iScience, Volume 24

# **Supplemental Information**

# A human pluripotent stem cell model

# for the analysis of metabolic

# dysfunction in hepatic steatosis

Matthew C. Sinton, Jose Meseguer-Ripolles, Baltasar Lucendo-Villarin, Sara Wernig-Zorc, John P. Thomson, Roderick N. Carter, Marcus J. Lyall, Paul D. Walker, Alpesh Thakker, Richard R. Meehan, Gareth G. Lavery, Nicholas M. Morton, Christian Ludwig, Daniel A. Tennant, David C. Hay, and Amanda J. Drake



Figure S1. Validation of mRNA sequencing analysis by RT-qPCR. Data were analysed using two-tailed Student t-test and expressed as mean ± SD, \*p<0.05, \*\*\*\*p<0.0001. Related to

Figure 2.



- Figure S2. Representative blot of succinate dehydrogenase subunit A (SDHA) and pyruvate dehydrogenase (PDH) a1 and a2 subunits, with total protein staining. Molecular weight band
- of SDHA = 70 kDa. Molecular weight band of PDh = 38 kDa. Related to Figure 3.



Figure S3. Measurement of mitochondrial (mitochondrial region 2 (MT2); mitochondrial region
 3 (MT3)) and nuclear (beta-globin (HBB)) DNA. Data are expressed as mean ± SD. Related
 to Figure 3.

16



mRNA expression

17

18 Figure S4. Steatotic HLCs show a moderate negative correlation between promoter 5hmC

19 enrichment and mRNA expression, Related to Figure 7.

Gene	Forward sequence	Reverse sequence	Probe
TBP	GAACATCATGGATCAGAACAACA	ATAGGGATTCCGGGAGTCAT	87
NANOG	ATGCCTCACACGGAGACTGT	CAGGGCTGTCCTGAATAAGC	69
ALB	GTGAGGTTGCTCATCGGTTT	GAGCAAAGGCAATCAACACC	7
HNF4A	AGCAACGGACAGATGTGTGA	TCAGACCCTGAGCCACCT	27
PLIN2	TCAGCTCCATTCTACTGTTCACC	CCTGAATTTTCTGATTGGCACT	72
PLIN4	AGTTCCAAGCCAGGGACAC	TGCTGGGCCTTTTCAATC	1
PLIN5	TACAGTGCAGCCAAGGACAG	CGCACACGCAGTTCTCAG	3
PCK2	CGAAAGCTCCCCAAGTACAA	GCTCTCTACTCGTGCCACATC	20
G6PD	AACAGAGTGAGCCCTTCTTCA	GGAGGCTGCATCATCGTACT	5
PLIN2	TCAGCTCCATTCTACTGTTCACC	CCTGAATTTTCTGATTGGCACT	72
HK1	GACCAAGTTTCTCTCTCAGATCG	CCTAGCTGCTGGAGGATAGC	1
HIF1A	GATAGCAAGACTTTCCTCAGTCG	TGGCTCATATCCCATCAATTC	64

- **Table S1.** Primer pairs and probes used to quantify mRNA expression, Related to Figure 1
- 22 and Figure 2.

Gene	Forward Primer	Reverse Primer
MT1	CTCACTCTCACTGCCCAAGA	TGAGAATGAGTGTGAGGCGT
MT2	ACCCACCAATCACATGCCTA	GTGTTACATCGCGCCATCAT
HBB	TGGTGCATCTGACTCCTGAG	TCTCCACATGCCCAGTTTCT

**Table S2.** Primer pairs used to quantify mitochondrial and nuclear DNA, Related to Figure 3.

Gene	Forward sequence	Reverse sequence	
GAPDH promoter –	CGGCTACTAGCGGTTTTACG	AAGAAGATGCGGCTGACTGT	
negative control			
H19 genic –	GATCTCGGCCCTAGTGTGAA	GTGATGTGTGAGCCTGCACT	
positive control			
UBIAD1 genic –	CTCTTCCTCCTCCTCGTCCT	CATCCAGGAACCACAGTCCT	
positive control			

- **Table S3**. Primer sequences for validation of DNA immunoprecipitation protocol, Related to
- 27 Figure 7.

