Online Supplementary Material

H. Bai, J. Duan, C. Li et al. EPHA mutation as a predictor of immunotherapeutic efficacy in lung adenocarcinoma

Contents:

Supplemental Tables: 1-13

Supplemental Figures: 1-6

Supplemental Figure 1. The spectrum of EPHA mutation in different cohorts of NSCLC

patients

The frequency of different EPHA subtypes with MISSENSE and TRUNC variation results in

discovery and validation cohorts.



1 Supplemental Figure 2. Association between EPHA mutation and ORR and DCB in the

2 validation cohorts

A. Pooled estimates of ORR, squares in light blue represent study-specific HRs. The rhombus in orange indicate the pooled HRs. Horizontal lines indicate the 95% CIs. The P values for heterogeneity and the values of I² are from the meta-analyses of study-specific HRs. **B.** Pooled estimates of DCB. The squares in yellow represent study-specific risk rates (RRs). The square in orange indicate the pooled HRs. Horizontal lines indicate the 95% CIs. The P values for heterogeneity and the values of I² are from the meta-analyses of study-specific HRs.

A ORR

Cabart	Regimen	EPH	Amut	EPH	AWT	DD (05%/	
Conort		ORR	All	ORR	All	RR (95%C	,i) weight
Hellmann	anti-PD-(L)1+anti-CTLA-4	11	22	13	53	2.04 (1.09-3	.83) 46.7%
Miao	Anti-PD-(L)1	8	14	9	41	2.60(1.25-5	.42) 28.1%
Rizvi 34	Pembrolizumab	5	10	7	24	1.71 (0.71-4	.13) 25.2%
Pooled estin	mates comparing EPHA ^{mut} v	vith EP	на _{мт}	P<0	.001	2.12 (1.39-3	.22) 100.00%
12-076, P _{Hete}	rogeneity [–] U.70			200	Favors	AWT Favors EPHAmut	

В

DCB							
Cohort	Pagimon	EPH	Amut	EPH	Awt	PD (05% CI)	Woight
Conort	Regimen	DCB	All	DCB	All	RR (35% CI)	weight
Hellmann	anti-PD-(L)1+anti-CTLA-4	14	22	23	53	1.47 (0.94-2.28)	55.0%
Miao	Anti-PD-(L)1	13	15	14	41	2.54 (1.59-4.06)	30.6%
Rizvi 34	Pembrolizumab	8	10	6	24	3.20 (1.50-6.84)	14.4%
Pooled esti	mates comparing EPHA ^{mut} v	vith EP	НА ^{WT}	P<0	.001	2.04 (1.52-2.74)	100.00%
I ² =54%, P _{He}	eterogeneity=0.11					2 4 8	
						→	

Favors EPHAWT Favors EPHAmut

ć

10 Supplemental Figure 3. The association between different EPHA subtypes and

immunotherapeutic effect in pooled cohorts analysis 11

- A. The association between different EPHA subtypes and PFS in pooled cohorts (training and 12
- 13 validation) analysis. B. The association between different EPHA subtypes and OS in MSKCC cohort.

Α	Pool analysis- PFS	EPHA ^{mut}	Univariable analysis	P value	HR (95% CI)
	EPHA1	7 (3)		0.12	0.45 (0.17-1.23)
	EPHA2	6 (3)		0.19	0.52 (0.19-1.39)
	EPHA3	13 (5)		0.44	0.77 (0.39-1.51)
	EPHA4	9 (4)		0.21	0.62 (0.29-1.32)
	EPHA5	11 (5)	·•	0.02	0.33 (0.14-0.81)
	EPHA6	18 (7)	·•	0.06	0.57 (0.31-1.02)
	EPHA7	7 (3)	·	0.03	0.29 (0.09-0.91)
	EPHA8	11 (5)	· · · · · ·	0.09	0.54 (0.26-1.09)
	EPHA10	3 (1)	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	0.16	0.25 (0.03-1.76)
			0.1 0.2 0.5 1 2 4 8 Favors EPHA ^{mut} Favors EPHA ^{WT}		

В

MSKCC-NSCLC- OS	EPHA ^{mut}	Univariable analysis	P value	HR (95% CI)
EPHA3	37 (11)		0.04	0.59 (0.36-0.97)
EPHA5	20 (6)	·-•-	0.04	0.48 (0.24-0.98)
EPHA7	18 (5)		0.02	0.34 (0.14-0.83)
		0.1 0.2 0.5 1 2 4 8		
		Favors EPHA ^{mut} Favors EPHA ^{WT}		

