Ambio

Electronic Supplementary Material

This supplementary material has not been peer reviewed

Title: Assumptions in ecosystem service assessments: Increasing transparency for conservation

Matthias Schröter, Emilie Crouzat, Lisanne Hölting, Julian Massenberg, Julian Rode, Mario Hanisch, Nadja Kabisch, Julia Palliwoda, Jörg A. Priess, Ralf Seppelt, Michael Beckmann

Table S1: Participants of the workshop

Participants (anonymised)	Career stage	Discipline
Participant 1	Postdoc	Environmental sciences
Participant 2	Postdoc	Terrestrial ecology
Participant 3	Postdoc	Environmental sciences
Participant 4	PhD candidate	Environmental sciences
Participant 5	PhD candidate	Environmental/ecological economics
Participant 6	Senior scientist	Behavioural economics
Participant 7	PhD candidate	Geo-ecology
Participant 8	Senior scientist	Urban ecology
Participant 9	PhD candidate	Urban ecology
Participant 10	Senior scientist	Environmental sciences
Participant 11	Professor	Environmental sciences
Participant 12	Senior scientist	Environmental/ecological economics
Participant 13	Senior scientist	Environmental sciences
Participant 14	Professor	Conservation biology

Table S2: Extraction sheet used for review of the papers, based on the preliminary list of assumptions identified during the expert workshop