Pathways with upregulated genes				
Pathway	Benjamini	Genes		
hsa04713: Circadian	4.20	ADCY4, ADCY2, CACNA1I, GRIN1, GRIA3,		
entrainment		PRKG1, PRKCB, KCNJ5, PLCB4, GRIN2D,		
		CACNA1G, RYR1, GNG2, PER3, GNG4,		
		MTNR1A		
hsa04724: Glutamatergic	4.15	ADCY4, DLGAP1, ADCY2, GRIK2, GRIN1,		
synapse		GRIK5, GRIN3B, GRIA3, SHANK1, PRKCB,		
		SLC17A7, GLS2, GRM4, PLCB4, GRIN2D,		
		PLA2G4F, GNG2, GNG4, PLA2G4D		
hsa04725: Cholinergic	3.59	ADCY4, ACHE, ADCY2, KCNJ12, KCNJ14,		
synapse		PRKCB, KCNJ4, KCNQ4, KCNQ3, CHRM4,		
		PLCB4, GNG2, PIK3R5, GNG4, KCNQ1,		
		CHRNA3		
hsa04750: Inflammatory	3.31	ADCY4, ADCY2, TRPM8, TRPV2, ASIC3,		
mediator regulation of TRP		ASIC1, PRKCB, PLCB4, PLA2G4F, PIK3R5,		
channels		ALOX12, NGF, PLA2G4D		
hsa05414: Dilated	3.26	ADCY4, ADCY2, ADRB1, SGCG, CACNG8,		
cardiomyopathy		TIGA8, TIGA7, CACNB1, CACNB4, TNNI3,		
	0.00			
nsa04911: Insulin secretion	3.23	KONNA, ADUYA, ADUYA, PLUBA, KUNNI,		
		DEKCE		
haa04726: Saratapargia	2.14			
synapse	5.14	HTP7 SI C18A2 PLA2CAE CNC2 HTP1D		
Synapse		HTR3A GNG4 ALOX12 PLA2G4D		
hsa04514: Cell adhesion	2.81	ICAM1 NTNG1 NTNG2 CLDN10 CD40 HLA		
molecules (CAMs)	2.01	DMB CDH4 HI A-G NCAM2 ITGA8 NI GN4X		
		PECAM1 CNTN1 HI A-DOA CD6 ICOSI G		
hsa04921: Oxytocin signalling	2.66	ADCY4, ADCY2, CACNG8, CACNB1, CACNB4,		
pathway		KCNJ12, TRPM2, KCNJ14, PRKCB, KCNJ5,		
		KCNJ4, PLCB4, RYR1, PLA2G4F, NFATC1,		
		PLA2G4D		
hsa04080: Neuroactive ligand-	2.43	F2RL2, C5AR1, GABRB2, GRIK2, GRIN1,		
receptor interaction		GABBR1, GRIK5, LPAR3, GRIA3, GRIN3B,		
		P2RX5, GRM4, SSTR2, ADRB1, S1PR1,		
		CHRM4, CHRNA9, SSTR1, GRIN2D, HTR7,		
		CHRNA5, ADRA1A, CALCRL, HTR1D,		
		CHRNA3, GRID1, MTNR1A		
hsa04024: cAMP signalling	2.39	ADCY4, HCN2, ADCY2, GRIN1, GABBR1,		
pathway		ATP1A3, GRIN3B, GRIA3, TNNI3, GLI1,		
		SSTR2, ADRB1, SSTR1, PDE4A, GRINZD,		
	0.07	PIK3R5, HTR1D, HCAR2, NFATC1		
nsa04020: Calcium signalling	2.37	SLU8A3, ADCY4, SLU8A2, ADCY2, CACNA11,		
pathway		ATD2A2 CDIN2D HTD7 CACNA4C DVD4		
		ATPZAS, GRINZD, HTR7, CACINATG, RTRT,		
bsa04014: Pas signalling	2 22	EGE10 EGE5 ELTA EGE17 EENA3 GRINI		
nathway	2.02	FGF11 PRKCB RASAL1 HTR7 RASGRP2		
patriway		PLA2G4F PLA1A GNG2 PIK3R5 NGER		
		SYNGAP1, GNG4, RASA4, PLA2G4D, NGF		
Pathways with downregulated genes				
Pathway	Benjamini	Genes		
hsa05322: Systemic lupus	6.65	HIST1H2AB, C7, HIST1H4L, HIST1H2AG, C6,		
erythematosus		HIST1H2AE, HIST1H2BO, HIST2H2AB,		
		HIST1H2BM, HIST1H4A, HIST1H2BL,		
		HIST1H2BI, HIST2H2AC, HIST1H2BJ, H2AFX,		
		HIST3H2BB, HIST1H4I, HIST1H4J, HIST2H3A,		
		HIST1H3J, HIST1H2BB, HIST1H2BC.		

hsa00140: Steroid hormone	6.43	HIST1H2BE, HIST1H2BF, HIST1H2BG, HIST1H2BH, ACTN2, HIST2H3C, HIST2H3D, HIST2H2BF, HIST1H3A, HIST1H3B, HIST1H2AI, HIST1H2AH, HIST1H3C, HIST1H3E, HIST1H2AJ, HIST1H3F, HIST1H2AM, HIST1H3G, HIST1H2AL, HIST1H3H, HIST1H3I HSD3B2, CYP3A4, CYP3A5, CYP3A7,
biosynthesis		HSD3B1, CYP11A1, HSD17B1, UGT1A9, CYP7A1, UGT2B11, UGT2B4, HSD17B6, UGT2B10, UGT2A3, SULT1E1, UGT2B15, AKR1D1, CYP19A1
hsa00053: Ascorbate and aldarate metabolism	5.37	UGT1A9, UGT2B11, RGN, UGT2B4, UGT2B10, UGT2A3, UGT2B15
hsa04610: Complement and coagulation cascades	5.10	KNG1, F11, PLAT, MBL2, C7, MASP2, F13A1, C6, F9, C4BPB, C4BPA, F13B, F3, KLKB1, SERPINA5, SERPIND1, CPB2
hsa00040: Pentose and glucuronate interconversions	5.02	UGT1A9, KL, AKR1B10, UGT2B11, UGT2B4, UGT2B10, UGT2A3, UGT2B15
hsa05034: Alcoholism	4.92	HIST1H2AB, HIST1H4L, HIST1H2AG, HIST1H2AE, HIST1H2BO, HIST2H2AB, HIST1H2BM, HIST1H4A, HIST1H2BL, HIST1H2BI, HIST2H2AC, HIST1H2BJ, H2AFX, HIST3H2BB, HIST1H4I, HIST1H4J, HIST2H3A, HIST1H3J, HIST1H2BB, HIST1H2BC, HIST1H2BE, HIST1H2BF, HIST1H2BG, HIST1H2BH, FOSB, HIST2H3C, HIST2H3D, HIST2H2BF, NTRK2, HIST1H3A, HIST1H3B, HIST1H2AI, HIST1H2AH, HIST1H3C, HIST1H3E, HIST1H2AJ, HIST1H3F, HIST1H2AM, HIST1H3G, HIST1H2AL, HIST1H3H, HIST1H3I
hsa00982: Drug metabolism - cytochrome P450	4.88	GSTA1, CYP3A4, GSTA2, CYP3A5, ALDH3B2, ADH1B, ADH1A, FMO5, UGT1A9, FMO1, ADH4, UGT2B11, UGT2B4, UGT2A3, UGT2B10, UGT2B15
hsa00830: Retinol metabolism	4.86	CYP3A4, CYP3A5, UGT1A9, CYP3A7, ADH4, UGT2B11, ADH1B, UGT2B4, CYP26A1, HSD17B6, ADH1A, UGT2B10, UGT2A3, UGT2B15, RDH5
hsa05204: Chemical carcinogenesis	4.40	GSTA1, CYP3A4, GSTA2, CYP3A5, CYP3A7, NAT2, ADH1B, ALDH3B2, ADH1A, CYP3A43, UGT1A9, ADH4, UGT2B11, UGT2B4, UGT2A3, UGT2B10, UGT2B15
hsa00983: Drug metabolism - other enzymes	4.05	CYP3A4, UGT1A9, NAT2, UGT2B11, UGT2B4, UGT2B10, UGT2A3, UGT2B15, TK1
hsa00980: Metabolism of xenobiotics by cytochrome P450	3.92	GSTA1, CYP3A4, CYP3A5, GSTA2, ALDH3B2, ADH1B, ADH1A, UGT1A9, ADH4, UGT2B11, UGT2B4, UGT2A3, UGT2B10, UGT2B15
hsa04110: Cell cycle	3.01	CDC6, CDK1, PKMYT1, TTK, CDC20, ESPL1, PTTG1, MCM2, CDC25C, CCNB1, CDKN1C, MAD2L1, CCNB2, PLK1, CDKN2C, BUB1, BUB1B, CCNA2
hsa04114: Oocyte meiosis	2.61	CCNB1, CDK1, MAD2L1, CCNB2, PLK1, SGO1, BUB1, FBXO43, PKMYT1, AURKA, ESPL1, CDC20, PTTG1, CDC25C
hsa05202: Transcriptional misregulation in cancer	2.36	PLAT, HIST2H3A, NFKBIZ, HIST1H3J, MMP9, MMP3, HIST2H3C, HIST2H3D, HHEX, EYA1, CDKN2C, HIST1H3A, HIST1H3B, HIST1H3C,

