Supplementary Information

"Structure in personal networks: Constructing and comparing typologies"
Raffaele Vacca
Published in *Network Science*



Fig. S1. Distribution of personal network size in the PAR and TAL datasets.



Fig. S2. Correlations between the T_1 and T_2 variables in the six datasets.



Fig. S3. Boxplots of the three T_1 variables in each type for the ECU dataset. See Table 3 for numeric IDs of T_1 types.

Table S4. Absolute frequencies (percentages) of BDG structural types (T_2) in the six datasets.

Type	MBC	FRA	ECU	PAR	TAL	SFB
1. Regular dense	79 (20.5)	189 (64.3)	66 (25)	28 (23.5)	48 (18.9)	
2. Centered dense				52 (43.7)		
3. Centered star	127 (33)	28 (9.5)		28 (23.5)		
4. Centered star/Pearl collar			29 (11)		66 (26)	
5. Pearl collar			40 (15.2)			
6. Dispersed	9 (2.3)	10 (3.4)	33 (12.5)	9 (7.6)	53 (20.9)	
7. Disconnected		20 (6.8)		2 (1.7)	9 (3.5)	
8. Small regular dense					31 (12.2)	42 (11.5)
9. Small centered star						31 (8.5)
10. Small segmented						60 (16.4)
11. Closed triad and isolates						42 (11.5)
12. Open triad and isolates						30 (8.2)
13. Connected dyad and isolates						88 (24)
14. Small disconnected						34 (9.3)
15. Hybrid	170 (44.2)	47 (16)	96 (36.4)		47 (18.5)	39 (10.7)
Total	385 (100)	294 (100)	264 (100)	119 (100)	254 (100)	366 (100)
N types	4	5	5	5	6	8



Fig. S5. Average z-scores of the six T_2 variables in each type in the six datasets. See Table S4 for numeric IDs of T_2 types.