Inclusion Criteria
197		<ul> <li>≥ 21 years of age</li> </ul>
198		<ul> <li>Smoked cigarettes on ≥ 25 of past 30 days</li> </ul>
199		<ul> <li>Smoked ≥ 5 cigarettes per day on days that smoked</li> </ul>
200		<ul> <li>Smoked cigarettes for ≥ 6 months</li> </ul>
201		<ul> <li>Carbon monoxide &gt; 5 PPM at baseline</li> </ul>
202		<ul> <li>Blood pressure systolic or diastolic &lt; 160/105</li> </ul>
203		Hispanic/Latinx at San Diego or Black/African American at Kansas City
204		Fluent in English or Spanish
205		<ul> <li>Willing to switch from smoking cigarettes to e-cigarettes for 6 weeks</li> </ul>
206		Regular access to a telephone
207		Transportation to attend Swope Health Central in next six weeks (Kansas
208		City)
209		
210	В.	Exclusion Criteria
211		Primary use of other tobacco products or equal use of cigarettes and other
212		tobacco products
213		<ul> <li>E-cigarette use on ≥ 4 of the past 30 days</li> </ul>
214		Currently in a smoking cessation program or other clinical trial
215		Use of nicotine replacement therapy or medicine which aids smoking
216		cessation in the past 30 days
217		Hospitalizations for a psychiatric issue in the past 30 days
218		Heart-related event in the past 30 days. Examples include heart attack,
219		stroke, severe angina (i.e. chest pain), ischemic heart disease, and vascular
220		disease
221		Planning to move out of San Diego or Kansas City in the next 6 weeks
222		Another person in the household enrolled in the study
223		• Women: pregnant, breastfeeding, or planning to become pregnant in the next
224		six months
225		Screener judgment about unstable mental status or health status
226		, .
227	VI.	Study Design and Timeline
228		
229	This s	study is a randomized controlled trial using a 2:1 study randomization ratio. The
230	treatm	nent group will receive an e-cigarette and nicotine pods for six weeks and are
231	encou	raged to make a complete switch from combustible cigarettes to e-cigarettes.
232	The s	tudy will consist of three in-person visits (baseline, week 2, and week 6) in which
233	measi	urements are conducted and behavioral support is provided to the e-cigarette

measurements are conducted and behavioral support is provided to the e-cigarette group. Phone calls will be scheduled between the visits (week 1 and week 4) to confirm appointments, collect data on tobacco use, and to support switching to e-cigarettes (ecigarette group only).

- 237 238
- 239
- 240



241

*Figure 1.* Study Timeline.

243

Informed Consent. The informed consent will be reviewed by having the researcher read the consent form out loud. The participant will be given time to read the informed consent form and ask questions. It will be made clear in the consent form that the participant has the option to take more time to consider their desire to participate in the study and reschedule at a later date, or to decline to participate at any point. The study begins once the participant signs the consent form, indicating understanding of the procedures, requirements, and consent.

251

**Incentives.** Participants will be compensated for their time during the lab visits at escalating increments of \$20 at baseline, \$40 at week 2, and \$60 at week 6, totaling up to \$120. Participants in the e-cigarette group are instructed that full compensation is contingent upon bringing back their used and unused pods to their next visit. At week 2 and week 6, \$20 of compensation is contingent upon bringing back pods. Those in the e-cigarette group have the opportunity to participate in a follow-up phone assessment six months after enrollment, entailing an additional \$20 compensation.

259

260 Study E-cigarettes. Participants in the e-cigarette group will be provided JUUL, a nicotine-salt based e-cigarette (see Figure 2). Participants will have the flavor selection 261 of Virginia tobacco, cool mint, menthol, or mango pods containing .07 mL nicotine (5% 262 nicotine). Pod use will be tracked using the Pod Count Form, requiring participants to 263 bring to lab visits all used, unused, and partially used pods. Pods will be distributed 264 based on cigarette use, and determined using the Pod Count Calculation Form. 265 Education about switching will be verbally provided to the e-cigarette group. Participants 266 will engage in motivational enhancement-based action planning and will receive JUUL 267 usage instructions. Participants in the e-cigarette group will be provided a compatible 268 wall adapter to ensure proper charging, a device carrying case, Q-tips to clean the 269 device as needed, and a gallon Ziplock bag to store used pods. 270



273

- *Figure 2.* JUUL electronic cigarette. Reprinted from *JUUL Labs, Inc,* Retrieved
- 275 December 9, 2018, from www.juul.com. Copyright 2018.