		HIST1H3E, HIST1H3F, HIST1H3G, HIST1H3H, HIST1H3I
20	Table C4. University latest and she	ware substant KECO is attruction. Delate dita Fisikura O

**Table S4.** Upregulated and downregulated KEGG pathways, Related to Figure 2.

Ensembl ID	Gene	Log₂FC	padj
ENSG00000197444	OGDHL	1.08547769	3.29E-30
ENSG00000166411	IDH3A	0.74709247	7.70E-20
ENSG00000204370	SDHD	0.3777972	0.0003
ENSG00000100412	ACO2	0.26021698	0.002
ENSG00000146701	MDH2	0.23945724	0.0009
ENSG0000073578	SDHA	-0.1593416	0.03
ENSG00000138413	IDH1	-0.1812103	0.03
ENSG00000168291	PDHB	-0.2026402	0.02
ENSG00000131828	PDHA1	-0.2107741	0.01
ENSG00000163541	SUCLG1	-0.2111892	0.006
ENSG00000143252	SDHC	-0.2414483	0.02
ENSG00000150768	DLAT	-0.2619025	0.0003
ENSG0000091483	FH	-0.4936542	1.01E-12
ENSG0000014641	MDH1	-0.5085681	3.36E-13
ENSG00000101365	IDH3B	-0.5405112	5.07E-13
ENSG0000067829	IDH3G	-0.5829934	1.14E-09
ENSG00000100889	PCK2	-0.5852916	3.07E-18
ENSG00000131473	ACLY	-0.6074454	9.80E-24
ENSG00000173599	PC	-0.9409933	3.13E-37
ENSG00000182054	IDH2	-1.0677314	8.52E-45

**Table S5.** [Dysregulated genes in the TCA Cycle KEGG pathway], Related to Figure 2.

Ensembl ID	Gene	Log₂FC	padj
ENSG00000147614	ATP6V0D2	3.973933876	9.35E-140
ENSG00000198763	ND2	1.473526224	2.53E-06
ENSG00000198888	ND1	1.363322749	6.19E-05
ENSG00000198840	ND3	1.217622162	2.54E-33
ENSG00000212907	ND4L	1.095495883	0.0001
ENSG00000198886	ND4	0.866690501	0.002
ENSG00000114573	ATP6V1A	0.803262336	2.80E-32
ENSG00000198804	COX1	0.656245362	1.17E-09
ENSG00000198899	ATP6	0.634543196	0.009
ENSG00000198938	COX3	0.632275024	2.80E-10
ENSG00000171130	ATP6V0F2	0.589272395	2.52F-11
ENSG00000198727	CYTB	0.557687429	0.02
ENSG0000047249	ATP6V1H	0.506014583	5.94F-13
ENSG00000117410	ATP6V0B	0 479535962	2 58E-11
ENSG00000147416	ATP6\/1B2	0.437789019	5 51F-09
ENSG00000147410	NDUFA5	0.381648881	0.012.00
ENSG00000204370		0.377707100	0.0000
ENSG00000204010		0.322901415	0.0000
ENSG0000073578	SDHA	-0 159341647	0.000
ENSG0000023228	NDUES1	-0.210017339	0.002
ENSG00000112695	COX7A2	-0.211631763	0.002
ENSG00000156467		-0.2162753	0.03
ENSG00000167792	NDUFV1	-0 222597617	0.007
ENSG0000135390	ATP5MC2	-0.222831627	0.007
ENSG00000143252	SDHC	-0.24144827	0.002
ENSG00000160194	NDUEV3	-0.242343108	0.002
ENSG00000131100	ATP6V1F1	-0 274149444	2 61E-05
ENSG00000189043	NDUFA4	-0.277227615	0.0001
ENSG00000176340	COX8A	-0.278696825	0.001
ENSG00000165264	NDUFB6	-0.28300413	0.005
ENSG00000213619	NDUFS3	-0.29075047	0.001
ENSG00000178741	COX5A	-0.297956503	9.27E-05
ENSG00000110719	TCIRG1	-0.300385483	0.002
ENSG0000090266	NDUFB2	-0.301527793	0.001
ENSG00000174886	NDUFA11	-0.318594422	0.001
ENSG00000169021	UQCRFS1	-0.319382279	2.33E-05
ENSG00000130414	NDUFA10	-0.320916351	3.89E-05
ENSG00000131143	COX4I1	-0.336149045	2.75E-07
ENSG00000158864	NDUFS2	-0.343567449	4.23E-06
ENSG00000139180	NDUFA9	-0.357605693	0.0006
ENSG0000004779	NDUFAB1	-0.360587197	2.52E-05
ENSG00000184076	UQCR10	-0.375287362	3.00E-06
ENSG00000116459	ATP5PB	-0.379803823	9.50E-08
ENSG00000126267	COX6B1	-0.388197692	3.84E-08
ENSG00000100554	ATP6V1D	-0.405728961	7.75E-08
ENSG00000131495	NDUFA2	-0.419069805	1.65E-06
ENSG00000115286	NDUFS7	-0.422028053	0.0002
ENSG00000136888	ATP6V1G1	-0.428892928	2.02E-09
ENSG00000183648	NDUFB1	-0.432392964	0.0003
ENSG00000154518	ATP5MC3	-0.445435152	1.00E-08
ENSG00000125356	NDUFA1	-0.459056555	2.84E-07
ENSG00000179091	CYC1	-0.468189203	1.54E-09

