

**Supplementary Table S1. Atrial Fibrillation and Functional Outcome Measurement in Each Study**

Study	Study year
Joinville, Brazil <sup>S1</sup>	A self-reported history of known AF was obtained from patients or their relatives by research nurses. Functional outcomes were determined using mRS by research nurses using telephone.
Melbourne, Australia <sup>S2</sup>	AF was defined as either a known history or current presentation confirmed on ECG. Functional outcomes at 1 and 5 years were determined using BI by research nurses in a face-to-face interview.
Arcadia, Greece <sup>S3</sup>	AF was diagnosed by ECG for hospitalized patients; for those not hospitalized, ≥1 ECG with documentation of AF in the last year before the event was required. Functional outcomes at 1 year were determined using mRS by attending physicians face to face.
Perth, Australia <sup>S4</sup>	The presence of AF needed to be confirmed by an ECG within 1 month of the onset of stroke. Patients not known to be deceased were sent a letter of invitation, which was followed by a telephone call or home visit. Functional outcomes at 1 year were determined using mRS. Those who agreed to participate were assessed at their usual place of residence by the study nurse.
Orebro, Sweden <sup>S5</sup>	AF was self reported by patients. The functional outcomes at 1 year were measured using mRS during consultation visits or telephone interviews.
Porto, Portugal <sup>S6</sup>	The presence of AF was confirmed by ECG. Functional outcomes at 1 and 7 years were determined using mRS by study neurologists. Patients who collaborated but were not willing to complete the consultation were contacted by phone, and for those unable to come to the hospital, home visits were scheduled. Functional outcome was measured using the mRS.
Auckland, New Zealand <sup>S7</sup>	A self-reported history of AF was obtained from patients or their relatives and then confirmed by medical records. Face-to-face interviews occurred at participants' usual places of residence and included neuropsychological tests and assessment of functional outcome at 5 years (the mRS) after stroke.
L'Aquila, Italy <sup>S8,S9</sup>	AF was confirmed by a standard 12-lead ECG. Functional outcome assessments were not available.
Tartu, Estonia <sup>S10</sup>	AF was confirmed by ECG. The mRS assessments were made by the study physician by telephone at 1 year and 4 years after stroke.

AF, atrial fibrillation; BI, Barthel Index; mRS, modified Rankin Scale.



**Supplementary Table S2. Characteristics of Included Studies**

Authors and year of publication	Country and city	Total case	Study type	Population	Female			Male		
					n	No. of AF	%AF	Age	NIHSS	n
Kent 2005 <sup>51</sup>	International	2,178	Randomized controlled trial	IS	988	195	19.7	66.5 (11.5)	13.0 (6.4)	1,190
Lasek-Bal 2014 <sup>52</sup>	Poland	511	Observational clinical cohort study	IS	304	101	33.2	74 (66–81)	7.1	207
Akanksha 2017 <sup>53</sup>	India	203	Population-based registry	IS&AF	83	34	41			120
Yerliot 2011 <sup>54</sup>	Turkey, Istanbul	1,522	Hospital registry data	IS	751	252	33.6	65.1 (15.3)	mRS3–5 11.5%	71
Irie 2015 <sup>55</sup>	Fukuoka, Japan	6,236	Population-based registry	IS	2,398	701	29.2	73.3 (12.3)	4 (2–10)	3,838
Huang 2010 <sup>56</sup>	China	4,782	Population-based registry	IS	1,810	162	9	66.2 (11.8)	2,972	152
Hammetter 2017 <sup>57</sup>	International	8,028	Randomized controlled trial	IS	3,650	1,149	31.7	74 (65–80)	4,398	1,038
Hammetter 2015 <sup>58</sup>	Germany	1,391	Observational clinical cohort study	IS	668	272	40.7	75.3 (12.1)	12 (7–17)	723
Gray 2007 <sup>59</sup>	International	1,268	Randomized controlled trial	IS	574	69	21.3	73.4 (10.7)	Scandinavian Stroke Scale 35 (16)	185
Nakamura 2016 <sup>60</sup>	Fukuoka, Japan	704	Population-based registry	IS&AF	298	42				406
Munoz-Rivas 2015 <sup>521</sup>	Spain	423,475	Observational study using the Spanish National Hospital Database	IS	202,057	66,679	33			221,418
Martin-Merino 2011 <sup>522</sup>	United Kingdom	2,953	Population-based registry	IS	1,455	233	16			1,498
Kongbunkiat 2015 <sup>523</sup>	Thailand	25,319	Observational study using the Thailand national database system	IS/TIA&AF	14,699	58.1				10,620
Giral-Steinhauer 2012 <sup>524</sup>	Barcelona, Spain	439	Hospital registry data	IS/TIA&AF	270	61,503	42			169
Kim 2015 <sup>525</sup>	Seoul, Republic of Korea	98	Hospital registry data	IS/TIA&AF	34	34.7				64
Heuschmann 2004 <sup>526</sup>	Germany	1,3440	Population-based registry	IS	5,049	1,302	25.8	73.2 (13)		5,751
Candellise 1991 <sup>527</sup>	Italy	211	Randomized controlled trial	IS&AF	126	59,715	64			85
Kapral 2006 <sup>528</sup>	Toronto, Canada	586	Hospital registry data	IS	263	31	12	55		323
Kapral 2009 <sup>529</sup>	Toronto, Canada	6,389	Population-based registry	IS	3,096	603,72	19.5			3,293
Lin 2011 <sup>530</sup>	Sichuan, China	366	Hospital registry data	IS&AF	216	59,016	39			150
Lisabeth 2009 <sup>531</sup>	Michigan, United States	461	Hospital registry data	IS/TIA	224	49	21.9			237
Lorenzano 2013 <sup>532</sup>	United States	2,715	Medicare population	IS/TIA	1,522	179,596	11.8			1,193
Marini 2005 <sup>59</sup>	International	45,079	Population-based registry	IS	18,777	5,603	29.8			25,219
Martinez-Sanchez 2011 <sup>534</sup>	L'Aquila, Italy	3,530 <sup>a</sup>	Population-based registry	IS	1,854	529	60.9	AGE IS NOT REPORTED		182
	Madrid, Spain	310	Observational clinical cohort study	IS	128	8	6.3			10

(continued)



Supplementary Table S2. (Continued)

