Supplementary information

Table of Contents

- Section S1. Sample bias dependent STM images of G/FL-CrI3/Gr
- Section S2. The STM images and STS spectra of G/ML-CrI3/Gr
- Section S3. Calculated DOS of G/ML-CrI3 with different Hubbard U
- Section S4. The transparency of graphene to tunneling electrons
- Section S5. The moiré superlattice of G/FL-CrI₃/Gr at a twist angle $\varphi = 16^{\circ}$
- Section S6. The magnetic field dependent d*I*/d*V* maps of G/FL-CrI3/Gr
- Section S7. The reported magnetic field for AFM-FM transition in FL-CrI3
- Section S8. The atomic registry dependent electronic structures of G/four-layer CrI3
- Section S9. Methods for theoretical calculation

1. Sample bias dependent STM images of G/FL-CrI3/Gr

Figure S1. **Sample bias dependent STM images of the G/FL-CrI3/Gr.** The tunneling current is 1 nA for all STM images.

Figure S2. STM images of the G/FL-CrI3/Gr recorded at varied tunneling current. (a-d) STM images ($V_s = 1$ V) recorded at varied tunneling current from 0.1 nA to 1 nA. Note the STM images could be taken on different areas. All these STM images resolves CrI₃ lattice, independent of tunnelling current. That is because the electronic states probed are deep into the CrI3 conduction band and thus the CrI3 states have a major contribution to the tunneling current. (e-h) STM images ($V_s = 0.44V$) recorded at varied tunneling current from 0.1nA to 1nA. A smaller positive bias ($V_s = 0.44V$) probes the electronic states near the CrI₃ conduction band edge as well as graphene states. In this case, the weight of integrated graphene states and integrated CrI₃ states may be comparable so that both graphene lattice and CrI₃ lattice can be resolved. A variation of tunneling current from 0.1 nA to 1 nA have a limited influence on the visualization of graphene lattice. An increase of tunneling current makes the graphene (CrI3) lattice slightly more (less) prominent.

2. The STM images and STS spectra of G/ML-CrI3/Gr

Figure S3. **The STM images and STS spectra of G/ML-CrI3/Gr.** (a) STM image of G/ML-CrI₃/Gr ($V_s = 0.1$ V, $I_t = 0.8$ nA). The inset: a zoom-in STM image of the selected area (marked by dash square, $V_s = 1$ V, $I_t = 0.1$ nA) shows the CrI₃ lattice. The bottom graphite flake covered by graphene appears as the dark region on the left side of (a), while graphene covered monolayer CrI3 appears as the bright region on the right side of (a). The line profile (red line) reveals a single-layer step with an apparent height of ~0.9 nm. (b) The d*I*/d*V* spectrum of G/ML-CrI₃/Gr (red solid line) taken in a large sample bias window (−2.5 V ≤ V_s ≤ 2.1 V), compared with the d*I*/d*V* spectrum of G/FL-CrI3/Gr (blue dashed line). (c) The d*I*/d*V* spectrum of G/ML-CrI₃/Gr (red solid line) taken in a small sample bias window (-1.2 V \leq V_s \leq 0.42 V), compared with the d*I*/d*V* spectrum of G/FL-CrI3/Gr (blue dashed line).

Figure S4. **Calculated DOS of G/ML-CrI3 with a Hubbard U of 0.5 eV (solid red line) and 1 eV (solid yellow line) compared with the d***I***/d***V* **spectrum of G/FL-CrI3/Gr (dashed blue** line). Note, the calculated DOS of G/ML-CrI₃ is manually offset by 0.55 eV to align with the energy position of *C1* states resolved in d*I*/d*V* spectrum.

4. The transparency of graphene to tunneling electrons

Figure S5. **The transparency of graphene to tunneling electrons.** (a) Integrated LDOS from E_F to $E - E_F = 3$ eV for the isolated graphene, ML-CrI₃, and the hybrid G/ML-CrI₃ as a function of vertical distance. All vertical distances are referenced to the graphene plane $(Z Z_c = 0$ Å). (b) Simulated STM image of G/ML-CrI₃ acquired at a vertical distance of $Z - Z_c =$ 6 Å and $V_s = 3$ V.

With a few assumptions, the LDOS decays exponentially as a function of tip-sample distance z^1 :

$$
LDOS(z) = C \cdot e^{-z/\lambda}
$$

where C is a constant, λ is the decay length. The inverse decay length can be written as λ^{-1} = $2\sqrt{\frac{2m\phi}{\hbar^2}} + k_{||}^2$, which is determined by the work function ϕ and the in-plane wave vector of the states k_{\parallel} .