ENSG00000127540	UQCR11	-0.475454692	4.04E-09
ENSG00000110955	ATP5F1B	-0.49393262	1.83E-16
ENSG0000099795	NDUFB7	-0.509075592	1.10E-09
ENSG00000168653	NDUFS5	-0.520875625	8.23E-11
ENSG00000159720	ATP6V0D1	-0.540902137	6.67E-12
ENSG00000147123	NDUFB11	-0.542107011	1.51E-08
ENSG00000178127	NDUFV2	-0.542969651	0.006
ENSG00000124172	ATP5F1E	-0.574462256	1.96E-13
ENSG00000152234	ATP5F1A	-0.606022757	1.10E-17
ENSG00000140990	NDUFB10	-0.613088113	6.15E-12
ENSG00000119013	NDUFB3	-0.65837698	2.66E-11
ENSG00000169020	ATP5ME	-0.663226048	5.18E-18
ENSG00000159199	ATP5MC1	-0.712677613	1.05E-19
ENSG00000241468	ATP5MF	-0.735984185	1.21E-15
ENSG0000099624	ATP5F1D	-0.737422752	3.56E-17
ENSG00000169429	CXCL8	-0.820462236	3.09E-17
ENSG00000164405	UQCRQ	-0.860634282	1.66E-38
ENSG00000198695	ND6	-0.979723775	1.42E-08
ENSG00000143882	ATP6V1C2	-1.543440061	0.005

- **Table S6.** [Dysregulated genes in the Oxidative Phosphorylation KEGG pathway], Related to
- 33 Figure 2

	Ensembl ID	Gene symbol	mRNA expression	5hmC fold change
	ENSG00000181418	ΝΟΩ	3.57	-2 84
	ENSG00000105131	EPHX3	2.38	-3.82
	ENSG0000086619	FRO1B	1.65	4.05
	ENSG00000147408	CSGALNACT1	1.33	3.09
	ENSG00000149927	DOC2A	1.26	-2.49
	ENSG00000142156	COL 6A1	1 24	3 13
	ENSG00000137752	CASP1	0.98	2.44
	ENSG00000119900	OGERI 1	0.71	2 21
	ENSG00000145247		0.71	3.02
	ENSG00000183044	ABAT	-1.26	3.04
	ENSG00000144395		-1.50	3 36
	ENSG00000167874	TMEM88	-2.02	3.60
25	Table S7 [Promoter re	aions of genes with bot	th altered mRNA expres	sion and enrichment of
36 37 38 39	5hmC], Related to Figu	ire 7.		
40				
41				
42				
43				
44				
45				
46				
47				
48				
49				
50				
51				
52				
53				
54				
55	Transparent methods			

#### 56 Differentiation of pluripotent human stem cells to hepatocyte-like cells and induction

# 57 of intracellular lipid accumulation

58 Human female H9 pluripotent stem cells (PSCs) were differentiated to hepatocyte-like cells (HLCs) as previously described (Wang et al., 2017). Unless otherwise stated, compounds for 59 60 this protocol were purchased from Thermo Fisher. Briefly, H9 cells were cultured on Laminin 61 521 coated plates, with mTeSR1 media, which contained 10 µM ROCK inhibitor. H9s were initially differentiated to an endoderm phenotype in RPMI 1640 media containing 100 ng/mL 62 Activin A (R & D Systems), and 50 ng/mL Wnt3A (Peprotech). Endodermal cells were then 63 64 differentiated to a hepatoblast phenotype by culturing in Knockout DMEM, containing 20% 65 Knockout Serum Replacement. Finally, cells were differentiated to a HLC phenotype by 66 culturing in HepatoZYME media containing 10 ng/mL hepatocyte growth factor (Peprotech), 20 ng/mL oncostatin M (Peprotech), and 10 µM hydrocortisone 21-hemisuccinate (Sigma 67 Aldrich), until day 17, at which point the cells were used for the assays described in this 68 69 manuscript.

70

71 HLCs were cultured in a 96-well format for measurements of lipid accumulation and in a 6-72 well format for all other analyses. Each well is a separate differentiation event and represents 73 a biological replicate. Intracellular lipid accumulation was induced in HLCs, as previously 74 described (Lyall et al., 2018). Briefly, at day 17, HLCs were incubated in HepatoZYME media 75 only (controls) or HepatoZYME media containing a cocktail of sodium I-lactate (L; 10mM), sodium pyruvate (P; 1 mM) and octanoic acid (O; 2 mM) (Sigma, Gillingham, UK) (LPO) for a 76 period of 48 hours. For isotopic tracing studies, lactate was replaced with <sup>13</sup>C<sub>3</sub>-lactate (CK 77 78 Isotopes, CLM-1579-05). For mechanistic studies, HLCs were exposed to either 5-79 Aminoimidazole-4-carboxamide-1-β-D-ribofuranosyl 5'-monophosphate (AICAR: 1mM; 80 Sigma-Aldrich, A1393-50MG), O-(Carboxymethyl)hydroxylamine hemihydrochloride (AOA; 81 100  $\mu$ M; Sigma-Aldrich, C13408-1G) or AICAR combined with monomethyl fumarate (50  $\mu$ M; 82 Sigma-Aldrich, 651419-1G) for the same duration as LPO.

