GigaScience Accurate assembly of the olive baboon (Papio anubis) genome using long-read and Hi-C data

--Manuscript Draft--

Powered by Editorial Manager® and ProduXion Manager® from Aries Systems Corporation

All datasets and code on which the conclusions of the paper rely must be either included in your submission or deposited in [publicly available repositories](https://academic.oup.com/gigascience/pages/editorial_policies_and_reporting_standards#Availability) (where available and ethically appropriate), referencing such data using a unique identifier in the references and in the "Availability of Data and Materials" section of your manuscript.

Have you have met the above requirement as detailed in our [Minimum](https://academic.oup.com/gigascience/pages/Minimum_Standards_of_Reporting_Checklist) [Standards Reporting Checklist?](https://academic.oup.com/gigascience/pages/Minimum_Standards_of_Reporting_Checklist)

Accurate assembly of the olive baboon (*Papio anubis***) genome using long-read and Hi-C data**

Sanjit Singh Batra¹, Michal Levy-Sakin², Jacqueline Robinson³, Joseph Guillory⁴, Steffen Durinck^{4,5}, Tauras P. Vilgalys⁶, Pui-Yan Kwok^{2,3}, Laura A. Cox^{7,8}, Somasekar Seshagiri⁴, Yun S. Song^{1,9,10} and Jeffrey D. Wall^{3,*}

Computer Science Division, University of California, Berkeley, CA 94720; Cardiovascular Research Institut`e, University of California San Francisco, San Francisco, CA 94143; Institute for Human Genetics, University of California San Francisco, San Francisco, CA 94143; Department of Molecular Biology, Genentech, Inc., 1 DNA Way, South San Francisco, CA 94080; Bioinformatics and Computational Biology Department, Genentech, Inc., 1 DNA Way, South San Francisco, CA 94080; Department of Evolutionary Anthropology, Duke University, Durham, NC 27705 Center for Precision Medicine, Department of Internal Medicine, Section of Molecular Medicine, Wake Forest School of Medicine, Winston-Salem, NC 27101 Southwest National Primate Research Center, Texas Biomedical Research Institute, San Antonio, TX 78245 Department of Statistics, University of California, Berkeley, CA 94720; Chan Zuckerberg Biohub, San Francisco, CA 94158

*Corresponding Author: Jeff.Wall@ucsf.edu

杢

ABSTRACT

Background

Besides macaques, baboons are the most commonly used nonhuman primate in

- biomedical research. Despite this importance, the genomic resources for baboons are
- quite limited. In particular, the current baboon reference genome Panu_3.0 is a highly
- fragmented, reference-guided (i.e., not fully *de novo*) assembly, and its poor quality
- inhibits our ability to conduct downstream genomic analyses.
-

Findings

-
- Here we present a truly *de novo* genome assembly of the olive baboon (*Papio anubis*)
- that uses data from several recently developed single-molecule technologies. Our
- assembly, Panubis1.0, has an N50 contig size of ~1.46 Mb (as opposed to 139 Kb for
- 16 Panu 3.0), has single scaffolds that span each of the 20 autosomes and the X
- chromosome, and is freely available for scientific use from NCBI.
-

Conclusions

-
- We present multiple lines of evidence (including Bionano Genomics data, linkage
- information, and patterns of linkage disequilibrium) suggesting that the Panubis1.0
- assembly corrects large assembly errors in Panu_3.0. This in turn has led to an
- improved baboon annotation, making Panubis1.0 much more useful for future genomic
- studies.