15 Supplemental Figure 4. Association between EPHA mutations and clinical benefit to anti-PD-

- 16 1/L1 therapy in LUAD and LUSC.
- 17 A-B. Pooled estimates of HRs of PFS and OS in LUAD and LUAC in discovery cohort and
- 18 validation cohorts. The squares in yellow represent study-specific HRs. The square in orange
- 19 indicate the pooled HRs. Horizontal lines indicate the 95% CIs.
- 20

A PFS-pooled estimate

	EF (PHA n)				EPHA	(n)	1.1100		14/- 11-4
Cohort	mu	WT	LUAD	HR (95% CI)	HR (95% Cl) Weight -		wт	LUSC	HR (95% CI)	Weight
China	10	37		0.30 (0.13-0.68)	25.2%	8	17		0.54 (0.21-1.48)	71.4%
Hellmann	18	41		0.48 (0.23-0.99)	32.3%	4	12 ,		0.33 (0.04-2.61)	15.6%
Miao	13	34		0.38 (0.17-0.85)	26.6%	1	6		1.17 (0.12-11.67)	13.0%
Rizvi 34	10	19		0.37 (0.13-1.04)	15.9%	0	3		Not applicable	
Pooled estin	nate		H	0.38 (0.25-0.58)	100.00%				0.55 (0.24-1.25)	100.00%
		0 Fav	0.1 0.5 1	2 4 I ² =0%, P _H	ooled P<0.0 leterogeneity=0	001 .87	0. Favo	1 0.5 1 2 ors EPHA ^{mut} Favors E	4 I ² =0%, P _{Heter}	oled P=0.16 ogeneity=0.72

B OS-pooled estimate

Cabort	EPHA (n)					n)		
Conort	mut WT	LUAD	HK (95% CI)	weight	mut W	T LUSC	HK (95% CI)	weight
мѕксс	57 214	F-	0.51 (0.33-0.79)	100%	8 37	, ,	0.91 (0.35-2.35)	100.00%
	0.1 Favors	0.5 1 2 EPHA ^{mut} Favors E	4 PHA ^{WT}	P=0.001		0.1 0.5 1 2 Favors EPHA ^{mut} Favors	4 EPHA ^{WT}	P=0.85

22 Supplemental Figure 5. Association between EPHA mutation and TMB or neoantigen load in



A. The comparation of tumor mutation burden (TMB) and predicted neoantigens between EPHA
mutation and EPHA wide-type group in NSCLC in discovery cohort. B. The association of PDL1
expression between EPHA mutation and EPHA wide-type group in discovery cohort. C. The
comparation of tumor mutation burden (TMB) between EPHA mutation and EPHA wide-type group
in NSCLC in validation cohort 1. -D. The association of PDL1 expression between EPHA mutation
and EPHA wide-type group in validation cohort 1.E. The comparation of predicted neoantigens
between EPHA mutation and EPHA wide-type group in NSCLC in validation cohort 1.



32 Supplemental Figure 6. The overlap between EPHA mutation and Oncogenic genes in LUAD

33 and LUSC in discovery and validation cohort 1

34 A.The co-occurrence of oncogenic genes and smoking status in LUAD and LUSC in the discovery

35 cohort and in the validation cohort.B. The effect of co-mutation in predicting survival of

36 immunotherapy in LUAD.



38 Supplemental Table 1. Data source.

Data source	Tumor	Ν	Sequencing	RNAseq	Clinical outcome
China cohort	Non-small-cell lung carcinoma	79	WES	_	ORR; DCB; PFS
Rizvi 34cohort	Non-small-cell lung carcinoma	34	WES	_	ORR; DCB; PFS
Hellmann cohort	Non-small-cell lung carcinoma	75	WES	_	ORR; DCB; PFS
Miao cohort	Non-small-cell lung carcinoma	56	WES	_	ORR; DCB; PFS
MSKCC cohort	Pan-cancer	1662	NGS panel	_	OS
TCGA	Lung Adenocarcinoma	566	WES	510	PFS, OS
TCGA	Lung Squamous Cell Carcinoma	484	WES	484	PFS, OS
TCGA	Head and neck carcinoma	523	WES	515	_
TCGA	Bladder carcinoma	413	WES	408	_
TCGA	Esophageal carcinoma	182	WES	181	_
TCGA	Skin Cutaneous Melanoma	448	WES	443	—

