Dynamic Cardiolipin Synthesis Is Required for CD8⁺ T Cell Immunity

Graphical Abstract



Highlights

- Cardiolipin is essential for *in vivo* and *in vitro* CD8⁺ T cell responses
- Active cardiolipin synthesis and remodeling occurs during T cell differentiation
- Cardiolipin synthesis supports CD8⁺ T_M cell development, metabolism, and function

Corrado et al., 2020, Cell Metabolism 32, 981-995

 T cell defects are evident in TAZ KO mice and in Barth syndrome patients

Authors

Mauro Corrado, Joy Edwards-Hicks, Matteo Villa, ..., David O'Sullivan, Edward J. Pearce, Erika L. Pearce

Correspondence

pearce@ie-freiburg.mpg.de

In Brief

Corrado et al. show that the mitochondrial membrane-specific lipid cardiolipin is required for the metabolic plasticity that is essential for effective CD8⁺ T cell function. Cardiolipin-deficient CD8₊ T cells fail to respond to pathogens and are not able to adapt to nutrient stress.





Article

Dynamic Cardiolipin Synthesis Is Required for CD8⁺ T Cell Immunity

Mauro Corrado,¹ Joy Edwards-Hicks,¹ Matteo Villa,¹ Lea J. Flachsmann,¹ David E. Sanin,¹ Maaike Jacobs,¹ Francesc Baixauli,¹ Michal Stanczak,¹ Eve Anderson,² Mai Azuma,¹ Andrea Quintana,¹ Jonathan D. Curtis,¹ Thomas Clapes,¹ Katarzyna M. Grzes,¹ Agnieszka M. Kabat,¹ Ryan Kyle,¹ Annette E. Patterson,¹ Ramon Klein Geltink,¹ Borko Amulic,³ Colin G. Steward,³ Douglas Strathdee,² Eirini Trompouki,¹ David O'Sullivan,¹ Edward J. Pearce,^{1,4} and Erika L. Pearce^{1,5,*}

¹Max-Planck Institute of Immunobiology and Epigenetics, 79108 Freiburg, Germany

²Cancer Research UK Beatson Institute, Glasgow G61 1 BD, UK

³School of Cellular and Molecular Medicine, University of Bristol, Bristol BS8 1TH, UK

⁴Faculty of Biology, University of Freiburg, 79098 Freiburg im Breisgau, Germany

⁵Lead Contact

*Correspondence: pearce@ie-freiburg.mpg.de https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cmet.2020.11.003

SUMMARY

Mitochondria constantly adapt to the metabolic needs of a cell. This mitochondrial plasticity is critical to T cells, which modulate metabolism depending on antigen-driven signals and environment. We show here that *de novo* synthesis of the mitochondrial membrane-specific lipid cardiolipin maintains CD8⁺ T cell function. T cells deficient for the cardiolipin-synthesizing enzyme PTPMT1 had reduced cardiolipin and responded poorly to antigen because basal cardiolipin levels were required for activation. However, neither *de novo* cardiolipin synthesis, nor its Tafazzin-dependent remodeling, was needed for T cell activation. In contrast, PTPMT1-dependent cardiolipin synthesis was vital when mitochondrial fitness was required, most notably during memory T cell differentiation or nutrient stress. We also found CD8⁺ T cell defects in a small cohort of patients with Barth syndrome, where *TAFAZZIN* is mutated, and in a *Tafazzin*-deficient mouse model. Thus, the dynamic regulation of a single mitochondrial lipid is crucial for CD8⁺ T cell immunity.

INTRODUCTION

Upon activation, CD8⁺ T cells shift from a quiescent to a highly active metabolic state where both glycolysis and OXPHOS increase (Bailis et al., 2019; Buck et al., 2017; Chang et al., 2013; Geltink et al., 2018; Klein Geltink et al., 2017; Sena et al., 2013). During this phase, mitochondria generate signals, such as reactive oxygen species (ROS) or metabolites, required to drive T cell differentiation (Bailis et al., 2019; Sena et al., 2013). Although effector T (T_E) cells increase aerobic glycolysis during in vitro culture, they display greater rates of oxidative phosphorylation (OXPHOS) in vivo (Ma et al., 2019). When the infection or cancer has been eradicated, the majority of T_E cells die, leaving behind a small number of long-lived memory T (T_M) cells that confer long-term immune protection. T_M cells engage catabolic pathways like fatty acid oxidation (FAO) to fuel mitochondrial respiration (Pearce et al., 2009; van der Windt et al., 2012). Overall, cellular metabolic changes supported by mitochondria are integral to a functional T cell response.

Mitochondria continuously undergo fusion and fission (Chan, 2020; Pernas and Scorrano, 2016), and Optic atrophy 1 (OPA1), a protein critical for mitochondrial shape and metabolism (Cipolat et al., 2004; Cogliati et al., 2013; Frezza et al., 2006), is required to generate T_M cells (Buck et al., 2016). Mito-

chondria also differ at the sub-organellar level, where different properties and lipid compositions exist between the inner (IMM) and outer mitochondrial membrane (OMM) (Frey and Mannella, 2000). The IMM is organized in discrete invaginations called cristae, where electron transport chain (ETC) complexes are located (Mannella et al., 2001; Wolf et al., 2019). Cardiolipin (CL) is exclusively synthesized and localized in the IMM and accounts for 15%-20% of the total phospholipid mass (Dudek, 2017). CL is a four-acyl chain lipid with a small negative glycerol polar head responsible for the negative curvature of the cristae. Here, CL binds to ETC complexes, making respiration more efficient and reducing ROS (Paradies et al., 2014), and also modulates substrate carrier activity and protein import (Paradies et al., 2019). When mislocalized to the OMM, CL recruits caspases, promoting apoptosis or triggering an inflammatory response (Gonzalvez et al., 2008; Iver et al., 2013). In addition to its role in heart and muscle (Dudek et al., 2019), CL synthesis is essential for systemic energy homeostasis to prevent insulin resistance (Sustarsic et al., 2018).

CL composition varies greatly in both acyl chain length and saturation, with the tetra-linoleic form (CL 72:8) being the most abundant species (Minkler and Hoppel, 2010). CL localization at the site of OXPHOS makes it susceptible to oxidation by cyto-chrome c (Kagan et al., 2005). When oxidation occurs,





Article



Figure 1. De Novo Cardiolipin Synthesis Is a Hallmark of CD8⁺ T Cells with a High-Reserve Respiratory Capacity

(A) OCR of mouse WT CD8⁺ T cells activated with α CD3 only or α CD3/ α CD28 and differentiated in IL-15 T_M cells for 6 days. OCR analysis at baseline and after exposure to α CD3/ α CD28 coated beads, Oligomycin (Oligo), FCCP, and Rotenone/Antimycin (Rot/Ant).

(B) Lipids extracted from cells activated and differentiated as in (A). Data show Log2FC and p value calculated with ANOVA test.

(C) Total CL content in cells treated and analyzed as in (B).

(D) OCR of mouse WT CD8⁺ T cells activated with α CD3/ α CD28 + IL-2 and cultured in complete medium until day 3, then cultured for 24 h in either complete medium (10mM glucose) or glucose restricted medium (1mM glucose) for 24 h. Normalized OCR at baseline and after (Oligo) FCCP and Rot/Ant injections.

phospholipases remove the altered acyl chain, generating an intermediate monolysocardiolipin (MLCL), which is then "remodeled" into mature CL by the enzyme TAFAZZIN (Hsu et al., 2013; Schlame, 2013). Mutations in TAFAZZIN result in reduced CL content in all cells (Schlame et al., 2003) and are responsible for Barth syndrome, an X-linked recessive human disease characterized by dilated cardiomyopathy, muscle weakness, and fatigue (Barth et al., 1983; Bione et al., 1996; Clarke et al., 2013). Neutropenia and susceptibility to infections have been reported in 90% of Barth syndrome patients, but mechanistic analyses into the impaired immunity is lacking (Steward et al., 2019). Clinically relevant total lymphopenia has only been described in one Barth syndrome patient, where it was a prelude to development of non-Epstein Barr virus (EBV)-associated T cell non-Hodgkin lymphoma following cardiac transplantation (Ronghe et al., 2001).

Our previous work has shown how changes in mitochondrial shape, cristae morphology, and function directly impact CD8⁺ T cell activation, differentiation, and functional T_M cell development (Buck et al., 2016; Klein Geltink et al., 2017; van der Windt et al., 2012). The role of CL presence, *de novo* synthesis, or remodeling in the assumption of distinct metabolic programs and mitochondrial function in T cells has not been investigated. Given these collective observations, and the pivotal function of CL in regulating OXPHOS and cristae structure, we set out to investigate the role of CL in the CD8⁺ T cell response.

RESULTS

De Novo Synthesis of Cardiolipin Is a Hallmark of CD8⁺ T Cells with High-Reserve Respiratory Capacity

We investigated how CL was modulated in CD8⁺ T cell culture settings that invoked higher spare respiratory capacity (SRC), a measure of a cell's ability to make extra ATP from OXPHOS upon increased energy demand (Nicholls, 2009). CD28 co-stim-



ulation during activation promotes SRC in $T_{\mbox{\scriptsize M}}$ cells generated in vitro with IL-15 (Figure 1A) and is also required for the generation of functional T_M cells in vivo (Klein Geltink et al., 2017). We analyzed lipids from interleukin (IL)-15 T_M cells activated with aCD3 or with aCD3/aCD28 and observed higher CL72:8 and CL72:6 (Figure 1B) as well as total CL content (Figure 1C) in cells activated with CD28 co-stimulation. Nutrient restriction also induces CD28-dependent mitochondrial elongation in CD8⁺ T cells (Klein Geltink et al., 2017) and the assembly of ETC supercomplexes in order to sustain viability and protect from cell death (Gomes et al., 2011). Thus, we generated in vitro IL-2 T_E cells and cultured them in 1 mM versus 10 mM glucose for 20 h before analyzing lipid composition. Culturing IL-2 T_E cells in 1 mM glucose for 20 h generated higher SRC (Figure 1D) (Klein Geltink et al., 2017). Lipidomics analysis revealed that various CL species were significantly enriched in 1 mM glucose (Figure 1E), increasing overall CL content (Figure 1F). We obtained similar results culturing IL-2 CD8⁺ T_E cells in a medium containing 10 mM galactose (instead of glucose) to force mitochondrial respiration (Chang et al., 2013) (data not shown). Of note, the metabolic rewiring that led to increased CL content (Figures 1B–1F) occurred without an increase in mitochondrial mass measured by TOM20 and MnSOD, ETC protein levels (Figures 1G, 1H, S1A, and S1B), MitoTracker Green dye accumulation (Figures 1I and 1J), and mtDNA/nuclear DNA (nDNA) ratio (Figures S1C and S1D). De novo CL synthesis in the 1 mM condition was needed to generate higher SRC and protect cells from starvation-induced death as these cells lost SRC (Figure 1K) and viability (Figure 1L) when treated with the PTPMT1-specific inhibitor alexidine dihydrochloride (AD).

In vitro generated IL-15 T_M cells are characterized by higher SRC (Figure 1M) as well as by elongated mitochondria together with greater mitochondrial mass (Buck et al., 2016; van der Windt et al., 2012). These cells also displayed a selective enrichment in CL (Figures 1N, 1O, and S1E), accompanied by higher

(E) Lipids extracted from cells activated and differentiated as in (F). Data show Log2FC and p value calculated with ANOVA test.

(O) Total CL content from IL-2 T_E and IL-15 T_M CD8⁺ T cells cultured as in (M).

⁽F) Total CL content in cells treated and analyzed as in (F).

⁽G) Immunoblot analysis of cells activated and differentiated as in (A).

⁽H) Immunoblot analysis of cells treated as in (D).

⁽I) MitoTracker Green staining in cells activated and differentiated as in (A).

⁽J) MitoTracker Green staining in cells activated and differentiated as in (D).

⁽K) SRC of CD8⁺ T cells activated as in (D) and cultured \pm AD during 20 h of glucose restriction.

⁽L) Survival of CD8⁺ T cells activated as in (D) and cultured ± AD during 20 h of glucose restriction.

⁽M) OCR of mouse WT CD8⁺ T cells activated with α CD3/ α CD28 + IL-2 and cultured in complete medium until day 3, then for additional three days in IL-2 (IL-2 T_E) or IL-15 (IL-15 T_M).

⁽N) Lipids extracted from WT CD8⁺ T cells differentiated as in (M). Data show Log2FC and p value calculated with ANOVA test.

⁽P) Lipids extracted from T_{EM} (CD44^{hi}CD62L^{lo}) and T_{CM} (CD44^{hi}CD62L^{hi}) cells isolated *ex vivo* from C57BL/6 mice. Data show Log2FC and p value calculated with ANOVA test from 9 animals per group.

⁽Q) Total CL content from T_{EM} and T_{CM} cells isolated as in (P). Dots represent individual mice.

⁽R) CD8⁺ T cells were activated with αCD3/αCD28 + IL-2 for 3 days and then differentiated into IL-15 T_M cells in presence of ¹³C-glucose. At indicated time points lipids were extracted and ¹³C-glucose derived carbons traced into CL 72:8.

⁽S) CD8⁺ T cells were activated with α CD3/ α CD28 + IL-2 for 3 days and then differentiated into IL-15 T_M cells for 72 h in the presence of ¹³C-glucose or ¹³C-linoleic acid ± CL synthesis inhibitor (AD). ¹³C-glucose and ¹³C-linoleic acid derived carbons traced into CL 72:8.

⁽T) CD8⁺ T cells were differentiated as in (S). Also shown is the percentage of isotopologue distribution of ¹³C-glucose and ¹³C-linoleic acid-derived carbons traced into CL 72:8.

Data shown as mean \pm SEM of \geq 3 independent experiments unless indicated. Statistical comparisons for two groups calculated by unpaired two-tailed Student's t test or ANOVA test, where indicated. Comparison among multiple groups (in [R] and [S]) calculated by One-Way ANOVA and correcting for multiple comparison using Tukey test. *p < 0.05; **p < 0.01; ***p < 0.001. See also Figure S1.







Figure 2. Cardiolipin Is Necessary for *In Vivo* and *In Vitro* CD8⁺ T Cell Responses (A) Schematic of the cardiolipin synthesis pathway.

(B) PTPMT1 expression in CD8⁺ T cells from PTPMT1 WT (*Ptpmt1^{flox/flox}*CD4-Cre^{-/-}) and PTPMT1-ΔT (*Ptpmt1^{flox/flox}*CD4-Cre^{+/-}) mice.

expression of PTPMT1 and CRLS1 (Figure S1F), as compared to what was seen in IL-2 T_E cells. Although there was up to a 1.5-/2fold difference in mitochondrial mass observed between IL-2 T_E and IL-15 T_M cells (considering mtDNA/nDNA ratio or TFAM expression; van der Windt et al., 2012), the CL amount increased almost three times, suggesting a specific enrichment in CL in the mitochondria of IL-15 T_M cells. In vitro culture and in vivo differentiation of T cells could be different (Ma et al., 2019). Therefore, we sorted CD8⁺ T effector memory (T_{EM} CD62L^{lo}CD44^{hi}) and CD8⁺ T central memory (T_{CM} CD62L^{hi}CD44^{hi}) cells from the same animal and analyzed their lipid composition. Lipidomics analysis showed CL 72:8 among the most significantly enriched lipids (Figures 1P and S1G) and almost exclusively responsible for the increase in total CL mass in CD8⁺ T_{CM} cells (Figure 1Q). Notably, human (h) CD8⁺CD45RO⁺ T_{EM} cells also had a higher basal and maximal respiration rate than did hCD8⁺CD45RA⁺ naive T (T_N) cells (Figure S1H). They also exhibited, although with higher variability, a trend toward the enrichment of specific CL species (Figure S1I) and higher total CL content (Figure S1J), without major changes in mitochondrial mass (Figure S1K). Taken together these data suggest that T cells, upon stimuli that provoke mitochondrial respiration (e.g., CD28 co-stimulation, glucose restriction, galactose culture, or IL-15 supported T_M cell development), rewire metabolism to increase mitochondrial efficiency through de novo cardiolipin synthesis before, or independently from, mitochondrial biogenesis.

Substrate Utilization Reveals Active De Novo Cardiolipin Synthesis and Remodeling during T_M Cell Differentiation

To gain insight into the metabolic rewiring responsible for the increase in CL content in IL-15 T_M cells, we performed ¹³C-glucose and ¹³C-linoleic acid tracing to measure incorporation of labeled carbons into CL. The first was used to measure whether the classic energetic substrate of IL-15 T_M cells was used for *de novo* CL synthesis (O'Sullivan et al., 2014), the latter to investigate CL remodeling by the acyltransferase TAFAZZIN, whose



main substrate is linoleic acid. Given the large number of carbons in a CL molecule (81 for a CL 72:8), it was not surprising to measure a natural occurrence of a few ¹³C atoms in a nonexogenously labeled molecule (Figures 1R and S1L, untreated samples, $0 \leq M \leq 3$). Thus, we considered isotopologues with a mass of M \geq +4 as a cut-off for our analysis (dashed line in Figures S1L and S1M). In IL-15 wild-type (WT) CD8⁺ T_M cells cultured with ¹³C-glucose, almost all the newly synthesized CL contained glucose-derived carbons (Figures 1R and S1L). We next treated cells cultured in IL-15 plus ¹³C-glucose or ¹³C-linoleic acid for 72 h with or without AD to inhibit de novo CL synthesis during T_M differentiation. The data showed that both glucoseand linoleic-acid-derived ¹³C accumulated efficiently in newly synthesized CL (Figures 1S and S1M) and revealed the differential molecular origin of carbon incorporation (Figures 1S, 1T, S1M, and S1N). When normalized to total CL, the percentage of M \geq +4 CL was reduced by AD only in cells cultured in ¹³Cglucose (Figure 1T), suggesting that glucose-derived carbons are incorporated through PTPMT1-dependent de novo CL synthesis, whereas linoleic acid molecules are incorporated into CL through active remodeling of CL (substitution of CL acyl chains), a process not affected by AD during $T_{\rm M}$ generation (Figure S1N).

Cardiolipin Is Essential for *In Vivo* and *In Vitro* CD8⁺ T Cell Responses

CL is synthesized in a two-step reaction in which phosphatidylglycerophosphate (PGP) is dephosphorylated by the mitochondrial phosphatase PTPMT1 into phosphatidylglycerol (PG) then fused to another diacylglycerol molecule (DAG) to generate CL by CRLS1(Zhang et al., 2011) (Figure 2A). To investigate the physiological relevance of CL in T cells, we crossed mice carrying floxed alleles of *Ptpmt1* with mice expressing CD4-Cre to selectively ablate *Ptpmt1* in T cells (*Ptpmt1*^{flox/flox}CD4-Cre⁻ mice are referred to as PTPMT1 WT, *Ptpmt1*^{flox/flox}CD4-Cre⁺ as PTPMT1- Δ T) (Figure 2B).

(H) Bacterial burden shown as CFU per μ g of liver of PTPMT1 WT and PTPMT1- Δ T mice 7 days post-LmOVA Δ Acta challenge. Dots represent individual mice. (I) T_N (CD62L^{hi}CD44^{lo}), T_E (CD62L^{lo}CD44^{hi}), and T_M (CD62L^{hi}CD44^{hi}) CD8⁺ T cells from PTPMT1 WT and PTPMT1- Δ T mice 7 days after primary infection. Dots represent individual mice.



(K) OCR/ECAR ratio of WT and Ptpmt1^{-/-} CD8⁺ T cells analyzed 7 days after secondary infection. Dots represent individual mice.

(N) CD5, CD44, CD25, and CD69 expression in Ptpmt1 WT and Ptpmt1^{-/-} CD8⁺ T cells 24 h after activation with aCD3/aCD28 + IL-2.

(O) Survival of *Ptpmt1* WT and *Ptpmt1^{-/-}* CD8⁺ T cells 24 h after activation.

(P) Cell proliferation assessed by CTV dilution of *Ptpmt1* WT and *Ptpmt1^{-/-}* CD8⁺ T cells 72 h after activation with aCD3/aCD28 + IL-2. Histogram representative of 4 independent experiments.

See also Figure S2.

⁽C) Cardiolipin content in freshly isolated Ptpmt1 WT and Ptpmt1^{-/-} CD8⁺ T cells.

⁽D) Percentage of CD8⁺ T cells in spleens from PTPMT1 WT and PTPMT1-ΔT mice. Dots represent individual mice.

⁽E) PTPMT1 WT and PTPMT1- Δ T mice infected i.v. with 1 × 10⁶ colony-forming units (CFU) LmOVA Δ Acta and challenged i.v. with 5 × 10⁷ CFU LmOVA 21 days after primary infection. Blood analyzed at indicated time.

⁽F) Percentage of CD8⁺ T cells in PTPMT1 WT and PTPMT1-ΔT mice infected as in (E). Dots represent individual mice.

⁽G) Percentage of CD8⁺ Tet⁺ T cells in PTPMT1 WT and PTPMT1-ΔT mice infected as in (E). Dots represent individual mice.

⁽L) *Ptpmt1* WT and *Ptpmt1^{-/-}* CD8⁺ T cells stimulated with PMA/Iono for 4 h. Also shown is the percentage of CD8⁺ T cells producing IFN-_Y and IFN-_Y MFI of cytokine-producing cells.

⁽M) Cytoplasmic calcium levels at baseline and after α CD3 crosslinking via IgG, tapsigargin (TG), and ionomycin (iono) in *Ptpmt1* WT and *Ptpmt1^-/-* CD8⁺ T cells. Representative of 3 experiments.

⁽P) Cell cycle analysis assessed by FxCycle + Ki67 staining of *Ptpmt1* WT and *Ptpmt1^{-/-}* CD8⁺ T cells 24 h and 48 h after activation with α CD3/ α CD28 + IL-2. (Q) Active proliferation assessed by Ki67 expression in *Ptpmt1* WT and *Ptpmt1^{-/-}* CD8⁺ T cells 24 h and 48 h after activation with α CD3/ α CD28 + IL-2.

Data shown as mean \pm SEM of \geq 3 independent experiments unless indicated. Statistical comparisons for 2 groups calculated by unpaired two-tailed Student's t test, *p < 0.05; **p < 0.01; **p < 0.001.



Lower amount of total CL (Figure 2C) and a reduction in CL 72:8 and CL 72:6 species (Figure S2A) confirmed the effective inhibition of CL synthesis in $Ptpmt1^{-/-}$ CD8⁺ T cells. CD8⁺ T_N cells from PTPMT1- ΔT mice showed no major differences in expression of subunits of ETC complexes, TOM20, or OPA1 in comparison with PTPMT1 WT cells (Figure S2B). PTPMT1- ΔT mice had grossly normal thymic development (Figure S2C) but peripheral lymphopenia with altered frequencies and numbers of TCR β CD8⁺ and CD4⁺ (Figures 2D, S2D, and S2E) and TCR $\gamma\delta$ CD8⁺ and CD4⁺ T cells (Figures S2F and S2G) in multiple organs.