Authors and year of publication	Country and city	Total case	Study type	Population	n	No. of AF	%AF	Age	NIHSS	n	No. of AF	%AF	Age (years)	Male	
														Female	NIHSS
Mcdermott 2017 <sup>535</sup>	Texas, United States	757	Population-based brain attack surveillance	IS	391	68	17.4	72 (60-81)	5	366	45	12.3	65 (57-77)	4	
Meseguer 2009 <sup>536</sup>	Paris, France	274	Hospital registry data	IS&thrombolysis	111	39	35.2	70.6 (16.1)	12 (7-17)	163	38	23.3	62.7 (13.9)	15 (9-19)	
Mirzahi 2011 <sup>537</sup>	Israel	707	Hospital registry data	IS	303	79	26.1			404	47	11.6			
Naaraja 2012 <sup>538</sup>	Detroit, United States	602	Hospital registry data	IS	320	51	15.9			282	34	12.1			
Mirzahi 2012 <sup>539</sup>	Israel	919	Observational clinical cohort study	IS	405	246	60.7	76.2 (7.9)		514	202	39.3	75.3 (8.0)		
Olsen and Andersen 2010 <sup>540</sup>	Denmark	4,0155	National Stroke registry	IS	19,301	3,443	17.8	74.5		20,854	2,860	13.7	69.7		
Paglieni 2013 <sup>541</sup>	Lille, France, and Belgrade, Serbia	734	Observational clinical cohort study	IS&thrombolysis	347	90	25.9			387	65	16.8			
Rodrigue-Campello 2016 <sup>542</sup>	Barcelona, Spain	388	Observational clinical cohort study	IS	140	24	17.1			248	31	12.5			
Silva 2010 <sup>543</sup>	Brazil	676	Hospital registry data	IS	322	81	25.2	72.2 (15.8)	mrs > 35.2%	354	66	18.6	65 (13.9)		
Smith 2005 <sup>544</sup>	Texas, United States	381	Population-based Brain Attack Surveillance Registry	IS	220	28	12.7			161	21	13	mrs > 24%		
Spaander 2017 <sup>545</sup>	Europe	9,495	Population-based registry	IS&thrombolysis	4,155	1,354	32.6	71.9 (14.5)	11 (6-17)	5,208	1,250	24	67 (13.2)	9 (5-16)	
Tafreshi 2010 <sup>546</sup>	San Diego, United States	294	Observational clinical cohort study	IS&thrombolysis	148	51	34.5			146	27	18.5	68		
Tomita 2015 <sup>547</sup>	Japan	355	Observational clinical cohort study	Cardioembolic stroke	157	129	82	80 (8)		198	155	78	75 (9)		
Turaj 2009 <sup>548</sup>	Cracow, Poland	1,488	Observational clinical cohort study	IS	755	216	28.6	70.9 (13.7)	7 (3-13)	733	116	15.8	66.2 (12.7)	5 (3-10)	
Vadlonos 2017 <sup>549</sup>	Israel	1,174	Observational clinical cohort study	IS	509	148	35.1	73.8 (12.6)		665	95	17.2	66.8 (12.7)		
Zhang 2011 <sup>550</sup>	Guangzhou, China	669	Observational clinical cohort study	IS	220	24	10.9	39.5 (6.7)	4 (1-9)	449	20	4.5	39.2 (6.9)	5 (2-8)	
Asdaghi 2016 <sup>551</sup>	Florida, Puerto Rico	51,317	Population-based registry	IS	25,381	4,721	18.6	73 (14.7)		25,936	4,072	15.7	68.8 (13.5)		
Buijs 2016 <sup>552</sup>	Groningen, the Netherlands	887	Hospital registry data	IS&thrombolysis	397	104	26	72 (13.7)	11 (7-16)	490	75	15	67.4 (11.8)	10 (6-16)	
Caso 2010 <sup>553</sup>	Italy	1,136	Observational clinical cohort study	IS	494	110	22	76 (12.9)	9.4 (6.9)	642	108	17	70 (13)	7.6 (6.3)	
de Ridder 2017 <sup>554</sup>	The Netherlands	5,515	Population-based registry	IS	2,778	144	19	74 (13)	6 (3-13)	2,737	153	17	70 (12)	5 (3-10)	
Denti 2013 <sup>555</sup>	Parma, Italy	1,993	Observational clinical cohort study	IS	987	276	28	76.9 (12.7)	Scandinavian Stroke Scale 39 (2-56)	1,006	177	17.5	71.4 (13.3)	Scandinavian Stroke Scale 48 (4-58)	
Dhamoon 2017 <sup>556</sup>	Ontario, Canada	2,5495	Population-based health care database	IS&diabetes	11,902	2,501	21	75.6 (11.5)		13,593	2,113	15.5	71.5 (11.3)		
Kim 2013 <sup>557</sup>	Seoul, Republic of Korea	424	Observational clinical cohort study	IS&AF	176					248			58.5	OR 2.19 (1.18-4.05)	
Chiti 2015 <sup>558</sup>	Pisa, Italy	164	Observational clinical cohort study	IS&AF	99					65			39.6		
Benbir 2007 <sup>559</sup>	Instanbul, Turkey	106	Observational clinical cohort study	IS&AF	65					41			38.7		

(continued)

Supplementary Table S2. (Continued)

