natureresearch

Tanuj Sapra, PhD
Corresponding author(s): Ohad Medalia, PhD

Last updated by author(s): Nov 10, 2020

Reporting Summary

Nature Research wishes to improve the reproducibility of the work that we publish. This form provides structure for consistency and transparency in reporting. For further information on Nature Research policies, seeAuthors & Referees and theEditorial Policy Checklist.

| Statistics | | |
|--|--|--|
| For all statistical analys | es, confirm that the following items are present in the figure legend, table legend, main text, or Methods section. | |
| n/a Confirmed | | |
| The exact sam | ple size (n) for each experimental group/condition, given as a discrete number and unit of measurement | |
| A statement o | n whether measurements were taken from distinct samples or whether the same sample was measured repeatedly | |
| The statistical Only common to | test(s) used AND whether they are one- or two-sided ests should be described solely by name; describe more complex techniques in the Methods section. | |
| A description of all covariates tested | | |
| A description of any assumptions or corrections, such as tests of normality and adjustment for multiple comparisons | | |
| | ion of the statistical parameters including central tendency (e.g. means) or other basic estimates (e.g. regression coefficient (e.g. standard deviation) or associated estimates of uncertainty (e.g. confidence intervals) | |
| For null hypot Give P values as | hesis testing, the test statistic (e.g. F , t , r) with confidence intervals, effect sizes, degrees of freedom and P value noted exact values whenever suitable. | |
| For Bayesian analysis, information on the choice of priors and Markov chain Monte Carlo settings | | |
| For hierarchical and complex designs, identification of the appropriate level for tests and full reporting of outcomes | | |
| Estimates of e | ffect sizes (e.g. Cohen's d , Pearson's r), indicating how they were calculated | |
| | Our web collection on <u>statistics for biologists</u> contains articles on many of the points above. | |
| Software and c | ode | |
| Policy information abou | ut <u>availability of computer code</u> | |
| Data collection | SerialEM (v3.8), JPK AFM (Nanowizard III) | |
| Data analysis | MatLab, JPK analysis software (v4.2), Punias 3D (v1.0, Release 2.2), Amira software (v6.0), Cytoscape (v3.4.0), LAMMPS package | |
| | om algorithms or software that are central to the research but not yet described in published literature, software must be made available to editors/reviewers. deposition in a community repository (e.g. GitHub). See the Nature Research guidelines for submitting code & software for further information. | |
| Data | | |
| - Accession codes, uni - A list of figures that | ut <u>availability of data</u> nclude a <u>data availability statement</u> . This statement should provide the following information, where applicable: ique identifiers, or web links for publicly available datasets have associated raw data restrictions on data availability | |
| All raw data of this work a | are available on request from T.S and O.M | |
| Field-speci | fic reporting | |
| Please select the one b | elow that is the best fit for your research. If you are not sure, read the appropriate sections before making your selection. | |
| X Life sciences | Behavioural & social sciences Ecological, evolutionary & environmental sciences | |

Life sciences study design

| All studies must di | sclose on these points even when the disclosure is negative. | |
|---------------------|--|--|
| Sample size | 51 independent experiments were performed over a period of 2 years. | |
| Data exclusions | No data were excluded | |
| Replication | This study used large number of repetition, both for mechanical (51 independent experiments resulting in 1946 data points - see Main text) and structural analysis (13 independent experiments). All experiments were successfully repeated. | |
| Randomization | For AFM, pushing experiments at different speeds or at different loading forces (force clamp) were performed on the same day so as not to bias the results. The experiments were performed over a period of several months. For EM, as no different conditions or parameters were tested, no randomization was done. | |
| Blinding | There was no blinding. The authors knew which experiments were being performed as the samples / parameters have to be determined before an experiment. For AFM, the parameters have to be provided to the software. For FM, known samples were prepared and therefore | |

Reporting for specific materials, systems and methods

Methods

blinding was not possible or even needed. This is also the standard protocol in both AFM and EM fields.

We require information from authors about some types of materials, experimental systems and methods used in many studies. Here, indicate whether each material, system or method listed is relevant to your study. If you are not sure if a list item applies to your research, read the appropriate section before selecting a response.

| n/a Involved in the study | n/a Involved in the study | | | |
|---|--|--|--|--|
| 🗶 🔲 Antibodies | ChIP-seq | | | |
| Eukaryotic cell lines | Flow cytometry | | | |
| ✗ ☐ Palaeontology | MRI-based neuroimaging | | | |
| Animals and other organism | ns | | | |
| Human research participants | | | | |
| X Clinical data | | | | |
| | | | | |
| Eukaryotic cell lines | | | | |
| Policy information about <u>cell lines</u> | | | | |
| Cell line source(s) | HeLa, Mouse embryonic fibroblasts | | | |
| Authentication | Describe the authentication procedures for each cell line used OR declare that none of the cell lines used were authenticated. | | | |
| Mycoplasma contamination | Cell lines were confirmed not to have mycoplasma contamination. | | | |
| Commonly misidentified lines (See ICLAC register) | Name any commonly misidentified cell lines used in the study and provide a rationale for their use. | | | |

Animals and other organisms

Wild animals

Field-collected samples

Materials & experimental systems

Policy information about studies involving animals; ARRIVE guidelines recommended for reporting animal research

Laboratory animals For laboratory animals, report species, strain, sex and age OR state that the study did not involve laboratory animals.

Provide details on animals observed in or captured in the field; report species, sex and age where possible. Describe how animals were caught and transported and what happened to captive animals after the study (if killed, explain why and describe method; if released, say where and when) OR state that the study did not involve wild animals.

Toleadou) say where and when on state the stady at hot involve wha animals.

For laboratory work with field-collected samples, describe all relevant parameters such as housing, maintenance, temperature, photoperiod and end-of-experiment protocol OR state that the study did not involve samples collected from the field.

Ethics oversight | Identify the organization(s) that approved or provided guidance on the study protocol, OR state that no ethical approval or guidance was required and explain why not.

Note that full information on the approval of the study protocol must also be provided in the manuscript.