To assess the effect of CL deficiency during CD8⁺ T cell responses in vivo, we infected PTPMT1 WT and PTPMT-ΔT mice with a sublethal dose of attenuated L. monocytogenes expressing Ova (LmOVA AActa) and then followed the total, as well as the Ova-specific CD8⁺ T cell response, for 3 weeks before challenging the mice with a higher dose of bacteria to evaluate recall responses and protective immunity. Although PTPMT1 WT mice mounted a primary CD8⁺ T cell response that peaked 7 days post-infection and an enhanced secondary response after challenge, PTPMT-AT mice failed to do so, mounting only a very limited Ova-specific response after secondary immunization (Figures 2E-2G). This defect resulted in the persistence of bacteria in the liver of PTPMT1-ΔT mice after secondary challenge (Figure 2H). A significantly higher proportion of T_N (CD62L^{hi}CD44^{lo}) compared to T_F (CD62L^{lo}CD44^{hi}) cells (Figures 2I) as well as a higher proportion of early T_E cells (EECs, KLRG1^{lo}CD127^{lo}) compared to short-lived T_E cells (SLECs, KLRG1^{hi}CD127^{lo}) and memory precursor T_{E} cells (MPECs, KLRG1^{lo}CD127^{hi}) (Figure 2J) was present in PTPMT1-ΔT mice 7 days post-infection, suggesting impaired T cell activation. Consistent with the role of CL in regulating cristae organization and OXPHOS efficiency, Ptpmt1-/- CD8+ T cells isolated 7 days post-challenge exhibited a lower OCR/ECAR (oxygen consumption rate/extracellular acidification rate) ratio relative to WT counterparts (Figure 2K).

The failure of $Ptpmt1^{-/-}$ CD8⁺ T cells to expand upon infection could be caused by defective T cell activation, a proliferative defect due to impaired mitochondrial biogenesis needed to keep up with cell division or increased cell death. We also found impaired interferon (IFN)-y production in freshly isolated Ptpmt1^{-/-} CD8⁺ T cells (Figure 2L). This defect was not due to differential cytoplasmic calcium handling, which was grossly similar between Ptpmt1 WT and Ptpmt1^{-/-} CD8⁺ T cells upon T cell receptor (TCR) activation (Figure 2M). CD69, CD44, and CD25 expression 24 h after α CD3/ α CD28 activation was higher in *Ptpmt1^{-/-}* CD8⁺ T cells (Figure 2N), corroborating the observation that the signals that drive early T cell activation were normal, at least by surface-marker expression. At the same time point, there was no sign of increased TCR stimulation-dependent apoptosis (Figure 20). Nevertheless, CL-deficient CD8⁺ T cells displayed a marked defect in cell cycle entry and progression at 24 h and 48 h post-activation measured by DNA content (Figure 2P) and Ki67 expression (Figure 2Q), resulting in impaired proliferation 72 h post-activation (Figure 2R). Comprehensively, these data suggest that CL is required for T cell cytokine production, cell cycle entry, and proliferation upon in vitro and in vivo antigen challenge.

Cell Metabolism Article

Unlike CD8⁺ IL-2 T_E Cells, CD8⁺ IL-15 T_M Cells Require PTPMT1 for Survival and Cytokine Production

To assess whether the differences in activation and proliferation (Figures 2L–2R) would affect in vitro IL-2 T_E or IL-15 T_M cell differentiation, we activated Ptpmt1 WT and Ptpmt1-/- CD8+ T cells for 3 days and then differentially cultured them in IL-2 or IL-15 to generate T_E -like cells or T_M -like cells, respectively. $\textit{Ptpmt1}^{-\prime-}$ IL-15 T_M cells showed a strong defect in survival (Figure S2H) and lower CD62L expression (Figure S2I) and IFN- γ production upon restimulation (Figure S2J) than did Ptpmt1 WT IL-15 T_M cells. *Ptpmt1^{-/-}* IL-2 T_E cell properties were largely unaffected with the exception of the persistent proliferative defect (Figures S2H-S2K). Lower mitochondrial membrane potential was observed both in IL-2 T_E and IL-15 T_M cells (Figure S2L, upper panel), whereas mitochondrial mass was unaltered (Figure S2L, lower panel). The metabolic deficiencies in $Ptpmt1^{-/-}$ IL-15 T_M cells were further highlighted by the absence of SRC (Figure S2M) and defective maximal glycolytic rate (Figure S2N).

Metabolic Reprogramming upon CD8⁺ T Cell Activation Requires Cardiolipin, but Not Its *De Novo* Synthesis

We found that CL is necessary for CD8⁺ T cell responses both in vitro and in vivo (Figure 2). Yet, whether CD8⁺ T cells needed de novo CL synthesis early during activation was not clear. We activated WT CD8⁺ T cells in the presence of the CL synthesis inhibitor AD during the first 48 h of stimulation, then washed out AD and differentiated the cells in IL-15 (Figure S3A). Lipidomics analysis of cells 48 h after activation, at the moment of AD wash out, showed no difference in total CL content with untreated cells (Figure S3B), although some changes were observed in the CL species profile (Figure S3C). Cells from the same experiment analyzed 3 days post-IL-15 T_M differentiation showed no defects in basal or maximal respiration (Figure S3D) or IFN-y production (Figure S3E). Then, we compared CL content of unstimulated cells with cells at different time points after αCD3/αCD28 stimulation (Figure 3A). Total CL content remained stable in the first 6 h after stimulation before strongly decreasing at later time points (Figure 3B). Of note, this drop occurred before the first cell division and without mitochondrial content dilution (Figure S3F). The drop in total CL was also paralleled by changes in acyl-chain composition (Figure 3C). CL 72:6 started to increase 24 h post-activation (Figure 3C). This observation would suggest that CL 72:6 could be the first CL species to be generated when the process of CL synthesis is re-activated, followed by CL 70:8, CL 70:6, and CL 72:9, whose increased levels are blunted by AD treatment during 48-h activation (Figures 3C and S3C). Overall, these results suggest that de novo synthesis of CL is not necessary for T cell priming and that its inhibition during early activation does not affect in vitro T_M cell differentiation later on.

Even though *de novo* synthesis of CL is not necessary for T cell activation the presence of a threshold level of CL is required for *in vivo* and *in vitro* immune responses (Figure 2). Considering the metabolic reprogramming that characterizes CD8⁺ T cells upon activation (Buck et al., 2017), we hypothesized that during antigen stimulation CL might maintain efficient mitochondrial metabolism, thus promoting proper T cell activation. To test this hypothesis, we performed experiments analyzing cytokine

Article





Figure 3. Metabolic Reprogramming upon CD8⁺ T Cell Activation Requires Cardiolipin, but Not Its De Novo Synthesis

(A) Schematic of CD8⁺ T cells activated with aCD3/aCD28 +IL-2. At indicated time points cell pellets were collected for lipidomics analysis.

(B) Total CL amount in CD8⁺ T cells treated as in (A)

(C) Cardiolipin species in CD8⁺ T cells treated as in (A).

(D) Schematic of WT CD8⁺ T cells activated with α CD3/ α CD28 +IL-2 with ± AD.

(E) Cytokine production of WT CD8⁺ T cells activated as in (D) analyzed at indicated time points.

(F) Schematic of WT and $Ptpmt1^{-/-}$ CD8⁺ T cells activated with α CD3/ α CD28 + IL-2.

(G) Cytokine production of WT and *Ptpmt1^{-/-}* CD8⁺ T cells activated as in (F) analyzed at indicated time points.

(H) WT CD8⁺ T cells activated with α CD3/ α CD28 + IL-2 in a medium containing 10mM ¹³C-glucose ± AD. Twenty-four h after activation cell pellets were collected for metabolomics analysis.

(I) WT and *Ptpmt1^{-/-}* CD8⁺T cells activated with α CD3/ α CD28 + IL-2 in a medium containing 10mM ¹³C-glucose. Twenty-four h after activation cell pellets were collected for metabolomics analysis.

(J) ¹³C-glucose derived carbons incorporated into indicated metabolites in WT CD8⁺ T cells treated as in (H). Data represent mean ± SEM of 3 samples per genotype.

(K) ¹³C-glucose derived carbons incorporated into indicated metabolites in *Ptpmt1* WT and *Ptpmt1^{-/-}* CD8⁺ T cells treated as in (I). Data represent mean ± SEM of 3 samples per genotype.

(L) Schematic of ¹³C-glucose tracing experiment results in WT CD8⁺ T cells ± AD, *Ptpmt1* WT, and *Ptpmt1^{-/-}* CD8⁺ T cells.

Data shown as mean \pm SEM of \geq 3 independent experiments unless indicated. Statistical comparisons for 2 groups calculated by unpaired two-tailed Student's t test, *p < 0.05; **p < 0.01; **p < 0.001.

See also Figure S3.



Article



Figure 4. Cardiolipin Synthesis Supports CD8⁺ T_M Cell Development, Metabolism, and Function

(A) Schematic of CD8⁺ T cells activated with αCD3/αCD28 + IL-2 for 48 h and then cultured ± AD for 72 h during IL-15 T_M cell development.

(B) Quantification of total CL content in IL-15 T_M cells cultured as in (A).

(C) OCR of IL-15 T_M cells cultured as in (A) at baseline and after exposure to αCD3/αCD28 coated beads, Oligo, FCCP, and Rot/Ant.

(D) IL-15 T_M cells were cultured as in (A) and then restimulated with α CD3/ α CD28 for 20 h. Percentage of producing IFN- γ cells, and IFN- γ MFI are shown. (E) Schematic of the activation of CD8⁺ T cells isolated from *Ptpmt1^{flox/flox}*Ert2-Cre^{-/-} (PTPMT1 iWT) *Ptpmt1^{flox/flox}*Ert2-Cre^{+/-} (PTPMT1 iΔT) mice and 4-OHT treatment

(F) CL content in Ptpmt1 iWT and Ptpmt1 i∆T CD8⁺ T cells cultured as in (E).

(G) OCR of Ptpmt1 iWT and Ptpmt1 i DT CD8+T cells cultured as in (E) at baseline and after exposure to PMA/Ionomycin, Oligo, FCCP, and Rot/Ant.

(H) Ptpmt1 iWT and Ptpmt1 iAT CD8+ T cells cultured as in (E) and stimulated with aCD3/aCD28 for 20 h. Percentage of producing IFN-y cells and IFN-y MFI are shown.

(I) Schematic of WT CD8+ T cells activated with aCD3/aCD28 + IL-2 for 48 h, cultured additional 24 h in IL-2 before performing Ctrl/Ptpmt1 CRISPR. 24 h after CRISPR, equal number of CRISPR Ctrl/Ptpmt1 KO cells were transferred in congenic recipient mice and donor cell frequencies followed for 21 days before LmOVA WT infection.

(J) Percentage of CD8⁺ CD45.2⁺ Tet⁺ CRISPR Ctrl/Ptpmt1 KO cells treated as in (I), shown as mean ± SEM.

(K) Bacterial burden shown as CFU per µg of liver isolated from mice treated as in (I) 3 days post-LmOVA challenge. Dots represent individual mice.

(L) Schematic of WT CD8⁺ T cells activated and cultured in IL-2 in the presence of BSA-conjugated 50 µM PG(18:1)2 or 50 µM PG(18:2)2 for 48 h.

(M) Quantification of CL species in IL-2 T_F CD8⁺ T cell cultured ± PG(18:2)2 for 48 h.

(N) Maximal cristae width measured in IL-2 T_E CD8⁺ T cell cultured as in (M).

(O) SRC of IL-2 T_E CD8⁺ T cells cultured as in (M) stimulated with aCD3/aCD28 coated beads.

(P) Cytokine production (normalized to untreated cells) measured in IL-2 T_E CD8⁺ T cells activated as in (M) and stimulated for 20 h with α CD3/ α CD28 + IL-2.

(Q) Schematic of adoptive transfer strategy of OT-I IL-2 CD8⁺ T_E cells – pre-treated as in (L) – into congenic recipient mice. Twenty-one days post-transfer, mice were infected i.v. with 1 \times 10⁶ CFU of LmOVA Δ Acta.

(R) Survival of donor cells 21 days post-transfer, expressed as number of donor cells in the spleen. Dots represent individual mice.

(S) Percentage of circulating donor cells 21 days post-transfer. Dot represent individual mice.

(T) Number of donor cells recovered from spleens of recipient mice 5 days after infection with LmOVA ΔActa. Dots represent individual mice.

production and metabolic properties of WT CD8⁺ T cells cultured with or without AD during activation, as well as of Ptpmt1 WT and Ptpmt1^{-/-} CD8⁺ T cells. WT cells were fully equipped with CL, and only de novo synthesis was pharmacologically inhibited. In *Ptpmt1^{-/-}* CD8⁺ T cells, as a result of lacking PTPMT1 and *de* novo CL synthesis, the cells were CL deficient. Cytokine production was tested at different time points post-activation (6 h, 24 h, and 48 h) (Figure 3D). Although pharmacological inhibition of PTPMT1 by AD did not affect cytokine production (Figures 3D and 3E), $Ptpmt1^{-/-}$ CD8⁺ T cells showed a marked reduction in IFN-y and tumor necrosis factor (TNF) production with unaltered IL-2 synthesis (Figures 3F and 3G). Then, we traced ¹³Cglucose during the first 24 h post-aCD3/aCD28 stimulation in WT CD8⁺ T cells cultured with or without AD and in Ptpmt1 WT and $Ptpmt1^{-/-}$ CD8⁺ T cells (Figures 3H and 3I). Both WT CD8⁺ T cells incubated with or without AD (Figures 3J and S1G-S1I) and Ptpmt1 WT and Ptpmt1-/- T cells (Figures 3K and S3L-S3N) acquired glucose equally and then metabolized it to pyruvate and lactate to the same extent. However, although pyruvate entered the tricarboxylic acid (TCA) cycle and ¹³C carbons were incorporated into TCA cycle intermediates in both in WT CD8⁺ T cells incubated with or without AD (Figures 3J, S1J, and S1K) as well as in Ptpmt1 WT control CD8⁺ T cells (Figures 3K, S3O, and S3P), it was preferentially shunted to alanine with decreased incorporation into TCA cycle intermediates in $Ptpmt1^{-/-}$ T cells (Figure 3L). Consistent with the role of PTPMT1 in modulating SDH function (Nath et al., 2015), AD-treated WT cells had lower levels of ¹³C-glucose-derived carbon incorporation into fumarate (Figure 3J). These results highlight that CD8⁺ T cells require basal levels of CL, but not its de novo synthesis, to allow metabolic activation upon TCR triggering.

Cardiolipin Synthesis Supports CD8 $^+$ T_M Cell Development, Metabolism, and Function

PTPMT1-\DeltaT mice allowed us to investigate the role of de novo CL synthesis in T cell responses in vivo. However, this mouse model produced T cells deficient for Ptpmt1 from embryonic development and therefore did not allow us to test the role of de novo CL synthesis exclusively in T_M development. We tackled this question from multiple angles by using pharmacological inhibition (Figure 4A), inducible genetic deletion (Figures 4E), and a CRISPR approach (Figure 4I). Inhibition of PTPMT1 with AD during IL-15 T_M differentiation (Figure 4A) reduced total CL content (Figure 4B) by additive reduction of the most abundant CL species in CD8⁺ T cells (Figure S4A). AD worked selectively on CL, because variations in other lipids were limited (Figure S4A). CL synthesis is a slow process, and IL-15 T_M cells did not show alterations in OCR upon direct AD treatment (Figure S4B). Mitochondrial mass (Figure S4C, left panel) or ETC complex levels were not altered (Figure S4C, right panel), although AD treatment induced accumulation of short forms of OPA1 (Figure S4C, right panel), commonly observed during mitochondrial fission (Ban et al., 2017; Cipolat et al., 2006; Cogliati et al., 2013). We previously described how $T_{\mbox{\scriptsize M}}$ cells have tight cristae with closely associated ETC complexes, which



renders their mitochondrial membranes less susceptible to digitonin disruption than those of T_E cells (Buck et al., 2016). Hypothesizing that CL might play a key role in this process, we performed a similar experiment where untreated or ADtreated IL-15 T_M cells were incubated with increasing concentrations of digitonin followed by separation of the crude membrane-bound fraction from solubilized proteins by centrifugation. We found a greater proportion of ETC complex subunits in the soluble fraction of AD-treated cells (Figure S4D), indicating that ETC complexes were more loosely embedded in the IMM or that the protein/lipid ratio was altered, because the membranes were more susceptible to digitonin disruption when CL synthesis was inhibited. Electron micrographs of untreated and AD-treated IL-15 T_M cells further confirmed cristae structure alterations with increased maximal cristae width (Figure S4E), and live-cell imaging revealed mitochondrial fragmentation (Figure S4F) upon CL synthesis inhibition. In vitro IL-15 T_M rely on OXPHOS to meet their energetic needs (Buck et al., 2017). In line with this, only IL-15 T_M cells exhibited a survival defect when CL synthesis was inhibited (Figure S4G). Furthermore, AD treatment impaired CD62L expression (Figure S4H), SRC (Figure 4C), and IFN-γ production (Figure 4D) in IL-15 T_M cells.

Although our data showed that AD selectively inhibited CL synthesis (Figures 4B and S4A) to rule out the possibility of offtarget effects of the drug we crossed a tamoxifen (4-OHT) inducible (Ert2-CRE) mouse to the Ptpmt1^{flox/flox} mouse to generate a strain (Ptpmt1 iAT) whose cells could be inducibly deleted of Ptpmt1 upon exposure to 4-OHT in vitro. To avoid any effect on activation and early differentiation, we induced Ptpmt1 deletion in vitro only during T_M cell differentiation in IL-15 (Figures 4E and S4I), without a reduction in Crls1 and Tafazzin (Taz) expression levels (Figure S4I). Ptpmt1 i∆T cells recapitulated results from AD-treated cells showing lower CL content than in Ptpmt1^{flox/flox}Ert2-Cre⁻ (Ptpmt1 iWT) T cells subjected to the same 4-OHT treatment (Figure 4F). IL-15 Ptpmt1 i∆T cells also showed a survival defect and a lower CD62L expression (Figures S4J), SRC (Figure 4G), and IFN- γ production (Figure 4H) than did Ptpmt1 iWT T cells (Figure S4K).

Finally, to test the role of PTPMT1 in vivo during T_M cell generation, we used a CRISPR approach. We activated OT-I cells in vitro and deleted Ptpmt1 only at the peak of effector phase (3 days post-activation), which allowed normal T cell activation and expansion prior to deleting Ptpmt1. After an additional 24 h, we transferred an equal number of CRISPR Ctrl and CRISPR Ptpmt1 knockout (KO) cells into congenic mice and tracked donor cells for 21 days before LmOVA infection (Figure 4I). CRISPR Ptpmt1 KO cells exhibited lower survival in vivo during the T_E cell contraction phase (Figure 4J). Strikingly, when mice were then infected with LmOVA, only CRISPR Ctrl cells expanded, whereas CRISPR Ptpmt1 KO cells failed to do so (Figure 4J). As a result, only CRISPR Ctrl cells were able to efficiently clear bacteria from the liver of infected mice (Figure 4K). In summary, PTPMT1 and CL synthesis support CD8⁺ T_M cell generation both in vitro and in vivo.

Data shown as mean \pm SEM of \geq 3 independent experiments unless indicated. Statistical comparisons for 2 groups calculated by unpaired two-tailed Student's t test, *p < 0.05; **p < 0.01; ***p < 0.001. See also Figure S4.



Enhancing Cardiolipin Synthesis Promotes the Generation of Memory-like CD8⁺ T Cells

T cells with elongated mitochondria and tight cristae are more fit in adoptive cellular immunotherapy models in mice (Buck et al., 2016). We asked whether increasing CL content, which could promote cristae tightness, would also have a similar effect on T cell fitness. To increase CL content we supplemented IL-2 TE and IL-15 T_M cells with different forms of the CL precursor phosphatidylglycerol (PG), i.e., PG(18:2)2 and PG(18:1 Δ 9-cis)2, for 48 h (Figure 4L). We found that, without affecting the overall lipidome (Figure S4L), only PG(18:2)2 increased total CL content as well as CL 72:6 and CL 72:8 in both IL-2 T_{E} and IL-15 T_{M} cells (Figures 4M and S4M-S4O). PG(18:1)2 did not increase total CL or the main CL forms containing oleic acid CL 72:4, Cl 72:5, and CL 70:4 (data not shown). The higher CL content observed in IL-2 T_E cells, although not sufficient to counteract mitochondrial fragmentation (Figure S4P), tightened cristae (Figures 4N and S4Q) and resulted in higher SRC (Figure 4O), and increased IFN- γ , TNF production (Figure 4P), and expression of CD25 and CD62L (Figure S4R). The further increase in CL content in IL-15 T_M cells mediated by PG(18:2)2 (Figure S4M) did not induce changes in surface marker expression (Figure S4R), SRC (Figure S4S), or cytokine production (Figure S4T), suggesting the existence of a maximal threshold for CL content to modulate T cell function. To investigate whether these observations held true in vivo, we adoptively transferred untreated and PG(18:2)2treated OT-IIL-2 T_E cells into congenic mice and tracked cell survival and responses against LmOVA Δ Acta infection (Figure 4Q). There were no differences in the number of donor cells in the spleen (Figure 4R), with only a trend toward more circulating PG(18:2)2-treated cells 21 days post-cell transfer (Figure 4S), suggesting no difference in long-term survival between the two populations. However, 5 days after LmOVA AActa infection, a greater number of PG(18:2)2-treated cells were recovered in the spleen of infected mice (Figure 4T). These data raise the possibility that higher CL content protects cristae structure upon restimulation, limiting cytochrome c release and activationinduced cell death (AICD) (Corrado et al., 2016). Of note, PG(18:2)2 supplementation in the context of 4-OHT-induced deletion of Ptpmt1 in IL-15 T_M cells (Figure S4U) did not rescue CL content (Figure S4V), survival (Figure S4W), or cytokine production (Figure S4X), likely reflecting inefficient incorporation of external PG into CL in the absence of PTPMT1 (Serricchio et al., 2018). Collectively, these data show that enhancing CL content in vitro and in vivo generates T cells that are metabolically and functionally fit.

CD8⁺ T Cell Defects Observed in TAZ KO Mice Are Not Intrinsic to CD8⁺ T Cells

Given the functions of CL in CD8⁺ T cell biology outlined here, we set out to investigate the role of *Tafazzin* in T cells in a mouse model of Barth syndrome and in a small cohort of Barth syndrome patients. We analyzed CL content in CD8⁺ T cells isolated from *Tafazzin* KO mice (full-body deletion) (Figure 5A) at 20 weeks of age, when cardiomyopathy and muscle weakness start to develop (Cadalbert et al., 2015). CL deficiency was not only limited to muscles but also observed in CD8⁺ T cells of *Tafazzin* KO mice (Figure 5B and 5C) together with a slight but significant reduction in CD62L^{hi}CD44^{hi} T_{CM} cells (Figure 5D). Upon *in vitro*

Cell Metabolism Article

stimulation, *Tafazzin* KO CD8⁺ T cells produced less IFN- γ (Figure 5E–5G) and proliferated less efficiently (Figure 5H). In addition, IL-15 T_M CD8⁺ *Tafazzin* KO cells showed an accumulation of short forms of OPA1, a reduction in CIII protein levels (Figure S5A), and altered expression of CD25 and CD62L (Figure S5B) in comparison with IL-2 T_E cells.

