### **Supplementary information**

#### **A C21-steroidal derivative suppresses T-cell lymphoma in mice by inhibiting SIRT3 via SAP18-SIN3**

Babu Gajendran<sup>1,2</sup>, Krishnapriya Madhu Varier<sup>3</sup>, Wuling Liu<sup>1,2</sup>, Chunlin Wang<sup>1,2</sup>, Klarke M Sample<sup>4</sup>, Eldad Zacksenhaus<sup>5,6</sup>, Cui Juiwei<sup>7</sup>, LieJun Huang<sup>1,2\*</sup>, XiaoJiang Hao1,2\*, Yaacov Ben-David1,2\*

#### **Supplementary Figures**



**Supplementary Fig. 1 | A671 induces apoptosis in HEL cells in a dose-dependent manner. a,** HEL cells were incubated with the indicated concentration of A671 and the apoptosis was determined by flowcytometry using Propidium Iodide and AnnexinV-FITC staining. **b,** The images of apoptotic effect of A671 on HEL cells. Arrows show apoptotic cells (Magnification ×100). **c,** Cell cycle profiles of the HEL drug-treated and control cells.



**Supplementary Fig. 2 | Expression of SAP18 and SIRT3 in breast cancer cell lines after treatment with A671. a-d,** Q-RT-PCR analysis of SAP18 and SIRT3 in MDA-MB-231 and 4T1 cells after treatment with A671 for 24 h. **e,f,** Western blot of extract from the indicated cells treated A671.



**Supplementary Fig. 3 | Expression of the** *SIRT* **genes in SAP18 depleted HEL cells.** HEL cells alone or after transfection with Sh-SAP18 or scrambled vector subjected to Q-RT-PCR analysis for the expression of SIRT1 **(a)**, SIRT2 **(b)** and SIRT7 **(c)**.



**Supplementary Fig. 4 | Overexpression of SAP18 in HEL cells suppresses SIRT3 transcription. a,** Images of HEL cells transfected with SAP18 (pCMV3-SAP18-GFPSpark®-KpnI-XbaI) and vector (pCMV3-N-GFPSpark®-KpnI-XbaI) plasmids illustrated for GFP (top) and microscopic view (bottom). Magnification ×100. **b,c,** HEL cells transfected with vector, SAP18 and none used to determine the expression of *SAP18* **(b)** and *SIRT3* **(c)** using Q-RT-PCR. P = <0.01  $(**)$ ,  $P = 0.001$   $(**)$  by two-tailed student t-test.



**Supplementary Fig. 5 | Overexpression of SIRT3 in cells reduces cell toxicity by A671. a,** Western blot of HEL cells transfected with SIRT3 (pcDNA3.1hSIRT3\_H248Y\_HA) or vector (pcDNA3.1) expression plasmids. **b,** Cell proliferation significantly increased in SIRT3 overexpression HEL cells versus vector-transfected cells. **c,** SIRT3-overexpressing HEL cells survive significantly at a higher rate than vector alone transfected cells after treatment with the indicated concentration of A671 for 24 h.  $P = 50.0001$  (\*\*\*\*) by two-tailed student t-test.



**Supplementary Fig. 6 I Survival rate of SAP18, SIRT3 and SIN3 in cell lines.** The DepMap CRISPR data for SIRT3/SAP18/SIN3 across the hundreds of cancer cell lines.







**Supplemental Fig. 7 I Knockdown of SIRT3 in HEL cells reduced cell proliferation. a,** Expression of SIRT3 after transfection with three shRNA for SIRT3 (sh-SIRT3-1, sh-SIRT3-2, sh-SIRT3-3). **b,** Expression of SIRT3 in shSIRT3-2 versus scrambled transfected cells. **c,** Microscopic image of GFP-positive sh-SIRT-2 and control transfected cells. Magnification ×40. **d,** Proliferation rate of sh-SIRT-2 and vector control.