39 TCGA, The Cancer Genome Altlas; WES, whole-exome sequencing; ORR, objective response rate;

40 DCR, disease control rate; DCB, durable clinical benefit; PFS, progression-free survival; OS,

- 41 overall survival.
- 42

- 44
- 45
- 46
- 47

48 Supplemental Table 2. Gene list of immune gene signature.

Classification	Genes
Immune checkpoint	PD-1, PD-L1, PD-L2, LAG3, CTLA4, TIM3, VTCN1
T-effector and INFγ pathway	GBP1, IFI16, IFI30, IFNG, IRF1, STAT1, TAP1, TAP2, FAS, PSMB9, IL15RA, GZMA, GZMB, EOMES, CXCL10, CXCL9, CXCL11, TBX21, PRF1
T cell receptor	CD27, GRAP2, LCK, PTPRCAP, CCL5, IL2RB, IKZF3, CD3G, CD74, CD3D, CD8A, CD4, TIGIT
Tumor microenvironment	IDO1, PTGS2, IL1B, IL18, IL6, IL12A, TNF, CD73

51 Supplemental Table 3. Gene list of TGFβ signaling.

Classification	Genes				
TGF-β signaling	TGFB, TGFB1, TGFB2, TGFB3, TGFBR1, TGFBR2, TGFBR3,				
	TGFBRAP1, ACVR1, ACVR1B, ACVR1C, ACVR2A,				
	ACVR2B, ACVRL1, BMP10, BMP15, BMP2, BMP3, BMP4,				
	BMP5, BMP6, BMP7, BMPR1A, BMPR1B, BMPR2, GDF11,				
	GDF2, INHA, INHBA, INHBB, INHBC, INHBE, NODAL,				
	SMAD1, SMAD2, SMAD3, SMAD4, SMAD5, SMAD6,				
	SMAD7, SMAD9, SPTBN1, ZFYVE9				

53 Supplemental Table 4. Patient characteristics in China cohort

Characteristics	No. (%)			
No. of patients	79 (100)			
Median age, years (IQR)	55 (47-61)			
Sex (male)	54 (68.4)			
Histology (squamous carcinoma)	25 (31.6)			
Smoking status (ever)	39 (49.4)			
Metastasis				
Metastatic sites≥3	28 (35.4)			
Liver metastasis	18 (22.8)			
Brain metastasis	14 (17.7)			
ECOG				
0	19(24.1)			
1	60(75.9)			
LDH				
≥250 U/L	36 (45.6)			
<250 U/L	43 (54.4)			
Treatment				
Anti-PD-1	74 (93.7)			
Anti-PD-L1	5 (6.3)			
Lines of therapy				
Second	38 (48.1)			
Third or subsequent	41 (51.9)			
PDL1 expression				
<1%	35(44.3)			
1-49%	11(13.9)			
>50%	3(3.8)			
Unknown	30(38)			
Best overall response				
CR	1(1.3)			
PR	14 (17.7)			
SD	20 (25.3)			
PD	44 (55.7)			
ORR (%)	19.0			
PFS, months (median)	2.1			
Mutation				
EGFR	9 (11.4)			
STK11	3 (3.8)			
TP53	39 (49.4)			
KRAS	8 (10.1)			
EPHA	16 (20.3)			

54

56 Supplemental Table 5. Univariable analysis and Multivariable cox regression analyses of

57 **PFS in China cohort**

Progress free survival				
Demonstern	Univariable analy	sis	Multivariable anal	ysis
rarameter	HR (95% CI)	Р	HR (95% CI)	Р
Age (≥65 vs. <65)	0.92 (0.56-1.50)	0.73	1.48 (0.83-2.62)	0.19
Sex (male vs. female)	0.48 (0.29-0.79)	0.004	0.56 (0.27-1.15)	0.12
Histology (non-LUSC vs. LUSC)	1.32 (0.80-2.18)	0.28	1.04 (0.60-1.82)	0.89
ECOG (1 vs. 0)	1.03 (0.65-1.63)	0.90		
Smoke (ever vs. never)	0.48 (0.30-0.76)	0.002	0.64 (0.32-1.25)	0.19
Line of treatments (later vs. 2)	1.17 (0.74-1.85)	0.52		
Metastatic sites (≥3 vs. <3)	1.34 (0.83-2.18)	0.23		
LDH (≥250 U/L vs <250 U/L)	1.03 (0.65-1.63)	0.91		
TMB (≥median vs. <median)< td=""><td>0.46 (0.28-0.73)</td><td>0.003</td><td>0.67 (0.40-1.11)</td><td>0.12</td></median)<>	0.46 (0.28-0.73)	0.003	0.67 (0.40-1.11)	0.12
TMB (top 20% vs. others)	0.69 (0.38-1.24)	0.21		
PD-L1 expression				
<1% (reference)		0.60		0.11
1-49%	0.72 (0.35-1.47)	0.37	0.66 (0.30-1.42)	0.28
>50%	0.48 (0.11-2.00)	0.31	0.55 (0.13-2.46)	0.44
unknown	0.80 (0.48-1.33)	0.39	0.49 (0.28-0.87)	0.02
EGFR (mut vs. WT)	1.70 (0.83-3.48)	0.15		
KRAS (mut vs. WT)	0.75 (0.36-1.56)	0.46		
STK11(mut vs. WT)	1.05(0.33-3.36)	0.94		
TP53 (mut vs. WT)	0.91 (0.57-1.44)	0.68		
EPHA (mut vs. WT)	0.38 (0.21-0.68)	0.001	0.36 (0.19-0.71)	0.003

