nature research

| Corresponding author(s): | George F. Gao |
|----------------------------|---------------|
| Last updated by author(s): | Oct 16, 2020 |

Reporting Summary

Nature Research wishes to improve the reproducibility of the work that we publish. This form provides structure for consistency and transparency in reporting. For further information on Nature Research policies, see our Editorial Policies and the Editorial Policy Checklist.

| <u> </u> | | | | | |
|----------|----|----|----|----|--------|
| St | `a | t١ | 51 | 11 | \sim |

| For | ali st | atistical analyses, confirm that the following items are present in the figure legend, table legend, main text, o r Methods section. |
|-----|--------|---|
| n/a | Cor | nfirmed |
| | x | The exact sample size (n) for each experimental group/condition, given as a discrete number and unit of measurement |
| | × | A statement on whether measurements were taken from distinct samples or whether the same sample was measured repeatedly |
| | x | The statistical test(s) used AND whether they are one- or two-sided Only common tests should be described solely by name; describe more complex techniques in the Methods section. |
| X | | A description of all covariates tested |
| x | | A description of any assumptions or corrections, such as tests of normality and adjustment for multiple comparisons |
| | x | A full description of the statistical parameters including central tendency (e.g. means) or other basic estimates (e.g. regression coefficient AND variation (e.g. standard deviation) or associated estimates of uncertainty (e.g. confidence intervals) |
| | × | For null hypothesis testing, the test statistic (e.g. <i>F</i> , <i>t</i> , <i>r</i>) with confidence intervals, effect sizes, degrees of freedom and <i>P</i> value noted Give <i>P</i> values as exact values whenever suitable. |
| X | | For Bayesian analysis, information on the choice of priors and Markov chain Monte Carlo settings |
| X | | For hierarchical and complex designs, identification of the appropriate level for tests and full reporting of outcomes |
| x | | Estimates of effect sizes (e.g. Cohen's d, Pearson's r), indicating how they were calculated |
| | • | Our web collection on statistics for biologists contains articles on many of the points above. |

Software and code

Policy information about <u>availability of computer code</u>

Data collection

X-ray diffraction data of KSHV gHgL-LBD complex were collected at Shanghai Synchrotron Radiation Facility (SSRF) BL17U, while the data of EBV gHgL-LBD complex were collected at BL19U. The Data were collected and processed by HKL2000. The firefly luciferase and Renilla luciferase activities were detected using a GloMax-96 Microplate Luminometer, and collected by Microsoft Excel 2016.

Data analysis

Data analysis in structural study: Phenix 1.16, Phaser, CCP4 7.1, HKL2000, Coot 0.8.9, MolProbity, UCSF Chimera 1.14, PyMOL 2.3.2. Data analysis in functional assays: Biacore Insight Evaluation 1.0.5.11069, GraphPad Prism 8, OriginPro 8.5. Phylogenetic tree analysis: MEGA 10.1.

For manuscripts utilizing custom algorithms or software that are central to the research but not yet described in published literature, software must be made available to editors and reviewers. We strongly encourage code deposition in a community repository (e.g. GitHub). See the Nature Research guidelines for submitting code & software for further information.

Data

Policy information about availability of data

All manuscripts must include a data availability statement. This statement should provide the following information, where applicable:

- Accession codes, unique identifiers, or web links for publicly available datasets
- A list of figures that have associated raw data
- A description of any restrictions on data availability

The structural data that support the findings of this study have been deposited in the Protein Data Bank. The accession numbers for the atomic coordinates and diffraction data reported in this paper are PDB: 7CZE (crystal structure of EphA2 LBD with EBV gHgL complex) and 7CZF (crystal structure of EphA2 LBD with KSHV gHgL complex). Figures 1a, 1b, 1c, 1d, 4b, 4c and 6d have associated raw data in this paper. The data that support the findings of this study are available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request.

| Field-spe | ecific reporting | | | | |
|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| Please select the c | ne below that is the best fit for your research. If you are not sure, read the appropriate sections before making your selection. | | | | |
| 🗶 Life sciences | Behavioural & social sciences Ecological, evolutionary & environmental sciences | | | | |
| For a reference copy of | the document with all sections, see nature.com/documents/nr-reporting-summary-flat.pdf | | | | |
| 1 | | | | | |
| Lite sciel | nces study design | | | | |
| All studies must di | sclose on these points even when the disclosure is negative. | | | | |
| Sample size | Three independent biological replicates were used in this study because it is common in the biological experiments. No statistical methods were used to predetermine sample size. Sample sizes were chosen based on prior knowledge in the respective experiments and their intrinsic variability as performed in previous studies (Chen, J. et al. Nat Microbiol, 2018). | | | | |
| Data exclusions | No data were excluded from the analysis. | | | | |
| Replication | Experiments were repeated at least to n=3 to verify reproducibility. All attempts at replication were successful. | | | | |
| Randomization | For transfections, the WT and different mutants were allocated in different sequence of plates. | | | | |
| Blinding | For the cell fusion assay, when collecting the data, investigators were blinded to the group. Because samples were marked as numbers, only after checking the transfection sheet, the group allocation were known. For other experiments, the investigators were not blinded to group allocation during data collection or analysis. Because blinding has no effect on the experimental results. | | | | |
| We require informat | g for specific materials, systems and methods ion from authors about some types of materials, experimental systems and methods used in many studies. Here, indicate whether each material, ted is relevant to your study. If you are not sure if a list item applies to your research, read the appropriate section before selecting a response. | | | | |
| Materials & ex | perimental systems Methods | | | | |
| n/a Involved in t | | | | | |
| Antibodie | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| X Palaeontology and archaeology X Animals and other organisms MRI-based neuroimaging | | | | | |
| Human research participants | | | | | |
| X Clinical data | | | | | |
| Dual use research of concern | | | | | |
| Eukaryotic o | rell lines | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| Cell line source(s) | icy information about <u>cell lines</u> Sell line source(s) Sf9 and High Five insect cell line were from Invitrogen; HEK-293T cell (ATCC CRL-3216) were from ATCC. | | | | |
| Authentication | No cell authentication method was used. | | | | |

All cell lines tested negative for mycoplasma.

No misidentified lines were used.

Mycoplasma contamination

Commonly misidentified lines (See <u>ICLAC</u> register)