## 84 Cell mitochondrial stress test assay

85 The oxygen consumption rate (OCR) of LPO-exposed HLCs was measured using the Agilent Seahorse XF Cell Mito Stress Test Kit (Agilent, 103015-100) on a Seahorse XF Analyser 86 87 (Agilent, California, USA). Data were collected from two separate plates, with each well representing a biological replicate and each plate representing a technical replicate. Analyses 88 89 were performed under basal conditions and following treatment with oligomycin A (an ATPase 90 inhibitor), carbonyl-cyanide-4-(trifluoromethoxy) phenylhydrazone (FCCP; an ETC uncoupler), 91 and combined rotenone and antimycin A (inhibitors of complex I and III, respectively). Two 92 concentrations of FCCP (0.5 µM and 1.0 µM) were used for optimisation. Since replicates 93 within each group responded similarly to each other, results were combined. OCR was 94 normalised to total protein for each well, using the sulforhodamine B (SRB) assay, as previously described (Orellana and Kasinski, 2016), but with spectrophotometric 95 96 measurements read at 540 nm.

97

## 98 Citrate synthase assay

99 Citrate synthase activity was assessed as a readout of mitochondrial integrity (Boutagy et al., 100 2015; Short et al., 2005). Mitochondria were isolated using the Mitochondria Isolation Kit for 101 Cultured Cells (Thermo Scientific, 89874), as per the manufacturer's instructions, selecting 102 option A for isolation. Citrate synthase activity, a marker of mitochondrial integrity, was then 103 measured using the Citrate Synthase Activity Colorimetric Assay Kit (BioVision, K318), as per 104 the manufacturer's instructions.

105

106

107

#### 108 **Protein Extraction**

Adherent HLCs were washed once with ice-cold PBS, before incubating in ice-cold RIPA Lysis
and Extraction Buffer (Thermo Scientific, 89900) supplemented with cOmplete Protease

- Inhibitor Cocktail tablets (1/10 mL buffer; Roche, 11697498001). The suspended HLCs were
   placed on ice for 30 minutes, vortexing every 3 minutes, before centrifuging for 20 min at 4
   °C, 12,000 rpm. The supernatant was collected and stored at -80 °C until needed.
- 114

### 115 Western blot analysis

Protein guantification was performed using the Qubit Protein Assay Kit (Invitrogen, Q33211), 116 117 as per the manufacturer's instructions. Protein concentration was measured using a Qubit 118 Fluorometer (Invitrogen, Massachusetts, USA). Equal concentrations (50 µg) of HLC protein 119 extract in 4 x Sample Loading Buffer (Li-Cor, 928-40004) were loaded onto NuPAGE 4-12% 120 Bis-Tris Protein Gels (Invitrogen, NP0326BOX). Following resolution, protein was transferred 121 to a methanol-activated polyvinylidene difluoride (PVDF) membrane. Protein transfer was 122 measured using Revert 700 Total Protein Stain Kit (Li-Cor, 926-11010) as per the 123 manufacturer's instructions. To enable probing with different antibodies, membranes were 124 then sliced (images shown in Figure S1), blocked with Tris-buffered saline containing Tween 125 20 (TBST) and 5% skimmed milk powder, and incubated with either Pyruvate Dehydrogenase 126 (staining total α1 and α2 subunits) (C54G1) Rabbit mAb (Cell Signaling Technology, 3205) or 127 SDHA (D6J9M) XP Rabbit mAb (Cell Signaling Technology, 11998), both a 1:1000 dilution. 128 The membranes were washed in TBST before incubating with the secondary antibody, IRDye 129 680RD Donkey anti-Mouse IgG (Li-Cor, 926-68072) at a 1:10,000 dilution, for 1 h at room 130 temperature, in the dark, with shaking. Blots were visualised on a Li-Cor Odyssey CLx (Li-Cor, 131 Nebraska, USA), and bands normalised to the Revert 700 Total Protein Stain, as per the 132 manufacturer's instructions.

133

## 134 RNA-seq analysis

Total RNA was extracted from HLCs using the Monarch<sup>®</sup> Total RNA Miniprep Kit (New England BioLabs, T2010). RNA integrity was assessed using a Bioanalyzer (Agilent) with the RNA 6000 Nano kit. All samples had a RIN value >7.0. mRNA sequencing was performed on 3 biological replicates per group by the Beijing Genomics Institute (BGI) (Shenzhen, China).

139 Library preparation was performed with the TruSeq Stranded mRNA Library Preparation kit 140 (Illumina, RS-122-2101), with additional use of the Ribo-Zero Gold rRNA Removal Kit 141 (Illumina, MRZG12324). Paired-end sequencing was performed on an Illumina HiSeg 4000, with each sample sequenced to a depth >60 million reads. The generated FASTQ files were 142 143 trimmed to remove adapters, using Trimmomatic (version 0.36) (Bolger et al., 2014), before performing quality control with FastQC (version 0.11.4) (Andrews). Alignment was performed 144 against the Homo sapiens GRCh19 assembly. The assembly was first indexed using STAR 145 146 (version 2.5.1b) before mapping trimmed reads, using STAR (version 2.5.1b) in paired-end 147 mode with default behaviour (Dobin and Gingeras, 2015). Duplicate reads were removed 148 using Picard (version 2.7.11) (2018), before using featureCounts to generate raw read counts 149 for each gene. Differential gene expression (DEG) analysis was performed using DESeq2 150 (Love et al., 2014). Heatmaps were generated with Heatmapper (Babicki et al., 2016). 151 Pathway enrichment analysis was performed using the Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and 152 Genomes (KEGG) function (Kanehisa, 2019; Kanehisa and Goto, 2000; Kanehisa et al., 2019) 153 of the Database for Annotation, Visualization, and Integrated Discovery (DAVID) (Huang et 154 al., 2009a, 2009b).