Data Description

Introduction

 Baboons are ground-living monkeys native to Africa and the Arabian Peninsula. Due to their relatively large size, abundance and omnivorous diet, baboons have increasingly become a major biomedical model system (reviewed in [1]). Baboon research has been facilitated by the creation (in 1960) and maintenance of a large, pedigreed, well- phenotyped baboon colony at the Southwest National Primate Research Center (SNPRC) and an ability to control the environment of subjects in ways that are obviously not possible in human biomedical studies. For example, baboons have been used to study the effect of diet on cholesterol and triglyceride levels in controlled experiments where all food consumption is completely controlled [2] [3] [4]. In recent years, linkage studies in baboons have helped identify genetic regions affecting a wide range of phenotypes, such as cholesterol levels [5] [6], estrogen levels [7], craniofacial measurements [8], bone density [9] [10] and lipoprotein metabolism [11]. In addition, studies have also documented that the genetic architecture of complex traits in baboons can be directly informative about analogous traits in humans (e.g.,[10] [12]). The success of these and other studies have been mediated in part by recent advances in molecular genetics technologies. In particular, the ability to cheaply genotype and/or sequence samples of interest has led to a revolution in genetic studies of the associations between genotype and phenotype. While human genetic studies now routinely include the analyses of whole-genome sequence data from many thousands of samples (e.g., [13] [14] [15] [16] [17]), comparable studies in other organisms have lagged far behind. Part of the reason for this is the lack of genetic resources in non-human species. Large, international projects such as the Human Genome Project [18] [19], International HapMap Project [20] [21] [22] and the 1000 Genomes Project [23] [24] [25] have provided baseline information on sequences and genetic variation, while complementary efforts to quantify recombination rates have led to detailed genetic maps (PMIDs: 8600387, 12053178, 16224025, 20981099). Subsequent human genetic studies have utilized all of this background information. The first published baboon genome assembly was from a yellow baboon [26]. This assembly used a combination of Illumina paired-end and Illumina mate-pair sequence data (with mean library insert sizes ranging from 175 bp to 14 Kbp) to produce a highly fragmented assembly with contig N50 of 29 Kbp and scaffold N50 of 887 Kbp. The public olive baboon assembly, Panu_3.0, suffers from the same problem of having small contigs and scaffolds (contig N50 of 139 Kbp and *de novo* scaffold N50 of 586 Kbp) [27]. The authors of the public olive baboon assembly chose to distribute a reference- guided assembly with scaffolds mapped onto rhesus (*Macaca mulatta*) chromosomes. As a consequence, many syntenic differences between rhesus and baboon will result in large-scale assembly errors in Panu_3.0. One additional drawback of this baboon genome assembly was its informal embargo from 2008 to 2019 under the guidelines of the Fort Lauderdale agreement. Hence, its influence on scientific research has been negligible. In this project, we provide a high-quality, *de novo* genome assembly for olive

baboon (*Papio anubis*), which we call Panubis1.0, with the hope that this resource will

 enable future high-resolution genotype-phenotype studies. Unlike previous baboon genome assembly efforts, we use a combination of three recently developed technologies (from 10x Genomics linked-reads, Oxford Nanopore long reads, and Hi-C) to increase the long-range contiguity of our assembly. These newly developed technologies enable us to generate assemblies where the autosomes (and the X chromosome) are each spanned by a single scaffold at a cost that is orders of magnitude cheaper than the Panu_3.0 assembly. We also verify that most of the large- scale syntenic differences between our Panubis1.0 and Panu_3.0 are due to errors in 80 Panu 3.0 rather than Panubis1.0. Our assembly is available for scientific use without any restrictions. **Genome Sequencing** 85 Index animal: We used individual number 15944 (currently deceased) from the SNPRC pedigreed baboon colony for all of the sequencing and genome assembly work associated with this project. 10x Genomics sequencing: High molecular weight genomic DNA extraction, sample indexing, and generation of partition barcoded libraries were performed according to the 10x Genomics (Pleasanton, CA, USA) Chromium Genome User Guide and as 92 published previously ([28]). An average depth of ~60X was produced and analyzed for this project. Oxford Nanopore sequencing: Libraries for the Oxford Nanopore sequencing were constructed as described previously ([45]) using DNA derived from whole blood. The sequencing was conducted at Genentech, Inc. (South San Francisco, CA, USA); we 98 analyzed data with an average depth of ~15X for this project. Bionano optical maps: High-molecular-weight DNA was extracted, nicked, and labeled using the enzyme Nt.BspQI (New England Biolabs (NEB), Ipswich, MA, USA), and imaged using the Bionano Genomics Irys system (San Diego, CA, USA) to generate single-molecule maps for assessing breaks in synteny between Panu_3.0 and Panubis1.0. Hi-C sequencing: High molecular weight DNA from Jenny Tung (Duke University) was sent to Phase Genomics. ~15X Hi-C data was obtained using previously described techniques [46]. **Linkage disequilibrium analyses** 112 We estimated the scaled recombination rate ρ (= 4Nr where N is the effective population size and r is the recombination rate per generation) using LDhelmet [47] from 24 unrelated olive baboons [48]. We then identified potential breaks in synteny as 115 regions with total $\rho > 500$ and ρ / bp > 0.2 . We considered there to be evidence of a 116 synteny break if one of these regions was within 50 Kb of a potential breakpoint (as

identified in Panu_3.0 vs. Panubis1.0 comparisons). The false discovery rate, based on

- 118 randomly permuting the locations of LD-based potential breaks in synteny, is ~4%.
-

 To calculate recombination rates, we used a variant call set mapped onto the old assembly Panu_2.0, as described in [48]. For the potential breaks in synteny identified

above, we used liftover to convert the breakpoints into Panu_3.0 coordinates and

verified that Panu_2.0 and Panu_3.0 were syntenic with each other across the

- breakpoints.
-

 Finally, due to the inherent noise in linkage-disequilibrium based estimates of ρ, the lack of evidence for a synteny break in Panu_3.0 is not positive evidence that the Panu_3.0 assembly is correct.