59 Supplemental Table 6. Patient characteristics in validation cohort 1

Characteristics	No. (%)
No. of patients	165 (100)
Median age, years (IQR)	63 (57-70)
unknown	15(9.1)
Sex (male)	77 (46.7)
Histology (squamous carcinoma)	27 (16.4)
Smoking status (ever)	131 (79.4)
ECOG	
0	30(18.2)
1	45(27.3)
unknown	90(54.5)
Treatment	
Anti-PD-(L)1	90(54.5)
Anti-PD-(L)1+CTLA4	75 (45.5)
Lines of therapy	
One/Second	89 (53.9)
Third or subsequent	20 (12.1)
unknown	56(33.9)
PDL1 expression	
<1%	31(18.8)
1-49%	49(29.7)
>50%	20(12.1)
Unknown	65(39.4)
Best overall response	
CR	5(3)
PR	48 (29.1)
SD	58(35.2)
PD	47 (28.5)
NE	7(4.2)
ORR (%)	32.1
PFS, months (median)	6.5
Mutation	
EGFR	17 (10.3)
STK11	23 (13.9)
TP53	89(53.9)
KRAS	47 (28.5)
EPHA	47 (28.5)

Progress free survival						
D	Univariable analy	ysis	Multivariable ana	alysis	Multivariable ana	alysis
Parameter	HR (95% CI)	Р	HR (95% CI)	Р	HR (95% CI)	Р
Sex (male vs. female)	1.26 (0.87-1.81)	0.23	1.45 (0.97-2.17)	0.07	1.47 (0.99-2.17)	0.06
Age						
<65 (reference)		0.18		0.03		0.02
≥65	0.91 (0.61-1.36)	0.64	0.60 (0.40-0.94)	0.02	0.67 (0.44-1.02)	0.06
unknown	1.59 (0. 89 -2.82)	0.11	1.99 (1.03-3.86)	0.05	2.40 (1.22-4.71)	0.01
Smoking (ever vs. never)	0.61 (0.39-0.94)	0.03	0.72 (0.45-1.13)	0.15	0.80 (0.50-1.27)	0.35
Histology (no-LUSC vs. LUSC)	0.94 (0.56-1.57)	0.80	0.50 (0.28-0.88)	0.02	0.82 (0.46-1.47)	0.51
PD-L1 expression						
<1% (reference)		0.09		0.11		0.09
1-49%	0.91 (0.53-1.56)	0.73	1.36 (0.77-2.40)	0.29	1.57 (0.89-2.79)	0.12
≥50%	0.38 (0.17-0.86)	0.02	0.53 (0.23-1.21)	0.13	0.62 (0.27-1.44)	0.27
unknown	0.98 (0.59-1.63)	0.95	1.02 (0.59-1.77)	0.93	1.10 (0.63-1.90)	0.77
Treatment (PD-(L)1 vs. CTLA-4)	0.92 (0.76-1.10)	0.35				
Datasets						
Rizvi 34 cohort (reference)		0.51				
Miao cohort	0.91 (0.61-1.36)	0.50				
Hellmann cohort	1.59 (0.89-2.82)	0.81				
TMB (≥median vs. <median)< td=""><td>0.35 (0.24-0.51)</td><td><0.001</td><td></td><td></td><td>0.33 (0.21-0.52)</td><td>< 0.001</td></median)<>	0.35 (0.24-0.51)	<0.001			0.33 (0.21-0.52)	< 0.001
TMB (top 20% vs. others)	0.31 (0.17-0.56)	<0.001	0.31 (0.17-0.59)	< 0.001		
KRAS (mut vs. WT)	0.62 (0.40-0.95)	0.03	0.64 (0.40-1.02)	0.06	0.76 (0.48-1.20)	0.23