Authors and year of publication	Country and city	Total case	Study type	Population	Female			Male			
					n	No. of AF	%AF	Age	NIHSS	n	
Batiurova 2016 <sup>560</sup>	Rochester, United States	55	Observational clinical cohort study	IS&AF	19	35				36	65
Allende 2016 <sup>561</sup>	Salamanca, Spain	94	Observational clinical cohort study	IS&AF	51	54.3	73.2 (14.5)			43	45.7
Haner 2008 <sup>562</sup>	Austria	1,2831	Population-based registry	IS	6,670	2,074.37	31.1			7,161	1,489,488
Etherton 2017 <sup>563</sup>	Boston, United States	319	Observational clinical cohort study	IS	131	28	21.4	68	23	188	20.8
Colello 2018 <sup>564</sup>	South Carolina, United States	663	Hospital registry data	IS	354	96	27.11864			309	52
Niewada 2005 <sup>565</sup>	International	1,7370	Randomized controlled trial	IS	8,003	1,673	20.9	74		9,367	1,321
Perera 2016 <sup>566</sup>	International	2,144	Global registry	Cardioembolic stroke of undetermined source	969	295	30.44376			1,175	295
Sakamoto 2014 <sup>567</sup>	Japan	244	Hospital registry data	IS&AF	124	50,81967				120	49,18033
Salihovic 2010 <sup>568</sup>	Tuzla, Bosnia, and Herzegovina	2,833	Hospital registry data	IS	1,484	329	22.2	67.8 (10.6)	Scandinavian Stroke Scale 31 (15)	190	14.1
Seo 2015 <sup>569</sup>	Seoul, Republic of Korea	1,355	Hospital registry data	IS	521	162	31,09405			834	151
Waldenbjörn 2016 <sup>570</sup>	Stockholm, Sweden	174	Observational clinical cohort study	Cardioembolic stroke/TIA	70	9	12,85714			104	6
Yesilot Barlas 2013 <sup>571</sup>	International	575	Population-based registry	Cardioembolic stroke	245	29	11.8			330	58
Forster 2009 <sup>572</sup>	Mannheim, Germany	237	Observational clinical cohort study	IS&thrombolysis	111	21	18.9	73.9 (15.1)		126	18
Martin 2017 <sup>573</sup>	Florida, United States	221	Hospital registry data	IS&AF	137	61,99095	78.5 (11.8)			84	38,00905
Nezu 2016 <sup>574</sup>	Japan	1,2701	Population-based registry	IS&AF	5,653	44,50831	80.3 (9.4)	14 (5-22)	7,048	33,764	55,49169
Lang 2017 <sup>575</sup>	Austria	6,3553	Population-based registry	IS	29,799	10,581	55.8	46 (2.8)	488	488	44.2
Li 2015 <sup>576</sup>	Tianjing, China	810	Observational clinical cohort study	IS& aged >75 years	383	70	18.3			75	15.4
Min 2017 <sup>577</sup>	Seoul, Republic of Korea	1,053	Observational clinical cohort study	IS	477	40	8.4	70.1 (10.7)		576	41
Jonsson 2015 <sup>578</sup>	Lund, Sweden	155	Observational clinical cohort study	IS&AF	94	61	84.8 (8.1)			61	39
Cuadradó-Godía 2009 <sup>579</sup>	Barcelona, Spain	591	Hospital registry data	IS	273	109	39.9			318	62
Zou 2017 <sup>580</sup>	Heilongjiang, China	1,070	Observational clinical cohort study	IS& aged >75 years	406	36	8.9			536	44
Ong 2017 <sup>581</sup>	Taiwan	4,278	Observational clinical cohort study	IS	1,757	393	22.4	72.2 (12.2)		2,521	373
Hong 2017 <sup>582</sup>	Tianjing, China	951	Population-based registry	IS&AF	433	45,53102	72.6 (8.7)	13 (15)		518	44,6898
Yao 2012 <sup>583</sup>	Shanghai, China	1,027	Observational clinical cohort study	IS	406	30,856	7.6	71.1		621	65
Boehme 2013 <sup>584</sup>	LA, TX, United States	4,925	Hospital registry data	IS	2,372	430	18.2	68 (15-100)	8 (0-40)	2,605	345
Putala 2009 <sup>585</sup>	Helsinki, Finland	1,008	Observational clinical cohort study	IS	380	12	3.2			628	30
Park 2014 <sup>586</sup>	Korea	9,417	Population-based registry	IS	3,958	888	22.4	71.2 (11.9)	6.7 (6.6)	5,459	867

(continued)

Supplementary Table S2. (Continued)

Authors and year of publication	Country and city	Total case	Study type	Population	n	Female			Male					
						No. of AF	%AF	Age	NIHSS	n	No. of AF	%AF	Age (years)	NIHSS
Andersen 2010 <sup>587</sup> Lin 2015 <sup>576</sup>	Denmark	4,0102	Population-based registry	IS	19,207	3369	17.5		20,895	2,742	13.1			
	Taiwan	360	Observational clinical cohort study	IS&AF	140	38,88889			220		61,11111			
Oh 2009 <sup>588</sup>	Seoul, Republic of Korea	18,364	Population-based registry	IS	8,092	1,319	16.3	68.4 (11.8)	10,542	1,386	13.1	63.4 (11.9)	5.4 (5.8)	
Novello 1993 <sup>589</sup> Simpson 2005 <sup>590</sup>	Genova, Switzerland Scotland, United Kingdom	516 1,120	Observational clinical cohort study Population-based registry	IS&AF	266 567	59 50,625	22.2 50.625	80.5 (11)	250	34	13.6	75.7 (9.3)		
Reeves 2009 <sup>591</sup> Sjölander 2012 <sup>592</sup> Yu 2015 <sup>593</sup>	United States Sweden	383,318 18,175	Population-based registry Population-based registry	IS	201,706 8,923	40,807 2,553	20.4 28.6	73.3 77.8	181,612 9,252	28,167 2,373	15.6 25.6	68.5 73.4		
Ghandehari 2008 <sup>594</sup> Morin-Martin 2003 <sup>595</sup>	Canada and Iran	1,484	Observational clinical cohort study	IS&aged >75 years	636	120	18.9		7,75 (0-37)	848	137	16.2		
Tiseo 2017 <sup>596</sup> Towfighi 2013 <sup>597</sup>	Spain United States	10,892	Population-based registry	IS	108	20	18.5			92	5	5.45		
Gattringer 2014 <sup>598</sup> Nathanson 2014 <sup>599</sup>	Austria Stockholm, Sweden	285 605,960	Population-based registry Nationwide inpatient sample	IS&AF IS&thrombolysis	4,248 332,225	909 73,089.5	21.4 22	71 63.85965	6,644 103	751	11.3	69		
Bae 2018 <sup>5100</sup> Barreira 2018 <sup>5101</sup>	Korea United States	47,209	Population-based registry	IS	22,329	7,190	32.3	77.9	4 (2-11)	24,880	5,623	22.7	70.3	
Burzhunova 2018 <sup>5102</sup> Chou 2018 <sup>5103</sup>	Russian Taiwan	355 907	Observational clinical cohort study	IS&thrombolysis	162	44	27.2	76 (67-84)	193	29	15	69 (61-76)		
Christensen and Christensen 2018 <sup>5104</sup> Hung 2018 <sup>5105</sup>	Denmark Taiwan	1,178 403	Population-based registry Observational clinical cohort study	IS&AF	621 71	246,768 51	46 74 (66-79)	67.4 (15.4) 74 (66-79)	729 728	227,864	31.3	62.5 (13.8)	54	
Keller 2018 <sup>5106</sup> Radivojevic 2018 <sup>5107</sup>	Germany Serbia	292,396 226	Observational clinical cohort study	IS	141,801 87	48,495,94 34.8	34.2 40	67.5	150,595 139	39,907,68 31.97	26.5 23	59.5		
McGrath 2013 <sup>5108</sup> Antonenko 2017 <sup>5109</sup>	Canada Asia and Europe	10,528 1,029	Population-based registry Observational clinical cohort study	IS	5,063 561	1,542 75.1 (9)	30.5 8.1 (7.5)		5,465	1,212	22,17749		77.2 (9.7)	9.2 (6.9)

<sup>a</sup>Only 3,530 people with ischemic stroke were included in the analyses after excluding 64 cases without ECG evaluation out of a total of 3,594 cases.