155

## 156 **Real-time quantitative PCR**

RNA was taken from that prepared for RNA-sequencing. cDNA was generated using the High Capacity cDNA Reverse Transcriptase Kit (Applied Biosystems, 4368814). A master mix was prepared using PerfeCTa FastMix II (Quanta Biosciences, Inc., 95118-250). cDNA was amplified and quantified using the Universal Probe Library (Roche, Burgess Hill, UK) system on a Roche LightCycler 480 (Roche Diagnostics Ltd, Switzerland). Primer sequences and Universal Probe Library probes are detailed in Table S1.

163

For quantifying mitochondrial and nuclear DNA, we purified DNA using the Monarch® Genomic DNA Purification Kit (NEB, USA), as per the manufacturer's instructions. DNA was quantified by using the Luna® Universal qPCR Master Mix (NEB, USA) on a Roche 167 LightCycler 480 (Roche Diagnostics Ltd, Switzerland). Primer sequences and Universal Probe168 Library probes are detailed in Table S2.

169

# 170 NMR Spectroscopy

171 This protocol was previously described by Hollinshead et al., 2018). At the 172 conclusion of tracer experiments, cells were washed with 2 mL ice-cold 0.9% saline solution and quenched with 0.3 mL pre-chilled methanol (-20 °C). After adding an equal volume of ice-173 cold HPLC-grade water containing 1 µg/mL D6-glutaric acid (C/D/N Isotopes Inc), cells were 174 175 collected with a cell scraper and transferred to tubes containing 0.3 mL of chloroform (-20 °C). The extracts were shaken at 1400 rpm for 20 min at 4 °C and centrifuged at 16,000 x g for 5 176 177 min at 4 °C. Then, 0.3 mL of the upper aqueous phase was collected and evaporated in eppendorfs, under a vacuum using a Savant<sup>™</sup> SpeedVac<sup>™</sup> Concentrator (ThermoFisher). 178 179 These samples were used either for NMR spectroscopy of for GC-MS. For NMR, dried samples were re-suspended in 60 µL of 100 mM sodium phosphate buffer (pH 7.0) containing 180 181 500 µM DSS and 2 mM Imidazole, 10% D20, pH 7.0. Samples were vortexed, sonicated (5-182 15 min) and centrifuged briefly, before transferred to 1.7 mm NMR tubes using an automated 183 Gilson. One-dimensional (1D)-<sup>1</sup>H NMR spectra and two-dimensional (2D)-<sup>1</sup>H,<sup>13</sup>C 184 Heteronuclear Single Quantum Coherence Spectroscopy (HSQC) NMR spectra were 185 acquired using a 600 MHz Bruker Avance III spectrometer (Bruker Biospin) with an inverse 186 cryogenic probe for 1.7 mm NMR sample tubes, fitted with a z-axis pulsed field gradient, at 300 K. Spectral widths were set to 13 and 160 ppm for the <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C dimensions, respectively. 187 For the indirect (<sup>13</sup>C) dimension of the 2D-<sup>1</sup>H,<sup>13</sup>C HSQC NMR spectra, 1228 out of 4096 (30%) 188 189 data points were acquired using a non-uniform sampling scheme. <sup>13</sup>C-<sup>13</sup>C splittings were 190 enhanced 4-fold in the <sup>13</sup>C dimension. Each sample was automatically tuned, matched and 191 then shimmed (1D-TopShim) to a DSS line width of <1 Hz before acquisition of the first 192 spectrum. Total experiment time was ~15 min per sample for 1D-<sup>1</sup>H NMR spectra and 1 h per sample for 2D-<sup>1</sup>H,<sup>13</sup>C HSQC NMR spectra. 1D-<sup>1</sup>H NMR spectra were processed using the 193

194 MATLAB-based MetaboLab software (Ludwig and Günther, 2011). All 1D data sets were 195 apodized using a 0.3 Hz exponential window function and zero-filled to 131,072 data points 196 before Fourier Transformation. The chemical shift was calibrated by referencing the DSS 197 signal to 0 ppm. 1D-<sup>1</sup>H NMR spectra were manually phase corrected. Baseline correction was 198 achieved using a spline function (Ludwig and Günther, 2011). 1D-<sup>1</sup>H-NMR spectra were 199 exported into Bruker format for metabolite identification and concentration determination using 200 Chenomx 7.0 (Chenomx INC). 2D-<sup>1</sup>H,<sup>13</sup>C HSQC NMR spectra were reconstructed using compressed sensing in the MDDNMR and NMRpipe software (Delaglio et al., 1995; 201 202 Kazimierczuk and Orekhov, 2011; Orekhov and Jaravine, 2011). The final spectrum size was 203 922 real data points for the <sup>1</sup>H dimension and 16,384 real data points for the <sup>13</sup>C dimension. 204 Analysis was performed using MetaboLab and pyGamma software was used in multiplet 205 simulations (Smith et al., 1994). The methyl group of lactate was used to calibrate the chemical 206 shift based on its assignment in the human metabolome database (Wishart et al., 2013).

207

#### 208 GC-MS

209 Dried polar metabolites were purified as described for NMR spectroscopy. These were 210 derivatised by incubating with 40  $\mu$ L 2% methoxyamine hydrochloride (Sigma Aldrich, 226904) 211 in pyridine (Thermo Fisher Scientific, 25104) at 60 °C for 1 h, followed by incubation with 60 212  $\mu$ L *N*-methyl-*N*-*tert*-butyldimethylsilyltrifluoroacetamide with 1% *tert*-butyldimethylchlorosilane 213 (MTBSTFA with 1% t-BDMCS) at 60 °C for 1 h.