Here we have calculated the vertical decay of the integrated LDOS from E_F to $E E_F = 3$ eV for the isolated graphene, ML-CrI₃, and the hybrid G/ML-CrI₃ heterostructure with a separation of 3.5 Å between graphene and CrI₃ surface. The isolated ML-CrI₃ is vertically offset to align with the CrI3 in the G/ML-CrI3 heterostructure. As shown in Figure S5a, the

graphene states undergo a much faster decay than ML-CrI₃ states with a crossover at 7 Å above graphene. It indicates graphene states have a small decay length which can be attributed to the large in-plane wave vector k_{\parallel} of graphene states². Moreover, the vertical decay of integrated LDOS of the G/ML-CrI3 hybrid system sets apart from that of graphene at the distance above 4 Å. When the vertical distance is larger than 4 Å ($Z - Z_c > 4$ Å), the effective decay length of the G/ML-CrI3 system is nearly identical to the decay length of ML-CrI3, which suggests that the integrated LDOS of G/ML-CrI3 has a dominant contribution from the underlying ML-CrI3. This is consistent with the feature (CrI3 lattice) observed in the simulated STM image of G/ML-CrI₃ at $Z - Z_c = 6$ Å and $V_s = 3$ V (Figure S5b).

5. The moiré superlattice of G/FL-CrI₃/Gr at a twist angle $\varphi = 16^{\circ}$

Figure S6. The moiré superlattice of G/FL-CrI₃/Gr at a twist angle $\varphi = 16^{\circ}$ between **graphene and FL-CrI₃.** (a) STM image ($V_s = 0.44$ V, $I_t = 0.3$ nA) in the same area of Figure 4a. (b) The reciprocal lattice of graphene (red circle) and CrI₃ (blue circle) at a twist angle φ = 16°.

We performed the geometrical analysis of the moiré superlattice in G/FL-CrI₃/Gr. A combination of Figure S6a and Figure 4a reveals a twist angle of $\varphi = 16^{\circ}$ between the graphene and FL-CrI3. We are able to extract the periodicity and orientation of the moiré superlattice of G/FL-CrI₃ based on this twist angle, in good agreement with the experimental results.

It is noted the that reciprocal lattice vector of moiré pattern $(\vec{K}_{mori\acute{e}})$ can be regarded as the difference between the reciprocal lattice vector of graphene and $CrI₃³$. Figure S6b shows the reciprocal lattice vectors of graphene and FL-CrI₃ indexed by vectors (r, s) _G and $(m, n)_{CrI_3}$. As shown in Figure S6b, the $(1,0)_G$ - and $(2,1)_{CrI_3}$ - spot almost overlap in *k*-space. The difference of two vectors leads to the reciprocal lattice vector of a possible moiré pattern as symmetrically equivalent to: $\vec{K}_{mori\acute{e}} = (1,0)_G - (2,1)_{CrI_3}$. As a result, $\vec{K}_{mori\acute{e}}$ leads to a moiré superlattice in real space where the moiré length is $|M_1| = |M_2| = 3.18$ nm and the

rotation angle between graphene and moiré superlattice is $\theta = 41^\circ$. Meanwhile, we experimentally determine the periodicity and orientation of moiré superlattice from STM image (Figure 4a). The moiré length is measured to be $|M_1|' = |M_2|' = 3.14 \pm 0.01$ nm. The angle between graphene and moiré superlattice is measured to be $\theta' = 41^{\circ}$. The simulated results are in good agreement with our experimental observation.

6. The magnetic field dependent d*I***/d***V* **maps of G/FL-CrI3/Gr**

Figure S7. The amplitude of moiré contrast in the dI/dV maps of $G/FL-CrI₃/Gr$ ($V_s =$ **0.44 V,** $I_t = 0.5 \text{ nA}$. (a) The d*I*/d*V* map taken at the initial stage ($\mu_0 H = 0 \text{ T}$) before ramping up the magnetic field. (b) The d/dV map taken at the final stage ($\mu_0 H = 0$ T) after ramping down the magnetic field. (c) The line profiles across the indicated areas in the d*I*/d*V* maps. The difference between the maximum and minimum d*I*/d*V* signal is defined as the amplitude of moiré contrast, which is measured to be \sim 20 pA in image (a) and reduced to \sim 6 pA in image (b).