To understand whether the T cell impairment observed in mice with total body deletion of Tafazzin was CD8⁺ T cell intrinsic, we generated Taz CRISPR KO OT-I cells and investigated, in vitro and in vivo, the result of TAZ acute deletion on T cell activation, expansion, and response to infection (Figure S5C). The absence of TAFAZZIN (Figures S5D and S5E) did not change the overall lipid profile (Figure S5F), CL amount (Figure S5G), or composition (Figure S5H) comparable to those of Ctrl CRISPR T cells 6 h post-activation in vitro, the same time point when we observed alterations in CLs in WT cells (Figure 3C), as well as the activation-induced expression of CD5, CD25, CD44, and CD69 (Figure S5I). Thus, TAFAZZIN was dispensable for CD8⁺ T cell activation. To translate these observations in vivo, we adoptively transferred Ctrl and Taz CRISPR KO OT-I cells into recipient mice and followed their response to LmOVA infection (Figure S5J). Despite Taz CRISPR KO CD8⁺ T cells showing a defective switch from EEC to SLEC (Figures S5K and S5L) (both after primary and secondary challenge), similar to what observed in PTPMT1-∆T mice (Figure 2J), CD62L and CD44 expression was similar (Figure S5M). Moreover, Taz CRISPR KO cells responded to antigen stimulation similarly to Ctrl cells and generated T_M cells that efficiently expanded upon secondary infection (Figure S5J). As per TAZ function, 7 days post-secondary infection-sorted CD8+ OT-I Taz CRISPR KO OT-I cells showed a reduced level of CL in comparison with WT cells (Figures S5N and S5O). Nevertheless, even increasing the window between primary and secondary LmOVA challenge from 3 weeks to 2 months did not alter CD8⁺ T cell function but confirmed defects in the EEC to SLEC transition (Figures S5Q-S5T). In both experiments. Taz CRISPR KO CD8⁺ T cells cleared bacteria from the liver of infected mice (Figures S5P and S5U). Overall, these data suggest that the defects observed in the T cell compartment of TAZ KO mice either are not CD8⁺ T cell intrinsic or might derive from hematopoietic lineage developmental alterations.

T Cell Defects in a Small Cohort of Barth Syndrome Patients

We analyzed peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMCs) from 5 patients under the care of the NHS Barth syndrome Service (Barth syndrome group average age 13 years, min 6 years, max 28 years; healthy donor group average age 28 years, min 22 years, max 39 years) with pathology confirmed both by MLCL/CL(18:2)4 ratio (Figure 5I) and *TAFAZZIN* sequencing (data not shown). Patients that had undergone cardiac transplantation, and hence were on immunosuppressive drugs, were excluded from the analysis. We found that patients had significantly lower relative frequencies of CD8⁺ T cells in PBMCs than did healthy donors (Figure 5J), with no apparent differences in the CD4⁺ T cell compartment (Figure S5V). Although no differences were detected in the expression of naive/memory CD45RA and CD45RO (Figure S5W), nor in surface markers of different CD8⁺ T_M subpopulations (Figure S5X), CD8⁺ T cells

Article





Figure 5. CD8⁺ T Cell Defects n Tafazzin-Deficient Mice and in a Small Cohort of Barth Syndrome Patients

(A) Immunoblot analysis of cell extracts from freshly isolated WT and *Tafazzin* KO CD8⁺ T cells.

(B) Lipids extracted from freshly isolated CD8⁺ T cells from 20-week old WT and *Tafazzin* KO. Data show Log2FC and p value calculated with ANOVA test. (C) Total CL amount in freshly isolated CD8⁺ T cells from 20-week old WT and *Tafazzin* KO mice. Dots represent individual mice.

(D) T_N (CD62L^{hi}CD44^{ho}), T_{EM} (CD62L^{lo}CD44^{hi}), and T_{CM} (CD62L^{hi}CD44^{hi}) CD8⁺ T cells from 20-week–old WT and *Tafazzin* KO mice. Dots represent individual mice. (E–G) Representative flow cytometry analysis (E), percentage of IFN γ producing CD8⁺ T cells (F), and IFN- γ MFI of CD8⁺ IFN- γ^+ T cells (G) of freshly isolated CD8⁺ T cells from 20-weeks old WT and *Tafazzin* KO mice stimulated for 4 h with PMA/iono. Dots represents individual mice.

(H) Cell proliferation of CD8⁺ T cells from 20-week-old WT and *Tafazzin* KO mice 48 h after activation with α CD3/ α CD28 + IL-2. Dots represent individual mice. (I) Monolysocardiolipin/cardiolipin (18:2)4 ratio in healthy donors and Barth syndrome patients. Dots represent individual donors.

(J) Representative flow cytometry analysis and quantification of the percentage of CD8⁺ T cells in hPBMCs from healthy donors and Barth syndrome patients. Dots represent individual donors.

(K) Representative flow cytometry analysis and quantification of IFN-γ production of CD8⁺ T cells from healthy donors and Barth syndrome patients activated with PMA/iono for 5 h. Dots represent individual donors.

Data shown as mean \pm SEM of \geq 3 independent experiments unless indicated. Statistical comparisons for two groups calculated by unpaired two-tailed Student's t test or Anova test, where indicated, *p < 0.05; **p < 0.01; ***p < 0.001. See also Figure S5.



from Barth syndrome patients showed a trend toward impaired IFN- γ production after stimulation (Figure 5K). Overall, our analysis suggests a possible CD8⁺ T cell impairment in patients affected by Barth syndrome.

DISCUSSION

Although metabolic reprogramming during the adaptive immune response has been widely explored in recent years, the role of mitochondrial lipid composition and, in particular, CL in this scenario remained uninvestigated. Our study shows that the dynamic regulation of synthesis and remodeling of a single lipid confers to T cell mitochondria the plasticity necessary for adapting to the different metabolic and functional requirements during all phases of the T cell response—from activation, to expansion, contraction, and eventually long-term immune protection.

In the absence of CL, PTPMT1- Δ T mice mounted a defective CD8⁺ T cell response upon infection. We found that de novo CL synthesis was not necessary for T cell activation, but the presence of a sufficient initial pool of CL in the mitochondrial membrane was required. Indeed, ex vivo-activated Ptpmt1^{-/-} CD8⁺ T cells were defective in cytokine production and metabolic adaptation even before a proliferative defect emerged. During activation the CL profile is modulated in human T cells (Mürke et al., 2016), whereas in murine CD4⁺ T cells stomatin-like protein 2 (SLP2) organizes CL in lipid rafts (Christie et al., 2012). Given the interaction of CL with different subunits of the ETC, and the burst in ROS during T cell activation (Dudek, 2017; Sena et al., 2013), it is tempting to speculate that CL modifications/oxidations are required for the metabolic shifts that occur during early T cell activation and that Ptpmt1 ablation might alter ROS production upon TCR triggering. It also remains to be determined whether during antigen stimulation ROS causes CL oxidation to instruct mitochondrial structural and metabolic reprograming or whether ROS acts in a CL-independent manner.

Our lab previously showed that *Opa1*-deficient T cells are able to form T_E cells after primary infection with a later failure in T_M cell generation (Buck et al., 2016). Here, we characterize how *Ptpmt1^{-/-}* T cells have a broader defective phenotype, failing to activate properly and expand into T_E cells. Thus, whereas OPA1 and CL might in part functionally share the role of maintaining cristae structure, the different nature of a protein versus a lipid, a specific signaling role for CL during T cell activation, and a more structural requirement for CL synthesis for mitochondrial biogenesis during T cell expansion might contribute to the differential cellular behavior of the two models.

When autophagy is triggered by low nutrient availability, mitochondria elongate to sustain viability and avoid starvationinduced cell death (Buck et al., 2016; Gomes et al., 2011). This change is accompanied by an increase in the number of cristae, assembly of respiratory chain supercomplexes, and in the dimerization and activity of the ATP synthase (Balsa et al., 2019; Gomes et al., 2011). Here we show that upon glucose restriction, cellular metabolism rapidly responds by synthesizing CL, independently from changes in mitochondrial mass, thus increasing SRC and limiting apoptosis. Of note, both these pro-survival features are lost if *de novo* CL synthesis is inhibited during glucose restriction. CL binds selectively but transiently to the ATP syn-

Cell Metabolism

thase, affecting its supramolecular organization (Acehan et al., 2011; Duncan et al., 2016; Mehdipour and Hummer, 2016), as well as to complex I (Jussupow et al., 2019) and complex II (Schwall et al., 2012), modulating their stability and function. Of note, in our experiments the subunit levels of complex I and complex II (NDUFB8 and SDHB, respectively) are modulated together with CL during glucose restriction, or upon CD28 costimulation, which also results in increased OXPHOS efficiency and higher CL content.

Safeguarding mitochondrial integrity and metabolism is instrumental in generating long-lived and fit T_M cells (Buck et al., 2016). Indeed, mitochondrial fusion-fission cycles allow the segregation and elimination of dysfunctional mitochondria through autophagy (Pickles et al., 2018). Induction of autophagy sustains T_M cell development, whereas its inhibition combined with mitochondrial dysfunction contributes to AICD of short-lived T_E cells (Corrado et al., 2016; Puleston et al., 2014; Xu et al., 2014). We show that *de novo* CL synthesis is required to increase mitochondrial metabolic capacity and allow AICD resistance during T_M cell differentiation. Thus, increasing CL content might improve adoptive cellular immunotherapy, where a lack of T cell persistence after transfer remains an issue (Restifo et al., 2012; Tschumi et al., 2018).

Mutations in TAFAZZIN responsible for Barth syndrome alter CL remodeling and reduce total CL content over time due to the inability of cells to regenerate mature CL from MLCL (Schlame et al., 2003). In the mouse gut, intraepithelial lymphocytes (IELs) maintain a controlled activation state that relies on mitochondria through a TAFAZZIN-dependent CL remodeling mechanism (Konjar et al., 2018). Although able to migrate to the site of infection and produce cytokines, bone marrow chimera-derived Tafazzin-deficient IELs are not able to restrict E. vermiformis infection (Konjar et al., 2018). Here, we reinforce these observations in a total body Tafazzin KO mouse model, resembling more closely the human pathology where TAFAZZIN inactivating mutations are not confined to the hematopoietic compartment. In particular, experiments in TAZ KO mice suggested that reduced number and impaired function of CD8+ T cells follow long-term systemic CL deficiency. In addition, our CRISPR data support the idea that the T cell functional defects observed in bone marrow chimera Tafazzin-deficient mice, as well as in total body TAZ KO mice, might not be CD8⁺ T cell intrinsic or might derive from hematopoietic lineage developmental alterations.

Cardiomyopathy and neutropenia are life-threatening features of Barth syndrome. Some Barth syndrome patients require cardiac transplantation followed by lifelong immunosuppressive drug treatment (Mangat et al., 2007). Our analysis of hPBMCs from a limited number of Barth syndrome patients suggests the possibility of a relative lymphopenia and functional impairment in the CD8⁺ T cell compartment. However, more detailed clinical studies to assess the absolute number, frequency, and function of lymphocyte subsets in a larger number of patients are required. Less efficient responses to T cell-mediated vaccines or lower susceptibility to acute organ rejection after heart transplant in Barth syndrome patients compared to non-affected age-matched individuals might be expected if our hypothesis holds true. In our view it is possible that the adaptive immune phenotype in these patients might exacerbate the impact of



In conclusion, we describe the pivotal role of the dynamic regulation of CL synthesis in modulating CD8⁺ T cell function during the immune response, from activation to T_M cell differentiation. Absence of CL due to impaired synthesis (PTPMT1- Δ T) or remodeling (*Tafazzin* KO) results in compromised CD8⁺ T cell function, although our data suggest the latter is not CD8⁺ T cell intrinsic. Moreover, we propose modulation of CL content and/or composition as a strategy to improve adoptive cell immunotherapy. Lastly, our findings suggest a potentially unrecognized T cell impairment in Barth syndrome patients, broadening the spectrum of pathologic features of Barth syndrome and prompting a further evaluation of immune function in patients and in clinical trials targeting CL modifications.

Limitations of study

Although our study shows that cardiolipin synthesis is regulated during CD8⁺ T cell responses to infection, it addresses neither the role of different CL species nor the regulation of acyl chain modifications. It also remains to be determined the role of cardiolipin oxidation and its interplay with ROS production upon CD8⁺ T cell activation. Lastly, analysis of T cell function in larger cohort of Barth syndrome patients and epidemiological studies on susceptibility to acute organ rejection after heart transplant are necessary to further corroborate our observations.

STAR***METHODS**

Detailed methods are provided in the online version of this paper and include the following:

- KEY RESOURCE TABLE
- RESOURCE AVAILABILITY
 - Lead Contact
 - O Materials Availability
 - Data and Code Availability
- EXPERIMENTAL MODEL AND SUBJECT DETAILS
 - Cell Culture and Drug Treatment
 - Mice and Immunizations
- METHODS DETAILS
 - O Bacterial Burden
 - Adoptive Transfers
 - Flow Cytometry
 - Confocal and Electron Microscopy Imaging
 - Metabolic Phenotyping
 - Lipid Extraction and Quantification
 - O Glucose and Linoleic Acid Tracing into Cardiolipin
 - Glucose Tracing into Central Carbon Metabolites
 - O RT-PCR
 - mtDNA/nDNA Quantification
 - Calcium Flux
 - Cell Cycle Analysis
 - Western Blotting
 - Generation of CRISPR KO T Cells
- QUANTIFICATION AND STATISTICAL ANALYSIS



SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION

Supplemental Information can be found online at https://doi.org/10.1016/j. cmet.2020.11.003.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank members of the Pearce labs for support and helpful discussions; Johan Friden for assistance with the graphical abstract; the Electron Microscopy Laboratory at the University of Padova; and the Metabolomics, Imaging, FACS facilities at the MPI-IE for technical support. This work was supported by the Max Planck Society. M.C. was supported by an Alexander von Humboldt Fellowship. D.S. and E.A. were supported by Cancer Research UK grant A31287. B.A. and C.G.S. were supported by Barth Syndrome Foundation.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

M.C. and E.L.P. designed research. M.C., J.H.E., M.V., B.A., C.G.S., D.S., E.T., D.O., E.J.P., and E.L.P. provided conceptual input. M.C., J.H.E., M.V., L.J.F., D.E.S., M.J., F.B., M.S., E.A., M.A., A.Q., J.D.C., T.C., K.M.G., A.M.K., R.K., A.E.P., and R.K.G. performed experiments and analyzed data. M.C. and E.L.P. wrote the manuscript.

DECLARATION OF INTERESTS

E.L.P. is a SAB member of Immunomet, and E.L.P. and E.J.P. are founders of Rheos Medicines. E.L.P. is Advisory Board member of Cell and Cell Metabolism.

Received: February 25, 2020 Revised: August 25, 2020 Accepted: November 8, 2020 Published: December 1, 2020

REFERENCES

Acehan, D., Malhotra, A., Xu, Y., Ren, M., Stokes, D.L., and Schlame, M. (2011). Cardiolipin affects the supramolecular organization of ATP synthase in mitochondria. Biophys. J. *100*, 2184–2192.

Bailis, W., Shyer, J.A., Zhao, J., Canaveras, J.C.G., Al Khazal, F.J., Qu, R., Steach, H.R., Bielecki, P., Khan, O., Jackson, R., et al. (2019). Distinct modes of mitochondrial metabolism uncouple T cell differentiation and function. Nature 571, 403–407.

Balsa, E., Soustek, M.S., Thomas, A., Cogliati, S., García-Poyatos, C., Martín-García, E., Jedrychowski, M., Gygi, S.P., Enriquez, J.A., and Puigserver, P. (2019). ER and Nutrient Stress Promote Assembly of Respiratory Chain Supercomplexes through the PERK-elF2 α Axis. Mol. Cell 74, 877–890.e6, e876.

Ban, T., Ishihara, T., Kohno, H., Saita, S., Ichimura, A., Maenaka, K., Oka, T., Mihara, K., and Ishihara, N. (2017). Molecular basis of selective mitochondrial fusion by heterotypic action between OPA1 and cardiolipin. Nat. Cell Biol. *19*, 856–863.

Barth, P.G., Scholte, H.R., Berden, J.A., Van der Klei-Van Moorsel, J.M., Luyt-Houwen, I.E., Van 't Veer-Korthof, E.T., Van der Harten, J.J., and Sobotka-Plojhar, M.A. (1983). An X-linked mitochondrial disease affecting cardiac muscle, skeletal muscle and neutrophil leucocytes. J. Neurol. Sci. *62*, 327–355.

Bione, S., D'Adamo, P., Maestrini, E., Gedeon, A.K., Bolhuis, P.A., and Toniolo, D. (1996). A novel X-linked gene, G4.5. is responsible for Barth syndrome. Nat. Genet. *12*, 385–389.

Buck, M.D., O'Sullivan, D., Klein Geltink, R.I., Curtis, J.D., Chang, C.H., Sanin, D.E., Qiu, J., Kretz, O., Braas, D., van der Windt, G.J., et al. (2016). Mitochondrial Dynamics Controls T Cell Fate through Metabolic Programming. Cell *166*, 63–76.

Buck, M.D., Sowell, R.T., Kaech, S.M., and Pearce, E.L. (2017). Metabolic Instruction of Immunity. Cell 169, 570–586.



Cadalbert, L.C., Ghaffar, F.N., Stevenson, D., Bryson, S., Vaz, F.M., Gottlieb, E., and Strathdee, D. (2015). Mouse Tafazzin Is Required for Male Germ Cell Meiosis and Spermatogenesis. PLoS One *10*, e0131066.

Chan, D.C. (2020). Mitochondrial Dynamics and Its Involvement in Disease. Annu. Rev. Pathol. *15*, 235–259.

Chang, C.H., Curtis, J.D., Maggi, L.B., Jr., Faubert, B., Villarino, A.V., O'Sullivan, D., Huang, S.C., van der Windt, G.J., Blagih, J., Qiu, J., et al. (2013). Posttranscriptional control of T cell effector function by aerobic glycolysis. Cell *153*, 1239–1251.

Christie, D.A., Mitsopoulos, P., Blagih, J., Dunn, S.D., St-Pierre, J., Jones, R.G., Hatch, G.M., and Madrenas, J. (2012). Stomatin-like protein 2 deficiency in T cells is associated with altered mitochondrial respiration and defective CD4+ T cell responses. J. Immunol. *189*, 4349–4360.

Cipolat, S., Martins de Brito, O., Dal Zilio, B., and Scorrano, L. (2004). OPA1 requires mitofusin 1 to promote mitochondrial fusion. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA *101*, 15927–15932.

Cipolat, S., Rudka, T., Hartmann, D., Costa, V., Serneels, L., Craessaerts, K., Metzger, K., Frezza, C., Annaert, W., D'Adamio, L., et al. (2006). Mitochondrial rhomboid PARL regulates cytochrome c release during apoptosis via OPA1-dependent cristae remodeling. Cell *126*, 163–175.

Clarke, S.L., Bowron, A., Gonzalez, I.L., Groves, S.J., Newbury-Ecob, R., Clayton, N., Martin, R.P., Tsai-Goodman, B., Garratt, V., Ashworth, M., et al. (2013). Barth syndrome. Orphanet J. Rare Dis. *8*, 23.

Cogliati, S., Frezza, C., Soriano, M.E., Varanita, T., Quintana-Cabrera, R., Corrado, M., Cipolat, S., Costa, V., Casarin, A., Gomes, L.C., et al. (2013). Mitochondrial cristae shape determines respiratory chain supercomplexes assembly and respiratory efficiency. Cell *155*, 160–171.

Corrado, M., Mariotti, F.R., Trapani, L., Taraborrelli, L., Nazio, F., Cianfanelli, V., Soriano, M.E., Schrepfer, E., Cecconi, F., Scorrano, L., and Campello, S. (2016). Macroautophagy inhibition maintains fragmented mitochondria to foster T cell receptor-dependent apoptosis. EMBO J. *35*, 1793–1809.

Dudek, J. (2017). Role of Cardiolipin in Mitochondrial Signaling Pathways. Front. Cell Dev. Biol. 5, 90.

Dudek, J., Hartmann, M., and Rehling, P. (2019). The role of mitochondrial cardiolipin in heart function and its implication in cardiac disease. Biochim. Biophys. Acta Mol. Basis Dis. *1865*, 810–821.

Duncan, A.L., Robinson, A.J., and Walker, J.E. (2016). Cardiolipin binds selectively but transiently to conserved lysine residues in the rotor of metazoan ATP synthases. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA *113*, 8687–8692.

Frey, T.G., and Mannella, C.A. (2000). The internal structure of mitochondria. Trends Biochem. Sci. *25*, 319–324.

Frezza, C., Cipolat, S., Martins de Brito, O., Micaroni, M., Beznoussenko, G.V., Rudka, T., Bartoli, D., Polishuck, R.S., Danial, N.N., De Strooper, B., and Scorrano, L. (2006). OPA1 controls apoptotic cristae remodeling independently from mitochondrial fusion. Cell *126*, 177–189.

Geltink, R.I.K., Kyle, R.L., and Pearce, E.L. (2018). Unraveling the Complex Interplay Between T Cell Metabolism and Function. Annu. Rev. Immunol. *36*, 461–488.

Gomes, L.C., Di Benedetto, G., and Scorrano, L. (2011). During autophagy mitochondria elongate, are spared from degradation and sustain cell viability. Nat. Cell Biol. *13*, 589–598.

Gonzalvez, F., Schug, Z.T., Houtkooper, R.H., MacKenzie, E.D., Brooks, D.G., Wanders, R.J., Petit, P.X., Vaz, F.M., and Gottlieb, E. (2008). Cardiolipin provides an essential activating platform for caspase-8 on mitochondria. J. Cell Biol. *183*, 681–696.

Hsu, Y.H., Dumlao, D.S., Cao, J., and Dennis, E.A. (2013). Assessing phospholipase A2 activity toward cardiolipin by mass spectrometry. PLoS One *8*, e59267.

Iyer, S.S., He, Q., Janczy, J.R., Elliott, E.I., Zhong, Z., Olivier, A.K., Sadler, J.J., Knepper-Adrian, V., Han, R., Qiao, L., et al. (2013). Mitochondrial cardiolipin is required for NIrp3 inflammasome activation. Immunity *39*, 311–323.

Jussupow, A., Di Luca, A., and Kaila, V.R.I. (2019). How cardiolipin modulates the dynamics of respiratory complex I. Sci. Adv. 5, v1850.

Kagan, V.E., Tyurin, V.A., Jiang, J., Tyurina, Y.Y., Ritov, V.B., Amoscato, A.A., Osipov, A.N., Belikova, N.A., Kapralov, A.A., Kini, V., et al. (2005). Cytochrome c acts as a cardiolipin oxygenase required for release of proapoptotic factors. Nat. Chem. Biol. *1*, 223–232.

Klein Geltink, R.I., O'Sullivan, D., Corrado, M., Bremser, A., Buck, M.D., Buescher, J.M., Firat, E., Zhu, X., Niedermann, G., Caputa, G., et al. (2017). Mitochondrial Priming by CD28. Cell *171*, 385–397.e11, e311.

Konjar, Š., Frising, U.C., Ferreira, C., Hinterleitner, R., Mayassi, T., Zhang, Q., Blankenhaus, B., Haberman, N., Loo, Y., Guedes, J., et al. (2018). Mitochondria maintain controlled activation state of epithelial-resident T lymphocytes. Sci. Immunol. *3*, 3.

Ma, E.H., Verway, M.J., Johnson, R.M., Roy, D.G., Steadman, M., Hayes, S., Williams, K.S., Sheldon, R.D., Samborska, B., Kosinski, P.A., et al. (2019). Metabolic Profiling Using Stable Isotope Tracing Reveals Distinct Patterns of Glucose Utilization by Physiologically Activated CD8⁺ T Cells. Immunity *51*, 856–870.e5, e855.

Mangat, J., Lunnon-Wood, T., Rees, P., Elliott, M., and Burch, M. (2007). Successful cardiac transplantation in Barth syndrome-single-centre experience of four patients. Pediatr. Transplant. *11*, 327–331.

Mannella, C.A., Pfeiffer, D.R., Bradshaw, P.C., Moraru, I.I., Slepchenko, B., Loew, L.M., Hsieh, C.E., Buttle, K., and Marko, M. (2001). Topology of the mitochondrial inner membrane: dynamics and bioenergetic implications. IUBMB Life *52*, 93–100.