## 276 VII. Participant Retention

Participant retention will be maintained by using a variety of contact methods including call, text, email, physical letter in the mail, and reaching out to emergency contacts. No

more than six contact attempts, of various forms, will be made. Contacting emergency

contacts and sending a letter will be the last efforts made to contact an unreachable

participant. Additionally, participants will be sent postcards reminding them of the day

and time of their next lab visit, and appointment reminder cards will be given out at the

- baseline and week 2 visit reminding about upcoming phone calls.
- 284

Lab visits will have pre-determined time windows. The week 2 visit can be scheduled up to one week before or after the originally scheduled date of two weeks after the baseline visit. The week 6 visit can be scheduled up to four weeks before or after the originally

scheduled date of six weeks after the baseline visit. Ripple protocol details the

- 289 scheduling protocol.
- 290

## 291 VIII. Laboratory Procedures and Sampling

Data from biological measurements and samples will be recorded on paper
 and/or in REDCap.

295

The table below shows the equipment used for the biological measures, and timepoints taken.

Measure	Equipment	Baseline	Week 2	Week 6
Carbon Monoxide	coVita Bedfont Micro+ Smokerlyzer®	x	Х	х
Systolic Blood Pressure, Diastolic Blood Pressure, and Pulse	Omron® BP742N 5 Series Upper Arm Blood Pressure Monitor	X	x	x
Height	Health o meter® 500KL	Х		

	Professional Digital Scale			
Weight	Health o meter® 500KL Professional Digital Scale	x		x
Spirometry: FVC, FEV1, FEV1/FVC%, PEF, and FEF25- 75%	Futuremed <sup>®</sup> Discovery-2 <sup>™</sup> Desktop Spirometer	x	x	
Saliva (10 San Diego participants)	Saliva collection aid (straw): SB-WS No.61/524,096 Collection tube: Wheaton 1.8 mL yellow cap collection tube	х		x
Nasal Swab (20 Kansas City Participants)	Leukosorb tubes, Q-tips, saline	x		x
Urine	Dynarex Specimen Container 4oz. 118cc reorder No. 4253 Aliquots: Wheaton 20 ml disposable scintillation vials	х	x	x

299

300 *Table 2.* Biological measures, timepoints, and equipment for Project Switch.

301

**CO measurement.** Exhaled breath samples will be taken at all visits for carbon monoxide (CO), a by-product of smoke, using a Bedfont Micro+ Smokerlyzer. At baseline, CO measurement will be taken to confirm eligibility. A carbon monoxide level over 5ppm verifies smoking status. A carbon monoxide level 5ppm or lower at baseline makes a person ineligible to participate in the study. Participants will be allowed the opportunity to re-screen two weeks later.

308

309 Blood pressure. Systolic and diastolic blood pressure will be measured for screening purposes and throughout the study using a digital blood pressure cuff. If, at the baseline 310 or week 2 visit, a participant's systolic blood pressure is greater than or equal to 160 311 mm Hg or their diastolic blood pressure is greater than or equal to 105 mm Hg, they will 312 not be eligible to participate or continue participating in the study. Pulse will also be 313 measured but not as an exclusion criteria. Participants who are ineligible at baseline 314 due to uncontrolled blood pressure will be allowed the opportunity to re-screen two 315 316 weeks later.

317

Height and weight. A medical scale will be used for a one-time measure of height.
Weight will be measured at baseline and week 6 visit, with shoes removed.

Spirometry. Lung function will be measured at all lab visits using a Discovery-2
 SpiroVision spirometer. At each session, participants will complete a minimum of three

- maneuvers (depending on the quality) consisting of a strong exhale and a strong inhale. Participants will be given a mouthpiece at baseline that will be stored and used at subsequent visits. Participants will be given the option to manually hold their nose closed or to apply a nose clip.
- 327
- 328 Spirometer measures include: (1) forced vital capacity, FVC (2) Forced expiratory
- volume in one second, FEV1 (3) The percentage of the FVC expired in one second,
- 330 FEV1/FVC% (4) peak expiratory flow, PEF (5) Forced expiratory flow over the middle
- one half of the FVC, FEF25-75%.
- 332

**Saliva sampling.** Saliva sampling is an exploratory addition to this study and will be taken from ten San Diego participants at baseline and week 6. The decision to take saliva sampling in San Diego resulted in an increase from the original n=90 to an n=94. Samples will be frozen and transported the testing facility on dry ice.

- 337
- Nasal swab sampling. Nasal swab sampling is an exploratory addition to this study and will be taken from twenty Kansas City participants at baseline and week 6. The decision to take nasal swab sampling in Kansas City resulted in an increase of two participants for a final n=92. Samples will be frozen and transported the testing facility on dry ice.
- 343

Urine sampling. Urine will be collected at all lab visits and refrigerated until aliquoting.
 Participants will be instructed to provide at least half of the specimen cup of urine (about
 60mL). Participants will be offered water at the beginning of lab sessions to help
 facilitate sampling.

348

Urine will be processed by aliquoting the sample into two smaller samples, each 15 mL. One sample will test for cotinine and need to be pre-treated with sodium bisulfate to obtain a pH between 2 and 3. The second sample will test for NNAL and will receive no additional treatment. Both samples will be frozen and stored at -20 °C before shipping with dry ice for testing.