TP53 (mut vs. WT)	0.77 (0.53-1.12)	0.17					
EGFR (mut vs. WT)	1.60 (0.90-2.81)	0.11					
STK11 (mut vs. WT)	1.47 (0.88-2.47)	0.15					
EPHA (mut vs. WT)	0.48 (0.31-0.74)	<0.001	0.59 (0.37-0.96)	0.03	0.63(0.38-1.04)	0.07	

63

64

65 Supplemental Table 8. Univariable analysis and Multivariable analysis COX regression

66 analyses of OS in NSCLC in validation cohort 2

Overall survival									
Demonstern	Univariable analy	sis	Multivariable analysis						
Parameter	HR (95% CI)	Р	HR (95% CI)	Р					
Histology (no-LUSC vs. LUSC	C) 1.30 (0.91-1.87)	0.15	1.13 (0.78-1.65)	0.51					
Age (≥65 vs. <65)	1.08 (0.82-1.41)	0.59	0.99 (0.75-1.29)	0.89					
Sex (male vs. female)	1.17 (0.90-1.53)	0.25	1.14 (0.87-1.50)	0.34					
TMB (≥median vs <median)< td=""><td>0.99 (0.77-1.31)</td><td>1</td><td></td><td></td></median)<>	0.99 (0.77-1.31)	1							
TMB (top 20% vs. others)	0.49 (0.33-0.72)	<0.001	0.60 (0.39-0.93)	0.02					
Treatment (anti-PD-(L)1 v anti-PD-1+CTLA4)	s. 0.41(0.21-0.81)	0.01	0.41 (0.21-0.82)	0.01					
EPHA (mut vs. WT)	0.48 (0.33-0.71)	<0.001	0.63 (0.41-0.98)	0.04					

Lung squamous cell carcinoma

Progress free survival	Univariable analysis Multivariable analysis Univariable analysis		ysis	Multivariable analysis				
Parameter	HR (95%CI)		HR (95%CI) P value		HR (95%CI)	P value	P value HR (95%CI)	
Age (≥65 vs.<65)	0.84(0.43-1.64)	0.61	1.34(0.6-3)	0.47	1.39(0.59-3.29)	0.46	1.94(0.72-5.2)	0.19
Sex (male vs. female)	0.42(0.22-0.8)	0.01	0.50(0.2-1.22)	0.13	1.13(0.26-4.93)	0.87	0.78(0.11-5.34)	0.80
ECOG (1 vs. 0)	1.07(0.57-2.01)	0.83			0.89(0.40-2.02)	0.79		
Smoke (ever vs. never)	0.37(0.19-0.74)	0.004	0.76(0.31-1.88)	0.55	0.72(0.28-1.86)	0.50	0.32(0.09-1.13)	0.08
Line of treatments (later vs. 2)	1.19(0.66-2.15)	0.55			1.22(0.51-2.93)	0.66		0.28
Metastatic sites (≥3 vs. <3)	1.27(0.7-2.31)	0.43			1.49(0.50-4.48)	0.47	1.6(0.78-3.27)	0.20
LDH (≥250 U/L vs <250 U/L)	0.83(0.45-1.53)	0.55			1.23(0.52-2.90)	0.64	1.05(0.51-2.14)	0.90
TMB (≥median vs. <median)< td=""><td>0.33(0.17-0.64)</td><td>0.003</td><td>0.41(0.2-0.85)</td><td>0.02</td><td>0.62(0.26-1.47)</td><td>0.28</td><td>0.93(0.29-3.00)</td><td>0.90</td></median)<>	0.33(0.17-0.64)	0.003	0.41(0.2-0.85)	0.02	0.62(0.26-1.47)	0.28	0.93(0.29-3.00)	0.90
PDL1 expression								
(<1% reference)		0.46		0.15		0.88		0.62
≥1%	1.29(0.84-1.97)	0.24	1.41(0.89-2.25)	0.14	1.08(0.6-1.96)	0.79	1.27(0.68-2.37)	0.46
unknown	0.73(0.41-1.29)	0.28	1.02(0.55-1.89)	0.96	1.08(0.55-2.14)	0.82	1.06(0.53-2.11)	0.87
EGFR (mut vs. WT)	1.73(0.75-3.99)	0.20						
KRAS (mut vs. WT)	0.69(0.29-1.65)	0.41			0.5(0.07-3.81)	0.50		
STK11(mut vs. WT)	1.01(0.24-4.22)	0.99			1.67(0.21-12.96)	0.62		
TP53 (mut vs. WT)	0.66(0.36-1.21)	0.18			2.22(0.85-5.8)	0.10		
EPHA (mut vs. WT)	0.30(0.13-0.68)	0.004	0.34(0.12-0.95)	0.04	0.54(0.21-1.43)	0.21	0.48(0.12-1.92)	0.30