Matyash, V., Liebisch, G., Kurzchalia, T.V., Shevchenko, A., and Schwudke, D. (2008). Lipid extraction by methyl-tert-butyl ether for high-throughput lipidomics. J Lipid Res *49*, 1137–1146.

Mehdipour, A.R., and Hummer, G. (2016). Cardiolipin puts the seal on ATP synthase. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA *113*, 8568–8570.

Minkler, P.E., and Hoppel, C.L. (2010). Separation and characterization of cardiolipin molecular species by reverse-phase ion pair high-performance liquid chromatography-mass spectrometry. J. Lipid Res. *51*, 856–865.

Mürke, E., Stoll, S., Lendeckel, U., Reinhold, D., and Schild, L. (2016). The mitochondrial phospholipid cardiolipin is involved in the regulation of T-cell proliferation. Biochim. Biophys. Acta *1861* (8 Pt A), 748–754.

Nath, A.K., Ryu, J.H., Jin, Y.N., Roberts, L.D., Dejam, A., Gerszten, R.E., and Peterson, R.T. (2015). PTPMT1 Inhibition Lowers Glucose through Succinate Dehydrogenase Phosphorylation. Cell Rep. *10*, 694–701.

Nicholls, D.G. (2009). Spare respiratory capacity, oxidative stress and excitotoxicity. Biochem. Soc. Trans. *37*, 1385–1388.

O'Sullivan, D., van der Windt, G.J., Huang, S.C., Curtis, J.D., Chang, C.H., Buck, M.D., Qiu, J., Smith, A.M., Lam, W.Y., DiPlato, L.M., et al. (2014). Memory CD8(+) T cells use cell-intrinsic lipolysis to support the metabolic programming necessary for development. Immunity *41*, 75–88.

Paradies, G., Paradies, V., Ruggiero, F.M., and Petrosillo, G. (2014). Cardiolipin and mitochondrial function in health and disease. Antioxid. Redox Signal. *20*, 1925–1953.

Paradies, G., Paradies, V., Ruggiero, F.M., and Petrosillo, G. (2019). Role of Cardiolipin in Mitochondrial Function and Dynamics in Health and Disease: Molecular and Pharmacological Aspects. Cells *8*, 8.

Pearce, E.L., Walsh, M.C., Cejas, P.J., Harms, G.M., Shen, H., Wang, L.S., Jones, R.G., and Choi, Y. (2009). Enhancing CD8 T-cell memory by modulating fatty acid metabolism. Nature *460*, 103–107.

Pernas, L., and Scorrano, L. (2016). Mito-Morphosis: Mitochondrial Fusion, Fission, and Cristae Remodeling as Key Mediators of Cellular Function. Annu. Rev. Physiol. *78*, 505–531.

Pickles, S., Vigié, P., and Youle, R.J. (2018). Mitophagy and Quality Control Mechanisms in Mitochondrial Maintenance. Curr. Biol. *28*, R170–R185.

Puleston, D.J., Zhang, H., Powell, T.J., Lipina, E., Sims, S., Panse, I., Watson, A.S., Cerundolo, V., Townsend, A.R., Klenerman, P., and Simon, A.K. (2014). Autophagy is a critical regulator of memory CD8(+) T cell formation. eLife 3, 3.

Restifo, N.P., Dudley, M.E., and Rosenberg, S.A. (2012). Adoptive immunotherapy for cancer: harnessing the T cell response. Nat. Rev. Immunol. *12*, 269–281.

Article



Ronghe, M.D., Foot, A.B., Martin, R., Ashworth, M., and Steward, C.G. (2001). Non-Epstein-Barr virus-associated T-cell lymphoma following cardiac transplantation for Barth syndrome. Acta Paediatr. *90*, 584–586.

Schlame, M. (2013). Cardiolipin remodeling and the function of tafazzin. Biochim. Biophys. Acta *1831*, 582–588.

Schlame, M., Kelley, R.I., Feigenbaum, A., Towbin, J.A., Heerdt, P.M., Schieble, T., Wanders, R.J., DiMauro, S., and Blanck, T.J. (2003). Phospholipid abnormalities in children with Barth syndrome. J. Am. Coll. Cardiol. *42*, 1994–1999.

Schwall, C.T., Greenwood, V.L., and Alder, N.N. (2012). The stability and activity of respiratory Complex II is cardiolipin-dependent. Biochim. Biophys. Acta *1817*, 1588–1596.

Sena, L.A., Li, S., Jairaman, A., Prakriya, M., Ezponda, T., Hildeman, D.A., Wang, C.R., Schumacker, P.T., Licht, J.D., Perlman, H., et al. (2013). Mitochondria are required for antigen-specific T cell activation through reactive oxygen species signaling. Immunity *38*, 225–236.

Serricchio, M., Vissa, A., Kim, P.K., Yip, C.M., and McQuibban, G.A. (2018). Cardiolipin synthesizing enzymes form a complex that interacts with cardiolipin-dependent membrane organizing proteins. Biochim. Biophys. Acta Mol. Cell Biol. Lipids *1863*, 447–457.

Steward, C.G., Groves, S.J., Taylor, C.T., Maisenbacher, M.K., Versluys, B., Newbury-Ecob, R.A., Ozsahin, H., Damin, M.K., Bowen, V.M., McCurdy, K.R., et al. (2019). Neutropenia in Barth syndrome: characteristics, risks, and management. Curr. Opin. Hematol. *26*, 6–15. Sustarsic, E.G., Ma, T., Lynes, M.D., Larsen, M., Karavaeva, I., Havelund, J.F., Nielsen, C.H., Jedrychowski, M.P., Moreno-Torres, M., Lundh, M., et al. (2018). Cardiolipin Synthesis in Brown and Beige Fat Mitochondria Is Essential for Systemic Energy Homeostasis. Cell Metab. 28, 159–174.e11, e111.

Tschumi, B.O., Dumauthioz, N., Marti, B., Zhang, L., Lanitis, E., Irving, M., Schneider, P., Mach, J.P., Coukos, G., Romero, P., and Donda, A. (2018). CART cells are prone to Fas- and DR5-mediated cell death. J. Immunother. Cancer *6*, 71.

van der Windt, G.J., Everts, B., Chang, C.H., Curtis, J.D., Freitas, T.C., Amiel, E., Pearce, E.J., and Pearce, E.L. (2012). Mitochondrial respiratory capacity is a critical regulator of CD8+ T cell memory development. Immunity *36*, 68–78.

Wolf, D.M., Segawa, M., Kondadi, A.K., Anand, R., Bailey, S.T., Reichert, A.S., van der Bliek, A.M., Shackelford, D.B., Liesa, M., and Shirihai, O.S. (2019). Individual cristae within the same mitochondrion display different membrane potentials and are functionally independent. EMBO J. *38*, e101056.

Xu, X., Araki, K., Li, S., Han, J.H., Ye, L., Tan, W.G., Konieczny, B.T., Bruinsma, M.W., Martinez, J., Pearce, E.L., et al. (2014). Autophagy is essential for effector CD8(+) T cell survival and memory formation. Nat. Immunol. *15*, 1152–1161.

Zhang, J., Guan, Z., Murphy, A.N., Wiley, S.E., Perkins, G.A., Worby, C.A., Engel, J.L., Heacock, P., Nguyen, O.K., Wang, J.H., et al. (2011). Mitochondrial phosphatase PTPMT1 is essential for cardiolipin biosynthesis. Cell Metab. *13*, 690–700.