354

A urine log will provide the following information for each urine sample: (1) participant ID (2) study time point (3) date: mm/dd/yyyy (4) testing for: NNAL or cotinine. Each lab visit will produce two samples stored for further testing.

358

Although urine will be taken at all three lab visits, only baseline and week 6 urine will be sent for testing. In the case of missing week 6 samples, week 2 samples will be sent instead as a method of imputation.

362

**Sample shipment.** Specimens will be transported in a leak-proof Styrofoam container in leak-proof secondary packaging, with absorbent material placed at the bottom and sides of the container for absorption. 10-15lbs dry ice will be used to maintain storage temperature during transport.

- 367
- 368
- 369

IX. Laboratory Analysis

- **Urine:** cotinine ng/mL, creatinine mg/mL, nitrosamine 4-(methylnitrosamino)-1-3 (3-pyridyl)-1-butanol (NNAL) pg/mL, and 3-hydroxycotinine ng/mL
- 75 Saliva: Cytokine Panel and C-Reactive Protein
- 377 Nasal Swab: MMP9 ELISA in pg/mL and TGF-B1 ELISA in pg/mL

## 380 X. Study Visits Overview

Baseline	Week 1	Week 2	Week 4	Week 6
Participant consent	Follow-up check-in	Urine test	Follow-up check-in	Saliva sample (San Diego)
Saliva sample (San Diego)	Yesterday tobacco use	Blood pressure test (must be less than 160/105)	Yesterday tobacco use	Urine test
Complete contact sheet		Carbon monoxide test		Blood pressure test
Blood pressure test (must be less than 160/105)		Eligibility check		Carbon monoxide test
Carbon monoxide test (must be greater than 5 ppm)		Follow-up check in sheet (EC group)		Nasal swab (Kansas City)
Nasal swab (Kansas City)		Timeline follow back		Weight
Final eligibility check and Study ID assignment		Spirometry test		Follow-up check in sheet (EC group)
Randomization		Week 2 survey		Timeline follow back
Charge JUUL (EC group)		Collect used JUUL pods (EC group)		Spirometry test
Timeline follow back		Provide more JUUL pods (EC group)		Week 6 survey
Pod count form (EC group)		Schedule visits/phone calls		Collect used JUUL pods (EC group)
Height and weight		Compensation		Tobacco cessation referral
Baseline survey part 1				Exit survey
Spirometry test				Compensation
Baseline survey part 2				
Cigarette questions and breathing conditions				
E-cigarette switching fact sheet (EC group)				
E-cigarette use instructions (EC group)				
E-cigarette trial and				

subjective effects		
questionnaire (EC group)		
Baseline action planning		
(EC group)		
Schedule visits/phone calls		
Reimbursement schedule		
Urine test		
Compensation		

383 *Table 3.* Surveys and tests by study timepoint.

384

At each lab visit, participants will receive compensation and sign a receipt. At the end of the study, participants will be given a referral to the California Smokers' Helpline (1-800-NO-BUTTS) or Kansas Tobacco Quitline (1-800-QUIT-NOW).

388 389

## 390 XI. Study Questionnaires

Surveys will contain items on demographics, smoking measures, tobacco measures, physiological measures, and psychosocial assessments. Given the possibility of low literacy among participants, surveys will be verbally administered along with visual cue cards.

395

## 396 XII. Data Management

Missing data will be minimized through extensive training of research staff in addition to 397 follow-up with participants. Data will be entered into REDcap electronically and paper 398 forms (biological measures and pod count forms) will be stored in participant files. 399 Paper forms with identifiable information such as consent and contact information will 400 be stored in a separate, locked location. TLFB data will be entered into excel, with 401 paper calendars and data entry forms stored in participant files. REDcap entry will 402 happen after the lab session and will be audited and checked by project managers 403 daily. REDCap is a secure, HIPAA-compliant, web-based application designed to 404 support data capture for research studies. All protocol-specified data will be linked by 405 unique subject ID, assigned at randomization. Paper files will be stored on site in a 406 locked filling cabinet and any forms with identifying information (consent form and 407 contact sheet) will be kept in a separate location. Data is only accessible to personnel 408 involved with this research. Access is limited by the research facility being locked at all 409 410 times.

411

412

## 413 XIII. Recording and Reporting of Adverse Effects

Development of any adverse effects will be monitored closely by a project manager 414 415 such that fields capturing adverse events will be reviewed daily. At each study visit, 416 participants will be asked about the development of any other, new, or worsening symptoms. At each visit and each phone call after the initial visit, participants in the e-417 cigarette condition will be asked if they were experiencing any barriers to switching to e-418 419 cigarettes or any concerns about switching. This open-ended format is intended to capture any unexpected adverse events. Unexpected serious adverse events will be 420 reported to the CSUSM IRB and assessed by the PI to determine if related to e-421

- 422 cigarette use, and if so, reported to the NIH and FDA. Participants will be encouraged to
- 423 contact staff if they have any questions, problems using their e-cigarette, or if any
- 424 adverse events develop.