**Supplementary Table S3. Stroke Severity by Sex in the Included Studies**

Authors and year of publication		Women	Men
Kim 2015	Moderate to severe neurological deficit	38.2%	37.5%
Martin 2017	CHADS2, mean (SD)	2.54 (1.12)	2.34 (1.41)
	CHA2DS2-VASC, mean (SD)	4.71 (1.44)	3.45 (1.83)
	mRS4–6	72.3%	46%
Nezu 2016	CHADS2, median (IQR)	2 (1–3)	2 (1–3)
	CHA2DS2-VASC, median (IQR)	4 (3–5)	3 (2–4)
	NIHSS, median (IQR)	14 (5–22)	8 (3–18)
Lang 2017	NIHSS, median (IQR)	9 (4–17)	6 (3–13)
	prestroke mRS0–1	62.4%	76.7%
Hong 2017	NIHSS, mean (SD)	13 (15)	9 (14)
Antonenko 2017	NIHSS, mean (SD)	8.1 (7.5)	9.2 (6.9)

IQR, interquartile range; NIHSS, National Institutes of Health Stroke Scale; SD, standard deviation.

**Supplementary Table S4. Proportion of Antiplatelet Therapy by Sex in the Included Studies**

Authors and year of publication	Women	Men
Tentschert 2004	112 (60%)	76 (40%)
Nakamura 2016	154 (51.7%)	247 (60.8%)
Martin 2017	16 (10.2%)	16 (19.1%)
Nezu 2016	1,356 (24%)	1,980 (28.1%)
Hong 2017	333 (76.9%)	396 (76.4%)
Li 2015	38 (27%)	88 (40%)
Simpson 2005	265 (46.7%)	321 (58.1%)
Reeves 2009	21,927 (88%)	17,159 (89.7%)
Sjolander 2012	352 (13.9%)	458 (19.6%)
Eriksson 2008	434 (48%)	646 (50.6%)
Antonenko 2017	141 (25.5%)	148 (31.9%)



**Supplementary Table S5. Comorbidities by Sex in the Included Studies**

	Authors and year of publication	Women	Men
Hypertension	Martin 2017	124 (90.5%)	65 (77.4%)
	Nezu 2016	3,803 (67.3%)	4,528 (64.3%)
	Lang 2017	9,166 (86.8%)	7,132 (85.1%)
	Hong 2017	299 (69.1%)	317 (61.2%)
	Antonenko 2017	457 (82.3%)	364 (78.3%)
Hyperlipidemia	Martin 2017	55 (40.2%)	33 (39.3%)
	Nezu 2016	1,183 (20.9%)	1,289 (18.3%)
	Lang 2017	4,956 (46.8%)	4,420 (52.7%)
	Hong 2017	129 (29.8%)	107 (20.7%)
	Antonenko 2017	161 (29.2%)	171 (36.9%)
Diabetes	Martin 2017	39 (28.5%)	34 (40.5%)
	Nezu 2016	989 (17.5%)	1,559 (22.1%)
	Lang 2017	2,759 (26.1%)	2,356 (28.1%)
	Hong 2017	114 (26.3%)	124 (23.9%)
	Antonenko 2017	144 (25.9%)	120 (25.8%)
History of stroke/transient ischemic attack	Martin 2017	36 (26.5%)	22 (26.2%)
	Nezu 2016	1,683 (29.8%)	2,237 (31.7%)
	Lang 2017	2,930 (27.7%)	2,386 (28.5%)
	Antonenko 2017	138 (25%)	127 (27.4%)
	Congestive heart failure	17 (12.4%)	16 (19.1%)
History of myocardial infarction	Martin 2017	375 (6.6%)	326 (4.6%)
	Nezu 2016	99 (17.7%)	94 (20.2%)
	Lang 2017	1,061 (10%)	1,265 (15.1%)
	Antonenko 2017	72 (13%)	94 (20.2%)

**Supplementary Table S6. Comorbidities by Sex in the Included Studies**

Authors and year of publication	Outcome	OR (95% CI)/proportion
Tentschert 2004	Vascular events	W vs. M 1.20 (0.80–1.90)
Kongbunkiat 2015	Hospital mortality	W vs. M 1.28 (1.18–1.39)
Giralt-Steinhauer 2012	mRS3–6 at day 90	W vs. M (57% vs. 46%)
Jonsson 2015	Hospital mortality	W vs. M (27% vs. 17%)
	1-year mortality	W vs. M (44% vs. 40%)
	5-year mortality	W vs. M (76% vs. 73%)
Hong 2017	3-month dependency	W vs. M 1.09 (0.80–1.48)
	12-month dependency	W vs. M 1.12 (0.82–1.51)
	36-month dependency	W vs. M 1.64 (1.02–2.64)
Antonenko 2017	36-month recurrence	W vs. M 2.03 (1.28–3.20)
	Mortality at 90 days	W vs. M (11.6% vs. 10.1%)
	mRS3–6 at day 90	W vs. M (57.7% vs. 41.1%)



**Supplementary Table S7. Presence of Atrial Fibrillation Among People with Ischemic Stroke**

Study	Study year	Total		Men AF, n/N (%)	Women AF, n/N (%)
		Baseline N	Mean age, years		
Joinville, Brazil <sup>S110</sup>	09–14	1,555	64.5	36/811 (4.4)	46/744 (6.2)
Melbourne, Australia <sup>S111</sup>	96–99	915	74.7	99/431 (23.0)	131/484 (27.1)
Arcadia, Greece <sup>S112</sup>	93–95	375	75.1	73/212 (34.4)	69/163 (42.3)
Perth, Australia <sup>S113</sup>	99–00	135	76.3	15/65 (23.1)	17/70 (24.3)
Orebro, Sweden <sup>S114</sup>	98–00	274	75.3	26/125 (20.8)	39/149 (26.2)
Porto, Portugal <sup>S115</sup>	02–03	524	71.9	30/219 (13.7)	60/305 (19.7)
Auckland, New Zealand <sup>S116</sup>	09–14	1,015	71.8	103/499 (20.6)	128/516 (24.8)
L'Aquila, Italy <sup>S8</sup>	94–98	3,530 <sup>a</sup>	78.8	340/1,676 (20.3)	529/1,854 (28.5)
Tartu, Estonia <sup>S117</sup>	93–95	322	72.2	40/137 (29.2)	81/195 (41.5)
Total		8,645	72.6	762/4,175 (18.3)	1,100/4,480 (24.6)

<sup>a</sup>Only 3,530 people with ischemic stroke were included in the analyses after excluding 64 cases without ECG evaluation out of a total of 3,594 cases.