STAR***METHODS**

KEY RESOURCE TABLE

Antibadies Encode CatifBE0002; RHID: AB_1107630 anti-mouse CD3, in/veMAb Bio Cell CatifBE0015; RHID: AB_1107626 BV421 anti-mouse CD4 Biologend Catif10708; RHID: AB_11204079 Teshect I-Ab OXA Tetrame-PE MBL Catif10400; RHID: AB_313443 PE anti-mouse CD45.2 Biologend Catif10400; RHID: AB_313045 PE of C/Vyanine5.5 anti-mouse/D127 (IL-TRa) Biologend Catif10400; RHID: AB_313045 PerCPC/Vyanine5.5 anti-mouse/D127 (IL-TRa) Biologend Catif103020; RHID: AB_313025 PerCPC/Vyanine5.5 anti-mouse/D127 (IL-TRa) Biologend Catif103020; RHID: AB_43312 APC Anti-mouse CD5 Biologend Catif10026; RHID: AB_43311 PerCPC/V anti-mouse CD5 Biologend Catif10026; RHID: AB_43345 PE anti-mouse CD69 Biologend Catif10026; RHID: AB_313111 PE-ory anti-horouse TNF-alpha Biologend Catif50340; RHID: AB_43330 PE anti-mouse LP2 Biologend Catif50308; RHID: AB_43330 PE anti-mouse LP3 Biologend Catif50308; RHID: AB_43984 APC-Cy7 anti-horam CD14 Biologend Catif50308; RHID: AB_149843 APC-Cy7 anti-horam CD14	REAGENT or RESOURCE	SOURCE	IDENTIFIER
anti-mouse CD3, inVivoMab Bio Cell Cat4RED002; RRID: AB, 1107828 anti-mouse CD3, inVivoMab Bio Cell Cat4RED015; RRID: AB, 1107828 V421 anti-mouse CD3 Biolegend Cat4TIS-M710-1 Trestect I-Ab OVA Tetramer-PE MBL Cat4TIS-M710-1 TFC anti-mouse CD42. Biolegend Cat4TIS-M710-1 PE anti-mouse CD42. Biolegend Cat4TIS-M710-1 PE anti-mouse CD44 Biolegend Cat4TIS-M710-1 PE anti-mouse CD45 Biolegend Cat4TIS-M710-1 CPC/Cynati-mouse CD44 Biolegend Cat4TIS-M710-1 AF647 anti-mouse CD5 Biolegend Cat4TIS-M710-1 AF647 anti-mouse CD5 Biolegend Cat4TIS-M710-1 AF647 anti-mouse CD5 Biolegend Cat4TIS-M710-1 PE anti-mouse CD5 Biolegend Cat4TIS-M710-1 PE anti-mouse CD5 Biolegend Cat4TIS-M710-1 PE of/7 anti-mouse CD5 Biolegend Cat4TIS-M710-1 PE anti-mouse CD5 Biolegend Cat4TIS-M710-1 PE anti-mouse CD5 Biolegend Cat4TIS-M710-1 PE of/7 anti-mouse TNF-stpha Biolegend Cat4TIS-M710-1 PE of/7 anti-human CD14 Biolegend Cat4S00261; RRID: AB, 31320 PC -C/7 anti-human CD19 Biolegend Cat4S00227; RRID: A	Antibodies		
arti-mouse CD28, In/WorkbabBic CellCatHSE0015, RBIC: AB, 1172628BV421 anti-mouse CD4aBiologendCatHS07058, RBIC: AB, 11204079FTC anti-mouse CD45.2BiologendCatH103006, RBIC: AB, 313443PE anti-mouse CD42BiologendCatH104086, RBIC: AB, 313443Pack-Cy7 anti-mouse CD44BiologendCatH104088, RBIC: AB, 303765Pac/CyQyanine5.5 anti-mouse/human KLRG1BiologendCatH103028, RBIC: AB, 303765Pac/CyQyanine5.5 anti-mouse/human KLRG1BiologendCatH103028, RBIC: AB, 493312AF48 anti-mouse CD127 (L-7Ra)BiologendCatH10026, RBIC: AB, 493312AF48 anti-mouse CD127 (L-7Ra)BiologendCatH10026, RBIC: AB, 493312AF44 anti-mouse CD5BiologendCatH10026, RBIC: AB, 493458PE anti-mouse CD5BiologendCatH0026, RBIC: AB, 493458PE anti-mouse CD5BiologendCatH50305, RBIC: AB, 493458PE anti-mouse CD69BiologendCatH50306, RBIC: AB, 493458PE anti-mouse CD69BiologendCatH50306, RBIC: AB, 49330PE anti-mouse TN=alphaBiologendCatH50306, RBIC: AB, 49330PE anti-mouse TN=alphaBiologendCatH302017, RBIC: AB, 493304APC-Cy7 anti-human CD16BiologendCatH302017, RBIC: AB, 49484APC-Cy7 anti-human CD18BiologendCatH302017, RBIC: AB, 148174APC-Cy7 anti-human CD45ROBiologendCatH302017, RBIC: AB, 1481368BV711 anti-human CD45ROBiologendCatH30217, RBIC: AB, 1481368BV711 anti-human CD45ROBiologendCatH302413, RBIC: AB, 1481364APC-Cy7	anti-mouse CD3, inVivoMAb	Bio Cell	Cat#BE0002; RRID: AB_1107630
BY421 aml:mouse CD8aBiolegendCat#100738; RRID: AB_11204079T-select 1-Ab OVA Tetramer-PEMBLCat#100738; RRID: AB_11204079T-refic anti-mouse CD45.2BiolegendCat#100308; RRID: AB_313443PE anti-mouse CD44BiolegendCat#130328; RRID: AB_830785PC-Cy7 anti-mouse CD127 (IL-TRs)BiolegendCat#13028; RRID: AB_1337209AF647 anti-mouse CD127 (IL-TRs)BiolegendCat#13020; RRID: AB_2663015AF647 anti-mouse CD127 (IL-TRs)BiolegendCat#13020; RRID: AB_268329AF647 anti-mouse CD25BiolegendCat#140026; RRID: AB_268329AF647 anti-mouse CD5BiolegendCat#140020; RRID: AB_263330PE anti-mouse CD69BiolegendCat#140020; RRID: AB_263330PE anti-mouse TDF-alphaBiolegendCat#30630; RRID: AB_263330PE anti-mouse TDF-alphaBiolegendCat#30030; RRID: AB_433330PE anti-mouse TDF-3lphaBiolegendCat#30021; RRID: AB_433330PC-Cy7 anti-human CD16BiolegendCat#300221; RRID: AB_433330APC-Cy7 anti-human CD18BiolegendCat#300221; RRID: AB_433330APC-Cy7 anti-human CD4BiolegendCat#300221; RRID: AB_314247APC-Cy7 anti-human CD4BiolegendCat#300221; RRID: AB_314247APC-Cy7 anti-human CD4BiolegendCat#300221; RRID: AB_31428APC-Cy7 anti-human CD4BiolegendCat#300221; RRID: AB_314247APC-Cy7 anti-human CD4BiolegendCat#300243; RRID: AB_2721518APC-Cy7 anti-human CD4BiolegendCat#302402; RRID: AB_2721518APC-Cy7 anti-human CD4B	anti-mouse CD28, inVivoMab	Bio Cell	Cat#BE0015; RRID: AB_1107628
T-select -Ab OVA Tetrame-PEMBLCat#T09906; RFID: AB_31443FITC anti-mouse CD45.2BiolegendCat#109906; RFID: AB_31443FITC anti-mouse CD52BiolegendCat#10408; RFID: AB_31305APC-OY anti-mouse CD44BiolegendCat#13022; RFID: AB_2563015APC-OY anti-mouse CD127 (IL-7Ra)BiolegendCat#13020; RFID: AB_1937209AF48 anti-mouse CD53BiolegendCat#13020; RFID: AB_439312APC anti-mouse CD5BiolegendCat#13022; RFID: AB_256329AF47 anti-mouse CD5BiolegendCat#13020; RFID: AB_439312APC anti-mouse CD5BiolegendCat#13020; RFID: AB_439312APC anti-mouse CD5BiolegendCat#140408; RFID: AB_439312APC anti-mouse CD5BiolegendCat#30308; RFID: AB_439349PE anti-mouse CD69BiolegendCat#30308; RFID: AB_439304APC-OY anti-human CD14BiolegendCat#30308; RFID: AB_439447APC-CY7 anti-human CD15BiolegendCat#30302; RFID: AB_14217APC-CY7 anti-human CD18BiolegendCat#300221; RFID: AB_314247APC-CY7 anti-human CD19BiolegendCat#300221; RFID: AB_314247APC-CY7 anti-human CD2BiolegendCat#30221; RFID: AB_314283BV711 anti-human CD4SROBiolegendCat#30220; RFID: AB_314283BV711 anti-human CD4SROBiolegendCat#30428; RFID: AB_31428BV711 anti-human CD4SROBiolegendCat#30428; RFID: AB_31428BV711 anti-human CD4SROBiolegendCat#30428; RFID: AB_210370BV711 anti-human CD4SROBiolegendCat#30428; RFID: AB_210370<	BV421 anti-mouse CD8a	Biolegend	Cat#100738; RRID: AB_11204079
FITC anti-mouse CD45.2 Biolegend Cat#109806; RRID: AB, 31303 PE anti-mouse CD41 Biolegend Cat#104408; RRID: AB, 30305 PerC/CyraineS.5 anti-mouse/human KLRG1 Biolegend Cat#130328; RRID: AB, 80785 PerC/CyraineS.5 anti-mouse/human KLRG1 Biolegend Cat#130329; RRID: AB, 1937209 AF684 anti-mouse CD127 (IL-TRa) Biolegend Cat#13020; RRID: AB, 1937209 AF68 anti-mouse CD5 Biolegend Cat#10080; RRID: AB, 493152 AF64 anti-mouse CD5 Biolegend Cat#10080; RRID: AB, 493458 PE anti-mouse CD6 Biolegend Cat#10080; RRID: AB, 493458 PE anti-mouse CD6 Biolegend Cat#10408; RRID: AB, 493310 PE anti-mouse CD6 Biolegend Cat#10200; RRID: AB, 493300 PE anti-mouse IL-2 Biolegend Cat#508314; RRID: AB, 493304 PE-Cy7 anti-human CD14 Biolegend Cat#302217; RRID: AB, 314217 APC-Cy7 anti-human CD15 Biolegend Cat#302217; RRID: AB, 314217 APC-Cy7 anti-human CD18 Biolegend Cat#304231; RRID: AB, 314247 APC-Cy7 anti-human CD18 Biolegend Cat#304231; RRID: AB, 314247 APC-Cy7 anti-human CD45RO Biolegend Cat#304232; RRID: AB, 11218600 FITO anti-human CD45RO Biolegend Cat#304232; RRID: AB, 1121860 PY11 anti-human CD45RO Biolege	T-select I-Ab OVA Tetramer-PE	MBL	Cat#TS-M710-1
PE anti-mouse CD62L Biolegend Cat#104008; RRID: AB, 313085 APC-Cy7 anti-mouse CD44 Biolegend Cat#103028; RRID: AB, 313085 PCFC/YQanti-S anti-mouse/human KLRG1 Biolegend Cat#103028; RRID: AB, 2563015 AF484 anti-mouse CD127 (L-7Ra) Biolegend Cat#108028; RRID: AB, 2563025 AF484 anti-mouse CD5 Biolegend Cat#100626; RRID: AB, 2563025 AF647 anti-mouse CD5 Biolegend Cat#104026; RRID: AB, 2563025 AF647 anti-mouse CD5 Biolegend Cat#104026; RRID: AB, 2563025 AF647 anti-mouse CD69 Biolegend Cat#00206; RRID: AB, 263330 PE anti-mouse TNF-elpha Biolegend Cat#00028; RRID: AB, 315012 AF647 anti-mouse TNF-elpha Biolegend Cat#300217; RRID: AB, 314217 AFC-Cy7 anti-human CD14 Biolegend Cat#300221; RRID: AB, 314217 APC-Cy7 anti-human CD14 Biolegend Cat#30026; RRID: AB, 31422 APC-Cy7 anti-human CD4 Biolegend Cat#30026; RRID: AB, 31422 APC-Cy7 anti-human CD4 Biolegend Cat#30026; RRID: AB, 31422 APC-Cy7 anti-human CD4 B	FITC anti-mouse CD45.2	Biolegend	Cat#109806; RRID: AB_313443
APC-Cy7 anti-mouse CD44 Biolegend Cat#130208; RRID: AB_330785 ParCP/Cyanines 5: anti-mouse CD127 (IL-7Ra) Biolegend Cat#130408; RRID: AB_2563015 AF447 anti-mouse CD127 (IL-7Ra) Biolegend Cat#130208; RRID: AB_493312 AF488 anti-mouse CD5 Biolegend Cat#100268; RRID: AB_2563929 AF647 anti-mouse CD25 Biolegend Cat#100268; RRID: AB_313111 PE anti-mouse CD69 Biolegend Cat#05048; RRID: AB_313111 PE-Cy7 anti-Ki67 Biolegend Cat#05048; RRID: AB_313311 PE-Cy7 anti-Ki67 Biolegend Cat#05048; RRID: AB_313330 PE anti-mouse TNF-alpha Biolegend Cat#30181; RRID: AB_493330 PE anti-mouse IL-2 Biolegend Cat#30217; RRID: AB_493684 APC-Cy7 anti-human CD14 Biolegend Cat#302217; RRID: AB_314217 APC-Cy7 anti-human CD15 Biolegend Cat#302217; RRID: AB_314217 APC-Cy7 anti-human CD16 Biolegend Cat#302217; RRID: AB_314217 APC-Cy7 anti-human CD18 Biolegend Cat#302217; RRID: AB_314217 APC-Cy7 anti-human CD19 Biolegend Cat#302217; RRID: AB_314217 APC-Cy7 anti-human CD4 Biolegend Cat#302217; RRID: AB_314217 APC-Cy7 anti-human CD4 Biolegend Cat#302217; RRID: AB_314217 APC-Cy7 anti-human CD4 Biolegend Cat#	PE anti-mouse CD62L	Biolegend	Cat#104408; RRID: AB_313095
PerCP/Cyanne5.5 anti-mouse/human KLRG1BiolegendCat#13302; RRID: AB_2583015AF647 anti-mouse CD127 (L-7Ra)BiolegendCat#13022; RRID: AB_1937209AF648 anti-mouse CD5BiolegendCat#100226; RRID: AB_2563929AF647 anti-mouse CD5BiolegendCat#100206; RRID: AB_2563929AF647 anti-mouse CD5BiolegendCat#100206; RRID: AB_263458PE anti-mouse CD69BiolegendCat#100206; RRID: AB_2632694AF647 anti-mouse TMF-alphaBiolegendCat#506314; RRID: AB_49330PE anti-mouse TMF-alphaBiolegendCat#506306; RRID: AB_49330PE anti-mouse TMF-alphaBiolegendCat#306306; RRID: AB_493694AF647 anti-muma CD14BiolegendCat#302217; RRID: AB_493694APC-Cy7 anti-human CD15BiolegendCat#30026; RRID: AB_314217APC-Cy7 anti-human CD16BiolegendCat#30026; RRID: AB_314217APC-Cy7 anti-human CD4BiolegendCat#304235; RRID: AB_314217APC-Cy7 anti-human CD4BiolegendCat#3042217; RRID: AB_314217APC-Cy7 anti-human CD4BiolegendCat#30422; RRID: AB_314217APC-Cy7 anti-human CD4BiolegendCat#304235; RRID: AB_314217APC-Cy7 anti-human CD4BiolegendCat#304235; RRID: AB_314217APC anti-human CD4BiolegendCat#30420; RRID: AB_31422PE anti-human CD4BiolegendCat#30420; RRID: AB_31422PE Ard; Anni-human CD4BiolegendCat#30420; RRID: AB_31442AF64 anti-human CD4BiolegendCat#30420; RRID: AB_31442AF64 anti-human CD4BiolegendCat#30420;	APC-Cy7 anti-mouse CD44	Biolegend	Cat#103028; RRID: AB_830785
AF647 anti-mouse CD27 (IL-7Ra)BiolegendCatt/135020; RRID: AB, 1937209AF488 anti-mouse IFNgBiolegendCatt/100262; RRID: AB, 2563929AF647 anti-mouse CD5BiolegendCatt/100262; RRID: AB, 2563929AF647 anti-mouse CD5BiolegendCatt/102020; RRID: AB, 2632894AF647 anti-mouse CD69BiolegendCatt/506314; RRID: AB, 2632894AF647 anti-mouse TNF-alphaBiolegendCatt/506314; RRID: AB, 2632894AF647 anti-mouse TNF-alphaBiolegendCatt/506314; RRID: AB, 315302APC-Cy7 anti-human CD14BiolegendCatt/302017; RRID: AB, 315302APC-Cy7 anti-human CD15BiolegendCatt/302017; RRID: AB, 314247APC-Cy7 anti-human CD16BiolegendCatt/302017; RRID: AB, 314247APC-Cy7 anti-human CD18BiolegendCatt/302017; RRID: AB, 314247APC-Cy7 anti-human CD4BiolegendCatt/302017; RRID: AB, 314247APC-Cy7 anti-human CD4BiolegendCatt/30243; RRID: AB, 314247BV711 anti-human CD4BiolegendCatt/30243; RRID: AB, 314247BV711 anti-human CD4BiolegendCatt/30243; RRID: AB, 211218600BV711 anti-human CD4SROBiolegendCatt/30426; RRID: AB, 211218600BV711 anti-human CD4SRABiolegendCatt/30426; RRID: AB, 2581371BV711 anti-human CD4SRABiolegendCatt/30426; RRID: AB, 258637BV711 anti-human CD4SRABiolegendCatt/30426; RRID: AB, 258637BV711 anti-human CD4SRABiolegendCatt/30426; RRID: AB, 2586361BV711 anti-human CD4SRABiolegendCatt/30426; RRID: AB, 2586361	PerCP/Cyanine5.5 anti-mouse/human KLRG1	Biolegend	Cat#138418; RRID: AB_2563015
AF488 anti-mouse IFNgBiolegendCatt/505813; RRID: AB_493312APC anti-mouse CD5BiolegendCatt/10202; RRID: AB_493458AF647 anti-mouse CD69BiolegendCatt/104508; RRID: AB_493458PE anti-mouse CD69BiolegendCatt/503268; RRID: AB_331111PE-Cy7 anti-K67BiolegendCatt/503268; RRID: AB_2332694AF647 anti-mouse TNF-alphaBiolegendCatt/503268; RRID: AB_315302PE anti-mouse IL-2BiolegendCatt/301819; RRID: AB_315302APC-Cy7 anti-human CD14BiolegendCatt/302217; RRID: AB_314217APC-Cy7 anti-human CD15BiolegendCatt/302217; RRID: AB_314247APC-Cy7 anti-human CD28BiolegendCatt/302217; RRID: AB_314247APC-Cy7 anti-human CD48BiolegendCatt/302213; RRID: AB_11218600BY711 anti-human CD48ROBiolegendCatt/3024213; RRID: AB_11218600BY711 anti-human CD48ROBiolegendCatt/3024213; RRID: AB_314224APC anti-human CD48ROBiolegendCatt/304213; RRID: AB_314217BY21 anti-human CD48ROBiolegendCatt/304213; RRID: AB_314217BY21 anti-human CD48ROBiolegendCatt/302451; RRID: AB_314218BY71 anti-human CD48ROBiolegendCatt/302451; RRID: AB_314217BY71 anti-human CD48ROBiolegendCatt/302411; RRID: AB_2468651BY718 anti-human CD498ROBiolegendCatt/302411; RRID: AB_266561BY718 anti-human CD498BiolegendCatt/30241; RRID: AB_2685661BY718 anti-human CD45BiolegendCatt/30241; RRID: AB_2685661BY711 anti-human CD56Biolegend	AF647 anti-mouse CD127 (IL-7Rα)	Biolegend	Cat#135020; RRID: AB_1937209
APC anti-mouse CD5BiolegendCat#100626; RRID: AB_2563929AF647 anti-mouse CD25BiolegendCat#104508; RRID: AB_213111PE anti-mouse CD59BiolegendCat#652426; RRID: AB_2632694AF647 anti-mouse TLP-alphaBiolegendCat#503808; RRID: AB_493330PE anti-mouse IL-2BiolegendCat#503808; RRID: AB_9330AFC-Cy7 anti-human CD14BiolegendCat#302017; RRID: AB_914217APC-Cy7 anti-human CD15BiolegendCat#3020217; RRID: AB_914217APC-Cy7 anti-human CD18BiolegendCat#3020217; RRID: AB_914217APC-Cy7 anti-human CD19BiolegendCat#3020217; RRID: AB_914247APC-Cy7 anti-human CD25BiolegendCat#302026; RRID: AB_914283BV711 anti-human CD45ROBiolegendCat#302017; RRID: AB_914283BV711 anti-human CD45ROBiolegendCat#302017; RRID: AB_911218600FITC anti-human CD45ROBiolegendCat#304206; RRID: AB_9112480BV421 anti-human CD45ROBiolegendCat#304206; RRID: AB_91442APC anti-human CD45ROBiolegendCat#304206; RRID: AB_91442APC anti-human CD45ROBiolegendCat#304206; RRID: AB_91442BV711 anti-human CD45ROBiolegendCat#30247; RRID: AB_91441PE-Cy7 anti-human CD45BiolegendCat#30247; RRID: AB_91441APC-Cy7 anti-human CD5BiolegendCat#30247; RRID: AB_91416BV711 anti-human CD45BiolegendCat#30247; RRID: AB_91431BV711 anti-human CD45BiolegendCat#30247; RRID: AB_91431BV711 anti-human CD45BiolegendCat#30247; RRID: A	AF488 anti-mouse IFNg	Biolegend	Cat#505813; RRID: AB_493312
AF647 anti-mouse CD25BiolegendCat#102020; RRID: AB_493458PE anti-mouse CD69BiolegendCat#104050; RRID: AB_2632694AF647 anti-mouse TNF-alphaBiolegendCat#503808; RRID: AB_313111PE anti-mouse IL-2BiolegendCat#503808; RRID: AB_315302APC-0y7 anti-human CD14BiolegendCat#302017; RRID: AB_493894APC-0y7 anti-human CD16BiolegendCat#302217; RRID: AB_314247APC-0y7 anti-human CD18BiolegendCat#302217; RRID: AB_314247APC-0y7 anti-human CD8BiolegendCat#302217; RRID: AB_314247APC-0y7 anti-human CD8BiolegendCat#302217; RRID: AB_314283APC-0y7 anti-human CD4BiolegendCat#30226; RRID: AB_116386APC-0y7 anti-human CD4SROBiolegendCat#302237; RRID: AB_11218600FITC anti-human FNgBiolegendCat#304265; RRID: AB_11218600FITC anti-human CD4SROBiolegendCat#304206; RRID: AB_11218600FITC anti-human CD4SROBiolegendCat#304206; RRID: AB_2121871PE anti-human CD4SROBiolegendCat#304206; RRID: AB_214416APC anti-human CD4SROBiolegendCat#30426; RRID: AB_214416AF48 anti-human CD4SROBiolegendCat#30229; RRID: AB_256561BV718 anti-human CD4BiolegendCat#30229; RRID: AB_265661BV711 anti-human CD4BiolegendCat#30229; RRID: AB_265661BV711 anti-human CD4BiolegendCat#30229; RRID: AB_265661BV711 anti-human CD4BiolegendCat#30229; RRID: AB_265661BV711 anti-human CD4BiolegendCat#30229; RRID: AB_1	APC anti-mouse CD5	Biolegend	Cat#100626; RRID: AB_2563929
PE anti-mouse CD69 Biolegend Cat#104508; RRID: AB_313111 PE-Q7 anti-K67 Biolegend Cat#508314; RRID: AB_2526694 AF647 anti-mouse TNF-alpha Biolegend Cat#503308; RRID: AB_93330 PE anti-mouse IL-2 Biolegend Cat#503308; RRID: AB_493502 APC-Cy7 anti-human CD14 Biolegend Cat#301819; RRID: AB_493694 APC-Cy7 anti-human CD15 Biolegend Cat#3002217; RRID: AB_314217 APC-Cy7 anti-human CD19 Biolegend Cat#3002217; RRID: AB_314247 APC-Cy7 anti-human CD25 Biolegend Cat#302217; RRID: AB_314283 APC-Cy7 anti-human CD45RO Biolegend Cat#302250; RRID: AB_11218600 BI711 anti-human CD45RO Biolegend Cat#304255; RRID: AB_31422 PE anti-human CD45RO Biolegend Cat#304206; RRID: AB_314416 AF48 anti-human CD45RO Biolegend Cat#304206; RRID: AB_51242 PE anti-human CD45RO Biolegend Cat#304206; RRID: AB_528857 PE Arti-human CD62L Biolegend Cat#304206; RRID: AB_51416 PF Cy7 anti-human CD45RO Biolegend Cat#304206; RRID: AB_516311 PF Cy7 anti-human CD45RO Biolegend <	AF647 anti-mouse CD25	Biolegend	Cat#102020; RRID: AB_493458
PE-Cy7 anti-Ki67BiolegendCat#652426; RRID: AB_2632694AF647 anti-mouse IL-2BiolegendCat#503806; RRID: AB_315302PE anti-mouse IL-2BiolegendCat#503806; RRID: AB_315302APC-Cy7 anti-human CD14BiolegendCat#303806; RRID: AB_316217APC-Cy7 anti-human CD16BiolegendCat#3030926; RRID: AB_314217APC-Cy7 anti-human CD19BiolegendCat#302217; RRID: AB_314247APC-Cy7 anti-human CD8BiolegendCat#302217; RRID: AB_314283APC-Cy7 anti-human CD4BiolegendCat#30225; RRID: AB_11218600BV711 anti-human CD45ROBiolegendCat#30226; RRID: AB_314223BV421 anti-human CD4BiolegendCat#30226; RRID: AB_314223BV421 anti-human CD4BiolegendCat#30226; RRID: AB_314223APC anti-human CD45RABiolegendCat#304206; RRID: AB_314416AF48 anti-human CD45RABiolegendCat#30426; RRID: AB_314416AF48 anti-human CD45RABiolegendCat#304816; RRID: AB_261371BF-Cy7 anti-human CD4BiolegendCat#302263; RRID: AB_210370BV785 anti-human CD4BiolegendCat#302947; RRID: AB_210870BV711 anti-human CD4BiolegendCat#302435; RRID: AB_11219793AF647 anti-human CD4BiolegendCat#302947; RRID: AB_11219793AF647 anti-human CD4BiolegendCat#302947; RRID: AB_11219793AF647 anti-human CD4BiolegendCat#302947; RRID: AB_11219793AF647 anti-human CD4BiolegendCat#302945; RRID: AB_11219793AF647 anti-human CD4BiolegendCat#302945; RRID: AB_1121	PE anti-mouse CD69	Biolegend	Cat#104508; RRID: AB_313111
AF647 anti-mouse TNF-alphaBiolegendCat#508314; RRID: AB_453330PE anti-mouse IL-2BiolegendCat#503806; RRID: AB_453604APC-Cy7 anti-human CD14BiolegendCat#301819; RRID: AB_493694APC-Cy7 anti-human CD16BiolegendCat#30217; RRID: AB_14217APC-Cy7 anti-human CD19BiolegendCat#30217; RRID: AB_14247APC-Cy7 anti-human CD25BiolegendCat#302617; RRID: AB_10613636APC-Cy7 anti-human CD45ROBiolegendCat#302617; RRID: AB_11218600FITC anti-human CD4BiolegendCat#304235; RRID: AB_11218600FITC anti-human CD4BiolegendCat#304235; RRID: AB_11218600PE anti-human CD4BiolegendCat#304205; RRID: AB_11218600PE anti-human CD4BiolegendCat#304205; RRID: AB_11218600PE anti-human CD4BiolegendCat#30420; RRID: AB_21221518PE anti-human CD4BiolegendCat#304112; RRID: AB_214422APC anti-human CD4BiolegendCat#30420; RRID: AB_228857BV785 anti-human CD4BiolegendCat#30420; RRID: AB_261371PE-Cy7 anti-human CD4BiolegendCat#30420; RRID: AB_261871PE-Cy7 anti-human CD4BiolegendCat#30420; RRID: AB_261871PE-Cy7 anti-human CD4BiolegendCat#30420; RRID: AB_2109370BV711 anti-human CD55BiolegendCat#302947; RRID: AB_11219793AF647 anti-human CD4BiolegendCat#302635; RRID: AB_11219793AF647 anti-human CD56BiolegendCat#302635; RRID: AB_171824PE-Cy7 anti-human CD56BiolegendCat#318331; RRID: AB_2058631 </td <td>PE-Cy7 anti-Ki67</td> <td>Biolegend</td> <td>Cat#652426; RRID: AB_2632694</td>	PE-Cy7 anti-Ki67	Biolegend	Cat#652426; RRID: AB_2632694
PE anti-mouse IL-2BiolegendCat#503808; RRID: AB_315302APC-Cy7 anti-human CD14BiolegendCat#301819; RRID: AB_493694APC-Cy7 anti-human CD16BiolegendCat#30217; RRID: AB_314217APC-Cy7 anti-human CD19BiolegendCat#300217; RRID: AB_314247APC-Cy7 anti-human CD2BiolegendCat#30026; RRID: AB_10613636APC-Cy7 anti-human CD25BiolegendCat#302613; RRID: AB_11218600BV711 anti-human CD4SROBiolegendCat#304235; RRID: AB_315232BV421 anti-human CD4BiolegendCat#304205; RRID: AB_315232BV421 anti-human CD4BiolegendCat#304206; RRID: AB_31422APC anti-human CD4BiolegendCat#304206; RRID: AB_314422APC anti-human CD4BiolegendCat#304216; RRID: AB_314416AF488 anti-human CD62LBiolegendCat#304816; RRID: AB_26857BV78 anti-human CD197BiolegendCat#304816; RRID: AB_2616856BV71 anti-human CD28BiolegendCat#30247; RRID: AB_2616856PE-Cy7 anti-human CD28BiolegendCat#302435; RRID: AB_265661BV711 anti-human CD28BiolegendCat#30235; RRID: AB_11219793AF647 anti-human CD56BiolegendCat#30263; RRID: AB_10331APC-Cy7 anti-human CD56BiolegendCat#30283; RRID: AB_10389118BV605 anti-mouse TCRBBiolegendCat#318331; RRID: AB_10389118BV605 anti-mouse TCRBBiolegendCat#318331; RRID: AB_103895APC anti-mouse TCRBBiolegendCat#10241; RRID: AB_2629563APC anti-mouse TCRBBiolegendCat#10241; RRID: AB_173824<	AF647 anti-mouse TNF-alpha	Biolegend	Cat#506314; RRID: AB_493330
APC-Cy7 anti-human CD14BiolegendCat#301819; RRID: AB_493694APC-Cy7 anti-human CD16BiolegendCat#302217; RRID: AB_314217APC-Cy7 anti-human CD19BiolegendCat#3002217; RRID: AB_314247APC-Cy7 anti-human CD25BiolegendCat#3002217; RRID: AB_314283BV711 anti-human CD25BiolegendCat#3002213; RRID: AB_11218600FITC anti-human CD45ROBiolegendCat#304235; RRID: AB_11218600FITC anti-human CD45ROBiolegendCat#304235; RRID: AB_17218600BV421 anti-human CD45ROBiolegendCat#3042613; RRID: AB_2721518BVE anti-human CD45ROBiolegendCat#304206; RRID: AB_314422APC anti-human CD45ROBiolegendCat#304206; RRID: AB_514422APC anti-human CD45ROBiolegendCat#304206; RRID: AB_514422APC anti-human CD45RABiolegendCat#304204; RRID: AB_514421AF488 anti-human CD62LBiolegendCat#304204; RRID: AB_2616371PE-Cy7 anti-human CD28BiolegendCat#304204; RRID: AB_2616366PV711 anti-human CD4BiolegendCat#304204; RRID: AB_266661BV711 anti-human CD4BiolegendCat#30243; RRID: AB_11219793AF647 anti-human CD4BiolegendCat#30243; RRID: AB_11219793AF647 anti-human CD4BiolegendCat#30263; RRID: AB_11219793AF647 anti-human CD4BiolegendCat#30263; RRID: AB_11219793AF647 anti-human CD4BiolegendCat#30263; RRID: AB_11219793AF647 anti-human CD56BiolegendCat#302635; RRID: AB_11219793AF647 anti-human CD56BiolegendC	PE anti-mouse IL-2	Biolegend	Cat#503808; RRID: AB_315302