A) Using the ecosystem service service concept Evel of Es use is sustainable Es concept applicable globally 2. Assuming that different aspects/components of ecosystem services are conceptually equal Ecological processes, potential provision, use, demand are set equal (indicator and conceptual level) 3. Assuming temporal, spatial and context-specific appropriateness and representativity for different study purposes. Appropriateness of 1-time step analysis Appropriateness of 1-t		tions recitation during the expert workshop						
A) Using the ecosystem service concept concept because it is positive/sustainable below the concept of ES use is sustainable below to ES use is sustainable below to ES use is sustainable below the concept applicable globally c		1. Implicit underlying philosophical assumptions						
ecosystem service concept Ecological processes, potential provision, use, demand are set equal (indicator and conceptual level) 2. Assuming that different aspects/components of ecosystem services are conceptually equal Ecological processes, potential provision, use, demand are set equal (indicator and conceptual level) 3. Assuming temporal, spatial and context-specific appropriateness and representativity for different study purposes. Appropriateness of 1-time step analysis Appropriateness of homogeneous spatial distribution Closed systems, provision of ES across scales neglected Representativity or appropriateness of ES lists 4. Assuming reliability of ecosystem service indicators. Indicators credibly represent different aspects of ES 5. Assuming temporal and spatial stationarity. Temporal dynamics constant, state is not close to ecological thresholds Underlying social-ecological conditions stable, demand stable over time Land use and land cover as ES indicators Assuming quantities and values of ecosystem services through expert-based assessments. Expert-based judgements Bayesian belief networks (weighing and interactions) Who is an expert and how different knowledge systems may agree or disagree 7. Assuming no interactions between ecosystem services. Compatibility: provision of one ES does not affect the provision of another ES Optimisation for only one ES while ignoring interactions. 8. Assuming that people are economically rational Neo-classical economic theory: economic rationallty, maximize individual utility, have complete information, know their preferences, have preferences that are stable over time and independent of social context Utility can be approximated through monetary measures 9. Assuming transferability and generalisability of values across socioeconomic and cultural contexts Evalues independent of the socio-economic and cultural context Benefit transfer of average value coefficients: homogeneous distribution, comparable socio-economic context, and similarity acros		Consequentialism, anthropocentrism, instrumentalism						
Level of ES use is sustainable ES concept applicable globally 2. Assuming that different aspects/components of ecosystem services are conceptually equal Ecological processes, potential provision, use, demand are set equal (indicator and conceptual level) 3. Assuming temporal, spatial and context-specific appropriateness and representativity for different study purposes. Appropriateness of 1-time step analysis Appropriateness of homogeneous spatial distribution Closed systems, provision of ES across scales neglected Representativity or appropriateness of ES lists 4. Assuming reliability of ecosystem service indicators. Indicators credibly represent different aspects of ES 5. Assuming temporal and spatial stationarity. Imporal dynamics constant, state is not close to ecological thresholds inderlying social-ecological conditions stable, demand stable over time Land use and land cover as ES indicators Expert-based judgements Bayesian belief networks (weighing and interactions) Who is an expert and how different knowledge systems may agree or disagree 7. Assuming no interactions between ecosystem services. Compatibility: provision of one ES does not affect the provision of another ES Optimisation for only one ES while ignoring interactions. 8. Assuming that people are economically rational Neo-classical economic theory: economic rationality, maximize individual utility, have complete information, know their preferences, have preferences that are stable over time and independent of social context Utility can be approximated through monetary measures 9. Assuming transferability and generalisability of values across socioeconomic and cultural contexts. ES values independent of the socio-economic and cultural context Benefit transfer of average value coefficients: homogeneous distribution, comparable socio-economic context, and similarity across time 10. Assuming that welfare can be aggregated over space and time. Aggregating individual values meaningful	A) Using the	Nature is (only) positive						
concept ES concept applicable globally 2. Assuming that different aspects/components of ecosystem services are conceptually equal Ecological processes, potential provision, use, demand are set equal (indicator and conceptual level) 3. Assuming temporal, spatial and context-specific appropriateness and representativity for different study purposes. Appropriateness of 1-time step analysis Appropriateness of homogeneous spatial distribution Closed systems, provision of ES across scales neglected Representativity or appropriateness of ES lists 4. Assuming reliability of ecosystem service indicators. Indicators. Indicators credibly represent different aspects of ES 5. Assuming temporal and spatial stationarity. Temporal dynamics constant, state is not close to ecological thresholds Underlying social-ecological conditions stable, demand stable over time Land use and land cover as ES indicators 6. Assuming quantities and values of ecosystem services through expert-based assessments. Expert-based judgements Bayesian belief networks (weighing and interactions) Who is an expert and how different knowledge systems may agree or disagree 7. Assuming no interactions between ecosystem services. Compatibility: provision of one ES does not affect the provision of another ES Optimisation for only one ES while ignoring interactions. 8. Assuming that people are economically rational Neo-classical economic theory: economic rationality, maximize individual utility, have complete information, know their preferences, have preferences that are stable over time and independent of social context Utility can be approximated through monetary measures 9. Assuming that people are economic and cultural context ES values independent of the socio-economic and cultural context Benefit transfer of average value coefficients: homogeneous distribution, comparable socio-economic context, and similarity across time 10. Assuming that welfare can be aggregated over space and time. Aggregating individual values meaningful	service	Multifunctionality is positive/sustainable						
2. Assuming that different aspects/components of ecosystem services are conceptually equal Ecological processes, potential provision, use, demand are set equal (indicator and conceptual level) 3. Assuming temporal, spatial and context-specific appropriateness and representativity for different study purposes. Appropriateness of 1-time step analysis Appropriateness of 1-time step analysis Appropriateness of Indicators Representativity or appropriateness of ES lists 4. Assuming reliability of ecosystem service indicators. Indicators credibly represent different aspects of ES 5. Assuming temporal and spatial stationarity. Underlying social-ecological conditions stable, demand stable over time Land use and land cover as ES indicators 6. Assuming quantities and values of ecosystem services through expert-based assessments. Expert-based judgements Bayesian belief networks (weighing and interactions) Who is an expert and how different knowledge systems may agree or disagree 7. Assuming no interactions between ecosystem services. Compatibility: provision of one ES does not affect the provision of another ES Optimisation for only one ES while ignoring interactions. 8. Assuming that people are economically rational Neo-classical economic theory: economic rationality, maximize individual utility, have complete information, know their preferences, have preferences that are stable over time and independent of social context Utility can be approximated through monetary measures 9. Assuming transferability and generalisability of values across socioeconomic and cultural context Expert and modelling of the socio-economic and cultural context Benefit transfer of average value coefficients: homogeneous distribution, comparable socio-economic context, and similarity across time 10. Assuming that welfare can be aggregated over space and time. Aggregating individual values meaningful		Level of ES use is sustainable						
Ecological processes, potential provision, use, demand are set equal (indicator and conceptual level) 3. Assuming temporal, spatial and context-specific appropriateness and representativity for different study purposes. Appropriateness of 1-time step analysis Appropriateness of homogeneous spatial distribution Closed systems, provision of ES across scales neglected Representativity or appropriateness of ES lists 4. Assuming reliability of ecosystem service indicators. Indicators credibly represent different aspects of ES 5. Assuming temporal and spatial stationarity. Temporal dynamics constant, state is not close to ecological thresholds Underlying social-ecological conditions stable, demand stable over time Land use and land cover as ES indicators 6. Assuming quantities and values of ecosystem services through expert-based assessments. Expert-based judgements Bayesian belief networks (weighing and interactions) Who is an expert and how different knowledge systems may agree or disagree 7. Assuming no interactions between ecosystem services. Compatibility: provision of one ES does not affect the provision of another ES Optimisation for only one ES while ignoring interactions. 8. Assuming that people are economically rational Neo-classical economic theory: economic rationality, maximize individual utility, have complete information, know their preferences, have preferences that are stable over time and independent of social context Utility can be approximated through monetary measures 9. Assuming transferability and generalisability of values across socioeconomic and cultural context Evertable approximated through monetary measures 9. Assuming transferability and generalisability of values across socioeconomic context, and similarity across time 10. Assuming that welfare can be aggregated over space and time. Aggregating individual values meaningful	concept							
3. Assuming temporal, spatial and context-specific appropriateness and representativity for different study purposes. Appropriateness of 1-time step analysis Appropriateness of 1-time step analysis Appropriateness of homogeneous spatial distribution Closed systems, provision of ES across scales neglected Representativity or appropriateness of ES lists 4. Assuming reliability of ecosystem service indicators. Indicators credibly represent different aspects of ES 5. Assuming temporal and spatial stationarity. Temporal dynamics constant, state is not close to ecological thresholds Underlying social-ecological conditions stable, demand stable over time Land use and land cover as ES indicators 6. Assuming quantities and values of ecosystem services through expert-based assessments. Expert-based judgements Bayesian belief networks (weighing and interactions) Who is an expert and how different knowledge systems may agree or disagree 7. Assuming no interactions between ecosystem services. Compatibility: provision of one ES does not affect the provision of another ES Optimisation for only one ES while ignoring interactions. 8. Assuming that people are economically rational Neo-classical economic theory: economic rationality, maximize individual utility, have complete information, know their preferences, have preferences that are stable over time and independent of social context Utility can be approximated through monetary measures 9. Assuming transferability and generalisability of values across socioeconomic and cultural context. ES values independent of the socio-economic and cultural context Benefit transfer of average value coefficients: homogeneous distribution, comparable socio-economic context, and similarity across time 10. Assuming that welfare can be aggregated over space and time. Aggregating individual values meaningful		2. Assuming that different aspects/components of ecosystem services are conceptually equal						
different study purposes. Appropriateness of 1-time step analysis Appropriateness of homogeneous spatial distribution Closed systems, provision of ES across scales neglected Representativity or appropriateness of ES lists 4. Assuming reliability of ecosystem service indicators. Indicators. Indicators credibly represent different aspects of ES 5. Assuming temporal and spatial stationarity. Temporal dynamics constant, state is not close to ecological thresholds Underlying social-ecological conditions stable, demand stable over time Land use and land cover as ES indicators 6. Assuming quantities and values of ecosystem services through expert-based assessments. Expert-based judgements Bayesian belief networks (weighing and interactions) Who is an expert and how different knowledge systems may agree or disagree 7. Assuming no interactions between ecosystem services. Compatibility: provision of one ES does not affect the provision of another ES Optimisation for only one ES while ignoring interactions. 8. Assuming that people are economically rational Neo-classical economic theory: economic rationality, maximize individual utility, have complete information, know their preferences, have preferences that are stable over time and independent of social context Utility can be approximated through monetary measures 9. Assuming transferability and generalisability of values across socioeconomic and cultural contexts. ES values independent of the socio-economic and cultural context Benefit transfer of average value coefficients: homogeneous distribution, comparable socio-economic context, and similarity across time 10. Assuming that welfare can be aggregated over space and time. Aggregating individual values meaningful								
Appropriateness of homogeneous spatial distribution Closed systems, provision of ES across scales neglected Representativity or appropriateness of ES lists 4. Assuming reliability of ecosystem service indicators. Indicators credibly represent different aspects of ES 5. Assuming temporal and spatial stationarity. Temporal dynamics constant, state is not close to ecological thresholds Underlying social-ecological conditions stable, demand stable over time Land use and land cover as ES indicators 6. Assuming quantities and values of ecosystem services through expert-based assessments. Expert-based judgements Bayesian belief networks (weighing and interactions) Who is an expert and how different knowledge systems may agree or disagree 7. Assuming no interactions between ecosystem services. Compatibility: provision of one ES does not affect the provision of another ES Optimisation for only one ES while ignoring interactions. 8. Assuming that people are economically rational Neo-classical economic theory: economic rationality, maximize individual utility, have complete information, know their preferences, have preferences that are stable over time and independent of social context Utility can be approximated through monetary measures 9. Assuming transferability and generalisability of values across socioeconomic and cultural contexts. ES values independent of the socio-economic and cultural context Benefit transfer of average value coefficients: homogeneous distribution, comparable socio-economic context, and similarity across time 10. Assuming that welfare can be aggregated over space and time. Aggregating individual values meaningful								
Closed systems, provision of ES across scales neglected Representativity or appropriateness of ES lists 4. Assuming reliability of ecosystem service indicators. Indicators. Indicators credibly represent different aspects of ES 5. Assuming temporal and spatial stationarity. Temporal dynamics constant, state is not close to ecological thresholds Underlying social-ecological conditions stable, demand stable over time Land use and land cover as ES indicators 6. Assuming quantities and values of ecosystem services through expert-based assessments. Expert-based judgements Bayesian belief networks (weighing and interactions) Who is an expert and how different knowledge systems may agree or disagree 7. Assuming no interactions between ecosystem services. Compatibility: provision of one ES does not affect the provision of another ES Optimisation for only one ES while ignoring interactions. 8. Assuming that people are economically rational Neo-classical economic theory: economic rationality, maximize individual utility, have complete information, know their preferences, have preferences that are stable over time and independent of social context Utility can be approximated through monetary measures 9. Assuming transferability and generalisability of values across socioeconomic and cultural contexts. ES values independent of the socio-economic and cultural context Benefit transfer of average value coefficients: homogeneous distribution, comparable socio-economic context, and similarity across time 10. Assuming that welfare can be aggregated over space and time. Aggregating individual values meaningful		Appropriateness of 1-time step analysis						
Representativity or appropriateness of ES lists 4. Assuming reliability of ecosystem service indicators. Indicators credibly represent different aspects of ES 5. Assuming temporal and spatial stationarity. Temporal dynamics constant, state is not close to ecological thresholds Underlying social-ecological conditions stable, demand stable over time Land use and land cover as ES indicators 6. Assuming quantities and values of ecosystem services through expert-based assessments. Expert-based judgements Bayesian belief networks (weighing and interactions) Who is an expert and how different knowledge systems may agree or disagree 7. Assuming no interactions between ecosystem services. Compatibility: provision of one ES does not affect the provision of another ES Optimisation for only one ES while ignoring interactions. 8. Assuming that people are economically rational Neo-classical economic theory: economic rationality, maximize individual utility, have complete information, know their preferences, have preferences that are stable over time and independent of social context Utility can be approximated through monetary measures 9. Assuming transferability and generalisability of values across socioeconomic and cultural contexts. ES values independent of the socio-economic and cultural context Benefit transfer of average value coefficients: homogeneous distribution, comparable socio-economic context, and similarity across time 10. Assuming that welfare can be aggregated over space and time. Aggregating individual values meaningful		Appropriateness of homogeneous spatial distribution						
