Rossi G, et al. Preanalytical variables affecting the measurement of serum paraoxonase-1 activity in horses

Supplementary Table 1. Intra- and inter-assay precision of paraoxonase-1 (PON-1)

activity measured using paraoxon-ethyl and p-nitrophenyl acetate as substrate.

| | Paraoxon-ethyl | | | p-nitrophenyl acetate | | |
|-------------|----------------|--------|------|-----------------------|--------|-------|
| Pool | High | Medium | Low | High | Medium | Low |
| Intra-assay | | | | | | |
| Mean (U/L) | 131.8 | 91.2 | 66.7 | 1,908 | 1,429 | 1,019 |
| SD (U/L) | 1.4 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 13 | 11 | 100 |
| CV (%) | 1.1 | 0.4 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 9.8 |
| Inter-assay | | | | | | |
| Mean (U/L) | ND | 108.9 | 64.1 | ND | 1,496 | 1,215 |
| SD (U/L) | | 4.1 | 5.6 | | 89 | 93 |
| CV (%) | | 3.7 | 8.7 | | 5.9 | 7.7 |

CV = coefficient of variation; ND = not determined; SD = standard deviation.

Supplementary Table 2. PON-1 activity measured in an equine serum pool sequentially

| diluted to assess the accur | acy by the line | earity under dil | ution (LUD) test. |
|-----------------------------|-----------------|------------------|-------------------|
|-----------------------------|-----------------|------------------|-------------------|

| | Paraoxon-ethyl | | | p-nitrophenyl acetate | | |
|------|----------------------------------------|----------------------------------------|---------------|----------------------------------------|----------------------------------------|---------------|
| LUD | Observed PON-1 activity (U/L) | Expected PON-1 activity (U/L) | % Recovery | Observed PON-1 activity (U/L) | Expected PON-1 activity (U/L) | % Recovery |
| 100% | 66.8 | 66.8 | 0.0 | 1,174 | 1,174 | 0.0 |
| 90% | 60.9 | 60.0 | -1.4 | 1,036 | 1,056 | 1.9 |
| 80% | 51.0 | 53.4 | 4.4 | 862 | 939 | 8.2 |
| 70% | 44.3 | 46.7 | 5.2 | 778 | 822 | 5.3 |
| 60% | 41.5 | 40.0 | -3.8 | 690 | 704 | 2.1 |
| 50% | 34.0 | 33.4 | -1.8 | 480 | 587 | 18.1 |
| 40% | 28.9 | 26.7 | -8.3 | 419 | 469 | 10.7 |
| 30% | 20.9 | 20.0 | -4.5 | 341 | 352 | 3.1 |
| 20% | 16.0 | 13.3 | -19.6 | 263 | 235 | 12.1 |
| 10% | 10.3 | 6.7 | -54.3 | 94 | 117 | 20.3 |
| Mean | | | -8.4 | | | 8.2 |

% Recovery = measured concentration/expected concentration \times 100%.

Supplementary Table 3. PON-1 activity measured in an equine serum pool with low

PON-1 activity spiked with another pool with high PON-1 activity to assess the accuracy by the spiking recovery test (SRT).

| | Paraoxon-ethyl | | | p-nitrophenyl acetate | | | |
|------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|----------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|----------|--|
| | Observed PON-1 activity | Expected PON-1 activity | % | Observed PON-1 activity | Expected PON-1 activity | % | |
| SRT | (U/L) | (U/L) | Recovery | (U/L) | (U/L) | Recovery | |
| 0% | 30.8 | 30.8 | 0.0 | 825 | 825 | 0.0 | |
| 10% | 34.5 | 36.0 | 4.2 | 856 | 86 | 2.3 | |
| 20% | 44.3 | 41.28 | -7.2 | 917 | 927 | 1.1 | |
| 30% | 54.4 | 46.52 | -16.8 | 965 | 977 | 1.3 | |
| 40% | 59.4 | 51.76 | -14.8 | 1,024 | 1,028 | 0.4 | |
| 50% | 64.3 | 57 | -12.8 | 1,072 | 1,078 | 0.6 | |
| 60% | 69.1 | 62.24 | -11.1 | 1,125 | 1,129 | 0.3 | |
| 70% | 75.1 | 67.48 | -11.2 | 1,182 | 1,179 | -0.2 | |
| 80% | 79.0 | 72.72 | -8.6 | 1,212 | 1,230 | 1.5 | |
| 90% | 83.2 | 83.2 | 0.0 | 1,279 | 1,281 | 0.1 | |
| Mean | | | -7.8 | | | 0.7 | |

% Recovery = measured concentration/expected concentration \times 100%.