APC-Oy7 anti-human CD16BiolegendCat#302017; RRID: AB_314217APC-Cy7 anti-human CD19BiolegendCat#302217; RRID: AB_314247APC-Cy7 anti-human CD8BiolegendCat#300281; RRID: AB_1613836APC-Cy7 anti-human CD25BiolegendCat#302613; RRID: AB_11218600BV711 anti-human CD45ROBiolegendCat#302607; RRID: AB_11218600BV421 anti-human CD4BiolegendCat#304235; RRID: AB_11218600PTC anti-human CD4BiolegendCat#304206; RRID: AB_15232BV421 anti-human CD4BiolegendCat#304206; RRID: AB_1721880PE anti-human CD45ROBiolegendCat#304206; RRID: AB_1422APC anti-human CD45RABiolegendCat#30421; RRID: AB_152887BV785 anti-human CD62LBiolegendCat#3028229; RRID: AB_528857BV785 anti-human CD197BiolegendCat#30229; RRID: AB_2616856PE-Cy7 anti-human CD28BiolegendCat#302947; RRID: AB_2616856BV711 anti-human CD28BiolegendCat#30235; RRID: AB_11219733AF647 anti-human CD4BiolegendCat#302635; RRID: AB_11219733AF647 anti-human CD56BiolegendCat#302635; RRID: AB_11219733AF647 anti-human CD56BiolegendCat#31831; RRID: AB_10898118BV605 anti-mouse TCRbBiolegendCat#310231; RRID: AB_1219733AF647 anti-mouse TCRbBiolegendCat#31831; RRID: AB_123824PE-Cy7 anti-mouse TCRbBiolegendCat#31831; RRID: AB_1731824PE-Cy7 anti-mouse TCRbBiolegendCat#31831; RRID: AB_1731824PE-Cy7 anti-mouse TCRbBiolegendCat#31831	APC-Cy7 anti-human CD14	Biolegend	Cat#301819; RRID: AB_493694
APC-Cy7BiolegendCat#302217; RRID: AB_314247APC-Cy7 anti-human CD8BiolegendCat#300926; RRID: AB_10613636APC-Cy7 anti-human CD25BiolegendCat#30213; RRID: AB_314283BV711 anti-human CD45ROBiolegendCat#30235; RRID: AB_315232BV421 anti-human IFNgBiolegendCat#304235; RRID: AB_315232BV421 anti-human CD4BiolegendCat#304206; RRID: AB_314422APC anti-human CD4BiolegendCat#304206; RRID: AB_314422APC anti-human CD45ROBiolegendCat#304112; RRID: AB_314416AF488 anti-human CD45RABiolegendCat#30416; RRID: AB_314416AF488 anti-human CD62LBiolegendCat#304816; RRID: AB_2566371BFC-Cy7 anti-human CD95BiolegendCat#304206; RRID: AB_2561371BFC-Cy7 anti-human CD95BiolegendCat#302947; RRID: AB_2100370BV711 anti-human CD28BiolegendCat#30239; RRID: AB_2616856PE-Cy7 anti-human CD4BiolegendCat#30236; RRID: AB_266861BV711 anti-human CD56BiolegendCat#30236; RRID: AB_11219793AF647 anti-human CD56BiolegendCat#318331; RRID: AB_11219793AF647 anti-human CD56BiolegendCat#318331; RRID: AB_269563APC-cy7 anti-human CD56BiolegendCat#318331; RRID: AB_1731824BV605 anti-mouse TCRBiolegendCat#118115; RRID: AB_1731824APC anti-mouse TCRBiolegendCat#118115; RRID: AB_1731824PE-Cy7 anti-human CD56BiolegendCat#140416; RRID: AB_265633OPA1BiolegendCat#1410416; RRID: AB_2654355 <td< td=""><td>APC-Cy7 anti-human CD16</td><td>Biolegend</td><td>Cat#302017; RRID: AB_314217</td></td<>	APC-Cy7 anti-human CD16	Biolegend	Cat#302017; RRID: AB_314217
APC-Cy7 anti-human CD8BiolegendCat#300926; RRID: AB_10613636APC-Cy7 anti-human CD25BiolegendCat#302613; RRID: AB_314283BV711 anti-human CD45ROBiolegendCat#30235; RRID: AB_11218600FITC anti-human IFNgBiolegendCat#30223; RRID: AB_11218600BV421 anti-human CD4BiolegendCat#30420; RRID: AB_314422APC anti-human CD4BiolegendCat#30420; RRID: AB_314422APC anti-human CD45RABiolegendCat#30420; RRID: AB_314422APC anti-human CD45RABiolegendCat#30420; RRID: AB_314416AF488 anti-human CD62LBiolegendCat#30420; RRID: AB_2160371BV785 anti-human CD197BiolegendCat#30269; RRID: AB_2100370BV711 anti-human CD28BiolegendCat#30294; RRID: AB_2616866PE-Cy7 anti-human CD4BiolegendCat#30208; RRID: AB_266661BV711 anti-human CD4BiolegendCat#30208; RRID: AB_2100370BV711 anti-human CD4BiolegendCat#30208; RRID: AB_266661BV711 anti-human CD5BiolegendCat#30208; RRID: AB_266661BV711 anti-human CD4BiolegendCat#30208; RRID: AB_11219793AF647 anti-human CD56BiolegendCat#318331; RRID: AB_11219793AF647 anti-human CD8BiolegendCat#118331; RRID: AB_1731824PE-Cy7 anti-human CD8BiolegendCat#118331; RRID: AB_1731824PE-Cy7 anti-human CD8BiolegendCat#1140416; RRID: AB_269633APC anti-mouse TCRbBiolegendCat#1140416; RRID: AB_276385PDFLCy7 anti-mouse CD8BiolegendCat#1140416; RRID: AB_264385<	APC-Cy7 anti-human CD19	Biolegend	Cat#302217; RRID: AB_314247
APC-Cy7 anti-human CD25BiolegendCat#302613; RRID: AB_314283BV711 anti-human CD45ROBiolegendCat#304235; RRID: AB_11218600FITC anti-human IPNgBiolegendCat#304235; RRID: AB_315232BV421 anti-human CD4BiolegendCat#304206; RRID: AB_315232PE anti-human hCD45ROBiolegendCat#304206; RRID: AB_314422APC anti-human CD45RABiolegendCat#304112; RRID: AB_314416AF488 anti-human CD45RABiolegendCat#304206; RRID: AB_314416AF488 anti-human CD45RABiolegendCat#304206; RRID: AB_52857BV785 anti-human CD197BiolegendCat#305621; RRID: AB_2661371PE-Cy7 anti-human CD28BiolegendCat#302947; RRID: AB_266661BV711 anti-human CD28BiolegendCat#302947; RRID: AB_2656661BV711 anti-human CD25BiolegendCat#302947; RRID: AB_11219793AF647 anti-human CD25BiolegendCat#302635; RRID: AB_11219793AF647 anti-human CD56BiolegendCat#318331; RRID: AB_112089118BV605 anti-mouse TCRbBiolegendCat#109241; RRID: AB_2628563APC - Cy7 anti-human CD56BiolegendCat#109241; RRID: AB_2684385PE-Cy7 anti-mouse CD8bBiolegendCat#14016; RRID: AB_1731824PE-Cy7 anti-mouse CD8bBiolegendCat#1402655; RRID: AB_1130595SDHACell SignalingCat#102655; RRID: AB_1130595SDHACell SignalingCat#11988; RRID: AB_10960504CSCell SignalingCat#18147; RRID: AB_10961593CPT1aProteintechCat#31841; RRID: AB_10960504CS </td <td>APC-Cy7 anti-human CD8</td> <td>Biolegend</td> <td>Cat#300926; RRID: AB_10613636</td>	APC-Cy7 anti-human CD8	Biolegend	Cat#300926; RRID: AB_10613636
BV711 anti-human CD45ROBiolegendCat#304235; RRID: AB_11218600FITC anti-human IFNgBiolegendCat#502507; RRID: AB_315232BV421 anti-human CD4BiolegendCat#357423; RRID: AB_2721518PE anti-human CD45ROBiolegendCat#304206; RRID: AB_314422APC anti-human CD45RABiolegendCat#304112; RRID: AB_314416AF488 anti-human CD45RABiolegendCat#304816; RRID: AB_528857BV785 anti-human CD45RABiolegendCat#304816; RRID: AB_528857BV785 anti-human CD197BiolegendCat#30045621; RRID: AB_2561371PE-Cy7 anti-human CD195BiolegendCat#302947; RRID: AB_2616856BV711 anti-human CD28BiolegendCat#302435; RRID: AB_1210370BV711 anti-human CD28BiolegendCat#302635; RRID: AB_1219793AF647 anti-human CD4BiolegendCat#302635; RRID: AB_11219793AF647 anti-human CD56BiolegendCat#302635; RRID: AB_43031APC-Cy7 anti-human CD56BiolegendCat#318331; RRID: AB_269563BV605 anti-mouse TCR gammadeltaBiolegendCat#109241; RRID: AB_269563PE-Cy7 anti-mouse TCR gammadeltaBiolegendCat#119115; RRID: AB_256355;OPA1BD BiosciencesCat#118115; RRID: AB_11130595SDHACell SignalingCat#3557; RRID: AB_11130595SDHACell SignalingCat#3557; RRID: AB_10691593CPT1aProteintechCell SignalingCPT1aProteintechCat#3557; RRID: AB_2084676CPT1aCell SignalingCat#3558; RRID: AB_2084676	APC-Cy7 anti-human CD25	Biolegend	Cat#302613; RRID: AB_314283
FITC anti-human IFNgBiolegendCat#502507; RRID: AB_315232BV421 anti-human CD4BiolegendCat#357423; RRID: AB_2721518PE anti-human hCD45ROBiolegendCat#304206; RRID: AB_314422APC anti-human CD45RABiolegendCat#304112; RRID: AB_314416AF488 anti-human CD62LBiolegendCat#304116; RRID: AB_528857BV785 anti-human CD197BiolegendCat#30522; RRID: AB_2561371PE-Cy7 anti-human CD95BiolegendCat#302621; RRID: AB_2616856BV711 anti-human CD28BiolegendCat#302947; RRID: AB_2616856PE-Cy7 anti-human CD4BiolegendCat#302635; RRID: AB_2565661BV711 anti-human CD5BiolegendCat#302635; RRID: AB_2565661BV711 anti-human CD5BiolegendCat#302635; RRID: AB_493031AF647 anti-human CD56BiolegendCat#302635; RRID: AB_493031APC-Cy7 anti-human CD56BiolegendCat#109241; RRID: AB_269563BV605 anti-mouse TCRbBiolegendCat#118115; RRID: AB_1731824PE-Cy7 anti-mouse CD8bBiolegendCat#40126595; RRID: AB_11219793APC anti-mouse CCBbBiolegendCat#118115; RRID: AB_2564385OPA1BD BiosciencesCat#612606; RRID: AB_399888ACONITASEAbcamCat#3173782SDHACell SignalingCat#317578; RRID: AB_11130595SDHACell SignalingCat#317578; RRID: AB_10691593CPT1aProteintechCat#315784; RRID: AB_2084676CUBUINCell SignalingCat#315784; RRID: AB_2084676	BV711 anti-human CD45RO	Biolegend	Cat#304235; RRID: AB_11218600
BV421 anti-human CD4BiolegendCat#357423; RRID: AB_2721518PE anti-human hCD45ROBiolegendCat#304206; RRID: AB_314422APC anti-human CD45RABiolegendCat#304112; RRID: AB_314416AF488 anti-human CD62LBiolegendCat#304816; RRID: AB_528857BV785 anti-human CD197BiolegendCat#33229; RRID: AB_2561371PE-Cy7 anti-human CD95BiolegendCat#305621; RRID: AB_2616856BV711 anti-human CD28BiolegendCat#302947; RRID: AB_2616856PE-Cy7 anti-human CD4BiolegendCat#302635; RRID: AB_2565661BV711 anti-human CD4BiolegendCat#302635; RRID: AB_2565661BV711 anti-human CD5BiolegendCat#302635; RRID: AB_493031AF647 anti-human CD56BiolegendCat#302635; RRID: AB_493031APC-Cy7 anti-human CD56BiolegendCat#109241; RRID: AB_10898118BV605 anti-mouse TCRbBiolegendCat#118115; RRID: AB_10898118BV605 anti-mouse TCRbBiolegendCat#118115; RRID: AB_1731824PE-Cy7 anti-mouse CD8bBiolegendCat#140416; RRID: AB_2564385OPA1BD BiosciencesCat#612606; RRID: AB_31130595SDHACell SignalingCat#3175; RRID: AB_11130595SDHACell SignalingCat#3175; RRID: AB_1130595CSCell SignalingCat#3175; RRID: AB_10691593CPT1aProteintechCat#315841-AP; RRID: AB_2084676CWILLINCell SignalingCat#315841-AP; RRID: AB_2084676	FITC anti-human IFNg	Biolegend	Cat#502507; RRID: AB_315232
PE anti-human hCD45R0BiolegendCat#304206; RRID: AB_314422APC anti-human CD45RABiolegendCat#304112; RRID: AB_314416AF488 anti-human CD62LBiolegendCat#304816; RRID: AB_528857BV785 anti-human CD197BiolegendCat#353229; RRID: AB_2561371PE-Cy7 anti-human CD95BiolegendCat#305621; RRID: AB_2100370BV711 anti-human CD28BiolegendCat#302947; RRID: AB_2616856PE-Cy7 anti-human CD4BiolegendCat#357409; RRID: AB_2656661BV711 anti-human CD25BiolegendCat#302635; RRID: AB_11219793AF647 anti-human CD56BiolegendCat#302635; RRID: AB_11219793AF647 anti-human CD56BiolegendCat#318331; RRID: AB_265633BV050 anti-mouse TCRbBiolegendCat#118115; RRID: AB_262563APC anti-mouse TCR gammadeltaBiolegendCat#118115; RRID: AB_264385OPA1BD BiosciencesCat#612606; RRID: AB_39988ACONITASEAbcamCat#31026; RRID: AB_1130595SDHACell SignalingCat#81373; RRID: AB_10691593CPT1aProteintechCell SignalingCAT#81375; RRID: AB_1050504SCSCell SignalingCPT1aProteintechCat#15184-1-AP; RRID: AB_2084676CUBUINCell SignalingCat#140446; RRID: AB_2084676	BV421 anti-human CD4	Biolegend	Cat#357423; RRID: AB_2721518
APC anti-human CD45RABiolegendCat#304112; RRID: AB_314416AF488 anti-human CD62LBiolegendCat#304816; RRID: AB_528857BV785 anti-human CD197BiolegendCat#353229; RRID: AB_2561371PE-Cy7 anti-human CD95BiolegendCat#305621; RRID: AB_2100370BV711 anti-human CD28BiolegendCat#302947; RRID: AB_2616856PE-Cy7 anti-human CD4BiolegendCat#302635; RRID: AB_2565661BV711 anti-human CD25BiolegendCat#302635; RRID: AB_11219793AF647 anti-human CD56BiolegendCat#302635; RRID: AB_11219793AF647 anti-human CD56BiolegendCat#318331; RRID: AB_2629563BV605 anti-mouse TCRbBiolegendCat#1190241; RRID: AB_2629563APC anti-mouse TCR gammadeltaBiolegendCat#118115; RRID: AB_26385OPA1BD BiosciencesCat#40166; RRID: AB_399888ACONITASEAbcamCat#a12606; RRID: AB_399888ACONITASECell SignalingCat#3175; RRID: AB_2750900IDHCell SignalingCat#3175; RRID: AB_106905044SCSCell SignalingCat#31537; RRID: AB_10690504CPT1aProteintechCat#31541-AP; RRID: AB_2084676CUBUINCell SignalingCat#31544-1-AP; RRID: AB_2084676	PE anti-human hCD45RO	Biolegend	Cat#304206; RRID: AB_314422
AF488 anti-human CD62LBiolegendCat#304816; RRID: AB_528857BV785 anti-human CD197BiolegendCat#353229; RRID: AB_2561371PE-Cy7 anti-human CD95BiolegendCat#305621; RRID: AB_2100370BV711 anti-human CD28BiolegendCat#302947; RRID: AB_2616856PE-Cy7 anti-human CD4BiolegendCat#357409; RRID: AB_2565661BV711 anti-human CD25BiolegendCat#302635; RRID: AB_11219793AF647 anti-human CD56BiolegendCat#318331; RRID: AB_493031APC-Cy7 anti-human CD56BiolegendCat#318331; RRID: AB_10898118BV605 anti-mouse TCRbBiolegendCat#119241; RRID: AB_2629563APC anti-mouse TCR gammadeltaBiolegendCat#118115; RRID: AB_1731824PE-Cy7 anti-mouse CD8bBiolegendCat#118115; RRID: AB_2564385OPA1BD BiosciencesCat#612606; RRID: AB_399888ACONITASEAbcamCat#119885; RRID: AB_11130595SDHACell SignalingCat#81375; RRID: AB_119905044SCSCell SignalingCat#81375; RRID: AB_10691593CPT1aProteintechCat#15184-1-AP; RRID: AB_2084676CUBULINCell SignalingCat#21445; RRID: AB_2084676	APC anti-human CD45RA	Biolegend	Cat#304112; RRID: AB_314416
BV785 anti-human CD197BiolegendCat#353229; RRID: AB_2561371PE-Cy7 anti-human CD95BiolegendCat#305621; RRID: AB_2100370BV711 anti-human CD28BiolegendCat#302947; RRID: AB_2616856PE-Cy7 anti-human CD4BiolegendCat#357409; RRID: AB_2565661BV711 anti-human CD25BiolegendCat#302635; RRID: AB_11219793AF647 anti-human IFNgBiolegendCat#302635; RRID: AB_11219793AF647 anti-human CD56BiolegendCat#318331; RRID: AB_10898118BV605 anti-mouse TCRbBiolegendCat#119241; RRID: AB_2629563APC anti-mouse TCR gammadeltaBiolegendCat#118115; RRID: AB_1731824PE-Cy7 anti-mouse CD8bBiolegendCat#1140416; RRID: AB_2564385OPA1BD BiosciencesCat#112609; RRID: AB_11130595AACONITASEAccamCat#ab126595; RRID: AB_11130595SDHACell SignalingCat#119983; RRID: AB_10691593CPT1aProteintechCat#15184-1-AP; RRID: AB_2084676CUBULINCell SignalingCat#15184-1-AP; RRID: AB_2084676	AF488 anti-human CD62L	Biolegend	Cat#304816; RRID: AB_528857
PE-Cy7 anti-huma CD95BiolegendCat#305621; RRID: AB_2100370BV711 anti-human CD28BiolegendCat#302947; RRID: AB_2616856PE-Cy7 anti-human CD4BiolegendCat#357409; RRID: AB_2565661BV711 anti-human CD25BiolegendCat#302635; RRID: AB_11219793AF647 anti-human IFNgBiolegendCat#302516; RRID: AB_493031APC-Cy7 anti-human CD56BiolegendCat#318331; RRID: AB_10898118BV605 anti-mouse TCRbBiolegendCat#109241; RRID: AB_2629563APC anti-mouse TCR gammadeltaBiolegendCat#118115; RRID: AB_1731824PE-Cy7 anti-mouse CD8bBiolegendCat#140416; RRID: AB_2564385OPA1BD BiosciencesCat#612606; RRID: AB_399888ACONITASEAbcamCat#31375; RRID: AB_11130595SDHACell SignalingCat#31375; RRID: AB_1050504IDHCell SignalingCat#31575; RRID: AB_10950504CPT1aProteintechCat#15184-1-AP; RRID: AB_2084676TUBULINCell SignalingCat#21445; RBID: AB_2210548	BV785 anti-human CD197	Biolegend	Cat#353229; RRID: AB_2561371
BV711 anti-human CD28BiolegendCat#302947; RRID: AB_2616856PE-Cy7 anti-human CD4BiolegendCat#357409; RRID: AB_2565661BV711 anti-human CD25BiolegendCat#302635; RRID: AB_11219793AF647 anti-human IFNgBiolegendCat#302635; RRID: AB_493031APC-Cy7 anti-human CD56BiolegendCat#318331; RRID: AB_10898118BV605 anti-mouse TCRbBiolegendCat#109241; RRID: AB_2629563APC anti-mouse TCR gammadeltaBiolegendCat#118115; RRID: AB_2629563APC anti-mouse CD8bBiolegendCat#140416; RRID: AB_2564385OPA1BD BiosciencesCat#612606; RRID: AB_399888ACONITASEAbcamCat#ab126595; RRID: AB_11130595SDHACell SignalingCat#3137; RRID: AB_2750900IDHCell SignalingCat#3137; RRID: AB_10950504SCSCell SignalingCat#3137; RRID: AB_10950504CPT1aProteintechCat#15184-1-AP; RRID: AB_2084676TUBULINCell SignalingCat#15184-1-AP; RRID: AB_2084676	PE-Cy7 anti-humna CD95	Biolegend	Cat#305621; RRID: AB_2100370
PE-Cy7 anti-human CD4BiolegendCat#357409; RRID: AB_2565661BV711 anti-human CD25BiolegendCat#302635; RRID: AB_11219793AF647 anti-human IFNgBiolegendCat#502516; RRID: AB_493031APC-Cy7 anti-human CD56BiolegendCat#318331; RRID: AB_10898118BV605 anti-mouse TCRbBiolegendCat#109241; RRID: AB_2629563APC anti-mouse TCR gammadeltaBiolegendCat#118115; RRID: AB_1731824PE-Cy7 anti-mouse CD8bBiolegendCat#140416; RRID: AB_2564385OPA1BD BiosciencesCat#612606; RRID: AB_399888ACONITASEAbcamCat#ab126595; RRID: AB_11130595SDHACell SignalingCat#1375; RRID: AB_10950504IDHCell SignalingCat#3175; RRID: AB_10950504CPT1aProteintechCat#31541-AP; RRID: AB_2084676TUBULINCell SignalingCat#2144S; BRID: AB_210548	BV711 anti-human CD28	Biolegend	Cat#302947; RRID: AB_2616856
BV711 anti-human CD25BiolegendCat#302635; RRID: AB_11219793AF647 anti-human IFNgBiolegendCat#502516; RRID: AB_493031APC-Cy7 anti-human CD56BiolegendCat#318331; RRID: AB_10898118BV605 anti-mouse TCRbBiolegendCat#109241; RRID: AB_2629563APC anti-mouse TCR gammadeltaBiolegendCat#118115; RRID: AB_1731824PE-Cy7 anti-mouse CD8bBiolegendCat#140416; RRID: AB_2564385OPA1BD BiosciencesCat#612606; RRID: AB_399888ACONITASEAbcamCat#ab126595; RRID: AB_11130595SDHACell SignalingCat#11998S; RRID: AB_10950504IDHCell SignalingCat#31375; RRID: AB_10950504SCSCell SignalingCat#31375; RRID: AB_10691593CPT1aProteintechCat#31584-1-AP; RRID: AB_2084676TUBULINCell SignalingCat#15184-1-AP; RRID: AB_2084676	PE-Cy7 anti-human CD4	Biolegend	Cat#357409; RRID: AB_2565661
AF647 anti-human IFNgBiolegendCat#502516; RRID: AB_493031APC-Cy7 anti-human CD56BiolegendCat#318331; RRID: AB_10898118BV605 anti-mouse TCRbBiolegendCat#109241; RRID: AB_2629563APC anti-mouse TCR gammadeltaBiolegendCat#118115; RRID: AB_1731824PE-Cy7 anti-mouse CD8bBiolegendCat#140416; RRID: AB_2564385OPA1BD BiosciencesCat#612606; RRID: AB_399888ACONITASEAbcamCat#ab126595; RRID: AB_11130595SDHACell SignalingCat#11998\$; RRID: AB_2750900IDHCell SignalingCat#31375; RRID: AB_10950504SCSCell SignalingCat#5575; RRID: AB_10691593CPT1aProteintechCat#15184-1-AP; RRID: AB_2084676TUBULINCell SignalingCat#2144S; RBID: AB_2084676	BV711 anti-human CD25	Biolegend	Cat#302635; RRID: AB_11219793
APC-Cy7 anti-human CD56BiolegendCat#318331; RRID: AB_10898118BV605 anti-mouse TCRbBiolegendCat#109241; RRID: AB_2629563APC anti-mouse TCR gammadeltaBiolegendCat#118115; RRID: AB_1731824PE-Cy7 anti-mouse CD8bBiolegendCat#140416; RRID: AB_2564385OPA1BD BiosciencesCat#612606; RRID: AB_399888ACONITASEAbcamCat#ab126595; RRID: AB_11130595SDHACell SignalingCat#31837; RRID: AB_10950504IDHCell SignalingCat#317S; RRID: AB_10950504SCSCell SignalingCat#557S; RRID: AB_10691593CPT1aProteintechCat#15184-1-AP; RRID: AB_2084676TUBULINCell SignalingCat#2144S; RBID: AB_2084676	AF647 anti-human IFNg	Biolegend	Cat#502516; RRID: AB_493031
BV605 anti-mouse TCRbBiolegendCat#109241; RRID: AB_2629563APC anti-mouse TCR gammadeltaBiolegendCat#118115; RRID: AB_1731824PE-Cy7 anti-mouse CD8bBiolegendCat#140416; RRID: AB_2564385OPA1BD BiosciencesCat#612606; RRID: AB_399888ACONITASEAbcamCat#ab126595; RRID: AB_11130595SDHACell SignalingCat#11998S; RRID: AB_2750900IDHCell SignalingCat#3557S; RRID: AB_10950504SCSCell SignalingCat#5557S; RRID: AB_10691593CPT1aProteintechCat#15184-1-AP; RRID: AB_2084676TUBULINCell SignalingCat#2144S; RBID: AB_2210548	APC-Cy7 anti-human CD56	Biolegend	Cat#318331; RRID: AB_10898118
APC anti-mouse TCR gammadeltaBiolegendCat#118115; RRID: AB_1731824PE-Cy7 anti-mouse CD8bBiolegendCat#140416; RRID: AB_2564385OPA1BD BiosciencesCat#612606; RRID: AB_399888ACONITASEAbcamCat#ab126595; RRID: AB_11130595SDHACell SignalingCat#11998S; RRID: AB_2750900IDHCell SignalingCat#8137S; RRID: AB_10950504SCSCell SignalingCat#5557S; RRID: AB_10950504CPT1aProteintechCat#15184-1-AP; RRID: AB_2084676TUBULINCell SignalingCat#2144S; RBID: AB_210548	BV605 anti-mouse TCRb	Biolegend	Cat#109241; RRID: AB_2629563
PE-Cy7 anti-mouse CD8bBiolegendCat#140416; RRID: AB_2564385OPA1BD BiosciencesCat#612606; RRID: AB_399888ACONITASEAbcamCat#ab126595; RRID: AB_11130595SDHACell SignalingCat#11998S; RRID: AB_2750900IDHCell SignalingCat#8137S; RRID: AB_10950504SCSCell SignalingCat#5557S; RRID: AB_10691593CPT1aProteintechCat#15184-1-AP; RRID: AB_2084676TUBULINCell SignalingCat#2144S; RRID: AB_210548	APC anti-mouse TCR gammadelta	Biolegend	Cat#118115; RRID: AB_1731824
OPA1BD BiosciencesCat#612606; RRID: AB_399888ACONITASEAbcamCat#ab126595; RRID: AB_11130595SDHACell SignalingCat#11998S; RRID: AB_2750900IDHCell SignalingCat#8137S; RRID: AB_10950504SCSCell SignalingCat#5557S; RRID: AB_10691593CPT1aProteintechCat#15184-1-AP; RRID: AB_2084676TUBULLINCell SignalingCat#2144S; RRID: AB_210548	PE-Cy7 anti-mouse CD8b	Biolegend	Cat#140416; RRID: AB_2564385
ACONITASE Abcam Cat#ab126595; RRID: AB_11130595 SDHA Cell Signaling Cat#11998S; RRID: AB_2750900 IDH Cell Signaling Cat#8137S; RRID: AB_10950504 SCS Cell Signaling Cat#5557S; RRID: AB_10691593 CPT1a Proteintech Cat#15184-1-AP; RRID: AB_2084676 TUBULIN Cell Signaling Cat#2144S; RRID: AB_2210548	OPA1	BD Biosciences	Cat#612606; RRID: AB_399888
SDHA Cell Signaling Cat#11998S; RRID: AB_2750900 IDH Cell Signaling Cat#8137S; RRID: AB_10950504 SCS Cell Signaling Cat#5557S; RRID: AB_10691593 CPT1a Proteintech Cat#15184-1-AP; RRID: AB_2084676 TUBULIN Cell Signaling Cat#2144S; RRID: AB_2210548	ACONITASE	Abcam	Cat#ab126595; RRID: AB_11130595
IDHCell SignalingCat#8137S; RRID: AB_10950504SCSCell SignalingCat#5557S; RRID: AB_10691593CPT1aProteintechCat#15184-1-AP; RRID: AB_2084676TUBULINCell SignalingCat#2144S; RRID: AB_2210548	SDHA	Cell Signaling	Cat#11998S; RRID: AB_2750900
SCS Cell Signaling Cat#5557S; RRID: AB_10691593 CPT1a Proteintech Cat#15184-1-AP; RRID: AB_2084676 TUBULIN Cell Signaling Cat#2144S; RRID: AB_2210548	IDH	Cell Signaling	Cat#8137S; RRID: AB_10950504
CPT1a Proteintech Cat#15184-1-AP; RRID: AB_2084676 TUBULIN Cell Signaling Cat#2144S; RRID: AB_2210548	SCS	Cell Signaling	Cat#5557S; RRID: AB_10691593
TUBULIN Cell Signaling Cat#2144S: RRID: AB 2210548	CPT1a	Proteintech	Cat#15184-1-AP; RRID: AB 2084676
	TUBULIN	Cell Signaling	Cat#2144S; RRID: AB_2210548