**Supplementary Fig. 8 | SIN3A transcription factor binding site within the SIRT3 promoter.** Graphical mapping of the ENCODE data to the GRCh37 (hg19) genome from the UCSC genome browser. A strong SIN3A transcription factor binding site is present within the SIRT3 promoter (as indicated by the red arrow, transcription factors with strong interactions are in black; weak interactions are in grey). The SIN3A binding site appears to be acting on SIRT3 (on the antisense strand) and not the neighboring PSMD13 (on the sense strand), since the signal is upstream of SIRT3 5' UTR and within the H3K27Ac mark, which indicates the boundaries of the SIRT3 promoter region.



**Supplementary Fig. 9 | Western blot of SAP18 expression after treatment with proteasome inhibitor MG132.** HEL cells were treated for 12 h with A671 with or without additional treatment with MG132. GAPDH used as loading control.



**Supplementary Fig. 10 | SAP18 regulates its own transcription. a,** Western blot of HEL cells treated for 24 h with the indicated concentration of Cycloheximide (CHX). **b,c,** Expression of *SAP18* **(a)** and *SIRT3* **(b)** after treatment of HEL cells for 24 h with the indicated concentration of CHX, as determined by Q-RT-PCR. P = <0.01 (\*\*), P = <0.001 (\*\*\*), P  $=$  < 0.0001 (\*\*\*\*) by two-tailed student t-test.



**Supplementary Fig. 11 | The binding model of A671 and SIRT3. a,b,** Two-dimensional interaction of A671 with various amino-acid within Sirt3. **c,** A 3D sketch of A671 interactions with Sirt3.



**Supplementary Fig. 12 | Co-precipitation of SIN3A in the A671 pull-down experiment.** In Pull down experiment, SIN3A protein found in SIN3/SAP18 complex precipitated with A671, while its presence was absent in A670 and A672 pull down.

# **Supplementary Tables**









### **Supplementary Table 3 | Details of overexpression plasmids of SIRT3 and SAP18**



### **Data for uncropped western blots**







56 kDa









# Figure 31

**GAPDH** 



## Figure 4a





## Figure 4g





18 kDa







Figure 5h

#### Figure 5c



### **Supplementary Fig. 2e**



### **Supplementary Fig. 2f**



## Supplementary Fig. 5a





## Supplementary Fig. 7a



## Supplementary Fig. 7b





# **Supplementary Fig. 9**



# Supplementary Fig. 10a





## **Supplementary Fig. 12**



### **Antibody dilutions**





**Flow cytometry gating strategy. a,** Apoptosis analysis using FITC-AnnexinV/PI. Viable, late and early apoptotic fractions were identified using Annexin V. **b,** Cell cycle analysis using PI. The plot shows cells in G0/G1 phase (green), S phase (yellow) and G2/M phase (blue).

#### **Supplementary Note**

**Part I** Synthesis of new compounds (**A670-A672**) **Part II** NMR Spectra and ESI-MS of new compounds **Part III** The structure of known compounds

#### **Part I** Synthesis of new compounds (**A670-A672**)

#### **1. General**

Reagents were purchased from commercial sources (JK chemical, China; Tansoole, China) and used as received. All anhydrous solvents were dried and purified by standard techniques just before use.  ${}^{1}H$  and  ${}^{13}C$  NMR spectra were recorded on INOVA-400 MHz NMR spectrometer or Bruker DRX 500 MHz spectrometers with tetramethylsilane (TMS) as the internal standard (Bruker, Bremerhaven, Germany). Chemical shift values  $(\delta)$  were given in parts per million (ppm). Thin-layer chromatography (TLC) was performed on silica gel  $GF<sub>254</sub>$ (Qingdao Marine Chemical Ltd. P.R. China). Column chromatography (CC) was performed over silica gel (200–300 mesh, Qingdao Marine Chemical Ltd.). ESIMS were recorded on a Finnigan MAT 90 instrument and VG Auto Spec-3000 spectrometer.