Inference of crossovers in a baboon pedigree

 We utilized a previously described vcf file for the baboons shown in Figure 6 which was mapped using Panu_2.0 coordinates and lifted over to Panu_3.0 coordinates. We filtered for high quality genotype calls, considered only biallelic SNPs, and required a 135 depth ≥ 15, QUAL > 50 and genotype quality (GQ) ≥ 40 in order to make a genotype 136 call. We further required an allelic balance (AB) of > 0.3 for heterozygote calls and AB < 0.07 for homozygote calls, and excluded all repetitive regions as described in [48].

 We focused our analyses on those SNPs that were most informative about recent crossover events. For example, to detect paternal crossovers, we restricted our analyses to SNPs where the father (i.e., 10173 in Figure 6) was heterozygous, both mothers (9841 and 12242 in Figure 6) were homozygous, and all 9 offspring had genotype calls. (For maternal crossovers, we required 10173 to be homozygous and both 9841 and 12242 to be heterozygous.) For these sites, it is straightforward to infer which allele (coded as 0 for reference allele and 1 for alternative allele) was passed on from 10173 to his offspring. While the haplotypic phase of 10173 is unknown, we can infer crossover events based on the minimum number of crossovers needed to be consistent with the observed patterns of inheritance in the offspring of 10173 ([49]). For

- example, Figure 5c shows that the inheritance pattern near position 29.38 requires at
- least 3 crossovers (e.g., in individuals 17199, 18385 and 19348).
-

For each potential error in the Panu_3.0 assembly, we converted the breakpoint

- location into Panu_2.0 coordinates and verified synteny between Panu_2.0 and
- Panu_3.0 across the breakpoint region. We then determined whether there were an
- abnormally large number of crossovers inferred right at the breakpoint. Specifically, if
- we inferred at least 3 crossover events (out of 18 total meioses, 9 paternal and 9
- maternal), then we considered this as evidence that the Panu_3.0 assembly is incorrect,
- as in Figure 5c (cf. 'Linkage Support' column in Table 3). Note that the converse isn't
- true: fewer than 3 inferred crossover events is not evidence that the Panu_3.0 assembly
- is correct at a particular location.
-

Genome Assembly

 The main strength of our approach is in combining data from multiple platforms (10x Genomics linked-reads, Oxford Nanopore long-reads, Illumina paired-end short-reads, and Hi-C), which have complementary advantages (Figure 1). Figure 1 describes our assembly strategy. We began by assembling 10x Genomics reads generated with their Chromium system (average depth ~60x) using the SUPERNOVA assembler (version 1.1) [28], which yielded an assembly with a contig N50 of ~84 kb and a scaffold N50 of ~15.7 Mb (Table 1). The gap lengths between the contigs in a scaffold obtained by assembling 10x linked-reads are arbitrary [29]. Hence, in order to leverage the Oxford Nanopore long-reads for gap-closing, we split the 10X scaffolds at every stretch of non- zero N's to obtain a collection of contigs. We scaffolded the resulting contigs with Oxford Nanopore long-reads (average depth \sim -15X) using the LR Scaf [30] scaffolding method. This resulted in an assembly with a

 contig N50 of ~134 kb and a scaffold N50 of ~1.69 Mb (Table 1). These resulting scaffolds are more amenable to gap-closing, because the gap lengths (number of Ns between two consecutive contigs) are estimated by long-reads that span each gap and 180 align to the flanking regions of that gap.

 Upon performing gap-closing with the same set of Oxford Nanopore long-reads using 183 LR Gapcloser [31], we obtained an assembly with a contig N50 of ~1.47 Mb and a scaffold N50 of ~1.69 Mb (Table 1). Note that this increase in contig N50 of ~84Kb from 185 the 10x Genomics linked-read assembly, to a contig N50 of ~1.47 Mb, would not have been possible if we had simply performed gap-closing with the Oxford Nanopore long 187 reads directly on the 10x-based assembly without first splitting it into its constituent contigs. Finally, we polished the resulting assembly by aligning Illumina paired-end reads (average depth ~60X in PE150 reads) using Pilon [32].

 In order to scaffold the resulting assembly with Hi-C data, we first set aside scaffolds 192 shorter than 50 kb, which comprised only ~1.8% of the total sequence base pairs. This was done because Hi-C based scaffolding is more reliable for longer scaffolds, since there are more Hi-C reads aligning to longer scaffolds. We then ordered and oriented the remaining scaffolds using the 3D *de novo* assembly (3d-dna) pipeline [33] using ~15X Hi-C data generated by Phase Genomics [34]. Finally, we manually corrected misassemblies in the resulting Hi-C based assembly by visualizing the Hi-C reads aligned to the assembly, using Juicebox Assembly Tools [35], following the strategy described in [36]. Figure 2 shows Hi-C reads aligned to the resulting assembly with the blue squares on the diagonal representing chromosomes.