**Supplementary Table S8. Characteristics and Long-Term Outcomes of People with Ischemic Stroke and Atrial Fibrillation by Sex in the Joinville, Melbourne, Arcadia, Perth and Orebro Studies**

Study	Joinville (N=82)		Melbourne (N=230)		Arcadia (N=142)		Perth (N=32)		Orebro (N=65)	
	Men, n (%)	Women, n (%)	Men, n (%)	Women, n (%)	Men, n (%)	Women, n (%)	Men, n (%)	Women, n (%)	Men, n (%)	Women, n (%)
Sociodemographic										
Mean (SD) age	<b>69.4 (7.8)</b>	<b>77.8 (9.6)</b>	<b>77.8 (10.0)</b>	<b>82.3 (8.0)</b>	78.4 (9.5)	78.0 (8.9)	79.5 (9.7)	81.8 (9.3)	<b>77.2 (9.1)</b>	<b>82.3 (6.4)</b>
Marital status	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Single/widowed										
Married										
Unknown										
Education level										
≤Grade 12	34 (91.4)	46 (100.0)	<b>46 946.5</b>	<b>65 (49.6)</b>	—	—	—	14 (82.4)	<b>9 (34.6)</b>	<b>27 (69.2)</b>
>Grade 12	2 (5.6)	0 (0)	<b>49 (46.5)</b>	<b>64 (48.9)</b>	4 (1)	2 (1.5)	1 (6.7)	3 (17.7)	<b>17 (65.4)</b>	<b>11 (28.2)</b>
Unknown	0 (0)	0 (0)						0 (0)	<b>0 (0)</b>	<b>1 (2.6)</b>
Social class										
Professional	—	—	<b>39 (39.4)</b>	<b>36 (27.5)</b>	—	—	—	<b>3 (20.0)</b>	<b>0 (0)</b>	—
Nonmanual			7 (7.1)	16 (12.2)				<b>4 (23.5)</b>	<b>4 (23.5)</b>	—
Manual			48 (48.5)	46 (35.1)				<b>5 (33.3)</b>	<b>3 (17.7)</b>	—
Unknown			5 (5.1)	33 (25.2)				<b>7 (46.7)</b>	<b>10 (58.8)</b>	—
Prestroke health										
In an institution	—	—	13 (13.1)	22 (16.8)	—	—	—	2 (13.3)	1 (5.9)	—
Yes			85 (85.9)	108 (82.4)	1 (0.8)	0 (0)	0 (0)	13 (86.7)	15 (88.2)	—
No			1 (1.0)	1 (0.8)				0 (0)	1 (5.9)	0 (0)
Unknown										
Modified Rankin score										
0-2	—	—	—	—	73 (100.0)	68 (95.6)	11 (73.3)	8 (47.1)	1 (3.9)	—
3-5					0 (0)	1 (1.5)	3 (20.0)	6 (35.3)	25 (96.2)	—
Unknown					0 (0)	0 (0)	1 (6.7)	3 (17.7)	0 (0)	34 (87.2)
BI score										
20	—	—	20 (20.2)	29 (22.1)	—	—	—	11 (73.3)	8 (47.1)	19 (12.8)
<20			46 (46.5)	56 (42.8)	46 (35.1)	—	—	3 (20.0)	6 (35.3)	1 (2.6)
Unknown			33 (32.3)	—	—			1 (6.7)	3 (17.7)	9 (34.6)
Mean (SD) modified Rankin score	—	—	—	—	0.4 (0.6)	0.6 (0.8)	1.4 (1.5)	1.5 (1.5)	—	19 (48.7)
Mean (SD) BI	—	—	18.2 (4.2)	18.4 (3.6)	—	—	18.5 (3.0)	19.1 (1.3)	20.0 (0)	19.9 (0.22)
Medical history										
Hypertension										
Yes	30 (83.3)	35 (76.1)	61 (61.6)	82 (62.6)	58 (79.5)	59 (85.5)	7 (46.7)	10 (58.8)	7 (41.2)	16 (41.0)
No	6 (16.7)	11 (23.9)	37 (37.4)	47 (35.9)	15 (20.6)	10 (14.5)	7 (46.7)	7 (41.2)	0 (0)	22 (56.4)
Unknown	0 (0)	1 (0)	1 (1.0)	2 (1.5)	0 (0)	0 (0)	1 (6.7)	0 (0)	2 (7.7)	1 (2.6)
Ischemic heart disease										
Yes	6 (16.7)	4 (8.7)	26 (26.3)	23 (17.6)	15 (20.6)	15 (21.7)	5 (33.3)	3 (17.7)	2 (7.7)	7 (18.0)
No	30 (83.3)	42 (91.3)	73 (73.7)	107 (81.7)	58 (79.5)	54 (78.3)	10 (66.7)	14 (82.4)	24 (92.3)	32 (82.1)
Unknown	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	1 (0.8)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)
Peripheral vascular disease										
Yes	—	—	<b>24 (24.2)</b>	<b>8 (6.1)</b>	3 (4.1)	<b>5 (7.3)</b>	—	—	<b>9 (34.6)</b>	<b>18 (46.2)</b>
No			<b>74 (74.8)</b>	<b>121 (92.4)</b>	70 (95.9)	64 (92.8)	0 (0)	0 (0)	17 (65.4)	21 (53.9)
Unknown			<b>1 (1.0)</b>	<b>2 (1.5)</b>					1 (0.7)	1 (0.7)

(continued)

Supplementary Table S8. (Continued)