#### **2. Synthesis and characterization of A670-A672**

Gagamine was isolated from the root of *Cynanchum wilfordii* and had the purity of  $> 98.0\%$ <sup>1</sup>. The acylation products  $\textbf{A670}$  and  $\textbf{A671}$ , and the halogenic derivative **A672** were synthesized using a previously reported procedure and the analytical data that we collected were consistent with the reported values $2<sup>3</sup>$ .





#### **Scheme 1**. Synthesis of compound **A670**-**A672**.

*3-O-methanesulfonyl-gagamine* (**A670**). To a solution of gagaminine (100 mg, 0.162 mmol) in dry DCM (10 mL), methanesulfonyl chloride (0.5 mL),and pyridine (10 drops) was added. The reaction mixture was stirred for 24 h at room temperature under nitrogen atmosphere. When the starting material was exhausted, the reaction mixture poured into H<sub>2</sub>O and extracted with DCM  $(3 \times 30 \text{ mL})$ . The organic layer was successively washed with saturated aqueous tartaric acid  $(3 \times 30 \text{ mL})$  and NaHCO<sub>3</sub> (3)  $\times$  30 mL), and saturated NaCl (2  $\times$  10 mL), respectively. The organic layer was dried over anhydrous MgSO4 and the solvent was removed under reduced pressure. The crude was loaded onto a silica gel column, and eluted with petroleum ether/acetone  $(80:20)$  to afford A670 (60 mg, 53.3 %) as a white amorphous power; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl3) *δ* 9.19 (s, 1H, H-3′′), 8.74-8.73 (d, *J* = 3.1 Hz, 1H, H-5′′), 8.11-8.09 (d, *J* = 7.95 Hz, 1H, H-7′′), 7.41-7.38 (d, *J* = 16.0 Hz, 1H, H-3′), 7.38-7.33 (m, 3H, Ar-H, H-6′′), 7.25-7.19 (m, 3H, Ar-H), 6.11-6.07 (d, *J* = 15.95 Hz, 1H, H-2′), 5.47 (br.s, 1H, H-6), 4.93-4.89 (q, *J* = 6.15 Hz, 1H, H-20), 4.85-4.82 (dd, *J* = 11.25, 4.1 Hz, 1H, H-12), 4.61 (s, H, -OH), 3.88 (brs, H, -OH), 4.57-4.52 (m, 1H, H-3), 3.02 (s, 3H, -SO2CH3), 1.63 (s, 3H, 18-CH3), 1.38-1.37 (d, *J* = 6.15 Hz, 3H, 21-CH3), 1.15 (s, 3H, 19-CH3); 13C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl3) *δ* 166.24 (C-1′), 163.51 (C-1′′), 153.00 (C-5′′), 150.42 (C-3′′), 144.33 (C-3′), 137.76 (C-5), 137.61 (C-7′′), 133.99 (C-4′), 130.22 (C-7′), 128.77 (C-6′, 8′), 127.97 (C-5′, 9′), 126.27 (C-2′′), 123.41 (C-6′′), 120.33 (C-2′), 118.61 (C-6), 87.80 (C-14), 87.49 (C-17), 81.33 (C-3), 75.77 (C-20), 74.00 (C-8), 73.26 (C-12), 56.41 (C-13), 43.06 (C-9), 38.99 (C-4), 38.24 (C-1), 36.63 (C-10), 34.36 (C-7), 32.94 (C-16), 32.62 (C-15), 28.12 (C-2), 24.80 (C-11), 18.02 (C-19), 14.96 (C-21), 10.58 (C-18); ESI-MS *m/z* 696.3 [M + H]+, 718.3 [M +Na]+, 1413.3 [2M+Na]+.