214

GC-MS analysis was performed using an Agilent 6890GC in combination with an Agilent 5975C MS. The MS was operated under electron impact ionization at 70 eV with the source held at 230 °C and the quadrupole at 150 °C. Helium was used as the carrier gas and maintained at a flow rate of 1 mL/min. 1  $\mu$ L of derivatised sample was injected (splitless) with an inlet temperature of 280 °C on to a Rxi-5MS column (Restek) The oven temperature was held at 100 °C for 1 min then increased at a rate of 5 °C/min up to a maximum temperature of

330 °C. lons were detected using selected ion monitoring (SIM) mode as previously described
(Battello et al., 2016). MetaboliteDetector software was used to correct for the natural isotope
distribution and to determine the mass isotopomer distribution (MID) (Hiller et al., 2009).

224

### 225 DNA hydroxymethylation immunoprecipitation and sequencing (hmeDIP-sequencing)

DNA was purified using the Monarch<sup>®</sup> Genomic DNA Purification kit (New England BioLabs, 226 227 T3010S). DNA immunoprecipitation and sequencing was performed as previously described, 228 using the Ion Proton platform (Thomson et al., 2015), with the addition of an IgG control 229 (Merck, 12-370). We validated the DNA immunoprecipitation protocol on Roche LightCycler 230 480 (Roche Diagnostics Ltd, Switzerland), using the primer sets described in Table S3. We 231 sequenced three biological replicates per group. A mean read length of 137-147 base pairs 232 and 21,130,039 - 31,693,844 reads per sample was achieved. Reads were aligned to the hg19 233 genome using Torrent Suite v5.2.0. Aligned reads were sorted using SAMtools, before calling 234 peaks using MACS2 (v. 2.1.1) -f BAM --broad --broad-cutoff 0.05 -B -g hs, over corresponding 235 inputs (Zhang et al., 2008). To detect differentially hydroxymethylated regions (DHRs), we 236 used Diffbind with DESeq2 (Stark and Brown). For Diffbind analysis, data were normalised to 237 a pooled input for each group and an IgG control. DHMRs were assigned to genes and other 238 genomic features using the HOMER (v. 4.8; hg19) annotatePeaks tools (Heinz et al., 2010). 239 For candidate hmeDIP analysis, the concentration of each sample was extrapolated from a 240 standard curve of arbitrary concentrations and normalised to 10% input. Regions of interest were identified from the hmeDIP-sequencing dataset. Primers were designed using the NCBI 241 242 primer-BLAST software (Table S2). Data are available through the Gene Expression Omnibus 243 (GSE144955). Sliding window profiles and heatmaps were generated using deepTools (Ramírez et al., 2014), using the plotProfile and plotHeatmap functions, respectively, with 244 245 blacklisted regions subtracted.

246

### 247 High content analysis microscopy

Cells were stained with a cell painter assay, adapted from Lyall et al and Bray et al (Bray et 248 249 al., 2016; Lyall et al., 2018). Cells were fixed with 50 µL/well 4% (wt/vol) paraformaldehyde 250 (Electron Microscopy Sciences, 15710-S) for 15 minutes at room temperature. For 251 permeabilisation, cells were incubated in 0.1% Triton X-100 (Sigma-Aldrich, T8787) in PBS 252 for 15 minutes at room temperature. For lipid droplet analysis, cells were then stained with a combination of NucBlue Live ReadyProbes® Reagent (2 drops/mL) (Molecular Probes, 253 R37605), HCS CellMask<sup>™</sup> Red (2 µL/10 mL) (Invitrogen, H32712), and BODIPY<sup>™</sup> 493/503 254 (1:1000) (Life Sciences, D3922), as per the manufacturer's instructions. Following staining, 255 images were acquired using an Operetta High Content Analysis microscope (Perkin Elmer, 256 257 Buckinghamshire, UK). Lipid droplet morphology was analysed as previously described (Lyall 258 et al., 2018).

259

# 260 Statistical analysis

All statistical analyses were performed using Graph Prism Version 8.0 for Windows or macOS, GraphPad Software, La Jolla California USA, www.graphpad.com. Normality of data distribution was measured using the Shapiro-Wilks test. Where indicated, data were analysed by unpaired Student's t-test, Mann-Whitney test, one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) or two-way ANOVA. Data were considered to be significant where p < 0.05.

266

#### 268 **References**

- Andrews, S. FastQC: a quality control tool for high throughput sequence data.
- 270 Babicki, S., Arndt, D., Marcu, A., Liang, Y., Grant, J.R., Maciejewski, A., and Wishart, D.S.
- 271 (2016). Heatmapper: web-enabled heat mapping for all. Nucleic Acids Res. 44, W147–
- 272 W153.
- 273 Battello, N., Zimmer, A.D., Goebel, C., Dong, X., Behrmann, I., Haan, C., Hiller, K., and
- 274 Wegner, A. (2016). The role of HIF-1 in oncostatin M-dependent metabolic reprogramming
- of hepatic cells. Cancer Metab. 4, 3.
- 276 Bolger, A.M., Lohse, M., and Usadel, B. (2014). Trimmomatic: A flexible trimmer for Illumina
- sequence data. Bioinformatics *30*, 2114–2120.
- 278 Boutagy, N.E., Pyne, E., Rogers, G.W., Ali, M., Hulver, M.W., and Frisard, M.I. (2015).
- 279 Isolation of mitochondria from minimal quantities of mouse skeletal muscle for high
- throughput microplate respiratory measurements. J. Vis. Exp. 2015.
- Bray, M.A., Singh, S., Han, H., Davis, C.T., Borgeson, B., Hartland, C., Kost-Alimova, M.,
- 282 Gustafsdottir, S.M., Gibson, C.C., and Carpenter, A.E. (2016). Cell Painting, a high-content
- image-based assay for morphological profiling using multiplexed fluorescent dyes. Nat.
- 284 Protoc. 11, 1757–1774.
- 285 Delaglio, F., Grzesiek, S., Vuister, G.W., Zhu, G., Pfeifer, J., and Bax, A. (1995). NMRPipe:
- A multidimensional spectral processing system based on UNIX pipes. J. Biomol. NMR *6*,
  277–293.
- 288 Dobin, A., and Gingeras, T.R. (2015). Mapping RNA-seq Reads with STAR. Curr. Protoc.
- 289 Bioinforma. *51*, 11.14.1-11.14.19.
- Heinz, S., Benner, C., Spann, N., Bertolino, E., Lin, Y.C., Laslo, P., Cheng, J.X., Murre, C.,
- 291 Singh, H., and Glass, C.K. (2010). Simple Combinations of Lineage-Determining
- 292 Transcription Factors Prime cis-Regulatory Elements Required for Macrophage and B Cell
- 293 Identities. Mol. Cell 38, 576–589.
- Hiller, K., Hangebrauk, J., Jäger, C., Spura, J., Schreiber, K., and Schomburg, D. (2009).
- 295 Metabolite detector: Comprehensive analysis tool for targeted and nontargeted GC/MS