Biophysical data collection, indicators credibly represent different aspects of ES 5. Assuming temporal and spatial stationarity. Temporal dynamics constant, state is not close to ecological thresholds Underlying social-ecological conditions stable, demand stable over time Land use and land cover as ES indicators 6. Assuming quantities and values of ecosystem services through expert-based assessments. Expert-based judgements Bayesian belief networks (weighing and interactions) Who is an expert and how different knowledge systems may agree or disagree 7. Assuming no interactions between ecosystem services. Compatibility: provision of one ES does not affect the provision of another ES Optimisation for only one ES while ignoring interactions. 8. Assuming that people are economically rational Neo-classical economic theory: economic rationality, maximize individual utility, have complete information, know their preferences, have preferences that are stable over time and independent of social context Utility can be approximated through monetary measures 9. Assuming transferability and generalisability of values across socioeconomic and cultural contexts. ES values independent of the socio-economic and cultural context Benefit transfer of average value coefficients: homogeneous distribution, comparable socio-economic context, and similarity across time 10. Assuming that welfare can be aggregated over space and time. Aggregating individual values meaningful		Closed systems, provision of ES across scales neglected						
Biophysical data collection, indicators credibly represent different aspects of ES 5. Assuming temporal and spatial stationarity. Temporal dynamics constant, state is not close to ecological thresholds Underlying social-ecological conditions stable, demand stable over time Land use and land cover as ES indicators 6. Assuming quantities and values of ecosystem services through expert-based assessments. Expert-based judgements Bayesian belief networks (weighing and interactions) Who is an expert and how different knowledge systems may agree or disagree 7. Assuming no interactions between ecosystem services. Compatibility: provision of one ES does not affect the provision of another ES Optimisation for only one ES while ignoring interactions. 8. Assuming that people are economically rational Neo-classical economic theory: economic rationality, maximize individual utility, have complete information, know their preferences, have preferences that are stable over time and independent of social context Utility can be approximated through monetary measures 9. Assuming transferability and generalisability of values across socioeconomic and cultural contexts. ES values independent of the socio-economic and cultural context Benefit transfer of average value coefficients: homogeneous distribution, comparable socio-economic context, and similarity across time 10. Assuming that welfare can be aggregated over space and time. Aggregating individual values meaningful								
Biophysical data collection, indicators credibly represent different aspects of ES 5. Assuming temporal and spatial stationarity. Temporal dynamics constant, state is not close to ecological thresholds Underlying social-ecological conditions stable, demand stable over time Land use and land cover as ES indicators 6. Assuming quantities and values of ecosystem services through expert-based assessments. Expert-based judgements Bayesian belief networks (weighing and interactions) Who is an expert and how different knowledge systems may agree or disagree 7. Assuming no interactions between ecosystem services. Compatibility: provision of one ES does not affect the provision of another ES Optimisation for only one ES while ignoring interactions. 8. Assuming that people are economically rational Neo-classical economic theory: economic rationality, maximize individual utility, have complete information, know their preferences, have preferences that are stable over time and independent of social context Utility can be approximated through monetary measures 9. Assuming transferability and generalisability of values across socioeconomic and cultural contexts. ES values independent of the socio-economic and cultural context Benefit transfer of average value coefficients: homogeneous distribution, comparable socio-economic context, and similarity across time 10. Assuming that welfare can be aggregated over space and time. Aggregating individual values meaningful	R)							
5. Assuming temporal and spatial stationarity. Temporal dynamics constant, state is not close to ecological thresholds Underlying social-ecological conditions stable, demand stable over time Land use and land cover as ES indicators 6. Assuming quantities and values of ecosystem services through expert-based assessments. Expert-based judgements Bayesian belief networks (weighing and interactions) Who is an expert and how different knowledge systems may agree or disagree 7. Assuming no interactions between ecosystem services. Compatibility: provision of one ES does not affect the provision of another ES Optimisation for only one ES while ignoring interactions. 8. Assuming that people are economically rational Neo-classical economic theory: economic rationality, maximize individual utility, have complete information, know their preferences, have preferences that are stable over time and independent of social context Utility can be approximated through monetary measures 9. Assuming transferability and generalisability of values across socioeconomic and cultural contexts. ES values independent of the socio-economic and cultural context Benefit transfer of average value coefficients: homogeneous distribution, comparable socio-economic context, and similarity across time 10. Assuming that welfare can be aggregated over space and time. Aggregating individual values meaningful	•	Indicators credibly represent different aspects of ES						
indication, mapping, and modelling Modellin		5. Assuming temporal and spatial stationarity.						
mapping, and modelling Land use and land cover as ES indicators 6. Assuming quantities and values of ecosystem services through expert-based assessments. Expert-based judgements Bayesian belief networks (weighing and interactions) Who is an expert and how different knowledge systems may agree or disagree 7. Assuming no interactions between ecosystem services. Compatibility: provision of one ES does not affect the provision of another ES Optimisation for only one ES while ignoring interactions. 8. Assuming that people are economically rational Neo-classical economic theory: economic rationality, maximize individual utility, have complete information, know their preferences, have preferences that are stable over time and independent of social context Utility can be approximated through monetary measures 9. Assuming transferability and generalisability of values across socioeconomic and cultural contexts. ES values independent of the socio-economic and cultural context Benefit transfer of average value coefficients: homogeneous distribution, comparable socio-economic context, and similarity across time 10. Assuming that welfare can be aggregated over space and time. Aggregating individual values meaningful	-	Temporal dynamics constant, state is not close to ecological thresholds						
Land use and land cover as ES indicators 6. Assuming quantities and values of ecosystem services through expert-based assessments. Expert-based judgements Bayesian belief networks (weighing and interactions) Who is an expert and how different knowledge systems may agree or disagree 7. Assuming no interactions between ecosystem services. Compatibility: provision of one ES does not affect the provision of another ES Optimisation for only one ES while ignoring interactions. 8. Assuming that people are economically rational Neo-classical economic theory: economic rationality, maximize individual utility, have complete information, know their preferences, have preferences that are stable over time and independent of social context Utility can be approximated through monetary measures 9. Assuming transferability and generalisability of values across socioeconomic and cultural contexts. ES values independent of the socio-economic and cultural context Benefit transfer of average value coefficients: homogeneous distribution, comparable socio-economic context, and similarity across time 10. Assuming that welfare can be aggregated over space and time. Aggregating individual values meaningful		Underlying social-ecological conditions stable, demand stable over time						
6. Assuming quantities and values of ecosystem services through expert-based assessments. Expert-based judgements Bayesian belief networks (weighing and interactions) Who is an expert and how different knowledge systems may agree or disagree 7. Assuming no interactions between ecosystem services. Compatibility: provision of one ES does not affect the provision of another ES Optimisation for only one ES while ignoring interactions. 8. Assuming that people are economically rational Neo-classical economic theory: economic rationality, maximize individual utility, have complete information, know their preferences, have preferences that are stable over time and independent of social context Utility can be approximated through monetary measures 9. Assuming transferability and generalisability of values across socioeconomic and cultural contexts. ES values independent of the socio-economic and cultural context Benefit transfer of average value coefficients: homogeneous distribution, comparable socio-economic context, and similarity across time 10. Assuming that welfare can be aggregated over space and time. Aggregating individual values meaningful								
Bayesian belief networks (weighing and interactions) Who is an expert and how different knowledge systems may agree or disagree 7. Assuming no interactions between ecosystem services. Compatibility: provision of one ES does not affect the provision of another ES Optimisation for only one ES while ignoring interactions. 8. Assuming that people are economically rational Neo-classical economic theory: economic rationality, maximize individual utility, have complete information, know their preferences, have preferences that are stable over time and independent of social context Utility can be approximated through monetary measures 9. Assuming transferability and generalisability of values across socioeconomic and cultural contexts. ES values independent of the socio-economic and cultural context Benefit transfer of average value coefficients: homogeneous distribution, comparable socio-economic context, and similarity across time 10. Assuming that welfare can be aggregated over space and time. Aggregating individual values meaningful	modelling							
Who is an expert and how different knowledge systems may agree or disagree 7. Assuming no interactions between ecosystem services. Compatibility: provision of one ES does not affect the provision of another ES Optimisation for only one ES while ignoring interactions. 8. Assuming that people are economically rational Neo-classical economic theory: economic rationality, maximize individual utility, have complete information, know their preferences, have preferences that are stable over time and independent of social context Utility can be approximated through monetary measures 9. Assuming transferability and generalisability of values across socioeconomic and cultural contexts. ES values independent of the socio-economic and cultural context Benefit transfer of average value coefficients: homogeneous distribution, comparable socio-economic context, and similarity across time 10. Assuming that welfare can be aggregated over space and time. Aggregating individual values meaningful		Expert-based judgements						
7. Assuming no interactions between ecosystem services. Compatibility: provision of one ES does not affect the provision of another ES Optimisation for only one ES while ignoring interactions. 8. Assuming that people are economically rational Neo-classical economic theory: economic rationality, maximize individual utility, have complete information, know their preferences, have preferences that are stable over time and independent of social context Utility can be approximated through monetary measures 9. Assuming transferability and generalisability of values across socioeconomic and cultural contexts. ES values independent of the socio-economic and cultural context Benefit transfer of average value coefficients: homogeneous distribution, comparable socio-economic context, and similarity across time 10. Assuming that welfare can be aggregated over space and time. Aggregating individual values meaningful								
Compatibility: provision of one ES does not affect the provision of another ES Optimisation for only one ES while ignoring interactions. 8. Assuming that people are economically rational Neo-classical economic theory: economic rationality, maximize individual utility, have complete information, know their preferences, have preferences that are stable over time and independent of social context Utility can be approximated through monetary measures 9. Assuming transferability and generalisability of values across socioeconomic and cultural contexts. ES values independent of the socio-economic and cultural context Benefit transfer of average value coefficients: homogeneous distribution, comparable socio-economic context, and similarity across time 10. Assuming that welfare can be aggregated over space and time. Aggregating individual values meaningful								
Optimisation for only one ES while ignoring interactions. 8. Assuming that people are economically rational Neo-classical economic theory: economic rationality, maximize individual utility, have complete information, know their preferences, have preferences that are stable over time and independent of social context Utility can be approximated through monetary measures 9. Assuming transferability and generalisability of values across socioeconomic and cultural contexts. ES values independent of the socio-economic and cultural context Benefit transfer of average value coefficients: homogeneous distribution, comparable socioeconomic context, and similarity across time 10. Assuming that welfare can be aggregated over space and time. Aggregating individual values meaningful								
8. Assuming that people are economically rational Neo-classical economic theory: economic rationality, maximize individual utility, have complete information, know their preferences, have preferences that are stable over time and independent of social context Utility can be approximated through monetary measures 9. Assuming transferability and generalisability of values across socioeconomic and cultural contexts. ES values independent of the socio-economic and cultural context Benefit transfer of average value coefficients: homogeneous distribution, comparable socio-economic context, and similarity across time 10. Assuming that welfare can be aggregated over space and time. Aggregating individual values meaningful		Compatibility: provision of one ES does not affect the provision of another ES						
Neo-classical economic theory: economic rationality, maximize individual utility, have complete information, know their preferences, have preferences that are stable over time and independent of social context Utility can be approximated through monetary measures 9. Assuming transferability and generalisability of values across socioeconomic and cultural contexts. ES values independent of the socio-economic and cultural context Benefit transfer of average value coefficients: homogeneous distribution, comparable socio-economic context, and similarity across time 10. Assuming that welfare can be aggregated over space and time. Aggregating individual values meaningful		Optimisation for only one ES while ignoring interactions.						
information, know their preferences, have preferences that are stable over time and independent of social context Utility can be approximated through monetary measures 9. Assuming transferability and generalisability of values across socioeconomic and cultural contexts. ES values independent of the socio-economic and cultural context Benefit transfer of average value coefficients: homogeneous distribution, comparable socio-economic context, and similarity across time 10. Assuming that welfare can be aggregated over space and time. Aggregating individual values meaningful		8. Assuming that people are economically rational						
C) Socio- economic valuation and value aggregation 9. Assuming transferability and generalisability of values across socioeconomic and cultural contexts. ES values independent of the socio-economic and cultural context Benefit transfer of average value coefficients: homogeneous distribution, comparable socio- economic context, and similarity across time 10. Assuming that welfare can be aggregated over space and time. Aggregating individual values meaningful		information, know their preferences, have preferences that are stable over time and independent						
economic valuation and value aggregation ES values independent of the socio-economic and cultural context Benefit transfer of average value coefficients: homogeneous distribution, comparable socio-economic context, and similarity across time 10. Assuming that welfare can be aggregated over space and time. Aggregating individual values meaningful		Utility can be approximated through monetary measures						
and value aggregation Benefit transfer of average value coefficients: homogeneous distribution, comparable socio- economic context, and similarity across time 10. Assuming that welfare can be aggregated over space and time. Aggregating individual values meaningful	•							
aggregation Benefit transfer of average value coefficients: nomogeneous distribution, comparable socio- economic context, and similarity across time 10. Assuming that welfare can be aggregated over space and time. Aggregating individual values meaningful		ES values independent of the socio-economic and cultural context						
Aggregating individual values meaningful		-						
		10. Assuming that welfare can be aggregated over space and time.						
For all investments of multiple FC		Aggregating individual values meaningful						
Equal importance of multiple ES		Equal importance of multiple ES						
Two values from different value domains can be compared and traded-off		Two values from different value domains can be compared and traded-off						
11. Assuming relevance for societal decisions.		11. Assuming relevance for societal decisions.						
D) Using Selection and a quantification of assessed ES is representative for a concerned group of stakeholders	results for	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
decision- Raises awareness, is policy relevant and applicable, and motivates decision-makers to take action.								
making Strategic use for environmental advocacy, in particular monetary valuation relevant for decision making	making							