(Continued on next page)



Continued		
REAGENT or RESOURCE	SOURCE	IDENTIFIER
CALNEXIN	Santa Cruz	Cat#sc-23954; RRID: AB_626783
GAPDH	Cell Signaling	Cat#5174P; RRID: AB_10622025
TAFAZZIN	Santa Cruz	Cat#sc-365810; RRID: AB_10842049
CRLS1	Abcam	Cat#ab156882
TOM20	Cell Signaling	Cat#42406; RRID: AB_2687663
MnSOD	Enzo LifeScience	Cat#ADI-SOD-110-F; RRID: AB_2750987
OXPHOS cocktail	Abcam	Cat#ab110413; RRID: AB_2629281
ACTIN	Cell Signaling	Cat#4970; RRID: AB_2223172
PTPMT1	Sigma	Cat#HPA043932; RRID: AB_2678738
Bacterial and Virus Strains		
LmOVA ∆Acta	N/A	N/A
LmOVA WT	N/A	N/A
Biological Samples		
Healthy control blood	UniKlinik Freiburg	N/A
Chemicals, Peptides, and Recombinant Proteins		
ImmunoCult™ Human CD3/CD28/CD2 T Cell	StemCell Technologies, Inc.	Cat#10990
Activator		
Fixation/Permeabilization Solution Kit	BD	Cat#554714
Mitotracker green FM	Thermofisher Scientific	Cat#M7514
TMRM	Thermofisher Scientific	Cat#T668
D-GLUCOSE-13C6, 99 ATOM % 13C, ³ 99%	Sigma	Cat#389374
LINOLEIC ACID-13C18, 99 ATOM % 13C, 97%+	Sigma	Cat#605735
Alexidine dihydrochloride	Sigma	Cat#A8986
РМА	Sigma	Cat#P1585
lonomycin calcium salt	Sigma	Cat#I3909
Anti-Rat IgG (Fc specific)-Biotin antibody	Sigma	Cat#SAB3700543
Indo-1 AM	Thermofisher Scientific	Cat#I1223
Thapsigarargin	Tocris	Cat#1138
Fx cycle	Thermofisher Scientific	Cat#R37166
LIVE/DEAD™ Fixable Aqua Dead Cell Stain Kit	Thermofisher Scientifi	Cat#L34957
LIVE/DEAD™ Fixable IR Dead Cell Stain Kit	Thermofisher Scientific	Cat#L34975
Cell Trace Violet Proliferation Kit	Thermofisher Scientific	Cat#C34557
Recombinant human IL-2	Peprotech	Cat#200-02
Recombinant murine IL-15	Peprotech	Cat#210-15
PG(18:1)2	Avanti Lipids	Cat#840475
PG(18:2)2	Avanti Lipids	Cat#840485
Oligomycin	Sigma	Cat#1404-19-9
FCCP	Sigma	Cat#370-86-5
Tamoxifen	Sigma	Cat#T5648
Rotenone	Sigma	Cat#83-79-4
Antimycin A	Sigma	Cat#1397-94-0
Alt-R® S.p. Cas9 Nuclease V3	IDT	Cat#1081059
Brefeldin A solution	BioLegend	Cat#BLD-420601
Brain Heart infusion Broth (BHI)	Sigma	Cat#53286
Cysclosporine H	Sigma	Cat#SML1575
7-AAD Viability Staining Solution	BioLegend	Cat#420404

(Continued on next page)

CellPress

Cell Metabolism Article

Continued		
REAGENT or RESOURCE	SOURCE	IDENTIFIER
Critical Commercial Assays		
EasySep Mouse CD8+ TCell Isolation Kit	StemCell Technologies, Inc.	Cat#19853
EasySep Human CD45RO+ CD8+ T Cell Isolation Kit	StemCell Technologies, Inc.	Cat#19159
EasySep Human CD45RA+ CD8+ T Cell Isolation Kit	StemCell Technologies, Inc.	Cat#19258
High Capacity cDNA Reverse Transcription Kit	Thermofisher Scientific	Cat#4368814
iTaq Universa Probes Supermix	Bio-Rad	Cat#1725131
Pierce BCA protein assay kit	Thermofisher Scientific	Cat#23225
Experimental Models: Organisms/Strains		
C57BL/6J mice	Jackson laboratories	RRID: IMSR_JAX:000664
PTPMT1 floxed mice	Jackson laboratories	RRID: IMSR_JAX:020775
PhAM mice	Jackson laboratories	RRID: IMSR_JAX:018397
OT-I mice	Jackson laboratories	RRID: IMSR_JAX:003831
CD45.1 congenic mice	Jackson laboratories	RRID: IMSR_JAX:002014
TAZ KO	Dr. Douglas Strathdee	N/A
Oligonucleotides		
PTPMT1 sgRNA1	IDT	Mm.Cas9.PTPMT1.1.AA
PTPMT1 sgRNA2	IDT	Mm.Cas9.PTPMT1.1.AB
PTPMT1 sgRNA3	IDT	Mm.Cas9.PTPMT1.1.AC
TAZ sgRNA1	IDT	Mm.Cas9.TAZ.1.AA
TAZ sgRNA2	IDT	Mm.Cas9.TAZ.1.AB
TAZ sgRNA3	IDT	Mm.Cas9.TAZ.1.AC
TaqMan Gene expression Assay, Assay ID: Mm00504978_m1 TAZ FAM-MGB	Applied Biosystem	Cat#4448892
TaqMan Gene expression Assay, Assay ID: Mm04225274_s1 Gene Symbol: ND1 FAM-MGB	Applied Biosystem	Cat#4331182
TaqMan Gene Expression Assay, Assay ID: Mm03024075_m1 Gene: Hprt, FAM-MGB	Applied Biosystem	Cat#4351368
Recombinant DNA		
Plasmid: pMAX-GFP (control vector in nucleofector kit)	LONZA	N/A
Software and Algorithms		
Wave software version 2.4	Agilent	http://www.agilent.com/cs/ContentServer? c=Page&pagename=Sapphire/Page/HomePage
FlowJO	FlowJO	https://www.flowjo.com
Graphpad Prism 7 (seahorse and statistical analysis)	Graphpad software	http://www.graphpad.com
ImageJ	NIH	N/A

RESOURCE AVAILABILITY

Lead Contact

Further information and requests for resources and reagents should be directed to and will be fulfilled by the Lead Contact, Erika L. Pearce (pearce@ie-freiburg.mpg.de)

Materials Availability

This study did not generate new unique reagents

Data and Code Availability

This study did not generate datasets



EXPERIMENTAL MODEL AND SUBJECT DETAILS

Cell Culture and Drug Treatment

Mouse Cells

CD8⁺ T cells were isolated from spleens of 8-10 week-old mice using the naive or bulk CD8⁺ T cell kit (Stem Cell technologies, Cat# 19858, 19853) according to the manufacturers protocol. Both male and female mice were used and within experiments mice were age and sex matched. Sample size is indicated in the figure legends. Isolated T cells were activated using plate bound α CD3 (5 µg/mL) (anti-mouse CD3, inVIVOMAb Cat# BE0002), in 1640 media supplemented with 10% fetal calf serum, 4mM L-glutamine, 1% penicillin/streptomycin, 100 U/mL hrIL-2 (Peprotech), 55 µM beta-mercaptoethanol, and ± 0.5 µg/mL soluble α CD28 (anti-mouse CD28, inVivoMab Cat# BE0015) under 5% CO₂, atmospheric oxygen, at 37°C in a humidified incubator. T_E and T_M cell differentiation was performed as previously described (Buck et al., 2016). Briefly, media changes were performed from day 2 post activation and then daily up to day 6. For T_E cell differentiation cells were incubated in 100 U/mL hrIL-2 (Peprotech), for T_M cells in 100U/mL mIL-15 (Peprotech). Where indicated cells were treated with vehicle control (DMSO or EtOH)) or 1 µM Alexidine dihydrochloride (AD, Sigma, A8986), 50 µM PG(18:2)2 (Cat# 840485), PG(18:1 Δ 9-*cis*)2 (Cat# 840475), 5nM 4-OHT. For *in vitro* survival assays, cells were activated for 3 days as described, then cultured in either IL-2 at 5x10⁴ cells/mL, or in IL-15 at 1x10⁵ cells/mL in 96 well round bottom plates. Survival was analyzed by 7-AAD exclusion using flow cytometry.

Human Cells

Fresh buffy coats from healthy donors were kindly provided by the Institute for Transfusion Medicine and Gene Therapy, Medical Center, University of Freiburg under approval by the ethical committee of the University of Freiburg. PBMCs from healthy donors and Barth Syndrome patients were collected at University of Bristol in accordance with the Helsinki Declaration with approval from the UK NHS Research Ethics committee (permit number 09/H0202/52). Age and sex of healthy donors provided by the Institute for Transfusion Medicine and Gene Therapy, Medical Center, University of Freiburg were blinded to the authors. Barth syndrome patients were males (Barth syndrome group average age 13 years, min 6 years, max 28 years; healthy donor group average age 28 years, min 22 years, max 39 years). Informed consent was provided by all patients, or by their parents, in the case of children. Human CD45RA⁺ and CD45RO⁺ CD8⁺ T cells were isolated using CD8⁺ T cell kits (Stem Cell technologies, Cat# 19258, 19159). Researchers were blinded to the identity of the donors, and age or sex matching was not performed. Sample size is indicated in the figure legends.

Mice and Immunizations

C57BL/6J (RRID: IMSR_JAX:000664), PhAM (RRID: IMSR_JAX:018397), major histocompatibility complex (MHC) class I-restricted OVA specific TCR OT-I transgenic mice (RRID: IMSR JAX:003831), and CD45.1 congenic (RRID: IMSR JAX:002014) mouse strains were purchased from The Jackson Laboratory. PTPMT1 floxed (RRID: IMSR_JAX:020775) mice were a kind gift form Jack E. Dixon (University of California, San Diego, CA). TAZ KO mice were generated and maintained at the University of Glasgow by D. Strathdee under license approved by University of Glasgow Ethical Review Process and the UK Animal Procedures Committee London. PTPMT1 floxed mice were crossed to CD4-Cre or tamoxifen inducible ERT2-Cre mice. Experimental studies including mice of the PTPMT1 strain were approved by the Regierungspräsidium Freiburg. All mice were maintained in C56BI6/J background and were the results in in-house matings. In all experiments including WT and KO mice, WT littermates from same breedings were used as controls. All mice, apart from TAZ KO, were maintained at the Max Planck Institute of Immunobiology and Epigenetics and cared for according to the Institutional Animal Use and Care Guidelines. Briefly, mice were maintained in IVC Tecniplast green line cages with max five mice per cage, light cycle was 14/10 h, temperature 20-24°C, humidity 45%–64%. Ssniff MZ-Ereich chow (Cat# V1185-300) was provided ad libitum. Health monitoring program followed FELASA recommendations (Laboratory Animals 2014, Vol. 48(178-192). Briefly, germ free NMRI mice are used as bedding sentinels receiving dirty bedding from up to 100 other cages weekly for three months before being tested for all pathogens and opportunists recommended in the guidelines. Within experiments, age and sex matched mice were injected intravenously (i.v.) as indicated with a sublethal dose of 1x10⁶ colony forming units (CFU) of recombinant L. monocytogenes expressing OVA deleted for actA (LmOVA Δ Acta) for primary immunizations and challenged with $5x10^7$ CFU for secondary immunizations. Where indicated, 3x10⁴ CFU of L. monocytogenes expressing OVA WT were used for primary infection and 1x10⁶ for secondary immunization.

All mice were used for experiments between 8-10 weeks of age apart from TAZ KO mice that were 20 weeks old (time in which pathology develops) and animals used for T_{EM} and T_{CM} cell sorting that were 20-month old (in order to obtain a sufficient proportion of both T_{EM} and T_{CM} cells from the same animal, 20% and 40% respectively (in 2-month old mice T_{EM} cells constituted only 5% of total CD8⁺ T cells). For *in vivo* experiments male mice were used, for *in vitro* studies both sexes were used interchangeably (no influence or association of sex on the results was observed).

METHODS DETAILS

Bacterial Burden

Liver biopsies were weighed and homogenized in 1ml of PBS. 10 µL per serial dilution of the original homogenate was plated on a Brain Heart infusion Broth (BHI, Sigma Cat# 53286) agar and incubated over night at 37°C. One day later the number of colonies were counted and normalized to the weight of the liver biopsy.

Adoptive Transfers

CellPress

For *in vivo* T_M cell experiments, $\pounds 1 \times 10^4$ OT-I+ CD8⁺ T cells/mouse from donor splenocytes were transferred intravenously (i.v.) into congenic recipient mice. Blood or spleens were collected at indicated time points and analyzed by flow cytometry. For *in vivo* survival experiments, $1-2 \times 10^6$ treated IL-2 T_E cells (6 days post activation) cells/mouse were injected i.v. into naive congenic CD45.1 mice. Cells were recovered at indicated time points from the spleen or lymph nodes and analyzed by flow cytometry.

Cell Metabolism

Article

Flow Cytometry

Fluorochrome-conjugated monoclonal antibodies were purchased from eBioscience, BD PharMingen, or Biolegend. Staining was performed in 1% FBS/PBS for 30 min on ice, dead cells were excluded with the LIVE/DEAD Fixable Aqua Dead Cell Stain Kit (Thermo scientific). OVA-specific CD8⁺ T cells from spleen, lymph node, or blood were quantified after red blood cell lysis by direct staining with H2-K^bOVA₂₅₇₋₂₆₄ (K^bOVA) MHC-peptide tetramers. For intracellular cytokine staining cells were reactivated with α CD3/ α CD28 overnight or 50 ng/mL phorbol 12-myristate 13-acetate (PMA) + 500 ng/mL ionomycin (all Sigma) for 4 h and cultured in the presence of Brefeldin A for 4 h prior to fixation using Cytofix Cytoperm (BDBioscience). MitoTracker and TMRM staining were performed according to the manufacturer's instructions (Life Technologies) using respectively 10nM and 2nM of Mitotracker Green and TMRM in presence of 0.5 μ M of Cysclosporine H. Cells were collected on Fortessa flow cytometers (BD Biosceince) and analyzed using FlowJo software (FlowJo).

Confocal and Electron Microscopy Imaging

Spinning disk confocal and EM imaging was performed as described previously (Buck et al., 2016). Cells were activated as indicated and transferred to glass bottom dishes (MatTek) coated with fibronectin(Sigma) in complete medium containing IL-2 or IL-15 using a Zeiss spinning disk confocal with an Evolve (EMCCD) camera. Cells were kept in a humidified incubation chamber at 37°C with 5% CO₂ during image collection. Confocal imaging was analyzed using Imaris imaging software. For Electron Microscope imaging 2 × 10⁶ T cells were fixed in 2.5% glutaraldehyde in 100 mM sodium cocodylate, washed in cocodylate buffer. After dehydration samples were embedded in Eponate 12 resin (Ted Pella) and sections were cut. Images were acquired using a FEI Tecnai 12 Transmission electron microscope equipped with a TIETZ digital camera. Cristae width was measured using ImageJ software and averaged over 50 independent images, acquisition of EM micrographs and measurements of max cristae width displayed were performed using ImageJ software (NIH).

Metabolic Phenotyping

Oxygen consumption rates (OCR) and extracellular acidification rates (ECAR) were measured in XF media (non-buffered RPMI 1640 containing 25 mM glucose, 2mM L-glutamine, and 1 mM sodium pyruvate) under basal conditions and in response to 1 μ M oligomycin, 1.5 μ M fluoro-carbonyl cyanide phenylhydrazone (FCCP) and 100 nM rotenone + 1 μ M antimycin A, or 50 ng/mL phorbol 12-myristate 13-acetate (PMA) + 500 ng/mL ionomycin (all Sigma) using a 96 well XF or XFe Extracellular Flux Analyzer (EFA) (Seahorse Bioscience). 2 x10⁵ T cells per well (³3 wells per sample) were spun onto poly-D-lysine coated seahorse 96 well plates and preincubated at 37°C for a minimum of 45 min in the absence of CO₂. For activation of T cells, α CD3 ± CD28 coated beads were used at 1:1 ratio of beads:T cell Thermofisher, Cat# 11452D). For metabolic tracing naive (T_N) cells (Figure 4) were isolated and activated as described above in glucose-free media supplemented with 11 mM D-[U¹³C] glucose or 11mM unlabeled D-glucose for 24 h. Cells were washed with ice cold 0.9% w/v NaCl buffer and metabolites were extracted three times with cold methanol (80%) and analyzed by GCMS. For glucose and palmitate tracing into CL, T cells were cultured in IL-2 or IL-15 condition in presence of 11 mM D-[U¹³C] glucose or 20 μ M [U¹³C]-Palmitate for 72 h. Medium was changed daily. Cell pellets for lipid extraction were collected at indicated time points.

Lipid Extraction and Quantification

Lipids were extracted using a biphasic Methyl *tert*-butyl ether (MTBE) extraction protocol (adapted from Matyash et al., 2008). In brief, cells were resuspended in 100uL cold PBS in glass vials. Cold methanol (750uL), MTBE (2mL), and water (625uL) were added sequentially with vortexing. Samples were centrifuged to separate phases, and the upper organic phase was dried using a Genevac EZ2 speed vac. Samples were resuspended in 2:1:1 isopropanol:acetonitrile:water prior to analysis. LC-MS was carried out using an Agilent Zorbax Eclipse Plus C18 column using an Agilent 1290 Infinity II UHPLC in line with an Agilent 6495 Triple Quad QQQ-MS. Lipids were identified by fragmentation and retention time, and were quantified using Agilent Mass Hunter software.

Glucose and Linoleic Acid Tracing into Cardiolipin

Label tracing by LC-MS was carried out using an Agilent 1290 Infinity II UHPLC inline with a Bruker Impact II QTOF-MS operating in negative ion mode. Scan range was from 50 to 1600 Da. Mass calibration was performed at the beginning of each run. LC separation was on a Zorbax Eclipse plus C18 column (100 \times 2 mm, 1.8 μ m particles) using a solvent gradient of 70% buffer A (10 mM ammonium formiate in 60:40 acetonitrile:water) to 97% buffer B (10 mM ammonium formiate in 90:10 2-propanol:acetonitrile). Flow rate was from 400 μ L/min, autosampler temperature was 5°C and injection volume was 2 μ L. Data processing including correction for natural isotope abundance was carried out by an in-house R script.



Glucose Tracing into Central Carbon Metabolites

Dried metabolite extracts were resuspended in pyridine and derivatized with methoxyamine (sc-263468 Santa Cruz Bio) for 60 min at 37°C and subsequently with N-(tert-butyldimethylsilyl)-N-methyl-trifluoroacetamid, with 1% tert-utyldimethylchlorosilane (375934 Sigma-Aldrich) for 30 min at 80°C. Isotopomer distributions were measured using a DB5-MS GC column in a 7890 GC system (Agilent Technologies) combined with a 5977 MS system (Agilent Technologies). Data processing, including correction for natural isotope abundance was performed by an in-house R script.

RT-PCR

RNA isolations were done by using the RNeasy kit (QIAGEN) and single-strand cDNA was synthesized using the High Capacity cDNA Reverse Transcription Kit (Applied Biosystems). All RT-PCR were performed using iTaq Universa Probes Supermix (Biorad) and Taqman primers (Thermo Fisher Sceintific) using an Applied Biosystems 7000 sequence detection system. The expression levels of mRNA were normalized to the expression of a housekeeping gene (HPRT of ActB).

mtDNA/nDNA Quantification

Genomic DNA was extracted with QIAGEN QIAamp DNA Micro Kit. DNA was quantified, diluted to 10ng/µl and 50 µg of DNA was used for qPCR analysis of mtDNA (*ND1*) and nuclear DNA transcripts (*Hprt*).

Calcium Flux

CD8⁺ T cells were isolated using CD8⁺ T cell kit (Stem Cell technologies, Cat# 19853). 3*10^6 cells were loaded 30min at 37°C in humidified incubator with 2uM Indo-AM dissolved in complete medium + 0,5 µM CsH. Then, cells were washed and resuspended in 300 µL of complete medium supplemented with 0,5 µM CsH and 5 µg/mL anti-mouse CD3 (inVivoMAb Cat# BE0002) 30min at 4°C in the dark. At cytofluorimeter indoviolet and indoblue were acquired in linear scale. Tube was placed in 37°C temperature-controlled tube holder immediately before acquisition and signal was acquired as follows: 30 s basal, 6min after addition of Anti-Rat IgG (Fc-specific)biotin crosslinking antibody (Sigma, SAB3700543, diluted 1:50 directly in the acquisition tube), 2 min after add-ing tapsigargin (final concentration 100nM) to measure endoplasmic reticulum calcium stores depletion, 1min after adding lonomycin (final concentration 500 ng/mL) to evaluate maximal calcium flux.

Cell Cycle Analysis

At indicated time points after activation CD8⁺ T cells were stained with NearIR Live dead staining (Thermofisher) 10min RT in the dark, fixed in fixative buffer from eBioscience Foxp3 / Transcription Factor Staining Buffer Set (00-5523-00) for 60min at RT. After a wash in perm buffer, cells were stained with PE-Cy7 Anti-Ki67 antibody in perm buffer 45min 4°C in the dark. After additional wash cells were resuspended in FxCycle (Thermofisher R37166) diluted 1:4000 in PBS immediately before flow cytometry acquisition.

Western Blotting

For western blot analysis, cells were washed with ice cold PBS and lysed in 1 x Cell Signaling lysis buffer (20 mM Tris-HCL [pH 7.5], 150 mM NaCl, 1 mM Na₂EDTA, 1mM EGTA, 1% Triton X-100, 2.5 mM sodium pyrophosphate, 1 mM β -glycerophosphate, 1 mM Na₃VO₄, 1 µg/mL leupeptin), supplemented with 1mM PMSF for 30min followed by centrifugation at 20.000 x g for 10 min at 4°C. Cleared protein lysate were quantified using Pierce BCA protein assay kit (Cat#23225) according to manufacturer's instruction. Cleared protein extracts were denatured with LDS loading buffer for 10 min at 70°C and loaded onto precast 4% to 12% bis-tris protein gels. Proteins were transferred onto nitrocellulose membranes using the iBLOT2 system following the manufacturers protocols. Membranes were blocked with 5% w/v milk and 0.1% Tween-20 in TBS and incubated with the appropriate antibodies in 5% w/v BSA in TBS with 0.1% Tween-20 overnight at 4°C. All primary antibody incubations were followed by incubation with secondary HRP-conjugated antibody (Pierce) in 5% milk and 0.1% Tween-20 in TBS and visualized using SuperSignal West Pico or Femto Chemiluminescent Substrate (Pierce) on Biomax MR Film (Kodak). Optical density of the signals on the film was quantified using grayscale measurements in ImageJ software (NIH) and converted to fold change, normalized to the loading control.

Generation of CRISPR KO T Cells

CD8⁺ T cells were electroporated using Amaxa electroporation system with 60 nmol of sgRNA-CAS9 (Alt-R \otimes S.p. Cas9 Nuclease V3) complexes (sgRNAs: Mm.Cas9.TAZ.1AA, Mm.Cas9.TAZ.1AB, Mm.Cas9.TAZ.1C targeting TAZ, Mm.Cas9.PTPMT1.1.AA, Mm.Cas9.PTPMT1.1.AB, Mm.Cas9.PTPMT1.1.AB, Mm.Cas9.PTPMT1.1.AC targeting PTPMT1) following manufacturer's guidelines. Naive CD8⁺ T cells were cultured for 24 h in IL-7 before being activated with α CD3/ α CD28 + IL-2.

QUANTIFICATION AND STATISTICAL ANALYSIS

Flow cytometry data were analyzed using FlowJo 10 (BD Biosciences). Statistical Analyses were performed using Prism 7 software (GraphPad) and results are represented as Mean ± SEM, unless otherwise indicated. Comparisons for two groups were calculated using unpaired two-tailed Student's t tests. Comparisons of more than two groups were calculated using one-way ANOVA with Tukey multiple comparison tests. We observed normal distribution and no difference in variance between groups in





individual comparisons. Selection of sample size was based on extensive experience with metabolic assays. The sample size for *in vivo* experiments was based on previous experience with infection experiments. The number of independent experiments performed, and the p values for each experiment are reported in the corresponding figure legends. For both *in vitro* and *in vivo* experiments, no initial exclusion criteria were used and no animals or replicates were excluded from the study.