Figure S8. AFM-FM magnetic phase transition induced by the magnetic field with the assistance of tip-induced local gating. (a) The d/dV map ($V_s = 0.44$ V, $I_t = 0.5$ nA) upon the application of 1.84 T magnetic field. We then took point d*I*/d*V* spectroscopy (the tip position is indicated by the red dot). (b) A sudden change of the *I-V* and d*I*/d*V* signal. (c) The d*I*/d*V* map $(V_s = 0.44 \text{ V}, I_t = 0.5 \text{ nA})$ taken after the sudden change of the d*I*/d*V* signal. The sudden change of *I*-*V* and d*I/*d*V* signal is likely to be associated with an abrupt AFM-FM magnetic phase transition induced by the magnetic field with the assistance of tip-induced local gating.

This can be attributed to the magneto-electric effect and the influence of doping on magnetization reported in previous studies⁴⁻⁶.

Figure S9. Magnetic field dependent moiré contrast in d*I***/d***V* **maps.** (a) Another set of magnetic field dependent dI/dV maps of G/FL-CrI₃/Gr ($V_s = 0.44$ V, $I_t = 0.5$ nA). The critical field was 1.74 T when moiré contrast disappeared. (b) Magnetic field dependent moiré contrast in the d*I*/d*V* maps taken at $V_s = 0.44$ V and $I_t = 0.5$ nA.

Figure S10. Moiré contrast in the d*I***/d***V* **map vanished right after applying a magnetic field of 1.84 T in certain sample regions.** (a) Magnetic field dependent d*I*/d*V* maps of G/FL-CrI₃/Gr ($V_s = 0.44$ V, $I_t = 1$ nA). (b) Magnetic field dependent moiré contrast in the d*I*/d*V* maps ($V_s = 0.44$ V, $I_t = 1$ nA).

7. The reported magnetic field for AFM-FM transition in FL-CrI3

Figure S11. The typical magnetic field for AFM-FM transition on FL-CrI3 in the previous reports⁷⁻¹². The critical magnetic field for AFM-FM transition in thin CrI₃ flakes (layer number $n > 2$) is reported to be ranged from 1.60 T to 1.92 T (average value of 1.79 \pm 0.10 T). The variation of the critical magnetic field is likely due to the sample-to-sample difference, the formation of domain structures and the influence of local environment^{$4,13,14$}. Unfortunately, 1.84 T is the upper limit of the magnetic field in our system, which may be insufficient to flip the spin in certain sample regions. In such a case, AFM-FM phase transition could be triggered with the assistance of tip-induced local gating during d*I*/d*V* spectroscopic measurement, as illustrated in Figure S8. In addition, in the certain region of sample, we also observed that moiré contrast vanished in the d*I*/d*V* map immediately at a magnetic field of 1.84 T as shown in Figure S10.

8. The atomic registry dependent electronic structures of G/four-layer CrI3

Figure S12. The atomic registry dependent electronic structures of G/four-layer CrI3. (a) The atomic model of moiré hump. (b) The atomic model of moiré valley. (c) Calculated PDOS of top CrI3 layer in moiré valley (blue) and moiré hump (red) when four-layer CrI3 are AFMcoupled and FM-coupled.

A direct simulation of the large moiré superlattice of G/FL-CrI₃ at a twist angle φ = 16° is computationally costly, beyond the capability of our computation power. To qualitatively demonstrate the atomic registry dependent electronic structure of G/FL-CrI3 by DFT calculations, we use a (3×3) supercell of graphene placed on a single unit cell of fourlayer CrI₃ with different atomic arrangements to mimic the local atomic registry in moiré hump and moiré valley separately (Figure S12a-b). Figure S12c compares the PDOS of top CrI₃ layer in moiré valley and moiré hump under different magnetic order. Specifically, when the fourlayer CrI₃ is interlayer AFM-coupled, there is a small but noticeable difference between PDOS in the moiré hump and valley. By contrast, PDOS of top CrI3 layer are nearly same in moiré hump and valley when four-layer CrI₃ are interlayer FM-coupled.

9. Method for theoretical calculation

The DFT calculations were performed with the electronic structure software package GPAW15,16 using the projector augmented wave method and a plane wave basis. The LDA+U functional was used in the Dudarev approach¹⁷ with a value of U=0.5 eV for the Cr *d*-orbitals. The value of U was chosen in order to reproduce the distance between the C_1 and C_2 peaks obtained from STS. Unless otherwise specified, for all calculations we considered a single unit cell of CrI₃ with (3×3) unit cells of graphene adsorbed. The CrI₃ layers were stretched by 7% in order to obtain a lattice match with the LDA-optimized (3×3) graphene unit cell. In all calculations, we employed a 12×12 Monkhorst-Pack grid of k-points, a Fermi smearing of 1 meV and a planewave cutoff of 600 eV. The structures were relaxed until all forces were less than 0.01 eV/Å. We considered the two adsorption geometries shown in Figure S12a-b. The graphene sheet shows a slightly stronger binding to CrI₃ in the hump geometry compared to that in the valley geometry. The binding energy difference between the two geometries is determined to be approximately 1 meV per C atom. All band structures, wavefunctions and projected density of states were performed with a non-selfconsistent inclusion of spin-orbit coupling18.