*3-O-chloroacetyl-gagamine* (**A671**). To a solution of gagaminine (100 mg, 0.162 mmol) in dry DCM (10 mL), methanesulfonyl chloride (0.3 mL), and pyridine (5 drops) was added. The reaction mixture was stirred for 24 h at room temperature under nitrogen atmosphere. When the starting material was exhausted, the reaction mixture poured into H<sub>2</sub>O and extracted with DCM  $(3 \times 30 \text{ mL})$ . The organic layer was successively washed with saturated aqueous tartaric acid  $(3 \times 30 \text{ mL})$  and NaHCO<sub>3</sub> (3)  $\times$  30 mL), and saturated NaCl (2  $\times$  10 mL), respectively. The organic layer was dried over anhydrous MgSO4 and the solvent was removed under reduced pressure. The crude was loaded onto a silica gel column, and eluted with petroleum ether/acetone  $(60:10)$  to afford A671 (66 mg, 58.9 %) as a white amorphous power; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl3) *δ* 9.19 (s, 1H, H-3′′), 8.74 (d, *J* = 3.7 Hz, 1H, H-5′′), 8.11-8.09 (t, *J* = 7.8 Hz, 1H, H-7′′), 7.41-7.38 (d, *J* = 16.4 Hz, 1H, H-3′), 7.36-7.32 (m, 3H, Ar-H), 7.25-7.24 (m, 2H, Ar-H), 7.21-7.19 (dd, *J* = 7.8, 4.9 Hz, 1H, H-6′′), 6.10-6.07 (d, *J* = 16.0 Hz, 1H, H-2′), 5.44 (br.s, 1H, H-6), 4.93-4.89 (q, *J* = 5.95 Hz, 2H, H-20), 4.85-4.82 (dd, *J* =11.25, 4.1 Hz, 1H, H-12), 4.76-4.69 (m, 1H, H-3), 4.55 (s, H, -OH), 4.04 (s, 2H, -COCH2Cl), 3.90 (s, H, -OH), 1.64 (s, 3H, 18-CH3), 1.38-1.37 (d, *J*  $= 6.15$  Hz, 3H, 21-CH<sub>3</sub>), 1.16 (s, 3H, 19-CH<sub>3</sub>); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  166.7 (-COCH2Cl), 166.3 (C-1′), 163.6 (C-1′′), 153.3 (C-5′′), 150.6 (C-3′′), 144.3 (C-3′), 138.4 (C-5), 137.4 (C-7′′), 134.0 (C-4′), 130.3 (C-7′), 128.7 (C-6′, 8′), 128.0 (C-5′, 9′), 126.1 (C-2′′), 123.3 (C-6′′), 119.6 (C-6), 118.6 (C-2′), 87.8 (C-14), 87.4 (C-17), 75.8 (C-20), 75.7 (C-3), 74.1 (C-8), 73.3 (C-12), 56.4 (C-13), 43.1 (C-9), 41.1 (C-4), 38.2  $(C-1)$ , 37.6 ( $-COCH_2Cl$ ), 36.8 (C-10), 34.3 (C-7), 32.8 (C-16), 32.7 (C-15), 26.9 (C-2), 24.8 (C-11), 18.1 (C-19), 14.9 (C-21), 10.5 (C-18); ESI-MS *m/z* 694.2 [M + H]+, 716.0  $[M + Na]$ <sup>+</sup>, 1409.3  $[2M + Na]$ <sup>+</sup>.