Table S3: 70 published typology.	ecosystem	service	assessments	that were	used to	refine the

Authors	Year	Title	Journal	DOI
			PROCEEDINGS OF THE	
			NATIONAL ACADEMY OF	
Raudsepp-Hearne, C; Peterson, GD;		Ecosystem service bundles for analyzing tradeoffs in	SCIENCES OF THE UNITED	
Bennett, EM	2010	diverse landscapes	STATES OF AMERICA	10.1073/pnas.0907284107
Eigenbrod, F; Armsworth, PR;				
Anderson, BJ; Heinemeyer, A;				
Gillings, S; Roy, DB; Thomas, CD;		The impact of proxy-based methods on mapping the		10.1111/j.1365-
Gaston, KJ	2010	distribution of ecosystem services	JOURNAL OF APPLIED ECOLOGY	2664.2010.01777.x
Bryan, BA; Raymond, CM; Crossman,		Targeting the management of ecosystem services based	LANDSCAPE AND URBAN	10.1016/j.landurbplan.201
ND; Macdonald, DH	2010	on social values: Where, what, and how?	PLANNING	0.05.002
Birch, JC; Newton, AC; Aquino, CA;			PROCEEDINGS OF THE	
Cantarello, E; Echeverria, C;			NATIONAL ACADEMY OF	
Kitzberger, T; Schiappacasse, I;		Cost-effectiveness of dryland forest restoration	SCIENCES OF THE UNITED	
Garavito, NT	2010	evaluated by spatial analysis of ecosystem services	STATES OF AMERICA	10.1073/pnas.1003369107
		Variations in ecosystem service value in response to land		10.1016/j.ecolecon.2008.0
Li, TH; Li, WK; Qian, ZH	2010	use changes in Shenzhen	ECOLOGICAL ECONOMICS	5.018
Jenkins, WA; Murray, BC; Kramer,		Valuing ecosystem services from wetlands restoration in		10.1016/j.ecolecon.2009.1
RA; Faulkner, SP	2010	the Mississippi Alluvial Valley	ECOLOGICAL ECONOMICS	1.022
		An economic assessment of the contribution of biological		
		control to the management of invasive alien plants and		10.1007/s10530-010-9811-
de Lange, WJ; van Wilgen, BW	2010	to the protection of ecosystem services in South Africa	BIOLOGICAL INVASIONS	У
Liu, SA; Costanza, R; Troy, A;		Valuing New Jersey's Ecosystem Services and Natural	ENVIRONMENTAL	10.1007/s00267-010-9483-
D'Aagostino, J; Mates, W	2010	Capital: A Spatially Explicit Benefit Transfer Approach	MANAGEMENT	5
Eigenbrod, F; Armsworth, PR;				
Anderson, BJ; Heinemeyer, A;				
Gillings, S; Roy, DB; Thomas, CD;		Error propagation associated with benefits transfer-		10.1016/j.biocon.2010.06.
Gaston, KJ	2010	based mapping of ecosystem services	BIOLOGICAL CONSERVATION	015
Brenner, J; Jimenez, JA; Sarda, R;		An assessment of the non-market value of the ecosystem	OCEAN & COASTAL	10.1016/j.ocecoaman.2009
Garola, A	2010	services provided by the Catalan coastal zone, Spain	MANAGEMENT	.10.008
Sherrouse, BC; Clement, JM;	2011	A GIS application for assessing, mapping, and quantifying	APPLIED GEOGRAPHY	10.1016/j.apgeog.2010.08.