The simulated STS and STM images were carried out with a modified Tersoff-Hamann approach¹ with the local density of stated (LDOS) being obtained as

$$
LDOS(r) = \sum_{nk} f_{nk} |\psi_{nk}(x, y, x)|^2 \delta(\varepsilon_{nk} - eV_{bias}) e^{-\alpha w_{nk}},
$$

where ε_{nk} is eigenenergy of the state ψ_{nk} , f_{nk} are the occupation numbers and

$$
w_{nk} = \sum_{ia} |\langle \psi_{nk} | \varphi_i^{C,a} \rangle|^2
$$

is the total weight of the state ψ_{nk} on the graphene sheet (the state $\varphi_i^{\mathcal{C},a}$ is atomic orbital *i* on carbon atom *a*). Thus the graphene states can be projected out of the LDOS by choosing a finite value of α .

Reference:

1 Tersoff, J. & Hamann, D. R. Theory and application for the scanning tunneling microscope. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **50**, 1998 (1983).

2 González-Herrero, H. c. et al. Graphene tunable transparency to tunneling electrons: a direct tool to measure the local coupling. *ACS Nano* **10**, 5131-5144 (2016).

3 Zeller, P. & Günther, S. What are the possible moiré patterns of graphene on hexagonally packed surfaces? Universal solution for hexagonal coincidence lattices, derived by a geometric construction. *New J. Phys.* 16, 083028 (2014).

4 Jiang, S., Shan, J. & Mak, K. F. Electric-field switching of two-dimensional van der Waals magnets. *Nat. Mater.* **17**, 406-410 (2018).

5 Jiang, S., Li, L., Wang, Z., Mak, K. F. & Shan, J. Controlling magnetism in 2D CrI3 by electrostatic doping. *Nat. Nanotech.* **13**, 549-553 (2018).

6 Jiang, S., Li, L., Wang, Z., Shan, J. & Mak, K. F. Spin tunnel field-effect transistors based on two-dimensional van der Waals heterostructures. *Nat. Electron.* **2**, 159-163 (2019).

7 Song, T. et al. Giant tunneling magnetoresistance in spin-filter van der Waals heterostructures. *Science* **360**, 1214-1218 (2018).

8 Klein, D. R. et al. Probing magnetism in 2D van der Waals crystalline insulators via electron tunneling. *Science* **360**, 1218-1222 (2018).

9 Li, T. et al. Pressure-controlled interlayer magnetism in atomically thin CrI3. *Nat. Mater.* **18**, 1303-1308 (2019).

10 Zhong, D. et al. Van der Waals engineering of ferromagnetic semiconductor heterostructures for spin and valleytronics. *Sci. Adv.* **3**, e1603113 (2017).

11 Song, T. et al. Switching 2D magnetic states via pressure tuning of layer stacking. *Nat. Mater.* **18**, 1-5 (2019).

12 Wang, Z. et al. Very large tunneling magnetoresistance in layered magnetic semiconductor CrI3. *Nat. Commun.* **9**, 1-8 (2018).

13 Huang, B. et al. Layer-dependent ferromagnetism in a van der Waals crystal down to the monolayer limit. *Nature* **546**, 270-273 (2017).

14 Thiel, L. et al. Probing magnetism in 2D materials at the nanoscale with single-spin microscopy. *Science* **364**, 973-976 (2019).

15 Enkovaara, J. e. et al. Electronic structure calculations with GPAW: a real-space implementation of the projector augmented-wave method. *J. Phys. Condens. Matter.* **22**, 253202 (2010).

16 Larsen, A. H. et al. The atomic simulation environment—a Python library for working with atoms. *J. Phys. Condens. Matter.* **29**, 273002 (2017).

17 Mosey, N. J. & Carter, E. A. Ab initio evaluation of Coulomb and exchange parameters for DFT+ U calculations. *Phys. Rev. B* **76**, 155123 (2007).

18 Olsen, T. Designing in-plane heterostructures of quantum spin Hall insulators from first principles: 1T′− MoS2 with adsorbates. *Phys. Rev. B* **94**, 235106 (2016).