 The resulting *Papio anubis* genome assembly, which we name Panubis1.0, contains ~2.87 Gb of sequenced base pairs (non-N base pairs) and 2.3 Mb (<0.1%) of gaps (N's). Single scaffolds spanning the 20 autosomes and the X chromosome together contain 95.14% (~2.73 Gb) of the sequenced base pairs. We number the autosomes as chr1 to chr20, in decreasing order of the scaffold length, so some chromosome numbers in our convention are different from Panu_3.0's numbering. We note that Panubis1.0 has a contig N50 of 1.46 Mb, which is a greater than ten-fold improvement

 over the contig N50 (~139 kb) of the Panu_3.0 assembly. As a result, Panubis1.0 contains five times fewer scaffolds (12,976 scaffolds with a scaffold N50 of ~140 Mb) compared to the Panu_3.0 assembly (63,235 scaffolds with a scaffold N50 of ~586 Kb); see Table 2 for a further comparison of the two assemblies. Gene completion analysis of the assembly using BUSCO v2 and the odb9 Mammalia ortholog dataset [37]

- suggests that Panubis1.0 contains 93.00% complete genes, comparable to the
- Panu_3.0 assembly.
-

Y chromosome assembly

 The Hi-C scaffolding with 3d-dna yielded an ~8 Mb scaffold that putatively represents part of the baboon Y chromosome. Since, rhesus macaque is the phylogenetically closest species to baboons which has a chromosome-scale assembly, we aligned this putative baboon Y chromosome scaffold with the rhesus macaque Y chromosome (Figure 3). We observed a substantial amount of synteny between the putative baboon Y and the rhesus Y, comparable to what is observed between the chimpanzee Y and the human Y chromosomes. (For reference, genetic divergence between baboon and rhesus is similar to human – chimpanzee divergence [38].) The observed breaks in synteny are consistent with the well-documented high rate of chromosomal rearrangements on mammalian Y chromosomes [39].

Genome Annotation

 Annotation of the protein and non-protein coding genes was performed by NCBI (O'Leary et al. 2016, *Nucleic Acids Research*, Reference sequence (RefSeq) database at NCBI), based on RNA sequencing of 4 captive baboons at the SNPRC (BioProject PRJNA559725) as well as other publically available baboon expression data. Panubis1.0 contains 21,087 protein-coding genes and 11,295 non-coding genes. This is 239 a slight decrease in the number of protein-coding genes relative to Panu 3.0 (21087 vs 21,300) which can be explained by merging genes together (n=252), and an increase in the number of non-coding genes (11295 vs 8433). Panubis1.0 also contains slightly more pseudogenes (6680 vs 5998) and genes with splice variants (14526 vs 13693). Many of these differences may reflect insights gained from an improved assembly leading to an increased ability to map sequencing data; indeed, during genome annotation, 88% of RNA-seq reads mapped to Panubis1.0 while only 80% mapped to Panu3.0. Overall, most genes (66%) are highly similar or identical between Panubis1.0 and Panu_3.0. Of remaining genes, 13% of genes contain major changes (e.g. were split, moved, changed gene type, or changed substantially in completeness), 20% are novel

in Panubis1.0, and 12% deprecated from Panu_3.0.

Comparisons with the publicly available Panu_3.0 assembly

 We constructed chromosome-scale dotplots to identify large syntenic differences between the Panubis1.0 and Panu_3.0 assemblies (Figure 4) and examined more closely all of the large (>100 Kb) differences between the two (Table 3). We used several orthogonal sources of information to assess whether these differences were errors in our Panubis1.0 assembly or in the Panu_3.0 assembly. These included Bionano Genomics optical maps obtained from the same individual used for generating Panubis1.0, linkage information from a pedigree of baboons that were all sequenced to high coverage, and linkage-disequilibrium information from 24 unrelated olive baboons from the SNPRC pedigreed baboon colony. We manually examined each break in synteny between Panubis1.0 and Panu_3.0 to determine whether these independent sources of evidence supported one assembly over the other (summarized in Table 3). Overall, in 11 out of 12 large syntenic differences between Panubis1.0 and Panu_3.0, at least one of these independent sources provided evidence that the Panubis1.0 assembly is correct. These independent sources of evidence make it overwhelmingly likely that the Panubis1.0 assembly provides the correct order and orientation for the sequence. For the remaining large syntenic difference, it is difficult to conclude which 271 one of Panubis1.0 and Panu 3.0 is correct. An example of the nature of this evidence is displayed in Figure 5, which shows that the region starting at ~29.38 Mb and ending at ~44.71 Mb on scaffold NC_018167.2 in Panu_3.0 is inverted relative to the Panubis1.0 assembly. We provide additional information in support of the Panubis1.0 assembly from several other regions in Supplementary Figures S1-S5.