68 Supplemental Table 9. Univariable analysis and Multivariable cox regression analyses of PFS in LUAD and LUSC of China cohort

Lung adenocarcinoma

Lung adenocarcinoma					Lung squamous cell carcinoma			
Progress free survival	Univariable analy	inivariable analysis		Multivariable analysis		Univariable analysis		alysis
Parameter	HR (95%CI)	P value	HR (95%CI)	P value	HR (95%CI)	P value	HR (95%CI)	P value
Age								
<65(reference)		0.28		0.00		0.44		0.67
≥65	0.95(0.62-1.47)	0.82	0.62(0.39-0.98)	0.04	0.51(0.18-1.44)	0.20	0.63(0.17-2.32)	0.48
unknown	1.64(0.85-3.16)	0.14	2.37(1.12-5.02)	0.02	0.75(0.16-3.60)	0.72	0.43(0.05-4.06)	0.46
Sex (male vs. female)	1.41(0.94-2.11)	0.10	1.62(1.07-2.44)	0.02	0.59(0.21-1.68)	0.32	0.61(0.14-2.65)	0.51
smoking (previous/current	0.50(0.32-0.79)	0.003	0.72(0.44-1.19)	0.20	3.36(0.44-25.49)	0.24	3.54(0.31-40.42)	0.31
vs. never)								
PDL1								
<1%		0.07		0.16		0.96		0.92
1-49%	0.91(0.51-1.62)	0.74	1.53(0.8-2.93)	0.20	1.23(0.31-4.96)	0.77	1.52(0.32-7.09)	0.60
≥50%	0.32(0.13-0.8)	0.01	0.59(0.22-1.58)	0.30	0.86(0.14-5.19)	0.87	1.03(0.11-9.57)	0.98
unknown	1(0.58-1.72)	0.99	1.08(0.58-2.02)	0.80	0.96(0.24-3.86)	0.95	1.51(0.26-8.70)	0.64
Treatment (PD-1/PDL1 vs.	0.88(0.71-1.08)	0.22			1.06(0.66-1.73)	0.80		
CTLA4)								
KRAS (mut vs. WT)	0.57(0.37-0.89)	0.01	0.81(0.50-1.30)	0.38				
TP53 (mut vs. WT)	0.79(0.52-1.19)	0.25			0.88(0.29-2.71)	0.82		
EGFR (mut vs. WT)	1.92(1.05-3.54)	0.04	1.03(0.51-2.08)	0.93	0.92(0.21-4.04)	0.91		
STK11 (mut vs. WT)	1.49(0.85-2.59)	0.16			1.10(0.25-4.83)	0.90		
EPHA (mut vs. WT)	0.43(0.27-0.69)	<0.001	0.53(0.31-0.90)	0.02	0.50(0.11-2.20)	0.36	0.4(0.06-2.75)	0.35
TMB (≥median vs. <median)< td=""><td>0.32(0.21-0.49)</td><td><0.001</td><td>0.39(0.23-0.66)</td><td>0.004</td><td>0.49(0.19-1.28)</td><td>0.15</td><td>0.8(0.19-3.32)</td><td>0.76</td></median)<>	0.32(0.21-0.49)	<0.001	0.39(0.23-0.66)	0.004	0.49(0.19-1.28)	0.15	0.8(0.19-3.32)	0.76

70	Supplemental Table 10. Univa	riable analysis and Multiva	riable cox regression a	alvses of PFS in LUAD a	and LUSC of validation cohort 1
-		···· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		J	

73	Supplemental Table 11. Univariable ana	vsis and Multivariable cox re	gression analyses of OS in L	JAD and LUSC of validation cohort 2
, 5	Suppremental Tuble III Chivallable and	ysis and manne cox ic	gression analyses of OD in E	and house of vandation conore 2