Study	Joinville (N=82)			Melbourne (N=230)			Arcadia (N=142)			Perth (N=32)			Orebro (N=65)		
	Men, n (%)	Women, n (%)	Men, n (%)	Women, n (%)	Men, n (%)	Women, n (%)	Men, n (%)	Women, n (%)	Men, n (%)	Women, n (%)	Men, n (%)	Women, n (%)	Men, n (%)	Women, n (%)	Men, n (%)
Transient ischemic attack															
Yes	0 (0)	1 (2.2)	10 (10.1)	12 (9.2)	20 (27.4)	13 (18.8)	<b>6 (40.0)</b>	<b>0 (0)</b>	<b>7 (26.9)</b>	<b>5 (12.8)</b>					
No	36 (100)	45 (97.8)	87 (87.9)	119 (90.8)	53 (72.6)	56 (81.2)	<b>7 (46.7)</b>	<b>15 (88.2)</b>	<b>19 (73.1)</b>	<b>34 (87.2)</b>					
Unknown	0 (0)	0 (2.0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	<b>2 (13.3)</b>	<b>2 (11.8)</b>	<b>0 (0)</b>	<b>0 (0)</b>					
Diabetes															
Yes	—	—	18 (18.2)	20 (15.3)	21 (28.8)	24 (34.8)	5 (33.3)	2 (11.8)	5 (19.2)	4 (10.3)					
No			81 (81.8)	111 (84.7)	52 (71.2)	45 (65.2)	10 (66.7)	15 (88.2)	20 (76.9)	34 (87.2)					
Unknown			0 (0.0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	1 (3.9)	1 (2.6)					
Dementia															
Yes	—	—	9 (9.1)	17 (13.0)	—	—	—	—	—	—					
No			68 (68.7)	83 (63.4)	31 (23.7)	—	—	—	—	—					
Unknown			22 (22.2)	31 (23.7)	—	—	—	—	—	—					
Smoking															
Never	7 (19.4)	<b>36 (78.3)</b>	<b>29 (29.3)</b>	<b>70 (53.4)</b>	<b>53 (72.6)</b>	<b>68 (98.6)</b>	<b>2 (13.3)</b>	<b>11 (64.7)</b>	<b>18 (69.2)</b>	<b>33 (84.6)</b>					
Current	<b>24 (66.7)</b>	<b>9 (19.6)</b>	<b>54 (54.6)</b>	<b>35 (26.7)</b>	<b>20 (27.4)</b>	<b>1 (1.5)</b>	<b>8 (53.3)</b>	<b>0 (0)</b>	<b>5 (19.2)</b>	<b>6 (15.4)</b>					
Former	<b>5 (13.9)</b>	<b>1 (2.2)</b>	<b>8 (8.1)</b>	<b>6 (4.6)</b>	<b>—</b>	<b>—</b>	<b>0 (0)</b>	<b>1 (5.9)</b>	<b>—</b>	<b>—</b>					
Unknown	<b>0 (0)</b>	<b>0 (0)</b>	<b>8 (8.1)</b>	<b>20 (15.3)</b>	<b>—</b>	<b>—</b>	<b>5 (33.3)</b>	<b>4 (23.5)</b>	<b>3 (11.5)</b>	<b>0 (0)</b>					
Alcohol use															
Nondrinkers	<b>23 (63.9)</b>	<b>41 (89.1)</b>	<b>10 (10.1)</b>	<b>57 (43.5)</b>	<b>58 (79.5)</b>	<b>67 (97.1)</b>	<b>4 (26.7)</b>	<b>8 (47.1)</b>	<b>—</b>	<b>—</b>					
Not heavy drinkers	<b>9 (25.0)</b>	<b>5 (10.9)</b>	<b>59 (59.6)</b>	<b>48 (36.6)</b>	<b>—</b>	<b>—</b>	<b>0 (0)</b>	<b>3 (17.7)</b>							
Heavy drinkers	<b>4 (11.1)</b>	<b>0 (0)</b>	<b>3 (3.0)</b>	<b>1 (0.8)</b>	<b>15 (20.6)</b>	<b>2 (2.9)</b>	<b>3 (20.0)</b>	<b>1 (5.9)</b>							
Ex-drinkers	<b>—</b>	<b>—</b>	<b>9 (9.1)</b>	<b>1 (0.8)</b>	<b>—</b>	<b>—</b>	<b>0 (0)</b>	<b>0 (0)</b>							
Unknown	<b>0 (0)</b>	<b>0 (0)</b>	<b>18 (18.2)</b>	<b>24 (18.3)</b>	<b>—</b>	<b>—</b>	<b>8 (53.3)</b>	<b>5 (29.4)</b>							
Stroke-related factors															
Hospital admission															
Yes	36 (100)	46 (100)	97 (98.0)	129 (98.5)	72 (98.6)	67 (97.1)	12 (80.0)	11 (64.7)							
No	0 (0)	0 (0)	2 (2.0)	2 (1.5)	1 (1.4)	2 (2.9)	3 (20.0)	6 (35.3)							
Time to arrive at hospital															
<4.5 hours	13 (36.1)	29 (63.0)	22 (22.2)	30 (22.9)	—	—	—	2 (13.3)	3 (17.7)	—					
>4.5–24 hours	14 (38.9)	8 (17.4)	4 (4.0)	12 (9.2)	—	—	—	0 (0)	1 (5.9)	—					
>24 hours	7 (19.4)	5 (10.9)	5 (5.1)	4 (3.1)	—	—	0 (0)	0 (0)	3 (17.7)	—					
Unknown	2 (5.6)	4 (8.7)	68 (68.7)	85 (64.9)	—	—	13 (96.7)	10 (58.8)	—	—					
Stroke severity															
Mean (SD) NIHSS	<b>7.1 (7.7)</b>	<b>11.8 (8.2)</b>	<b>10.0 (8.6)</b>	<b>10.1 (8.5)</b>	<b>—</b>	<b>—</b>	<b>—</b>	<b>9.4 (7.7)</b>	<b>6.9 (7.4)</b>	<b>7.2 (6.7)</b>	<b>11.4 (9.6)</b>				
Median (IQR) NIHSS	<b>4.0 (2.0–9.0)</b>	<b>11.0 (4.0–18.0)</b>	<b>8.0 (3.0–13.0)</b>	<b>8.0 (3.0–16.0)</b>	<b>—</b>	<b>—</b>	<b>—</b>	<b>6.5 (4.5–13.5)</b>	<b>4.0 (0–13.0)</b>	<b>6.0 (3.0–9.0)</b>	<b>8.0 (3.0–19.0)</b>				
Mean (SD) GCS, reversed															
Mean (IQR) GCS, reversed															
Loss of consciousness															
Yes	—	—	24 (24.2)	34 (26.0)	5 (6.9)	10 (14.5)	—	—	—	—					
No			53 (53.5)	65 (49.6)	67 (9.8)	57 (82.6)	—	—	—	—					
Unknown			22 (22.2)	32 (24.4)	1 (1.4)	2 (2.9)	—	—	—	—					

