

Fig. S1. DAP1 genetic association with SLE

Fig. S1. Manhattan plot showing association of DAP1 gene polymorphisms with SLE. Panel a show a Manhattan plot on SLE association. X axis show chromosome number and y-axis show –log10p value of SLE association. Panel b and c shows association status before and after conditioning on peak SNP rs267985, respectively. Each dot represent an individual SNP, with yellow color indicating a potential functional variant based on ENCODE and RegulomeDB databases, and blue color represent unannotated variants.

Fig. S2. Genomic position of 19 top SLE associated DAP1 variants



Fig. S2 UCSC genome browser shows distribution of SLE associated 19 DAP1 SNPs on chromosome 5 (a). Zoom in picture in (b) shows transcription factors that may be impacted by SLE associated genetic changes in DAP1 gene.

Fig. S3. DAP1 eQTLwith rs2930047 in multiple data sets



Fig. S3 DAP eQTLwith rs2930047 in unstimulated monocyte derived macrophages (a), in primary B cells from healthy donors (b), in EBV cells (c), in 1000G study participants from Indian ancestry (d), 1000G study participants from Han Chines ancestry (e) 1000G study participants from Mexican ancestry (f) and R848 TLR pathway stimulated macrophages from present study (g)

Fig. S4. DAP 1 reduction and autophagy induction data in PBMCs and monocytes of healthy donors with TT or CC genotype.



Fig. S4. Panel (a -e) PBMCs from healthy donor with rs2930047 protective genotype (TT) or donor with rs2930047 risk genotype (CC) incubated with EBSS in the absence or presence of 100 nM Bafilomycin A1 (Baf A1) for 4 hours. Cell lysates were analyzed by western blot using anti-DAP1, anti-p62 and anti-LC3 antibodies. β -Actin was used as a loading control. Panel **(f and j)** Monocytes from healthy donor with protective genotype (TT) or donor with risk genotype (CC) incubated with M-CSF (50ng/mL) in the absence or presence of 100 nM Baf A1 for 14 hours. Cell lysates were analyzed by western blot using indicated antibodies. Bar graph represents the average ± SEM of two independent experiments. Error bars: SEM; *, P < 0.05. ns, not significant. Student's *t* test.

Fig. S5. Gene expression status of some key genes in immune system functions



Fig. S6. Enrichment of *sm* and *snRNP* targeted antibodies in DAP1 SLE risk allele





Fig. S6. a. show highest titers of sm/RNP antibodies in risk genotype (a), highest titers of U1-snRNP-A antibodies in risk genotype (b), highest titers of U1-snRNP-C antibodies in risk genotype (c), and overall summary of same data in (d) show increased odds ratio for these antibodies in DAP1 SLE risk allele

Fig. S7. Association of non-nuclear autoantigen signatures with DAP1 SLE risk allele



Fig. S7. Autoantibodies in patients with and without DAP1 risk haplotype

Data on SLE patients with and without DAP1 risk haplotype. a. Heatmap show individuals antigens enriched in DAP1 risk haplotype. b. Clusters analysis show of nuclear and non-nuclear autoantigens in DAP1 SLE risk and protective haplotypes, illustrates more stable clusters of non-nuclear antigens in DAP1 risk haplotype. Heatmap color bars indicate the strength of correlation (Pearson r) among autoantigens, with blue color indicating poor and red color indicating strong correlation.

Fig. S8. Upregulated expression of antigen presentation pathway molecules in DAP1 risk genotype

a. LCLs from 1000 Genome study



b. Primary B cell from healthy donors-present study



Fig. S8 Show expression of HLA-class II genes and its regulators in LCLs from 1000 genome study (a) and primary B cells of healthy donors with DAP1 risk genotype (b). RNAseq and genotyping data on LCL data is from 1000 Genome study and B cells data were generated in the present study. Note: TT and CC genotype of rs2930047 SNP represent DAP1 protective and risk allele, respectively.