Overall survival	Lung adenocard	cinoma			Lung squamous cell carcinoma			
	Univariable analysis		Multivariable a	Multivariable analysis		Univariable analysis		
Parameter	HR (95% CI)	Р	HR (95% CI)	Р	HR (95% CI)	Р		
Age (≥65 vs. <65)	1.13(0.83-1.55)	0.43	-	-	0.75(0.38-1.49)	0.41		
Sex (male vs. female)	1.15(0.85-1.56)	0.38			0.94(0.44-2.02)	0.88		
TMB (≥median vs <median)< td=""><td>1.00(0.74-1.36)</td><td>0.99</td><td></td><td></td><td>1.62(0.81-3.23)</td><td>0.17</td><td></td></median)<>	1.00(0.74-1.36)	0.99			1.62(0.81-3.23)	0.17		
TMB (top 20% vs. others)	0.60(0.39-0.91)	0.02	0.73(0.45-1.17)	0.19	1.07(0.46-2.49)	0.87		
Treatment (anti-PD-(L)1 vs. anti-	0.20(0.17.0.99)	0.02	0.29(0.17.0.99)	0.02				
PD-1+CTLA4)	0.39(0.17-0.88)	0.02	0.38(0.17-0.88)	0.02	0.04(0-34.61)	0.35		
EPHA (mut vs. WT)	0.51(0.33-0.79)	0.002	0.61(0.38-1.00)	0.05	0.91(0.35-2.35)	0.84		

74

75 Abbreviations: TMB, tumor mutational burden; CI, confidence interval; ECOG, Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group; LDH, lactate dehydrogenase; HR, hazard ratio;

76 LUSC, lung squamous carcinoma; LUAD, lung adenocarcinomas; NSCLC, non-small cell lung cancer; PD-L1, programmed cell death ligand 1; PFS, progression-free

survival; ORR, Objective response rate; EGFR, epidermal growth factor receptor; KRAS, kirsten rat sarcoma viral oncogene; STK11, serine/threonine kinase 11; TP53,

78 tumor protein p53; EPHA, Ephrin type-A receptors; WT, wildtype.

symbol CCL5

CD274	0.970	0.004	0.000	0 659	0.200	0.941
CD274 CD27	0.879	0.994	0.998	0.038	0.508	0.841
CD27 CD2D	0.879	0.994	0.998	0.721	0.330	0.797
CD3D CD3C	0.934	0.994	0.998	0.721	0.256	0.797
CD30	0.079	0.994	0.998	0.074	0.165	0.797
CD4 CD74	0.879	0.994	0.998	0.074	0.475	0.841
CD/4	0.938	0.994	0.998	0.074	0.007	0.841
	0.879	0.994	0.998	0.722	0.004	0.797
CILA4	0.879	0.994	0.998	0.058	0.030	0.797
CXCL10	0.958	0.994	0.998	0.658	0.002	0.797
CXCLII	0.958	0.994	0.998	0.658	0.024	0.797
CXCL9	0.958	0.994	0.998	0.658	0.002	0.797
EOMES	0.831	0.994	0.998	0.848	0.061	0.797
FAS	0.879	0.994	0.998	0.658	0.183	0.841
GBPI	0.879	0.994	0.998	0.658	0.052	0.841
GRAP2	0.879	0.994	0.998	0.674	0.473	0.797
GZMA	0.958	0.994	0.998	0.716	0.031	0.797
GZMB	0.958	0.994	0.998	0.674	0.002	0.797
HAVCR2	0.879	0.994	0.998	0.837	0.631	0.875
IDO1	0.958	0.994	0.998	0.658	0.863	0.797
IFI16	0.879	0.994	0.998	0.674	0.363	0.797
IFI30	0.958	0.994	0.998	0.674	0.957	0.797
IFNG	0.958	0.994	0.998	0.674	0.015	0.797
IKZF3	0.958	0.994	0.998	0.674	0.117	0.797
IL12A	0.831	0.994	0.998	0.674	0.473	0.797
IL15RA	0.958	0.994	0.998	0.837	0.919	0.974
IL18	0.879	0.994	0.998	0.848	0.153	0.797
IL1B	0.879	0.994	0.998	0.941	0.691	0.797
IL2RB	0.879	0.994	0.998	0.674	0.153	0.916
IL6	0.879	0.994	0.998	0.674	0.473	0.974
IRF1	0.958	0.994	0.998	0.674	0.547	0.841
LAG3	0.958	0.994	0.998	0.674	0.034	0.797
LCK	0.879	0.994	0.998	0.674	0.429	0.797
NT5E	0.958	0.994	0.511	0.674	0.258	0.797
PDCD1	0.879	0.994	0.998	0.674	0.072	0.797
PDCD1LG2	0.958	0.994	0.998	0.674	0.221	0.841
PRF1	0.958	0.994	0.998	0.674	0.473	0.797
PSMB9	0.958	0.994	0.998	0.658	0.221	0.797
PTGS2	0.831	0.994	0.998	0.837	0.289	0.797
PTPRCAP	0.879	0.994	0.998	0.674	0.672	0.797

Supplemental Table 12. P values adjusted by FDR with immune gene signature between 80

ESCA

0.998

SKCM

0.722

LUAD

0.061

LUSC

0.841

EPHA^{mut} and *EPHA^{wt}* in multi-type tumors.