Semmens, DJ		the social values of ecosystem services		002
Davies, ZG; Edmondson, JL;		Mapping an urban ecosystem service: quantifying above-		10.1111/j.1365-
Heinemeyer, A; Leake, JR; Gaston, KJ	2011	ground carbon storage at a city-wide scale	JOURNAL OF APPLIED ECOLOGY	2664.2011.02021.x
		A framework for developing urban forest ecosystem	LANDSCAPE AND URBAN	10.1016/j.landurbplan.201
Dobbs, C; Escobedo, FJ; Zipperer, WC	2011	services and goods indicators	PLANNING	0.11.004
Lautenbach, S; Kugel, C; Lausch, A;		Analysis of historic changes in regional ecosystem service		10.1016/j.ecolind.2010.09.
Seppelt, R	2011	provisioning using land use data	ECOLOGICAL INDICATORS	007
Swetnam, RD; Fisher, B; Mbilinyi, BP;				
Munishi, PKT; Willcock, S; Ricketts, T;				
Mwakalila, S; Balmford, A; Burgess,		Mapping socio-economic scenarios of land cover change:	JOURNAL OF ENVIRONMENTAL	10.1016/j.jenvman.2010.0
ND; Marshall, AR; Lewis, SL	2011	A GIS method to enable ecosystem service modelling	MANAGEMENT	9.007
		The importance of deliberation in valuing ecosystem	GLOBAL ENVIRONMENTAL	
Kenter, JO; Hyde, T; Christie, M;		services in developing countries-Evidence from the	CHANGE-HUMAN AND POLICY	10.1016/j.gloenvcha.2011.
Fazey, I	2011	Solomon Islands	DIMENSIONS	01.001
		The conservation against development paradigm in		
Martin-Lopez, B; Garcia-Llorente, M;		protected areas: Valuation of ecosystem services in the		10.1016/j.ecolecon.2011.0
Palomo, I; Montes, C	2011	Donana social-ecological system (southwestern Spain)	ECOLOGICAL ECONOMICS	3.009
Higgins, CB; Stephenson, K; Brown,		Nutrient Bioassimilation Capacity of Aquacultured	JOURNAL OF ENVIRONMENTAL	
BL	2011	Oysters: Quantification of an Ecosystem Service	QUALITY	10.2134/jeq2010.0203
		Ecosystem services and biogeochemical cycles on a		
		global scale: valuation of water, carbon and nitrogen	ENVIRONMENTAL SCIENCE &	10.1016/j.envsci.2011.05.0
Watanabe, MDB; Ortega, E	2011	processes	POLICY	13
Fisher, B; Turner, RK; Burgess, ND;				
Swetnam, RD; Green, J; Green, RE;				
Kajembe, G; Kulindwa, K; Lewis, SL;				
Marchant, R; Marshall, AR; Madoffe,				
S; Munishi, PKT; Morse-Jones, S;				
Mwakalila, S; Paavola, J; Naidoo, R;				_
Ricketts, T; Rouget, M; Willcock, S;		0, 0 11 0 ,	PROGRESS IN PHYSICAL	10.1177/03091333114229
White, S; Balmford, A	2011	the Eastern Arc Mountains of Tanzania	GEOGRAPHY	68
van Oudenhoven, APE; Petz, K;		Framework for systematic indicator selection to assess		10.1016/j.ecolind.2012.01.
Alkemade, R; Hein, L; de Groot, RS	2012	effects of land management on ecosystem services	ECOLOGICAL INDICATORS	012