(continued)



Supplementary Table S8. (Continued)

Study	Joinville (N=82)		Melbourne (N=230)		Arcadia (N=142)		Perth (N=32)		Orebro (N=65)	
	Men, n (%)	Women, n (%)	Men, n (%)	Women, n (%)	Men, n (%)	Women, n (%)	Men, n (%)	Women, n (%)	Men, n (%)	Women, n (%)
<b>Medications at discharge</b>										
Antiplatelet agents	—	—	21 (21.2) <b>78 (78.8)</b> 0 (0)	45 (34.4) <b>86 (65.7)</b> 0 (0)	—	—	—	—	—	—
Yes	—	—	30 (30.3) 69 (69.7) 0 (0)	36 (27.5) 95 (72.5) 0 (0)	—	—	6 (40.0) 6 (40.0) 3 (20.0)	3 (17.7) 8 (47.1) 6 (35.3)	—	—
No	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Unknown	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Anticoagulant agent	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Yes	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
No	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Unknown	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
<b>Outcome</b>										
1-year mortality	6 (16.7) <b>30 (83.3)</b> 0 (0)	20 (43.5) <b>26 (56.5)</b> 0 (0)	42 (42.4) 57 (57.6) 0 (0)	55 (42.0) 76 (58.0) 0 (0)	32 (43.8) 40 (54.8) 1 (1.4)	28 (40.6) 39 (56.5) 2 (2.9)	5 (33.3) 10 (66.7) 0 (0)	6 (35.3) 11 (64.7) 0 (0)	9 (34.6) 17 (65.4) 0 (0)	19 (48.7) 20 (51.3) 0 (0)
Yes	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
No	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Missing	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
5-year mortality	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Yes	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
No	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Missing	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
<b>Poor 1-year functional outcome<sup>a</sup></b>										
Yes (mRS >2/Bl <20)	3 (10.0) <b>26 (86.7)</b> 1 (3.3)	8 (30.8) <b>14 (53.9)</b> 4 (15.4)	19 (33.3) 19 (33.3) 19 (33.3)	28 (36.4) 13 (16.9) 36 (46.8)	13 (32.5) 26 (65.0) 1 (2.5)	17 (43.6) 20 (51.3) 2 (5.1)	—	—	6 (35.3) 11 (64.7) 0 (0)	10 (50.0) 10 (50.0) 0 (0)
No (mRS ≤2/Bl =20)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Unknown	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
<b>Poor 5-year functional outcome<sup>a</sup></b>										
Yes (mRS >2/Bl <20)	—	—	—	—	15 (41.7) 14 (38.9) 7 (19.4)	19 (48.7) 14 (35.9) 6 (15.4)	—	—	—	—
No (mRS ≤2/Bl =20)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Unknown	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—

Bold denotes statistically significant results.

<sup>a</sup>Among survivors only.

GCS, Glasgow Coma Scale.



**Supplementary Table S9. Characteristics and Long-Term Outcomes of People with Ischemic Stroke and Atrial Fibrillation by Sex in the Porto, Auckland, L'Aquila, and Tartu Studies**

Study	Porto (N=90)		Auckland (N=231)		L'Aquila (N=869)		Tartu (N=121)	
	Men, n (%)	Women, n (%)	Men, n (%)	Women, n (%)	Men, n (%)	Women, n (%)	Men, n (%)	Women, n (%)
<b>Sociodemographic</b>								
Mean (SD) Age	<b>74.6 (11.0)</b>	<b>78.6 (7.7)</b>	75.5 (9.8)	77.7 (12.4)	<b>77.1 (9.1)</b>	<b>79.9 (8.5)</b>	<b>73.5 (7.7)</b>	<b>77.1 (8.3)</b>
Marital status								
Single/widowed	—	—	37 (35.9)	80 (62.5)	—	—	—	—
Married			65 (63.1)	46 (35.9)				
Unknown			1 (1.0)	2 (1.6)				
Education level								
≤Grade 12	<b>24 (80.0)</b>	<b>33 (55.0)</b>	40 (38.8)	69 (53.9)	—	—	—	—
>Grade 12	<b>1 (3.3)</b>	<b>0 (0)</b>	34 (33.0)	34 (26.6)	—	—	—	—
Unknown	<b>5 (16.7)</b>	<b>27 (45.0)</b>	29 (28.2)	25 (19.5)				
Social class								
Professional	3 (10.0)	1 (1.7)	<b>16 (15.5)</b>	<b>13 (10.2)</b>	—	—	—	—
Nonmanual	27 (90.0)	53 (88.3)	<b>21 (20.4)</b>	<b>33 (25.8)</b>				
Manual	0 (0)	3 (5.0)	<b>41 (39.8)</b>	<b>26 (20.3)</b>				
Unknown	0 (0)	3 (5.0)	<b>25 (24.3)</b>	<b>56 (43.8)</b>				
Prestroke health								
In an institution								
Yes	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
No								
Unknown								
Modified Rankin score								
0–2	27 (90.0)	45 (75.0)	—	—	—	—	28 (70.0)	63 (77.8)
3–5	3 (10.0)	9 (15.0)	—	—	—	—	3 (7.5)	7 (8.6)
Unknown	0 (0)	6 (10.0)	—	—	—	—	9 (22.5)	11 (13.6)
BI score								
20	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
<20								
Unknown								
Mean (SD) modified Rankin score	<b>0.6 (1.0)</b>	<b>1.2 (1.4)</b>	—	—	—	—	1.2 (1.0)	1.3 (0.9)
Mean (SD) BI	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
<b>Medical history</b>								
Hypertension								
Yes	17 (56.7)	40 (66.7)	66 (64.1)	79 (61.7)	<b>194 (57.1)</b>	<b>341 (64.5)</b>	<b>17 (42.5)</b>	<b>50 (61.7)</b>
No	13 (43.3)	20 (33.3)	33 (32.0)	40 (31.3)	<b>144 (42.4)</b>	<b>183 (34.6)</b>	<b>23 (57.7)</b>	<b>31 (38.3)</b>
Unknown	0 (0)	0 (0)	4 (3.9)	9 (7.0)	<b>2 (0.6)</b>	<b>5 (0.9)</b>	<b>0 (0)</b>	<b>0 (0)</b>
Ischemic heart disease								
Yes	4 (13.3)	10 (16.7)	43 (41.8)	41 (32.0)	122 (35.9)	175 (33.1)	25 (62.50)	46 (56.8)
No	26 (86.7)	50 (83.3)	59 (57.3)	87 (68.0)	214 (62.9)	342 (64.7)	15 (37.5)	35 (43.2)
Unknown	0 (0)	0 (0)	1 (1.0)	0 (0)	4 (1.2)	12 (2.3)	0 (0)	0 (0)
Peripheral vascular disease								
Yes	<b>1 (3.3)</b>	<b>1 (1.7)</b>	—	—	55 (16.2)	91 (17.2)	—	—
No	<b>28 (93.3)</b>	<b>42 (70.0)</b>	—	—	282 (82.9)	422 (79.8)		
Unknown	<b>1 (3.3)</b>	<b>17 (28.3)</b>	—	—	3 (0.9)	16 (3.0)		
Transient ischemic attack								
Yes	2 (6.7)	6 (10.0)	—	—	35 (10.3)	45 (8.5)	2 (5.0)	3 (3.7)
No	28 (93.3)	54 (90.0)	—	—	298 (87.7)	464 (87.7)	38 (95.0)	78 (96.3)
Unknown	0 (0)	0 (0)	—	—	7 (2.1)	9 (3.8)	0 (0)	0 (0)
Diabetes								
Yes	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
No								
Unknown								
Dementia								
Yes	—	—	3 (2.9)	1 (0.8)	—	—	—	—
No			99 (96.1)	125 (97.7)				
Unknown			1 (1.0)	2 (1.6)				