Conclusion

 The development and commercialization of new technologies by companies such as Illumina, 10x Genomics, Bionano Genomics, Dovetail Genomics and Phase Genomics has enabled researchers to cheaply generate fully *de novo* genome assemblies with high scaffold contiguity (e.g., [40]; [41]; [33]; [36]; [42]). When used in combination with long-read sequences (e.g., from Oxford Nanopore or Pacific Biosciences), these technologies can produce high-quality genome assemblies at a fraction of the cost of traditional clone library based approaches (e.g., [41]; [43]). In this context, our assembly Panubis1.0 provides a 10-fold increase in contig N50 size and a 240-fold increase in scaffold N50 size relative to Panu_3.0 at less than 1% of the reagent cost. The contiguity of this assembly will be especially useful for future studies where knowing the genomic location is important (e.g., hybridization or recombination studies). One natural question that arises with any new genome assembly is how one assesses that an assembly is *'correct'*. Indeed, some of the recently published Hi-C based assemblies have not provided any corroborating evidence supporting their assemblies

(e.g., [44]). Here, we used three independent sources to provide evidence that 11 out

of 12 large syntenic differences are correct in our new baboon assembly (Panubis1.0)

relative to the previous assembly Panu_3.0 (Table 3). These include two different

 sources that contain information about historical patterns of pedigree linkage or linkage disequilibrium across regions. In all, this is substantially more support for our assembly

than was produced by previous Hi-C based assemblies (e.g., [41]; [42]; [43]). Finally,

 we also note that these independent sources of evidence counter any potential criticism of the fact that our genome assembly (using individual '15944' from the SNPRC baboon colony) comes from a different individual from the previous baboon assembly (individual 1X1155 from the SNPRC baboon colony). In particular, the linkage and linkage disequilibrium based approaches that we used implicitly average across individuals, and make it much more likely that the differences that we observe are not due to polymorphic structural variation in olive baboons. **Availability of supporting data** All of the raw sequence data from individual 15944, as well as the Panubis1.0 assembly are available without restriction from NCBI under BioProject PRJNA527874. New RNA- seq data used for genome annotation are available under BioProject PRJNA559725. The genome annotation report and raw files can be found at

-
- **Competing interests**
- The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

[https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/genome/annotation_euk/Papio_anubis/104/.](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/genome/annotation_euk/Papio_anubis/104/)

Acknowledgements

 We thank Jenny Tung for providing the RNA sequencing data and some of the high-molecular weight DNA used in this study.

Funding

 The work was supported in part by NIH grants R24 OD017859 (to LAC and JDW), R01 GM115433 (to JDW), R01 GM094402 (to YSS), R01 HG005946 (to PYK) and by a Packard Fellowship for Science and Engineering (to YSS). YSS is a Chan Zuckerberg Biohub Investigator.

Author contributions

 JDW, LAC and YSS conceived the project. JG, SD, SS, MLS and PYK generated data for the project. MLS and SSB performed the genome assembly. SSB, MLS, JR, TPV and JDW performed the other analyses. SSB and JDW wrote the manuscript with

