

Supplemental Table 1. An overview of F statistics, *p* values and effect sizes (partial eta squared) from the main outcomes of the 2-way ANOVA performed for each Latin square. Where applicable, the method of transformation employed is shown.

	Baclofen & Muscimol		Scopolamine		Mecamylamine	
	Treatment	Treatment × Region	Treatment	Treatment × Region	Treatment	Treatment × Region
Number of trials	$F_{(2, 26)}= 13.180,$ ***<i>p</i> < 0.001, $\eta^2= 0.503,$ $1-\beta= 0.994;$ square root transformation	$F_{(2, 26)}= 9.449,$ ***<i>p</i> < 0.001, $\eta^2= 0.421,$ $1-\beta= 0.965;$ square root transformation	$F_{(1, 365, 16, 385)}= 2.723,$ <i>p</i> = 0.109, $\eta^2= 0.185,$ $1-\beta = 0.391;$ square root transformation	$F_{(1, 365, 16, 385)}= 0.267,$ <i>p</i> = 0.684, $\eta^2= 0.022,$ $1-\beta = 0.081;$ square root transformation	-	-
% Accuracy	$F_{(2, 26)}= 23.932,$ ***<i>p</i> < 0.001, $\eta^2= 0.648,$ $1-\beta= 1.000$	$F_{(2, 26)}= 5.852,$ **<i>p</i> = 0.008, $\eta^2= 0.310,$ $1-\beta= 0.831$	$F_{(2, 24)}= 1.895,$ <i>p</i> = 0.172, $\eta^2= 0.136,$ $1-\beta= 0.354$	$F_{(2, 24)}= 0.317,$ <i>p</i> = 0.731, $\eta^2= 0.026,$ $1-\beta= 0.095$	$F_{(3, 33)}= 0.780,$ <i>p</i> = 0.514, $\eta^2= 0.066,$ $1-\beta= 0.199$	$F_{(3, 33)}= 1.309,$ <i>p</i> = 0.288, $\eta^2= 0.106,$ $1-\beta= 0.316$
% Omitted responses	$F_{(2, 26)}= 9.391,$ **<i>p</i> = 0.003, $\eta^2= 0.419,$ $1-\beta= 0.901;$ arcsine transformation	$F_{(2, 26)}= 4.565,$ *<i>p</i> = 0.035, $\eta^2= 0.260,$ $1-\beta= 0.610;$ arcsine transformation	$F_{(2, 24)}= 2.098,$ <i>p</i> = 0.145, $\eta^2= 0.149,$ $1-\beta= 0.388$	$F_{(2, 24)}= 2.446,$ <i>p</i> = 0.108, $\eta^2= 0.169,$ $1-\beta= 0.444$	$F_{(3, 33)}= 0.413,$ <i>p</i> = 0.745, $\eta^2= 0.036,$ $1-\beta= 0.123;$ arcsine transformation	$F_{(3, 33)}= 0.840,$ <i>p</i> = 0.482, $\eta^2= 0.071,$ $1-\beta= 0.212;$ arcsine transformation
% Premature responses	$F_{(2, 26)}= 4.418,$ *<i>p</i> = 0.022, $\eta^2= 0.254,$	$F_{(2, 26)}= 0.616,$ <i>p</i> = 0.548, $\eta^2= 0.045,$	$F_{(2, 24)}= 1.097,$ <i>p</i> = 0.350, $\eta^2= 0.084,$ $1-\beta= 0.220$	$F_{(2, 24)}= 1.100,$ <i>p</i> = 0.349, $\eta^2= 0.084,$ $1-\beta= 0.084,$	$F_{(3, 33)}= 3.361,$ *<i>p</i> = 0.030, $\eta^2= 0.234,$	$F_{(3, 33)}= 1.158,$ <i>p</i> = 0.341, $\eta^2= 0.095,$ $1-\beta= 0.282;$

	1- β = 0.709; arcsine transformation	1- β = 0.142; arcsine transformation		1- β = 0.220	1- β = 0.709; arcsine transformation	arcsine transformation
Perseverative responses	$F_{(2, 26)}= 10.913,$ ***$p < 0.001$, $\eta^2= 0.456,$ 1- β = 0.982	$F_{(2, 26)}= 5.273,$ *$p = 0.012$, $\eta^2= 0.289,$ 1- β = 0.789	$F_{(2, 24)}= 1.058,$ $p = 0.363$, $\eta^2= 0.081,$ 1- β = 0.213	$F_{(2, 24)}= 0.684,$ $p = 0.514$, $\eta^2= 0.054,$ 1- β = 0.152	$F_{(3, 33)}= 0.813,$ $p = 0.496$, $\eta^2= 0.069,$ 1- β = 0.206;	$F_{(3, 33)}= 1.518,$ $p = 0.228$, $\eta^2= 0.121,$ 1- β = 0.363;
Reward collection latency	$F_{(2, 26)}= 2.038$ $p = 0.151$, $\eta^2= 0.136,$ 1- β = 0.381; logarithmic transformation	$F_{(2, 26)}= 0.723,$ $p = 0.495$, $\eta^2= 0.053,$ 1- β = 0.159; logarithmic transformation	$F_{(2, 24)}= 0.836,$ $p = 0.446$, $\eta^2= 0.065,$ 1- β = 0.176; logarithmic transformation	$F_{(2, 24)}= 2.276,$ $p = 0.124$, $\eta^2= 0.159,$ 1- β = 0.417; logarithmic transformation	$F_{(3, 33)}= 1.996,$ $p = 0.134$, $\eta^2= 0.154,$ 1- β = 0.466; logarithmic transformation	$F_{(3, 33)}= 0.694,$ $p = 0.562$, $\eta^2= 0.059,$ 1- β = 0.181; logarithmic transformation
Correct response latency	$F_{(2, 26)}= 3.981,$ *$p = 0.031$, $\eta^2= 0.234,$ 1- β = 0.661; logarithmic transformation	$F_{(2, 26)}= 2.886,$ $p = 0.074$, $\eta^2= 0.182,$ 1- β = 0.515; logarithmic transformation	$F_{(2, 24)}= 7.755,$ **$p = 0.003$, $\eta^2= 0.393,$ 1- β = 0.921; logarithmic transformation	$F_{(2, 24)}= 0.987,$ $p = 0.387$, $\eta^2= 0.076,$ 1- β = 0.201; logarithmic transformation	$F_{(3, 33)}= 0.502,$ $p = 0.683$, $\eta^2= 0.044,$ 1- β = 0.141; logarithmic transformation	$F_{(3, 33)}= 0.399,$ $p = 0.755$, $\eta^2= 0.035,$ 1- β = 0.120; logarithmic transformation
Incorrect response latency	$F_{(2, 26)}= 2.038,$ $p = 0.151$, $\eta^2= 0.136,$ 1- β = 0.381; logarithmic transformation	$F_{(2, 26)}= 4.321,$ *$p = 0.024$, $\eta^2= 0.249,$ 1- β = 0.699; logarithmic transformation	$F_{(2, 24)}= 1.885,$ $p = 0.174$, $\eta^2= 0.136,$ 1- β = 0.353	$F_{(2, 24)}= 1.855,$ $p = 0.178$, $\eta^2= 0.134,$ 1- β = 0.348	$F_{(3, 33)}= 0.535,$ $p = 0.662$, $\eta^2= 0.046,$ 1- β = 0.147; logarithmic transformation	$F_{(3, 33)}= 0.298,$ $p = 0.827$, $\eta^2= 0.026,$ 1- β = 0.101; logarithmic transformation