Supplementary Table 4. Results of PON-1 activity in equine serum samples collected

monthly and assessed using 2 different substrates.

| | Paraoxon-ethyl | | | 4-nitrophenyl acetate | | | |
|-----------|----------------|-------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------|---------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--|
| | PON-1 activity | | | | PON-1 activity | | |
| Month | n | (U/L median) | <i>p</i> value | n | (U/L median) | <i>p</i> value | |
| January | 35 | 71.1 (49.0–125.0) | Jan vs Feb $p = 0.003$ Jan vs May $p = 0.001$ Jan vs Jun $p = 0.003$ Jan vs Aug $p = 0.010$ Jan vs Sep $p = 0.000$ Jan vs Oct $p = 0.019$ | 34 | 1,193 (676–1,538) | Jan vs Feb $p = 0.003$ Jan vs May $p = 0.001$ Jan vs Jun $p = 0.003$ Jan vs Jul $p = 0.019$ Jan vs Aug $p = 0.010$ Jan vs Sep $p = 0.000$ Jan vs Oct $p = 0.019$ | |
| February | 35 | 70.3 (50.4–110.7) | Feb vs Apr $p = 0.006$ Feb vs Nov $p = 0.000$ | 34 | 1,314 (1,107–3,190) | Feb vs Apr $p = 0.006$ Feb vs Nov $p = 0.000$ | |
| April | 35 | 76.9 (58.2–133.8) | Apr vs May $p = 0.000$ Apr vs Jun $p = 0.001$ Apr vs Jul $p = 0.003$ Apr vs Aug $p = 0.001$ Apr vs Sep $p = 0.011$ Apr vs Oct $p = 0.017$ | 34 | 1,223 (890–2,036) | Apr vs May $p = 0.000$ Apr vs Jun $p = 0.001$ Apr vs Jul $p = 0.003$ Apr vs Aug $p = 0.001$ Apr vs Sep $p = 0.011$ Apr vs Oct $p = 0.017$ | |
| May | 35 | 86.4 (53.0–137.5) | May vs Jun $p = 0.003$ May vs Jul $p = 0.000$ May vs Aug $p = 0.006$ May vs Oct $p = 0.008$ May vs Nov $p = 0.000$ May vs Dec $p = 0.000$ | 34 | 1,310 (978–3,296) | May vs Jun $p = 0.003$ May vs Jul $p = 0.000$ May vs Aug $p = 0.006$ May vs Oct $p = 0.008$ May vs Nov $p = 0.000$ May vs Dec $p = 0.000$ | |
| June | 35 | 87.0 (56.8–132.4) | Jun vs Nov $p = 0.000$ Jun vs Dec $p = 0.024$ | 34 | 1,287 (1,047–1,685) | Jun vs Sep $p = 0.045$ Jun vs Nov $p = 0.000$ Jun vs Dec $p = 0.024$ | |
| July | 35 | 80.4 (51.4–121.0) | Jul vs Sep $p = 0.007$ Jul vs Nov $p = 0.000$ | 34 | 1,260 (1,016–1,678) | Jul vs Sep $p = 0.007$ Jul vs Nov $p = 0.000$ | |
| August | 35 | 85.5 (60.8–133.5) | Aug vs Nov $p = 0.000$ Aug vs Dec $p = 0.001$ | 34 | 1,283 (1,057–2,327) | Aug vs Nov $p = 0.000$ Aug vs Dec $p = 0.001$ | |
| September | 35 | 83.8 (53.3–144.5) | Sep vs Nov $p = 0.000$ Sep vs Dec $p = 0.020$ | 34 | 1,305 (212–2,126) | Sep vs Nov $p = 0.000$ Sep vs Dec $p = 0.020$ | |
| October | 35 | 75.1 (46.6–122.9) | Oct vs Nov $p = 0.000$ | 34 | 1,224 (902–2,060) | Oct vs Nov $p = 0.000$ | |
| November | 35 | 80.5 (48.5–129.3) | Nov vs Dec $p = 0.003$ | 34 | 1,202 (833–1,508) | Nov vs Dec $p = 0.003$ | |

Minimum-maximum in parentheses.



Supplementary Figure 1. A. Linearity under dilution (LUD) and **B.** spiking recovery test (SRT) of PON-1 activity using p-nitrophenyl acetate as substrate. Each data point indicates the mean of a triplicate measurement. The solid line indicates the linear correlation between the expect and observed values, dashed lines indicate the 95% CI.



Supplementary Figure 2. Changes of PON-1 activity, expressed as percentages, in equine serum samples stored under different conditions. **A.** PON-1 activity assessed using paraoxon-ethyl as substrate. **B.** PON-1 activity assessed using 4-nitrophenyl acetate. The gray areas are the total observed error for each method.



Supplementary Figure 3. Changes of PON-1 activity, expressed as percentages, in equine serum samples during repeated freeze–thaw cycles. **A.** PON-1 activity assessed using paraoxon-ethyl as substrate. **B.** PON-1 activity assessed using 4-nitrophenyl acetate. The gray areas are the total observed error for each method.