- based metabolome analysis. Anal. Chem. *81*, 3429–3439.
- Hollinshead, K.E.R., Munford, H., Eales, K.L., Bardella, C., Li, C., Escribano-Gonzalez, C.,
- 298 Thakker, A., Nonnenmacher, Y., Kluckova, K., Jeeves, M., et al. (2018). Oncogenic IDH1
- 299 Mutations Promote Enhanced Proline Synthesis through PYCR1 to Support the Maintenance
- 300 of Mitochondrial Redox Homeostasis. Cell Rep. 22, 3107–3114.
- Huang, D.W., Sherman, B.T., and Lempicki, R.A. (2009a). Bioinformatics enrichment tools:
- 302 Paths toward the comprehensive functional analysis of large gene lists. Nucleic Acids Res.
- 303 37, 1–13.
- 304 Huang, D.W., Sherman, B.T., and Lempicki, R.A. (2009b). Systematic and integrative
- analysis of large gene lists using DAVID bioinformatics resources. Nat. Protoc. *4*, 44–57.
- 306 Kanehisa, M. (2019). Toward understanding the origin and evolution of cellular organisms.
- 307 Protein Sci. 28, 1947–1951.
- 308 Kanehisa, M., and Goto, S. (2000). KEGG: Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes.
- 309 Nucleic Acids Res. 28, 27–30.
- 310 Kanehisa, M., Sato, Y., Furumichi, M., Morishima, K., and Tanabe, M. (2019). New approach
- for understanding genome variations in KEGG. Nucleic Acids Res. 47, D590–D595.
- 312 Kazimierczuk, K., and Orekhov, V.Y. (2011). Accelerated NMR spectroscopy by using
- 313 compressed sensing. Angew. Chemie Int. Ed. 50, 5556–5559.
- Love, M.I., Huber, W., and Anders, S. (2014). Moderated estimation of fold change and
- dispersion for RNA-seq data with DESeq2. Genome Biol. 15, 550.
- Ludwig, C., and Günther, U.L. (2011). MetaboLab advanced NMR data processing and
- analysis for metabolomics. BMC Bioinformatics *12*, 366.
- Lyall, M.J., Cartier, J., Thomson, J.P., Cameron, K., Meseguer-Ripolles, J., O'Duibhir, E.,
- 319 Szkolnicka, D., Villarin, B.L., Wang, Y., Blanco, G.R., et al. (2018). Modelling non-alcoholic
- 320 fatty liver disease in human hepatocyte-like cells. Philos. Trans. R. Soc. B Biol. Sci. 373,
- 321 20170362.
- 322 Orekhov, V.Y., and Jaravine, V.A. (2011). Analysis of non-uniformly sampled spectra with
- 323 multi-dimensional decomposition. Prog. Nucl. Magn. Reson. Spectrosc. 59, 271–292.

- Orellana, E., and Kasinski, A. (2016). Sulforhodamine B (SRB) assay in cell culture to
   investigate cell proliferation. Bio-Protocol *6*.
- 326 Ramírez, F., Dündar, F., Diehl, S., Grüning, B.A., and Manke, T. (2014). DeepTools: A
- 327 flexible platform for exploring deep-sequencing data. Nucleic Acids Res. 42, W187-91.
- 328 Short, K.R., Bigelow, M.L., Kahl, J., Singh, R., Coenen-Schimke, J., Raghavakaimal, S., and
- 329 Nair, K.S. (2005). Decline in skeletal muscle mitochondrial function with aging in humans.
- 330 Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. *102*, 5618–5623.
- 331 Smith, S.A., Levante, T.O., Meier, B.H., and Ernst, R.R. (1994). Computer Simulations in
- 332 Magnetic Resonance. An Object-Oriented Programming Approach. J. Magn. Reson. Ser. A
- *106*, 75–105.
- 334 Stark, R., and Brown, G. Differential Binding Analysis of ChIP-Seq Peak Data.
- Thomson, J.P., Fawkes, A., Ottaviano, R., Hunter, J.M., Shukla, R., Mjoseng, H.K., Clark,
- 336 R., Coutts, A., Murphy, L., and Meehan, R.R. (2015). DNA immunoprecipitation
- 337 semiconductor sequencing (DIP-SC-seq) as a rapid method to generate genome wide
- epigenetic signatures. Sci. Rep. 5, 9778.
- 339 Wang, Y., Alhaque, S., Cameron, K., Meseguer-Ripolles, J., Lucendo-Villarin, B., Rashidi,
- 340 H., and Hay, D.C. (2017). Defined and scalable generation of hepatocyte-like cells from
- human pluripotent stem cells. J. Vis. Exp. e55355–e55355.
- 342 Wishart, D.S., Jewison, T., Guo, A.C., Wilson, M., Knox, C., Liu, Y., Djoumbou, Y., Mandal,
- R., Aziat, F., Dong, E., et al. (2013). HMDB 3.0-The Human Metabolome Database in 2013.
- 344 Nucleic Acids Res. *41*, D801-7.
- Zhang, Y., Liu, T., Meyer, C.A., Eeckhoute, J., Johnson, D.S., Bernstein, B.E., Nussbaum,
- 346 C., Myers, R.M., Brown, M., Li, W., et al. (2008). Model-based analysis of ChIP-Seq
- 347 (MACS). Genome Biol. 9, R137.
- 348 (2018). Picard Tools By Broad Institute.