at room temperature in DCM,

*3-Bromidegagamine* (**A672**). To a solution of gagaminine (100 mg, 0.162 mmol) in dry DCM (10 mL), triphenylphosphine (PPh3, 90 mg, 0.340 mmol), carbontetrabromide (CBr4, 161 mg, 0.486 mmol) was added. The reaction mixture was stirred for 24 h at room temperature under nitrogen atmosphere. When the starting material was exhausted, the reaction mixture poured into H2O and extracted with DCM  $(3 \times 30 \text{ mL})$ . The organic layer was successively washed with saturated aqueous tartaric acid (3  $\times$  30 mL) and NaHCO<sub>3</sub> (3  $\times$  30 mL), and saturated NaCl (2  $\times$ 10 mL), respectively. The organic layer was dried over anhydrous MgSO4 and the solvent was removed under reduced pressure. The crude was loaded onto a silica gel column, and eluted with petroleum ether/acetone (90:10) to afford **A672** (95 mg, 86.4 %) as a white amorphous power; <sup>1</sup> H NMR(500 MHz, CDCl3) *δ* 9.19 (s, 1H, H-3′′), 8.73-8.72 (d, *J* = 3.65 Hz, 1H, H-5′′), 8.11-8.09 (tt, *J* = 7.85, 1.8, 1.8 Hz, 1H, H-4′′), 7.41-7.37 (d, *J* = 15.95 Hz, 1H, H-3′), 7.39-7.32 (m, 3H, Ar-H, H-6′′), 7.25-7.18 (m, 3H, Ar-H), 6.10-6.07 (d, *J* = 15.9 Hz, 1H, H-2′), 5.40 (br.s, 1H, H-6), 4.92-4.89 (q, *J* = 6.05 Hz, 1H, H-20), 4.84-4.81 (dd, *J* = 11.5, 4.45 Hz, 1H, H-12), 4.59 (s, H, -OH),3.96 (brs, H, -OH), 3.94-3.89 (m, 1H, H-3),1.63 (s, 3H, 18-CH3),1.38-1.37 (d, *J* = 6.05 Hz, 3H, 21-CH3), 1.17 (s, 3H, 19-CH3); 13C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl3) *δ* 166.26 (C-1′), 163.64 (C-1′′), 153.15 (C-5′′), 150.59 (C-3′′), 144.30 (C-3′), 140.76 (C-5), 137.42 (C-7′′), 134.00 (C-4′), 130.28 (C-7′), 128.74 (C-6′, 8′), 127.97 (C-5′, 9′), 126.21 (C-2′′), 123.31 (C-6′′), 118.79 (C-2′), 118.63 (C-6), 87.86 (C-14), 87.41 (C-17), 75.72 (C-20), 73.96 (C-8), 73.31 (C-12), 56.43 (C-13), 51.63 (C-3), 43.93 (C-9), 43.31 (C-4), 41.72 (C-1), 36.67 (C-10), 34.24 (C-7), 33.42 (C-16), 32.85 (C-15), 32.74 (C-2), 24.72 (C-11), 18.13 (C-19), 14.95 (C-21), 10.53 (C-18); ESI-MS  $m/z$  682.2 [M + 2H]<sup>+</sup>, 702.2 [M+Na]<sup>+</sup>, 1381.2 [2M+Na]<sup>+</sup>.



500 MHz<sup>1</sup>H NMR Spectrum of 3-*O-methanesulfonyl-gagamine* (A670) in CDCl<sub>3</sub>



125 MHz 13C NMR Spectrum of *3-O-methanesulfonyl-gagamine* (**A670**) in CDCl3







500 MHz 1 H NMR Spectrum of *3-O-chloroacetyl-gagamine* (**A671**) in CDCl3







ESI-MS of *3-O-chloroacetyl-gagamine* (**A671**)



500 MHz 1 H NMR Spectrum of *3-Bromidegagamine* (**A672**) in CDCl3



125 MHz 13C NMR Spectrum of *3-Bromidegagamine* (**A672**) in CDCl3



ESI-MS of *3-Bromidegagamine* (**A672**)





**Part III** The structure of known compounds **(A601-A669)**





A645

 $H_3CO$ 

A644

 $O^{\leq}$ A646 .<br>ЮН

ŌН





 $\overline{C}$ 

 $O_2N$ 

















A655











A659

ŐН

[

 $O^2$ 









A662



A664





A666







#### **References**

- [1] Huang, L.J. et al. Studies on cytotoxic pregnane sapogenins from *Cynanchum wilfordii.* Fitoterapia **101,** 107-116 (2015).
- [2] Huang, L.J. et al. Synthesis and evaluation of antifungal activity of C<sub>21</sub>-steroidal derivatives. Bioorganic and Medicinal Chemistry Letters **26,** 2040-2043 (2016).

[3] Huang, L.J. et al. C<sub>21</sub>-steroidal pregnane sapogenins and their derivatives as anti-inflammatory agents. Bioorganic and Medicinal Chemistry **25(13),** 3512-3524 (2017).