

Appendix D – AGREE II

AGREE II scores of the included guidelines for each domain and the overall quality rating

Reference	Domains						OA ^g	Quality ^h
	1 ^a	2 ^b	3 ^c	4 ^d	5 ^e	6 ^f		
Arvin et al. 2016	86	69	80	67	48	100	5.5	H
Brosseau et al. 2012	86	44	36	78	21	21	4	L
Bussieres et al. 2018	94	75	89	86	90	88	6	H
Cheng et al. 2012	42	50	33	78	21	0	4	L
Chenot et al. 2017	22	31	30	61	21	38	3	L
Chiodo et al. 2010	83	28	35	89	19	50	4.5	L
Chou et al. 2018	53	47	23	97	46	92	4	L
Chutkan et al. 2020	92	75	67	94	35	54	5	A
Deer et al. 2019	28	25	29	67	10	58	3	L
Delitto et al. 2012	53	81	47	100	8	0	4.5	L
Globe et al. 2016	83	72	75	78	21	100	5.5	H
Hegmann et al. 2016	72	47	52	89	21	46	4.5	L
Hegmann et al. 2019	83	64	57	67	21	75	5	A
Hussein et al. 2016	11	31	1	53	10	25	2.5	L
Jun et al. 2017	83	47	50	56	2	71	4	L
Kassolik et al. 2017	75	53	14	83	42	75	4	A
Kreiner et al. 2013	86	19	48	94	0	29	4	L
Kreiner et al. 2014	86	25	49	97	15	13	4	L
Kuijjer et al. 2014	58	69	47	83	23	83	4.5	A
LBP TOP Group 2017	92	31	28	92	21	0	3.5	L
Lee et al. 2013	39	56	32	72	19	67	3.5	L
Pangarker et al. 2019	75	72	53	86	4	50	4	A
Patel et al. 2016	56	67	58	81	21	67	4	A

Petit et al. 2016	64	50	31	33	2	0	2.5	L
Petit et al. 2016	50	36	26	36	6	54	3	L
Picelli et al. 2016	75	36	39	94	8	75	4	A
Qaseem et al. 2017	100	58	85	94	15	100	5	A
Rached et al. 2013	89	42	39	86	8	75	3.5	A
Sparks et al. 2017	58	36	17	69	29	58	3.5	L
Staal et al. 2013	89	61	5	100	10	0	3	A
Stochkendahl et al. 2017	81	56	77	86	19	88	4.5	A
Thorson et al. 2018	83	78	56	81	48	96	5.5	A
Valdecañas et al. 2017	53	53	55	89	19	46	4	L
Van Wambeke et al. 2017	92	86	86	94	88	96	6	H
Wong et al. 2017	44	19	52	83	4	54	3.5	L
Zhao et al. 2016	69	44	21	50	0	50	2.5	L
Mean	69	51	45	79	22	55	4.08	
Standard deviation	22	19	23	17	21	32	0.94	

^aScope and purpose^bStakeholder involvement^cRigour of development^dClarity of presentation^eApplicability^fEditorial independence^gOverall assessment score^hThe quality was indicated as *H* high, *A* average, and *L* low