			PROCEEDINGS OF THE NATIONAL ACADEMY OF	
		Ecosystem service tradeoff analysis reveals the value of	SCIENCES OF THE UNITED	
White, C; Halpern, BS; Kappel, CV	2012	marine spatial planning for multiple ocean uses	STATES OF AMERICA	10.1073/pnas.1114215109
		A multi-criteria approach for an integrated land-cover-		
Koschke, L; Furst, C; Frank, S;		based assessment of ecosystem services provision to		10.1016/j.ecolind.2011.12.
Makeschin, F	2012	support landscape planning	ECOLOGICAL INDICATORS	010
		A Policy-Driven Large Scale Ecological Restoration:		
Lu, YH; Fu, BJ; Feng, XM; Zeng, Y; Liu,		Quantifying Ecosystem Services Changes in the Loess		10.1371/journal.pone.0031
Y; Chang, RY; Sun, G; Wu, BF	2012	Plateau of China	PLOS ONE	782
Haines-Young, R; Potschin, M;		Indicators of ecosystem service potential at European		10.1016/j.ecolind.2011.09.
Kienast, F	2012	scales: Mapping marginal changes and trade-offs	ECOLOGICAL INDICATORS	004
Kroll, F; Muller, F; Haase, D; Fohrer,		Rural-urban gradient analysis of ecosystem services		10.1016/j.landusepol.2011.
N	2012	supply and demand dynamics	LAND USE POLICY	07.008
		Characterizing landscape pattern and ecosystem service		
		value changes for urbanization impacts at an eco-		10.1016/j.apgeog.2011.12.
Su, SL; Xiao, R; Jiang, ZL; Zhang, Y	2012	regional scale	APPLIED GEOGRAPHY	001
Grabowski, JH; Brumbaugh, RD;				
Conrad, RF; Keeler, AG; Opaluch, JJ;				
Peterson, CH; Piehler, MF; Powers,		Economic Valuation of Ecosystem Services Provided by		
SP; Smyth, AR	2012	Oyster Reefs	BIOSCIENCE	10.1525/bio.2012.62.10.10
Tengberg, A; Fredholm, S; Eliasson, I;		Cultural ecosystem services provided by landscapes:		10.1016/j.ecoser.2012.07.0
Knez, I; Saltzman, K; Wetterberg, O	2012	Assessment of heritage values and identity	ECOSYSTEM SERVICES	06
		Flood regulating ecosystem services-Mapping supply and		10.1016/j.ecolind.2011.06.
Nedkov, S; Burkhard, B	2012	demand, in the Etropole municipality, Bulgaria	ECOLOGICAL INDICATORS	022
Allan, JD; McIntyre, PB; Smith, SDP;				
Halpern, BS; Boyer, GL; Buchsbaum,				
A; Burton, GA; Campbell, LM;				
Chadderton, WL; Ciborowski, JJH;				
Doran, PJ; Eder, T; Infante, DM;			PROCEEDINGS OF THE	
Johnson, LB; Joseph, CA; Marino, AL;			NATIONAL ACADEMY OF	
Prusevich, A; Read, JG; Rose, JB;		Joint analysis of stressors and ecosystem services to	SCIENCES OF THE UNITED	
Rutherford, ES; Sowa, SP; Steinman,	2013	enhance restoration effectiveness	STATES OF AMERICA	10.1073/pnas.1213841110

