## SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION

## Neutralizing antibody titres in SARS-CoV-2 infections

Eric HY Lau<sup>1</sup>, Owen TY Tsang,<sup>2</sup> David SC Hui,<sup>3</sup> Mike YW Kwan,<sup>4</sup> Wai-hung Chan,<sup>5</sup> Susan S Chiu,<sup>6</sup> Ronald LW Ko<sup>1</sup>, Kin H Chan<sup>1</sup>, Samuel M.S. Cheng<sup>1</sup>, Ranawaka APM Perera<sup>1</sup>, Benjamin J Cowling<sup>1</sup>, Leo LM Poon<sup>1</sup>, Malik Peiris<sup>1,7,\*</sup>.

1. School of Public Health, The University of Hong Kong, Special Administrative Region of Hong Kong, China

2. Infectious Diseases Centre, Princess Margaret Hospital, Hospital Authority of Hong Kong, Special Administrative Region of Hong Kong, China.

3. Department of Medicine and Therapeutics, Prince of Wales Hospital, Chinese University of Hong Kong,

4. Department of Paediatric and Adolescent Medicine, Princess Margaret Hospital, Hospital Authority of Hong Kong, Special Administrative Region of Hong Kong, China.

5. Department of Paediatrics, Queen Elizabeth Hospital, Hospital Authority of Hong Kong, Special Administrative Region of Hong Kong, China.

6. Department of Paediatric and Adolescent Medicine, The University of Hong Kong and Queen Mary Hospital, Hospital Authority of Hong Kong, Special Administrative Region of Hong Kong, China.

7. HKU-Pasteur Research Pole, The University of Hong Kong, Special Administrative Region of Hong Kong, China.

\*Address correspondence to Dr. Malik Peiris, School of Public Health, The University of Hong Kong, Pokfulam, Hong Kong Special Administrative Region. E mail: <u>malik@hku.hk</u>

Key words: COVID-19, SARS-CoV-2, coronavirus, neutralizing antibody, kinetics, protection, immunity.

Supplementary Table 1. Characteristics of patients tested by plaque reduction neutralization tests (PRNT) and spike receptor binding domain ELISA.

	PRNT				ELISA			
	All patients (n=195)		Patients with multiple		All patients (n=150)		Patients with	
			samples for PRNT <sub>50</sub>				multiple samples for	
			and PRNT <sub>90</sub> ( $n=70$ )				ELISA (n=55)	
	N	(%)	Ν	(%)	Ν	(%)	N	(%)
Age (y)								
≤15	42	(22%)	9	(13%)	6	(4%)	0	(0%)
16-60	119	(61%)	44	(63%)	110	(73%)	39	(71%)
>60	34	(17%)	17	(24%)	34	(23%)	16	(29%)
Male	118	(61%)	38	(54%)	92	(61%)	29	(53%)
With underlying conditions	49/194	(25%)	16	(23%)	45	(30%)	15	(27%)
Antiviral treatment	100	(51%)	42	(60%)	92	(61%)	38	(69%)
Corticosteroid treatment	12	(6%)	7	(10%)	12	(8%)	7	(13%)
Worst condition								
Severe	13	(7%)	9	(13%)	13	(9%)	9	(16%)
Mild	151	(77%)	52	(74%)	128	(85%)	45	(82%)
Asymptomatic	31	(16%)	9	(13%)	9	(6%)	1	(2%)

## Supplementary Table 2: Severity by age

	Severity					
Age groups	Severe	Mild	Asymptomatic			
≤15	0 (0%)	24 (16%)	18 (58%)			
15-60	3 (18%)	104 (69%)	12 (29%)			
>60	10 (82%)	23 (15%)	1 (3%)			
Total	13 (100%)	151 (100)	31 (100%)			

Days after		PRNT	Spike RBD ELISA		
onset*	No tested	PRNT90	PRNT50	No tested	No (%)
		No (%)	No (%)		positive
		positive	positive		
0-7	35	11 (31%)	12 (34%)	9	2 (22%)
8-14	24	21 (88%)	23 (96%)	13	9 (69%)
15-21	15	15 (100%)	15 (100%)	14	14 (100%)
22-28	11	11 (100%)	11 (100%)	10	10 (100%)
29-60	93	91 (98%)	93 (100%)	84	84 (100%)
61-90	54	54 (100%)	54 (100%)	43	43 (100%)
91-150	35	34 (97%)	34 (97%)	16	32 (100%)
151-209	26	26 (100%)	26 (100%)	26	26 (100%)

Supplementary Table 3: Sera tested and positive by PRNT and ELISA stratified by days after onset of illness

\* For asymptomatic individuals, days after first positive RT-PCR result.



Supplementary figure 1. Correlation between PRNT<sub>90</sub>, and ELISA (left) and PRNT<sub>50</sub> and ELISA (right) in COVID-19 patients (n=231 pairs)