- contributions from all authors.
-

References

-
- 1. VandeBerg JL, Williams-Blangero S, Tardif SD. The Baboon in Biomedical Research. Springer Science & Business Media; 2009.
- 2. McGill HC, McMahan CA, Kruski AW, Kelley JL, Mott GE. Responses of serum lipoproteins to dietary cholesterol and type of fat in the baboon. *Arteriosclerosis*.
- 1981;1:337–44.
- 3. Kushwaha RS, Reardon CA, Lewis DS, Qi Y, Rice KS, Getz GS, et al. Effect of dietary lipids on plasma activity and hepatic mRNA levels of cholesteryl ester transfer protein in high- and low-responding baboons (Papio species). *Metabolism*.
- 1994;43:1006–12.
- 4. Singh AT, Rainwater DL, Kammerer CM, Sharp RM, Poushesh M, Shelledy WR, et al. Dietary and genetic effects on LDL size measures in baboons. *Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol.* 1996;16:1448–53.
- 5. Kammerer CM, Rainwater DL, Cox LA, Schneider JL, Mahaney MC, Rogers J, et al.
- Locus controlling LDL cholesterol response to dietary cholesterol is on baboon
- homologue of human chromosome 6. *Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol.* 2002;22:1720–5.
- 6. Rainwater DL, Kammerer CM, Mahaney MC, Rogers J, Cox LA, Schneider JL, et al. Localization of genes that control LDL size fractions in baboons. *Atherosclerosis.* 2003;168:15–22.
- 7. Martin LJ, Blangero J, Rogers J, Mahaney MC, Hixson JE, Carey KD, et al. A quantitative trait locus influencing activin-to-estrogen ratio in pedigreed baboons maps to a region homologous to human chromosome 19. *Hum Biol.* 2001;73:787–800.
- 8. Sherwood RJ, Duren DL, Havill LM, Rogers J, Cox LA, Towne B, et al. A genomewide linkage scan for quantitative trait loci influencing the craniofacial complex in baboons (Papio hamadryas spp.). *Genetics.* 2008;180:619–28.
- 9. Havill LM, Mahaney MC, Cox LA, Morin PA, Joslyn G, Rogers J. A quantitative trait locus for normal variation in forearm bone mineral density in pedigreed baboons maps to the ortholog of human chromosome 11q. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab.* 2005;90:3638–45.
- 10. Havill LM, Cox LA, Rogers J, Mahaney MC. Cross-species replication of a serum osteocalcin quantitative trait locus on human chromosome 16q in pedigreed baboons. *Calcif Tissue Int.* 2005;77:205–11.
- 11. Rainwater DL, Cox LA, Rogers J, VandeBerg JL, Mahaney MC. Localization of
- multiple pleiotropic genes for lipoprotein metabolism in baboons. *J Lipid Res.*
- 2009;50:1420–8.
- 12. Cox LA, Glenn J, Ascher S, Birnbaum S, VandeBerg JL. Integration of genetic and
- genomic methods for identification of genes and gene variants encoding QTLs in the nonhuman primate. *Methods.* 2009;49:63–9.
- 13. Telenti A, Pierce LCT, Biggs WH, di Iulio J, Wong EHM, Fabani MM, et al. Deep sequencing of 10,000 human genomes. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA.* 2016;113:11901–6.
- 14. McCarthy S, Das S, Kretzschmar W, Delaneau O, Wood AR, Teumer A, et al. A reference panel of 64,976 haplotypes for genotype imputation. *Nat Genet.* 2016;48:1279–83.
- 15. Halldorsson BV, Palsson G, Stefansson OA, Jonsson H, Hardarson MT, Eggertsson HP, et al. Characterizing mutagenic effects of recombination through a sequence-level genetic map. *Science.* 2019;363(6425):eaau1043.
- 16. Karczewski, Konrad J., et al. Variation across 141,456 human exomes and
- genomes reveals the spectrum of loss-of-function intolerance across human protein-coding genes. bioRxiv preprint. 2019. https://doi.org/10.1101/531210
- 17. https://www.nhlbiwgs.org/
- 18. Lander ES, Linton LM, Birren B, Nusbaum C, Zody MC, Baldwin J, et al. Initial sequencing and analysis of the human genome. *Nature.* 2001;409:860–921.
- 19. International Human Genome Sequencing Consortium. Finishing the euchromatic sequence of the human genome. *Nature*. 2004;431:931–45.
- 20. International HapMap Consortium. A haplotype map of the human genome. *Nature.* 2005;437:1299–320.
- 21. International HapMap Consortium. A second generation human haplotype map of over 3.1 million SNPs. *Nature*. 2007 Oct;449(7164):851.
- 22. International HapMap 3 Consortium, Altshuler DM, Gibbs RA, Peltonen L, Altshuler DM, Gibbs RA, et al. Integrating common and rare genetic variation in diverse human populations. *Nature*. 2010;467:52–8.
- 23. 1000 Genomes Project Consortium, Abecasis GR, Altshuler D, Auton A, Brooks LD, Durbin RM, et al. A map of human genome variation from population-scale sequencing. *Nature.* 2010;467:1061–73.
- 24. 1000 Genomes Project Consortium, Abecasis GR, Auton A, Brooks LD, DePristo MA, Durbin RM, et al. An integrated map of genetic variation from 1,092 human genomes. *Nature*. 2012;491:56–65.
- 25. 1000 Genomes Project Consortium, Auton A, Brooks LD, Durbin RM, Garrison EP, Kang HM, et al. A global reference for human genetic variation. *Nature*. 2015;526:68–
- 74.
- 26. Wall JD, Schlebusch SA, Alberts SC, Cox LA, Snyder-Mackler N, Nevonen KA, et
- 412 al. Genomewide ancestry and divergence patterns from low-coverage sequencing data
413 reveal a complex history of admixture in wild baboons. Mol Ecol. 2016:25:3469–83.
- reveal a complex history of admixture in wild baboons. *Mol Ecol*. 2016;25:3469–83.
- 27. Rogers J, Raveendran M, Harris RA, Mailund T, Leppälä K, Athanasiadis G,
- Schierup MH, Cheng J, Munch K, Walker JA, Konkel MK. The comparative genomics
- and complex population history of Papio baboons. *Science Advances*.
- 2019;5(1):eaau6947.
- 28. Weisenfeld NI, Kumar V, Shah P, Church DM, Jaffe DB. Direct determination of diploid genome sequences. *Genome Res*. 2017;27:757–67.
- 29. Ma ZS, Li L, Ye C, Peng M, Zhang YP. Hybrid assembly of ultra-long Nanopore reads augmented with 10x-Genomics contigs: Demonstrated with a human genome. *Genomics*. 2018, in press.
- 30. Qin M, Wu S, Li A, Zhao F, Feng H, Ding L, Chang Y, Ruan J. LRScaf: Improving
- Draft Genomes Using Long Noisy Reads. bioRxiv preprint. 2018.
- https://doi.org/10.1101/374868
- 31. Xu GC, Xu TJ, Zhu R, Zhang Y, Li SQ, Wang HW, Li JT. LR_Gapcloser: a tiling
- path-based gap closer that uses long reads to complete genome assembly. *GigaScience*. 2018;8(1):giy157.
- 32. Walker BJ, Abeel T, Shea T, Priest M, Abouelliel A, Sakthikumar S, Cuomo CA,
- Zeng Q, Wortman J, Young SK, Earl AM. Pilon: an integrated tool for comprehensive
- microbial variant detection and genome assembly improvement. *PLoS One*.
- 2014;9(11):e112963.
- 33. Dudchenko O, Batra SS, Omer AD, Nyquist SK, Hoeger M, Durand NC, et al. De novo assembly of the Aedes aegypti genome using Hi-C yields chromosome-length scaffolds. *Science*. 2017;356:92–5.
- 34. https://www.phasegenomics.com/
- 35. Dudchenko O, Shamim MS, Batra S, Durand NC, Musial NT, Mostofa R, Pham M,
- St Hilaire BG, Yao W, Stamenova E, Hoeger M. The Juicebox Assembly Tools module
- facilitates de novo assembly of mammalian genomes with chromosome-length scaffolds
- for under \$1000. bioRxiv preprint. 2018. https://doi.org/10.1101/254797
- 36. Matthews, Benjamin J., et al. Improved reference genome of Aedes aegypti informs arbovirus vector control. *Nature*. 2018;563:501–7.
- 37. Simão FA, Waterhouse RM, Ioannidis P, Kriventseva EV, Zdobnov EM. BUSCO:
- assessing genome assembly and annotation completeness with single-copy orthologs. *Bioinformatics.* 2015;31:3210–2.