AD				
Palomo, I; Martin-Lopez, B; Potschin,		National Parks, buffer zones and surrounding lands:		10.1016/j.ecoser.2012.09.0
M; Haines-Young, R; Montes, C	2013	Mapping ecosystem service flows	ECOSYSTEM SERVICES	01
		Urban ecosystem services assessment along a rural-		10.1016/j.ecolind.2012.12.
Larondelle, N; Haase, D	2013	urban gradient: A cross-analysis of European cities	ECOLOGICAL INDICATORS	022
Feng, XM; Fu, BJ; Lu, N; Zeng, Y; Wu,		How ecological restoration alters ecosystem services: an		
BF	2013	analysis of carbon sequestration in China's Loess Plateau	SCIENTIFIC REPORTS	10.1038/srep02846
Leh, MDK; Matlock, MD; Cummings,		Quantifying and mapping multiple ecosystem services	AGRICULTURE ECOSYSTEMS &	10.1016/j.agee.2012.12.00
EC; Nalley, LL	2013	change in West Africa	ENVIRONMENT	1
Garcia-Nieto, AP; Garcia-Llorente, M;		Mapping forest ecosystem services: From providing units		10.1016/j.ecoser.2013.03.0
Iniesta-Arandia, I; Martin-Lopez, B	2013	to beneficiaries	ECOSYSTEM SERVICES	03
Jackson, B; Pagella, T; Sinclair, F;		Polyscape: A GIS mapping framework providing efficient		
Orellana, B; Henshaw, A; Reynolds, B;		and spatially explicit landscape-scale valuation of	LANDSCAPE AND URBAN	10.1016/j.landurbplan.201
Mcintyre, N; Wheater, H; Eycott, A	2013	multiple ecosystem services	PLANNING	2.12.014
		Single-trait functional indices outperform multi-trait		
		indices in linking environmental gradients and ecosystem		
Butterfield, BJ; Suding, KN	2013	services in a complex landscape	JOURNAL OF ECOLOGY	10.1111/1365-2745.12013
Butler, JRA; Wong, GY; Metcalfe, DJ;				
Honzak, M; Pert, PL; Rao, N; van		An analysis of trade-offs between multiple ecosystem		
Grieken, ME; Lawson, T; Bruce, C;		services and stakeholders linked to land use and water	AGRICULTURE ECOSYSTEMS &	10.1016/j.agee.2011.08.01
Kroon, FJ; Brodie, JE	2013	quality management in the Great Barrier Reef, Australia	ENVIRONMENT	7
Fontana, V; Radtke, A; Fedrigotti, VB;		Comparing land-use alternatives: Using the ecosystem		
Tappeiner, U; Tasser, E; Zerbe, S;		services concept to define a multi-criteria decision		10.1016/j.ecolecon.2013.0
Buchholz, T	2013	analysis	ECOLOGICAL ECONOMICS	5.007
		Spatial quantification and valuation of cultural ecosystem		10.1016/j.ecolind.2012.06.
van Berkel, DB; Verburg, PH	2014	services in an agricultural landscape	ECOLOGICAL INDICATORS	025
Schroter, M; Barton, DN; Remme, RP;		Accounting for capacity and flow of ecosystem services: A		10.1016/j.ecolind.2013.09.
Hein, L	2014	conceptual model and a case study for Telemark, Norway	ECOLOGICAL INDICATORS	018
Paracchini, ML; Zulian, G;				
Kopperoinen, L; Maes, J; Schagner,				
JP; Termansen, M; Zandersen, M;		Mapping cultural ecosystem services: A framework to		10.1016/j.ecolind.2014.04.
Perez-Soba, M; Scholefield, PA;	2014	assess the potential for outdoor recreation across the EU	ECOLOGICAL INDICATORS	018