Cell Metabolism, Volume 32

Supplemental Information

Dynamic Cardiolipin Synthesis

Is Required for CD8⁺ T Cell Immunity

Mauro Corrado, Joy Edwards-Hicks, Matteo Villa, Lea J. Flachsmann, David E. Sanin, Maaike Jacobs, Francesc Baixauli, Michal Stanczak, Eve Anderson, Mai Azuma, Andrea Quintana, Jonathan D. Curtis, Thomas Clapes, Katarzyna M. Grzes, Agnieszka M. Kabat, Ryan Kyle, Annette E. Patterson, Ramon Klein Geltink, Borko Amulic, Colin G. Steward, Douglas Strathdee, Eirini Trompouki, David O'Sullivan, Edward J. Pearce, and Erika L. Pearce



Figure S1. Mitochondrial protein content and cardiolipin profile in mouse and human CD8⁺ T cells with a high OXPHOS demand (Related to Figure 1).

- (A) Quantification of indicated proteins from immunoblot analysis of CD8⁺ T cells activated with αCD3 only or αCD3/αCD28 and differentiated into IL-15 T_M cells until day 6 post activation. Quantification refers to representative blot in Figure 2D. Data represent mean ± SEM of four independent experiments.
- (B) Quantification of indicated proteins from immunoblot analysis of CD8⁺ T cells activated with αCD3/αCD28 + IL-2 and cultured in complete medium until day 3, then cultured for 20h in either complete (10mM glucose) or glucose-restricted (1mM glucose) medium for 20h. Quantification refers to representative blot in Figure 2H. Data represent mean ± SEM of four independent experiments.
- (C) mtDNA/nDNA ratio measured as ND1/Hprt Ct ratio in cells treated as in (A). Data represent mean ± SEM of three independent experiments.
- (D) mtDNA/nDNA ratio measured as ND1/Hprt Ct ratio in cells treated as in (B). Data represent mean ± SEM of three independent experiments.
- (E) Cardiolipin species quantification from lipid extracts of CD8⁺ T cells activated with α CD3/ α CD28 and differentiated into IL-2 T_E and IL-15 T_M cells, analyzed by LC-MS. Data represent mean ± SEM of three independent experiments.
- (F) Immunoblot analysis and protein quantification of cells activated and differentiated as in Figure 2I. Representative of three independent experiments.
- (G) Cardiolipin species quantification from lipids extracts of T_E (CD62L^{Io}CD44^{hi}) and T_M (CD62L^{hi}CD44^{hi}) Wt CD8⁺ T cells from 20-month old mice. Scatter dot plots with mean ± SEM bars. Dots represent individual mice (n=8-9/group).
- (H) OCR of freshly isolated human CD8⁺ CD45RA⁺ and CD8⁺ CD45RO⁺ T cells at baseline and after exposure to Oligomycin (Oligo), FCCP and Rotenone/Antimycin (Rot/Ant). Data representative of three independent experiments (n=4-5/group)
- (I) Lipids extracted from freshly isolated human CD8⁺ CD45RA⁺ and CD8⁺ CD45RO⁺ T cells and analyzed by LC-MS. Log2FC and p value calculated with Anova test from 4-6 samples per group.
- (J) Total cardiolipin content from freshly isolated human CD8⁺ CD45RA⁺ and CD8⁺ CD45RO⁺ T cells analyzed by LC-MS. Scatter dot plots with mean ± SEM bars. Each dot represents individual donor (n=4-6/group).
- (K) Representative immunoblot analysis of cell extracts from freshly isolated human CD8⁺ CD45RA⁺ and CD8⁺ CD45RO⁺ T cells probed with indicated antibodies.
- (L) Isotopologue distribution of ¹³C-glucose derived carbons incorporated into CL 72:8 in mouse CD8⁺ T cells differentiated into IL-15 T_M cells in presence of ¹³C-glucose. At indicated time points lipids were extracted and glucose derived carbons traced into CL 72:8.
- (M) Normalized % Isotopologue distribution of ¹³C-glucose- and ¹³C-linoleic acid-derived carbons incorporated into CL 72:8 in mouse CD8⁺ T cells differentiated in IL-15 T_M cells for 72h in presence of ¹³C-glucose or ¹³C-palmitate ± cardiolipin synthesis inhibitor (AD) during IL-15 differentiation.
- (N) Schematic of glucose- and palmitate/linoleic acid-derived carbons incorporated into cardiolipin. Statistical comparisons for two groups were calculated by unpaired two-tailed Student's t test or Anova test, where indicated, *p < 0.05; **p < 0.01; ***p < 0.001.</p>

Figure S2



Figure S2. Analysis of WT and Ptpmt1-ΔT mice, and T cells isolated from these mice (Related to Figure 2).

- (A) Amount of cardiolipin 72:6 and 72:8 from Wt and *Ptpmt1*-∆T CD8⁺ T cells analyzed by LC-MS shown as mean ± SEM. Representative of three experiments (N=3/group).
- (B) Immunoblot analysis of cell extracts from naïve WT and *Ptpmt1*-ΔT CD8⁺ T cells. Representative of two experiments.
- (C) Thymocyte development analyzed by CD4/CD8/CD25/CD44 surface expression in 8- week old Wt and PTPMT1-∆T mice shown as mean ± SEM bars. Dots represent individual mice (n=3-4/group).
- (D) % frequencies of TCRβ, TCRβ CD4⁺, TCRβ CD8⁺, TCRβ CD8αα⁺, TCRβ CD8αβ⁺ T cells in colon, liver, lung, mesenteric lymph nodes (mLN), peritoneal lavage, peripheral lymph node (pLN) and spleens of Wt and PTPMT1-ΔT mice. Data are shown as mean ± SEM bars. Dots represent individual mice (n=3/group).
- (E) Cell number expressed in Log10 scale of TCRβ, TCRβ CD4⁺, TCRβ CD8⁺, TCRβ CD8αα⁺, TCRβ CD8αβ⁺ T cells in colon, mesenteric lymph nodes (mLN), peritoneal lavage, peripheral lymph node (pLN) and spleens of Wt and PTPMT1-ΔT mice. Data are shown as mean ± SEM bars. Dots represent individual mice (n=3/group).
- (F) % frequencies of TCRγδ, TCRγδ CD4⁺, TCRγδ CD8⁺, TCRγδ CD8αα⁺, TCRγδ CD8αβ⁺ T cells in colon, liver, lung, mesenteric lymph nodes (mLN), peritoneal lavage, peripheral lymph node (pLN) and spleens of Wt and PTPMT1-ΔT mice. Data are shown as mean ± SEM bars. Dots represent individual mice (n=3/group).
- (G) Cell number expressed in Log10 scale of TCRγδ, TCRγδ CD4⁺, TCRγδ CD8⁺, TCRγδ CD8αα⁺, TCRγδ CD8αβ⁺ T cells in colon, mesenteric lymph nodes (mLN), perithoneal lavage, peripheral lymph node (pLN) and spleens of Wt and PTPMT1-ΔT mice. Data are shown as mean ± SEM bars. Dots represent individual mice (n=3/group).
- (H) Relative survival of WT and $Ptpmt1^{-t}$ CD8⁺ T cells differentiated in IL-2 (T_E cells) or IL-15 (T_M cells) starting at day 3 post activation. Analyzed by 7-AAD exclusion by flow cytometry. Data represent mean ± SEM of three independent experiment (n=3/group).
- (I) CD25, CD44, CD62L expression in Wt and $Ptpmt1^{-/-}$ CD8⁺ T cells cultured for 72h in IL-2 T_E and IL-15 T_M cell conditions. Data represent mean ± SEM of three independent experiments (n=3/group).
- (J) Flow cytometry analysis of IFN_γ production in IL-2 T_E and IL-15 T_M Wt and *Ptpmt1^{-/-}* CD8⁺ T cells stimulated for 20h with αCD3/αCD28 antibodies. Graph shows fold change of IFN_γ MFI of cytokine producing cells. Data represent mean ± SEM of three independent experiments (n=3/group).
- (K) Cell proliferation of mouse Wt and *Ptpmt1^{-/-}* CD8⁺ T cells measured as cell number between day 3 and day 6 of IL-2 or IL-15 culture, shown as mean ± SEM of 3 independent experiments (n=3/group)
- (L) Mitochondrial membrane potential measured with TMRM (upper panel) and mitochondrial mass measured as MitotrackerDeep Red incorporation (lower panel) in IL-2 T_E and IL-15 T_M Wt and *Ptpmt1^{-/-}* CD8⁺ T cells analyzed by flow cytometry. Representative of 4 Wt and 4 PTPMT1-∆T mice.
- (M) OCR of IL-15 T_M Wt and *Ptpmt1^{-/-}* CD8⁺ T cells stimulated with PMA/lono during a Seahorse experiment. Representative of two independent experiment (n=3/group).
- (N) Glycolysis measured as extracellular acidification rate (ECAR) in IL-15 T_M Wt and *Ptpmt1^{-/-}* CD8⁺ T cells stimulated with PMA/lono during a Seahorse experiment. Representative of two independent experiment (n=3/group). Statistical comparisons for two groups were calculated by unpaired two-tailed Student's t test, *p < 0.05; **p < 0.01; ***p < 0.001.</p>

Figure S3



Figure S3. ¹³C-glucose tracing analysis in Wt and *Ptpmt1^{-/-}* CD8⁺ T cells upon activation (Related to Figure 3).

- (A) Schematic of CD8⁺ T cells activated with αCD3/αCD28 + IL-2 ± AD for 48h. After 48h AD was washed out and, starting 24h later, cells were differentiated into IL-15 T_M cells.
- (B) Total cardiolipin amount CD8⁺ T cells activated and treated as in (A) analyzed by LC-MS. Scatter dot plot represents mean ± SEM (n=3/group).
- (C) Cardiolipin species quantification from lipid extracts of CD8⁺ T cells activated and treated as in (A) analyzed by LC-MS. Scatter dot plot represents mean ± SEM (n=3/group).
- (D) OCR of CD8⁺ T cells cultured ± AD for 48h during activation activated with αCD3/αCD28 + IL-2 before washing out the inhibitor and differentiating the cells into IL-15 T_M cells. On day 6 post activation the Seahorse experiment was performed. Data represents mean ± SEM of three independent experiments.
- (E) IFN_γ production measured in IL-15 T_M cells activated and cultured as in (A) and stimulated for 20h with αCD3/αCD28 + IL-2 analyzed by flow cytometry. Data represent mean ± SEM of three independent experiments (n=4/group).
- (F) Mitotracker green staining in Wt CD8⁺ cells activated αCD3/αCD28 + IL-2 for 24h. Data are representative of three independent experiments (n=3/group).
- (G-K) Fractional contribution of ¹³C-glucose derived carbons to total amount of indicated metabolites in Wt CD8⁺ T treated with or without AD as described in Figure 3H.
- (L-P) Fractional contribution of ¹³C-glucose derived carbons to total amount of indicated metabolites in Wt and *Ptpmt1^{-/-}* CD8⁺ T cells treated as described in Figure 3J.

Statistical comparisons for two groups were calculated by unpaired two-tailed Student's t test, *p < 0.05; **p < 0.01; ***p < 0.001.

Figure S4



Figure S4. Cardiolipin profile, mitochondrial protein levels, morphology, and ultrastructure in CD8⁺ T cells after AD and PG(18:2) treatment (Related to Figure 4).

- (A) Lipids extracted from IL-15 T_M CD8⁺ T cells cultured as in Figure 3A and analyzed by LC-MS. Log2FC and p value calculated with Anova test from 3 samples per group.
- (B) OCR of IL-15 T_M CD8⁺ T cells at baseline and after exposure to Vehicle/AD, Oligomycin (Oligo), FCCP, and Rotenone/Antimycin (Rot/Ant). Data representative of three independent experiments (n=3/group).
- (C) Immunoblot analysis of Wt IL-15 T_M CD8⁺ T cells cultured ± AD for 72h.
- (D) Immunoblot analysis of CALNEXIN, OPA1 and ETC complexes (CI-NDUFB8, CII-SDHB, CIII-UQCRC2, CIV-MTC01, CV-ATP5A). Equivalent numbers of IL-15 T_M cells cultured ± AD for 72h were lysed in native lysis buffer followed by digitonin solubilization of intracellular membranes with pellet (P) and solubilized supernatant (S) fractions resolved on a denaturing gel, representative of two experiments.
- (E) Representative electron micrographs of mouse IL-15 T_M CD8⁺ T cells cultured ± AD for 72h. Scale bars: 500nm.
- (F) Representative mitochondrial morphology of live IL-15 T_M CD8⁺ T cells isolated form PhAM mice cultured ± AD for 72h analyzed by spinning disk confocal microscopy. Scale bar: 5μm
- (G) Relative survival ratio of IL-2 T_E and IL-15 T_M cells cultured± AD for 72h starting at day 3 post activation analyzed by 7-AAD exclusion by flow cytometry. Data represent mean ± SEM of three independent experiments (n=3/group).
- (H) CD62L expression in mouse IL-15 T_M cells cultured in ± AD for 72h analyzed by flow cytometry. Data represent mean ± SEM of three independent experiments (n=3/group).
- (I) CD8⁺ T cells were isolated from *Ptpmt1^{ffox/ffox}*Ert2-Cre^{-/-} (PTPMT1 iWt) *Ptpmt1^{ffox/ffox}*Ert2-Cre^{+/-} (PTPMT1 i∆T) mice. Efficiency of *Ptpmt1*deletion by Cre recombinase, *Crls1* and *Taz* expression levels measured after iWt and i∆T cells were cultured in IL-15 in presence of 4-OHT for 72h analyzed by qPCR shown as mean ± SEM (n=3/group).
- (J) CD62L expression in mouse *Ptpmt1* iWt and *Ptpmt1* i∆T CD8⁺ T cells cultured as in Figure 3E analyzed by flow cytometry. Data represent mean ± SEM of three independent experiments (n=3/group).
- (K) Relative survival of IL-15 T_M PTPMT1 i∆T versus IL-15 T_M PTPMT1 iWt T cells cultured with 4-OHT for 72h starting at day 3 post activation and analyzed by 7-AAD exclusion by flow cytometry. Data represent mean ± SEM of three independent experiments (n=3/group).
- (L) PCA analysis of lipids extracted from CD8⁺ T cells differentiated into IL-2 T_E and IL-15 T_M cells \pm PG(18:2)2 and analyzed by LC-MS.
- (M) Cardiolipin species quantification from lipids extracts from IL-15 T_M CD8⁺ T cells cultured as in (N) analyzed by LC-MS. Data represent mean ± SEM of three independent experiments.
- (N-O) Lipids extracted from CD8⁺ T cells differentiated into IL-2 T_E or IL-15 T_M cells ± PG(18:2)2 and analyzed by LC-MS. Log2FC and p value calculated with Anova test from 9 samples per group.
- (P-Q) Representative mitochondrial morphology of live CD8⁺ T cells analyzed by spinning disk confocal and representative electron micrographs of mouse IL-2 T_E CD8⁺ T cells cultured in IL-2 in the presence of BSA-conjugated 50µM PG(18:2)2 for 48h. Scale bars: 5µm confocal, 500nm EM.
- (R) Representative histogram plots depicting surface expression of CD25, CD62L, CD44 together with TMRM and Mitotracker green staining in mouse IL-2 T_E and IL-15 T_M CD8⁺ T cells untreated or cultured in the presence of BSA-conjugated 50 μ M PG(18:2)2 for 48h.
- (S) SRC of mouse IL-15 T_M CD8⁺ T cells cultured ± PG(18:2)2 for 48h stimulated with α CD3/ α CD28 coated beads in a Seahorse Extracellular Flux Analyzer. Data represent mean ± SEM of three independent experiments (n=3/group).
- (T) Cytokine production (normalized to untreated cells) measured in IL-15 T_M CD8⁺ T cells activated as in (I) and stimulated for 20h with αCD3/αCD28 + IL-2. Data represent mean ± SEM of two or three independent experiment (n=3/group).
- (U) Schematic of mouse Ptpmt1 iWt and Ptpmt1 i∆T CD8⁺ T cells activated with αCD3/αCD28 + IL-2 for 24, cultured in IL-2 for additional 24h. 4-OHT was supplemented starting form day3 post activation together with IL-15. From day4 to day 6 post activation cells were cultured in the presence of BSA-conjugated 50µM PG(18:2)2 for 48h.
- (V) Quantification of CL 72:8 content in IL-15 T_M cells cultured as in (U) and analyzed by LC-MS. Scatter dot plots with mean ± SEM of three independent experiments (n=3/group).
- (W) Relative survival of IL-15 T_M PTPMT1 i Δ T versus IL-15 T_M PTPMT1 iWt T cells cultured as in (U) and analyzed by 7-AAD exclusion by flow cytometry. Data represent mean ± SEM of three independent experiments (n=3/group).
- (X) Cytokine production measured in IL-15 T_M CD8⁺ T cells cultured as in (U) and stimulated for 20h with αCD3/αCD28 + IL-2. Data represent mean ± SEM of three independent experiment (n=3/group). Statistical comparisons for two groups were calculated by unpaired two-tailed Student's t test or Anova test, where indicated, *p <</p>

Statistical comparisons for two groups were calculated by unpaired two-tailed Student's t test or Anova test, where indicated, *p < 0.05; **p < 0.01; ***p < 0.001.

Figure S5



Figure S5. T cell defects in TAK KO mice are not T cell intrinsic (Related to Figure 5).

- (A) Immunoblot analysis of cell extracts of CD8⁺ T cells from 20-week old Wt and *Tafazzin* KO mice activated with αCD3/αCD28 + IL-2 and differentiated into IL-2 T_E or IL-15 T_M cells for 72h starting day 3 post activation.
- (B) CD44, CD25, CD62L expression in CD8⁺ T cells from 20 week old Wt and *Tafazzin* KO mice activated with αCD3/αCD28 + IL-2 and differentiated in IL-2 T_E or IL-15 T_M cells for 72h starting day 3 post activation analyzed by flow cytometry. Data representative of 3 independent experiments shown as mean ± SEM (n=4/group).
- (C) Schematic of experimental setup. Naïve OT-I cells were ctrl (Ctrl CRISPR) or *Tafazzin* (*Taz* CRISPR KO) CRISPRed, followed by 24 a rest period in IL-7. After 24h cells were either *in vitro* activated and lipids and activation markers analyzed at indicated time points, or adoptively transferred *in vivo* into congenic mice, which were then infected 24h later i.v. with 1x10⁶ CFU LmOVA ΔActa and followed as indicated. After 21 days (or 70 days), mice were challenged i.v. with LmOVA Wt or ΔActa, Donor cells frequencies and bacterial analyzed post challenge as indicated.
- (D) Tafazzin expression in OT-I cells 24h after CRISPR, analyzed by qPCR shown as mean ± SEM (n=2/group).
- (E) Tafazzin expression in OT-I cells 24h after CRISPR, analyzed by Wb.
- (F) Lipids extracted from Ctrl CRISPR and *Taz* CRISPR KO OT-I cells 6h after activation with αCD3/αCD28 + IL-2, analyzed by LC-MS. Data show Log2FC and p value calculated with Anova test from two samples per condition per time point.
- (G) Total cardiolipin amount in Ctrl CRISPR and *Taz* CRISPR KO OT-I cells 6h after activation with αCD3/αCD28 + IL-2 analyzed by LC-MS. Data show mean ± SEM and p value calculated with Anova test from two samples per condition per time point.
- (H) Cardiolipin species quantification from lipid extracts of Ctrl CRISPR and *Taz* CRISPR KO OT-I cells 6h after activation with α CD3/ α CD28 + IL-2 analyzed by LC-MS. Scatter dot plots with mean ± SEM bars (n=2/group).
- (I) CD5, CD44, CD25, CD69 expression in Ctrl CRISPR and *Taz* CRISPR KO OT-I cells 24h after activation with αCD3/αCD28 + IL-2, analyzed by flow cytometry. Data from 4 independent CRISPR experiments shown as mean ± SEM.
- (J) % of Ctrl CRISPR and *Taz* CRISPR KO OT-I CD8⁺ CD45.2⁺ donor cells in congenic mice infected i.v. with 10[^]6 CFU LmOVA ∆Acta shown as mean ± SEM. Representative of two experiments (n=6/group).
- (K) EEC, SLEC, MPEC and DPEC Ctrl CRISPR and *Taz* CRISPR KO OT-I CD8⁺ CD45.2⁺ donor cells 7 days after primary infection analyzed according to KLRG1 and CD127 surface expression shown as mean ± SEM bars. Representative of two independent experiments (n=6/group).
- (L) EEC, SLEC, MPEC and DPEC Ctrl CRISPR and *Taz* CRISPR KO OT-I CD8⁺ CD45.2⁺ donor cells 3 days after secondary infection analyzed according to KLRG1 and CD127 surface expression shown as mean ± SEM bars. Representative of two independent experiments (n=6/group).
- (M) % of naïve (CD62L^{hi}CD44^{lo}), T_E (CD62L^{lo}CD44^{hi}) and T_M (CD62L^{hi}CD44^{hi}) CD8⁺ CD45.2⁺ donor Ctrl CRISPR and *Taz* CRISPR KO OT-I cells 7 days after primary infection analyzed by flow cytometry shown as mean ± SEM bars. Scatter dot plot represents mean ± SEM. Dots represent individual mice (n=6/group).
- (N) Total cardiolipin amount in Ctrl CRISPR and *Taz* CRISPR KO OT-I cells 7 days after secondary infection analyzed by LC-MS. Scatter dot plots with mean ± SEM bars (n=5/group).
- (O) Lipids extracted from Ctrl CRISPR and *Taz* CRISPR KO OT-I cells sorted from recipient mice 7 days after LmOVA ∆Acta challenge. Data show Log2FC and p value calculated with Anova test from 6 samples per group.
- (P) Bacterial burden shown as CFU per μg of liver isolated from mice adoptively transferred with Ctrl CRISPR and *Taz* CRISPR KO OT-I cells 3 days post LmOVA challenge. Each dot represents individual mice (n=6/group).
- (Q) % of Ctrl CRISPR and *Taz* CRISPR KO OT-I CD8⁺ CD45.2⁺ donor cells in congenic mice infected i.v. with 10[^]6 CFU LmOVA ∆Acta shown as mean ± SEM (n=6/group).
- (R-T) EEC, SLEC, MPEC and DPEC Ctrl CRISPR and *Taz* CRISPR KO OT-I CD8⁺ CD45.2⁺ donor cells 65 days after primary infection (R), 2 days (S) and 4 days (T) after secondary infection analyzed according to KLRG1 and CD127 surface expression shown as mean ± SEM bars. Dots represent individual mice (n=6/group).
- (U) Bacterial burden shown as CFU per μg of liver isolated from mice adoptively transferred with Ctrl CRISPR and *Taz* CRISPR KO OT-I cells 4 days post LmOVA challenge. Each dot represents individual mice (n=6/group).
- (V) % of CD4⁺ T cells in hPBMCs from healthy donors and Barth Syndrome patients. Dots are individual donors.
- (W) CD45RA⁺ and CD45RO⁺ expression in CD8⁺ T cells from healthy donors and Barth Syndrome patients. Dots are individual donors.
 (X) CD8⁺ T naïve (T_N), T stem cell memory (T_{SCM}), T effector (T_E), T central memory (T_{CM}), T effector memory (T_{EM}), T transition memory (T_{TM}) analyzed according to CD8, CD45RO, CD197, CD95, CD28 expression as indicated was analyzed by flow cytometry. Dots

are individual donors. Statistical comparisons for two groups were calculated by unpaired two-tailed Student's t test or Anova test, where indicated, *p < 0.05; **p < 0.01; ***p < 0.001.