 38. Perelman P, Johnson WE, Roos C, Seuánez HN, Horvath JE, Moreira MAM, et al. A molecular phylogeny of living primates. *PLoS Genet.* 2011;7:e1001342.

 39. Skov L, Schierup MH, Danish Pan Genome Consortium. Analysis of 62 hybrid assembled human Y chromosomes exposes rapid structural changes and high rates of gene conversion. *PLoS Genetics*. 2017;13(8):e1006834.

 40. Mostovoy Y, Levy-Sakin M, Lam J, Lam ET, Hastie AR, Marks P, et al. A hybrid approach for de novo human genome sequence assembly and phasing. *Nat Methods.* 2016;13:587–90.

- 41. Bickhart DM, Rosen BD, Koren S, Sayre BL, Hastie AR, Chan S, et al. Single- molecule sequencing and chromatin conformation capture enable de novo reference assembly of the domestic goat genome. *Nat Genet.* 2017;49:643–50.
- 42. Rice, Edward S., et al. Improved genome assembly of American alligator genome
- reveals conserved architecture of estrogen signaling. *Genome Research.* 2017;27:686– 696.
- 43. Kalbfleisch, Theodore S., et al. Improved reference genome for the domestic horse increases assembly contiguity and composition. *Communications Biology*. 2018;1:197.

 44. Nuss AB, Sharma A, Gulia-Nuss M. Chicago and Dovetail Hi-C proximity ligation yield chromosome length scaffolds of Ixodes scapularis genome. bioRxiv preprint. 2018. https://doi.org/10.1101/392126

 45. Jain M, Koren S, Miga KH, Quick J, Rand AC, Sasani TA, et al. Nanopore sequencing and assembly of a human genome with ultra-long reads. *Nat Biotechnol.* 2018;36:338–45.

