Science Advances

advances.sciencemag.org/cgi/content/full/6/48/eabd1327/DC1

Supplementary Materials for

Patient-centered connectivity-based prediction of tau pathology spread in Alzheimer's disease

Nicolai Franzmeier*, Anna Dewenter, Lukas Frontzkowski, Martin Dichgans, Anna Rubinski, Julia Neitzel, Ruben Smith, Olof Strandberg, Rik Ossenkoppele, Katharina Buerger, Marco Duering, Oskar Hansson, Michael Ewers*

*Corresponding author. Email: nicolai.franzmeier@med.uni-muenchen.de (N.F.); michael.ewers@med.uni-muenchen.de (M.E.)

> Published 27 November 2020, *Sci. Adv.* **6**, eabd1327 (2020) DOI: 10.1126/sciadv.abd1327

This PDF file includes:

Figs. S1 to S3 Tables S1 and S2

Supplementary Figure 1: Study flowchart



Flowchart schematically illustrating the analyses steps for cross-sectional and longitudinal analyses included in the current study.



Supplementary Figure 2: Connectivity-based prediction of subject specific tau positivity patterns

Spatial association (i.e. R^2) between patient specific tau positivity maps and group-defined tau positivity sequences in ADNI A β +. R^2 -values were determined using either IC-specific tau positivity sequences (illustrated in Figure 5) or whole group tau positivity sequences (illustrated in Figures 2 A&C). R^2 -values were compared using a paired Wilcoxon test.



Variability of tau epicenters across ICs

Surface rendering of epicenter probabilities across ICs shown in figures 4-6.

Supplementary table 1: Epicenter connectivity vs. tau positivity sequences at variable connectivity thresholds

Prediction of group-average tau positivity sequences by epicenter connectivity (as shown in Figures 2G&H) at variable epicenter and connectivity thresholds

ADNI		Tau epicenter threshold (% of ROIs used as tau epicenters)			
		5%	10%	15%	20%
Connectivity density	10%	β=0.53, p<0.001	β=0.61, p<0.001	β=0.63, p<0.001	β=0.62, p<0.001
threshold	20%	β=0.65, p<0.001	β=0.73, p<0.001	β=0.72, p<0.001	β=0.71, p<0.001
(% of connections	30%	$\beta = 0.63, p < 0.001$	$\beta = 0.72, p < 0.001$	$\beta = 0.72, p < 0.001$	$\beta = 0.71, p < 0.001$
retained)	40%	$\beta = 0.63, p < 0.001$	$\beta = 0.73, p < 0.001$	$\beta = 0.73, p < 0.001$	$\beta = 0.71, p < 0.001$
	50%	$\beta = 0.63, p < 0.001$	$\beta = 0.73, p < 0.001$	$\beta = 0.73, p < 0.001$	$\beta = 0.71, p < 0.001$
BioFINDER		Tau epicenter threshold (% of ROIs used as tau epicenters)			
		5%	10%	15%	20%
Connectivity density	10%	β=0.59, p<0.001	β=0.68, p<0.001	β=0.74, p<0.001	β=0.71, p<0.001
threshold	20%	β=0.59, p<0.001	β=0.71, p<0.001	β=0.76, p<0.001	β=0.74, p<0.001
(% of connections	30%	$\beta = 0.59, p < 0.001$	$\beta = 0.71, p < 0.001$	$\beta = 0.76, p < 0.001$	$\beta = 0.74, p < 0.001$
retained)	40%	$\beta = 0.58, p < 0.001$	$\beta = 0.70, p < 0.001$	$\beta = 0.76, p < 0.001$	$\beta = 0.73, p < 0.001$
	50%	$\beta = 0.58, p < 0.001$	$\beta = 0.70, p < 0.001$	$\beta = 0.76, p < 0.001$	β=0.73, p<0.001

	Prediction of group-average tau positivity sequences by epicenter connectivity (as shown in Figures 2G&H)		
Functional connectivity matrix restricted to ROI pairs with an underlying structural connection	ADNI	BioFINDER	
Strongest 10% structural connections	β=0.53, p<0.001	β=0.63, p<0.001	
Strongest 20% structural connections	β=0.52, p<0.001	β=0.62, <i>p</i> <0.001	
Strongest 30% structural connections	β=0.64, p<0.001	β=0.68, p<0.001	
Strongest 40% structural connections	β=0.68, p<0.001	β=0.69, p<0.001	
Strongest 50% structural connections	β=0.69, p<0.001	β=0.69, p<0.001	
Strongest 60% structural connections	β=0.72, <i>p</i> <0.001	β=0.70, p<0.001	
Strongest 70% structural connections	β=0.74, p<0.001	β=0.71, p<0.001	
Strongest 80% structural connections	β=0.74, p<0.001	β=0.71, p<0.001	
Strongest 90% structural connections	β=0.73, p<0.001	β=0.71, p<0.001	

Supplementary table 2: Epicenter connectivity vs. tau positivity sequences including functional and structural connectivity