0.958

BLCA

0.994

HNSC

STAT1	0.958	0.994	0.998	0.658	0.002	0.797
TAP1	0.879	0.994	0.998	0.674	0.085	0.841
TAP2	0.879	0.994	0.998	0.658	0.473	0.918
TBX21	0.879	0.994	0.998	0.674	0.238	0.875
TIGIT	0.958	0.994	0.998	0.674	0.061	0.817
TNF	0.958	0.994	0.998	0.674	0.334	0.817
VTCN1	0.879	0.994	0.998	0.674	0.957	0.797

Abbreviations: HNSC, head and neck squamous cell carcinoma; BLCA, bladder urothelial carcinoma; SKCM, skin cutaneous melanoma; ESCA, esophageal carcinoma; BRCA, breast invasive carcinoma;

symbol	HNSC	BLCA	ESCA	SKCM	LUAD	LUSC
ACVR1	0.567	0.177	0.395	0.300	0.236	0.078
ACVR1B	0.991	0.577	0.959	0.379	0.863	0.650
ACVR1C	0.297	0.596	0.917	0.776	0.410	0.457
ACVR2A	0.133	0.596	0.395	0.932	0.272	0.457
ACVR2B	0.892	0.975	0.717	0.916	0.119	0.044
ACVRL1	0.409	0.950	0.858	0.396	0.727	0.720
BMP10	0.861	0.177	NA	0.626	0.929	0.992
BMP15	0.962	0.950	NA	0.661	0.028	0.989
BMP2	0.567	0.577	0.320	0.626	0.221	0.414
BMP3	0.613	0.660	0.059	0.916	0.247	0.461
BMP4	0.214	0.596	0.937	0.776	0.035	0.989
BMP5	0.193	0.950	0.815	0.661	0.575	0.989
BMP6	0.133	0.381	0.320	0.215	0.929	0.989
BMP7	0.802	0.219	0.075	0.272	0.015	0.457
BMPR1A	0.613	0.166	0.226	0.615	0.708	0.461
BMPR1B	0.802	0.975	0.719	0.615	0.708	0.989
BMPR2	0.535	0.177	0.579	0.338	0.467	0.935
GDF11	0.133	0.975	0.172	0.008	0.942	0.797
GDF2	0.802	0.330	NA	0.916	0.608	0.989
INHA	0.214	0.381	0.299	0.071	0.249	0.650
INHBA	0.895	0.177	0.821	0.472	0.606	0.797
INHBB	0.613	0.177	0.080	0.062	0.929	0.989
INHBC	0.503	0.950	0.143	0.062	0.608	0.414
INHBE	0.613	0.577	0.533	0.472	0.929	0.650
CERS1	0.297	0.950	0.342	0.300	0.727	0.078
NODAL	0.685	0.372	0.395	0.916	0.112	0.797
SMAD1	0.626	0.219	0.320	0.690	0.058	0.874
SMAD2	0.802	0.177	0.320	0.916	0.800	0.989
SMAD3	0.294	0.403	0.320	0.215	0.068	0.129
SMAD4	0.409	0.177	0.560	0.916	0.929	0.797
SMAD5	0.739	0.177	0.080	0.932	0.221	0.989
SMAD6	0.133	0.596	0.172	0.385	0.005	0.720
SMAD7	0.319	0.252	0.172	0.307	0.550	0.989
SMAD9	0.262	0.177	0.065	0.472	0.173	0.720
SPTBN1	0.626	0.317	0.255	0.916	0.608	0.989
TGFB1	0.802	0.350	0.320	0.916	0.708	0.414
TGFB2	0.199	0.596	0.549	0.215	0.231	0.989
TGFB3	0.199	0.177	0.434	0.626	0.929	0.797
TGFBR1	0.904	0.177	0.830	0.661	0.708	0.797
TGFBR2	0.669	0.219	0.229	0.916	0.205	0.797

Supplemental	Table	13.	P	values	adjusted	by	FDR	with	TGF-β	signaling	between

EPHA^{mut} and *EPHA^{wt}* in multi-type tumors.

TGFBR3	0.925	0.950	0.172	0.494	0.015	0.989
TGFBRAP1	0.802	0.166	0.402	0.071	0.929	0.958
ZFYVE9	0.193	0.672	0.172	0.916	0.306	0.935

Abbreviations: HNSC, head and neck squamous cell carcinoma; BLCA, bladder urothelial carcinoma; SKCM, skin cutaneous melanoma; ESCA, esophageal carcinoma; BRCA, breast invasive carcinoma;