- 46. Rao, Suhas SP, et al. A 3D map of the human genome at kilobase resolution reveals principles of chromatin looping. *Cell.* 2014;159:1665–1680.
- 47. Chan AH, Jenkins PA, Song YS. Genome-wide fine-scale recombination rate variation in Drosophila melanogaster. *PLoS Genet.* 2012;8(12):e1003090.
- 48. Robinson, Jacqueline A., et al. Analysis of 100 high-coverage genomes from a pedigreed captive baboon colony. *Genome Research.* 2019;29:848–856.
- 49. Coop G, Wen X, Ober C, Pritchard JK, Przeworski M. High-resolution mapping of crossovers reveals extensive variation in fine-scale recombination patterns among humans. *Science*. 2008;319:1395–8.
- **Figure 1. Illustration of our genome assembly strategy.**
- **Figure 2. The Hi-C map of our Panubis1.0 genome.** Each blue square on the
- diagonal represents a chromosome-length scaffold. Autosomes are listed first, ordered
- by size, and the last square corresponds to the X chromosome. The axes are labelled in units of megabases.
- **Figure 3. Dotplots showing chromosome Y synteny.** A dotplot between rhesus
- chromosome Y and Panubis1.0 putative chromosome Y is shown on the left, while a
- dotplot between the chimpanzee chromosome Y and the human chromosome Y is
- shown on the right. The axes labels are in units of megabases. The phylogenetic
- distance between baboon and rhesus macaque is similar to that between human and
- chimpanzee. Hence, the broadly conserved synteny between the rhesus and baboon
- putative chromosome Y as compared to the synteny between the chimp and human
- chromosome Y, suggests that the scaffold representing the putative chromosome Y in
- the Panubis1.0 assembly is indeed capturing at least a large part of chromosome Y.
- **Figure 4. Dotplots showing alignment of Panu_3.0 reference-assisted**
- **chromosomes vs. Panubis1.0 chromosome-length scaffolds.** The Panu_3.0
- assembly is shown on the Y-axis and the Panubis1.0 assembly is shown on the X-axis.
- Each dot represents the position of a syntenic block between the two assemblies as
- determined by the nucmer alignment. The color of the dot reflects the orientation of the
- individual alignments (purple indicates consistent orientation and blue indicates inconsistent orientation). The dotplots illustrate that there are chromosomes containing
- large inversions and translocations in the Panu_3.0 assembly with respect to the
- Panubis1.0 assembly.
- **Figure 5. Evidence for misassembly on chromosome NC_018167.2 in Panu_3.0.**
- **a)** Bionano optical map alignment to the Panu_3.0 assembly demonstrates there is an
- inversion on chromosome NC_018167.2 beginning at ~29.38 Mb and ending at ~44.71
- Mb. **b)** Estimates of the population recombination rate ρ near the potential synteny
- breaks of the inversion identified on chromosome NC_018167.2. **c)** Shown on the x-
- axis is positions along chromosome NC_018167.2 in Panu_3.0 where each row
- represents one of the 9 offsprings of sire 10173. Switches between red and blue within
- a row represent a recombination event. The two vertical black lines represent locations
- where three or more recombinations occur at the same locus indicating a potential misassembly.
- **Figure 6. Pedigree of baboons used in linkage analysis.**

512 **Table 1. Assembly statistics for each step of the adopted assembly strategy.**

513 Total Length of Scaffolds is the sum of lengths of scaffolds (including A, C, G, T and N)

514 in each scaffold. Total Gap Length is the total number of N's in the assembly.

515 Total Length of Contigs is the sum of the number of sequenced base pairs (including

516 only A, C, G and T) in each scaffold. BUSCO provides a way of measuring the

517 presence of genes conserved in mammals [37]. Since BUSCO reports complete genes

518 and fragmented genes, the BUSCO Score is the fraction of complete mammalian

519 BUSCO genes found in the assembly.

521

522 **Table 2. Comparison of Panubis1.0 with Panu_3.0 assemblies.**

 522
 523

526

527

528 **Table 3. Potential large (>100 Kb) assembly errors in Panu_3.0, ordered by size.**

529 Note that a 'no' in the 'Linkage support' or 'LDhelmet support' columns is inconclusive, 530 and should not be interpreted as support for the Panu_3.0 assembly being correct.

531

532 ¹ Unable to determine whether linkage and LDhelmet provide support at the end

533 breakpoint due to a lack of synteny between Panu_2.0 and Panu_3.0

534 ² Panu_2.0 assembly appears to be correct

535 3 BNG maps do not support a translocation with these breakpoints. However, they do 536 support a potential large SV at the starting breakpoint

537 4 BNG maps support the presence of a large SV, which may be a translocation

⁵ 538 Linkage data suggests a potential polymorphic inversion (in 16413) partially

539 overlapping with this interval

Assemble 10x linked-reads with SUPERNOVA v1.1

Click here to access/download Supplementary Material [Supplementary_Material.pdf](https://www.editorialmanager.com/giga/download.aspx?id=88681&guid=8c498f82-4f78-438d-a11f-409fb9001086&scheme=1)