Bidoglio, G				
Schulp, CJE; Lautenbach, S; Verburg,		Quantifying and mapping ecosystem services: Demand		10.1016/j.ecolind.2013.07.
PH	2014	and supply of pollination in the European Union	ECOLOGICAL INDICATORS	014
Bagstad, KJ; Villa, F; Batker, D;		From theoretical to actual ecosystem services: mapping		
Harrison-Cox, J; Voigt, B; Johnson,		beneficiaries and spatial flows in ecosystem service		
GW	2014	assessments	ECOLOGY AND SOCIETY	10.5751/ES-06523-190264
Serna-Chavez, HM; Schulp, CJE; van				
Bodegom, PM; Bouten, W; Verburg,		A quantitative framework for assessing spatial flows of		10.1016/j.ecolind.2013.11.
PH; Davidson, MD	2014	ecosystem services	ECOLOGICAL INDICATORS	024
		Mapping ecosystem services: The supply and demand of		10.1016/j.ecolind.2013.11.
Sturck, J; Poortinga, A; Verburg, PH	2014	flood regulation services in Europe	ECOLOGICAL INDICATORS	010
			GLOBAL ENVIRONMENTAL	
		Mapping the diversity of regulating ecosystem services in		10.1016/j.gloenvcha.2014.
Larondelle, N; Haase, D; Kabisch, N	2014	European cities	DIMENSIONS	04.008
Oteros-Rozas, E; Martin-Lopez, B;				
Gonzalez, JA; Plieninger, T; Lopez,		Socio-cultural valuation of ecosystem services in a	REGIONAL ENVIRONMENTAL	10.1007/s10113-013-0571-
CA; Montes, C	2014	transhumance social-ecological network	CHANGE	У
Iniesta-Arandia, I; Garcia-Llorente,		Socio-cultural valuation of ecosystem services:		
M; Aguilera, PA; Montes, C; Martin-		uncovering the links between values, drivers of change,		10.1016/j.ecolecon.2014.0
Lopez, B	2014	and human well-being	ECOLOGICAL ECONOMICS	9.028
Queiroz, C; Meacham, M; Richter, K;				
Norstrom, AV; Andersson, E;		Mapping bundles of ecosystem services reveals distinct		10.1007/s13280-014-0601-
Norberg, J; Peterson, G	2015	types of multifunctionality within a Swedish landscape	AMBIO	0
Song, W; Deng, XZ; Yuan, YW; Wang,		Impacts of land-use change on valued ecosystem service		10.1016/j.ecolmodel.2015.
Z; Li, ZH	2015	in rapidly urbanized North China Plain	ECOLOGICAL MODELLING	01.029
- cc		REVIEW Quantifying urban ecosystem services based on		
Derkzen, ML; van Teeffelen, AJA;	2045	high-resolution data of urban green space: an	LOUIDANAL OF ADDUCED FOOLOGY	40 4444 /4205 2004 42402
Verburg, PH	2015	assessment for Rotterdam, the Netherlands	JOURNAL OF APPLIED ECOLOGY	10.1111/1365-2664.12469
Book 5 House B. Come Br. 14		Mismatches between ecosystem services supply and		40.4046/: !: . ! 2045.00
Baro, F; Haase, D; Gomez-Baggethun,	2045	demand in urban areas: A quantitative assessment in five	FCOLOGICAL INDICATORS	10.1016/j.ecolind.2015.03.
E; Frantzeskaki, N		European cities	ECOLOGICAL INDICATORS	013
Richards, DR; Friess, DA	2015	A rapid indicator of cultural ecosystem service usage at a	ECOLOGICAL INDICATORS	10.1016/j.ecolind.2015.01.

		fine spatial scale: Content analysis of social media photographs		034
Hayha, T; Franzese, PP; Paletto, A; Fath, BD		Assessing, valuing, and mapping ecosystem services in Alpine forests	ECOSYSTEM SERVICES	10.1016/j.ecoser.2015.03.0 01
Blaen, PJ; Jia, L; Peh, KSH; Field, RH; Balmford, A; MacDonald, MA; Bradbury, RB	2015	Rapid Assessment of Ecosystem Services Provided by Two Mineral Extraction Sites Restored for Nature Conservation in an Agricultural Landscape in Eastern England	PLOS ONE	10.1371/journal.pone.0121 010
Garcia-Nieto, AP; Quintas-Soriano, C; Garcia-Llorente, M; Palomo, I; Montes, C; Martin-Lopez, B	2015	Collaborative mapping of ecosystem services: The role of stakeholders' profiles	ECOSYSTEM SERVICES	10.1016/j.ecoser.2014.11.0 06
Liquete, C; Kleeschulte, S; Dige, G; Maes, J; Grizzetti, B; Olah, B; Zulian, G		Mapping green infrastructure based on ecosystem services and ecological networks: A Pan-European case study	ENVIRONMENTAL SCIENCE & POLICY	10.1016/j.envsci.2015.07.0 09
Crouzat, E; Mouchet, M; Turkelboom, F; Byczek, C; Meersmans, J; Berger, F; Verkerk, PJ;		Assessing bundles of ecosystem services from regional to		
Lavorel, S	2015	landscape scale: insights from the French Alps	JOURNAL OF APPLIED ECOLOGY	10.1111/1365-2664.12502
Tenerelli, P; Demsar, U; Luque, S		Crowdsourcing indicators for cultural ecosystem services: A geographically weighted approach for mountain landscapes	ECOLOGICAL INDICATORS	10.1016/j.ecolind.2015.12. 042
Kindu, M; Schneider, T; Teketay, D; Knoke, T	2016	Changes of ecosystem service values in response to land use/land cover dynamics in Munessa-Shashemene landscape of the Ethiopian highlands	SCIENCE OF THE TOTAL ENVIRONMENT	10.1016/j.scitotenv.2015.1 2.127
Czembrowski, P; Kronenberg, J		Hedonic pricing and different urban green space types and sizes: Insights into the discussion on valuing ecosystem services	LANDSCAPE AND URBAN PLANNING	10.1016/j.landurbplan.201 5.10.005
Tayyebi, A; Meehan, TD; Dischler, J; Radloff, G; Ferris, M; Gratton, C		SmartScape (TM): A web-based decision support system for assessing the tradeoffs among multiple ecosystem services under crop-change scenarios	COMPUTERS AND ELECTRONICS IN AGRICULTURE	10.1016/j.compag.2015.12 .003
Baro, F; Palomo, I; Zulian, G; Vizcaino, P; Haase, D; Gomez- Baggethun, E		Mapping ecosystem service capacity, flow and demand for landscape and urban planning: A case study in the Barcelona metropolitan region	LAND USE POLICY	10.1016/j.landusepol.2016. 06.006

Kremer, P; Hamstead, ZA; McPhearson, T		The value of urban ecosystem services in New York City: A spatially explicit multicriteria analysis of landscape scale valuation scenarios	ENVIRONMENTAL SCIENCE & POLICY	10.1016/j.envsci.2016.04.0 12
		Quantification and assessment of changes in ecosystem		40.4046/:
		service in the Three-River Headwaters Region, China as a		10.1016/j.ecolind.2016.01.
Jiang, C; Li, DQ; Wang, DW; Zhang, LB	2016	result of climate variability and land cover change	ECOLOGICAL INDICATORS	051
Boithias, L; Terrado, M; Corominas, L;				
Ziv, G; Kumar, V; Marques, M;		Analysis of the uncertainty in the monetary valuation of	SCIENCE OF THE TOTAL	10.1016/j.scitotenv.2015.1
Schuhmacher, M; Acuna, V	2016	ecosystem services - A case study at the river basin scale	ENVIRONMENT	1.066
Bryce, R; Irvine, KN; Church, A; Fish,		Subjective well-being indicators for large-scale		10.1016/j.ecoser.2016.07.0
R; Ranger, S; Kenter, JO	2016	assessment of cultural ecosystem services	ECOSYSTEM SERVICES	15
Albert, C; Galler, C; Hermes, J;		Applying ecosystem services indicators in landscape		
Neuendorf, F; von Haaren, C; Lovett,		planning and management: The ES-in-Planning		10.1016/j.ecolind.2015.03.
A	2016	framework	ECOLOGICAL INDICATORS	029