CIITA-B cell

Fig. S9. Transcription levels of DAP1 in primary B cells of healthy donors with protective and risk DAP1 allele.



DAP1

Fig. S9. Expression level of DAP1 are compared for unstimulated (u), B cell receptor stimulated (bcr) and TLR stimulated (tlr) cellular states.

Fig. S10. Transcription levels of SLE associated autophagy genes in PBMCs (RNAseq)

| Gene | Chr | SNP | Population | Category | Reference |
|----------|-----|------------|---------------------|----------------|-----------|
| ATG5 | 6 | rs573775 | Caucasians | GWAS | [12] |
| | | rs6568431 | Caucasians, Chinese | GWAS | [12] |
| | | rs2245214 | Caucasians | Candidate gene | [13] |
| | | rs548234 | Chinese | GWAS | [14] |
| | | rs6937876 | Chinese | Candidate gene | [15] |
| ATG16L2 | 11 | rs11235604 | Koreans | GWAS | [16] |
| DRAM1 | 12 | rs4622329 | Chinese | GWAS | [17] |
| CDKN1B | 12 | rs34330 | Chinese | GWAS | [17] |
| CLEC16A | 16 | rs12708716 | Caucasians | GWAS | [13] |
| | | rs73885319 | | GWAS | |
| APOL1 | 22 | rs60910145 | African American | Candidate gene | [18] |
| | | rs71785313 | | Candidate gene | |
| MTMR3 | 22 | rs9983 | Chinese | Candidate gene | [22] |
| ATG16L1 | 2 | rs2241880 | Chinese | Candidate gene | [15] |
| ATG7 | 3 | rs11706903 | Chinese | Candidate gene | [15] |
| IRGM | 5 | rs13361189 | Chinese | Candidate gene | [15] |
| | | rs10065172 | Chinese | Candidate gene | [15] |
| LRRK2 | 12 | rs2638272 | Chinese | Candidate gene | [23] |
| MAP1LC3B | 16 | rs933717 | Chinese | Candidate gene | [24] |

Table 1. The list of variants in autophagy-related genes associated with the susceptibility to 5 Eur. J. Immunol. 2019. 49: 523-533

Fig. S10. a. Show the published list of known SLE-autophagy genes from literature. b. Transcription levels some of these genes in heathy and SLE PBMCs RNAseq data. Note: Top panel of healthy and SLE were sequenced on a separate RNAseq run than bottom panel, so, scales of RPKM values are quantitatively different between two experiments but comparable within each set.



Fig. S11. HAP3 defining variants impact transcription factors that have highest expression in T & B cells



Fig. S11 a. UCSC genome browser snap shot showing position of SNP4 rs2930047 and transcription factors (TFs) i.e. CTCF and other proteins whose binding may be impacted by this variant, b. shows immune cell expression of CTCF, c. UCSC snap shot across SNP1 rs3797111 showing multiple TFs whose binding may be impacted by this variant. D & e. shows higher expression FOXM1 and BATF proteins in T cells, suggesting likely impact of this variant in T cells, f. UCSC snap shot across SNP18 & 19 rs58891571, rs58583280 Showing interaction of PAX5 protein at this position, panel g. shows that PAX5 exclusively express in B cells, suggesting likely impact of these variants in B cells.

Fig. S12. Statistical power of study



Fig. S12. Statistical power analysis on 1255 Cases and 777 Controls, we obtained a power of 99.4% for SNP analysis (A) and 90% for haplotype analysis (B)



Fig. S13a. Uncropped blots related to main Figure 3.

Fig. S13. LCLs from four donors with protective genotype (TT) or four donors with risk genotype (CC) incubated with RPMI in the absence or presence of 100 nM Bafilomycin A1 for 4 hours. Cell lysates were analyzed by western blot using indicated antibodies.

Fig. S13b. Uncropped blots related to main Figure 3.

DAP1



LC3



Fig. S13c. Uncropped blots related to main Figure 3.





Fig. S13d. Uncropped blots related to main Figure 3.