(continued)



**Supplementary Table S9. (Continued)**

Study	Porto (N=90)		Auckland (N=231)		L'Aquila (N=869)		Tartu (N=121)	
	Men, n (%)	Women, n (%)	Men, n (%)	Women, n (%)	Men, n (%)	Women, n (%)	Men, n (%)	Women, n (%)
<b>Smoking</b>								
Never	<b>19 (63.3)</b>	<b>60 (100.0)</b>	<b>31 (30.1)</b>	<b>62 (48.4)</b>	<b>159 (46.8)</b>	<b>461 (87.2)</b>	—	—
Current	<b>9 (30.0)</b>	<b>0 (0)</b>	<b>57 (55.3)</b>	<b>47 (36.7)</b>	<b>150 (44.1)</b>	<b>14 (2.7)</b>	—	—
Former	<b>2 (6.7)</b>	<b>0 (0)</b>	<b>8 (7.8)</b>	<b>8 (6.3)</b>	—	—	—	—
Unknown	<b>0 (0)</b>	<b>0 (0)</b>	<b>7 (6.8)</b>	<b>11 (8.6)</b>	<b>31 (9.1)</b>	<b>64 (10.2)</b>	—	—
<b>Alcohol use</b>								
Nondrinkers	<b>12 (40.0)</b>	<b>31 (51.7)</b>	<b>26 (25.2)</b>	<b>64 (50.0)</b>	—	—	—	—
Not heavy drinkers	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Heavy drinkers	<b>14 (46.7)</b>	<b>10 (16.7)</b>	<b>49 (47.6)</b>	<b>37 (28.9)</b>	—	—	—	—
Ex-drinkers	—	—	<b>13 (12.6)</b>	<b>15 (11.7)</b>	—	—	—	—
Unknown	<b>4 (13.3)</b>	<b>19 (31.7)</b>	<b>15 (14.6)</b>	<b>12 (9.4)</b>	—	—	—	—
<b>Stroke-related factors</b>								
<b>Hospital admission</b>								
Yes	29 (96.7)	60 (100.0)	102 (99.0)	127 (99.2)	327 (96.2)	508 (96.0)	37 (92.5)	78 (96.3)
No	1 (3.3)	0 (0)	1 (1.0)	1 (0.8)	21 (96.0)	21 (4.0)	3 (7.5)	3 (3.7)
<b>Time to arrive at hospital</b>								
≤4.5 hours	13 (43.3)	30 (50.0)	77 (74.8)	108 (84.4)	—	—	11 (27.5)	29 (35.8)
>4.5–24 hours	14 (46.7)	25 (41.7)	—	—	—	—	3 (7.5)	3 (3.7)
>24 hours	1 (3.3)	0 (0)	11 (10.7)	8 (6.3)	—	—	0 (0)	1 (1.2)
Unknown	2 (6.7)	5 (8.3)	15 (14.6)	12 (9.4)	—	—	26 (65.0)	48 (59.3)
<b>Stroke severity</b>								
Mean (SD) NIHSS	—	—	—	—	—	—	10.4 (7.8)	11.7 (9.7)
Median (IQR) NIHSS	—	—	—	—	—	—	8.0 (4.0–19.0)	12.0 (4.0–19.0)
Mean (SD) GCS, reversed	—	—	2.2 (2.5)	2.7 (2.6)	—	—	—	—
Mean (IQR) GCS, reversed	—	—	1.0 (1.0–2.0)	1.0 (1.0–3.0)	—	—	—	—
<b>Loss of consciousness</b>								
Yes	0 (0)	3 (5.0)	32 (31.1)	45 (35.2)	118 (34.7)	180 (34.0)	—	—
No	30 (100)	57 (95.0)	69 (67.0)	77 (60.2)	215 (63.2)	339 (64.1)	—	—
Unknown	0 (0)	0 (0)	2 (1.9)	6 (4.7)	7 (2.1)	10 (1.9)	—	—
<b>Medications at discharge</b>								
<b>Antiplatelet agents</b>								
Yes	21 (70.0)	35 (58.3)	65 (63.1)	89 (69.5)	—	—	—	—
No	9 (30.0)	25 (41.7)	38 (36.9)	37 (28.9)	—	—	—	—
Unknown	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	—	—	—	—
<b>Anticoagulant agent</b>								
Yes	—	—	35 (34.0)	32 (25.0)	—	—	—	—
No	—	—	68 (66.0)	94 (73.4)	—	—	—	—
Unknown	—	—	0 (0)	2 (1.6)	—	—	—	—
<b>Outcome</b>								
<b>1-year mortality</b>								
Yes	10 (33.3)	37 (61.7)	31 (30.1)	47 (36.7)	1,557 (46.2)	270 (51.0)	20 (50.0)	47 (58.0)
No	20 (66.8)	23 (38.3)	72 (69.9)	81 (63.3)	183 (53.8)	259 (49.0)	20 (50.0)	34 (42.0)
Missing	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)
<b>5-year mortality</b>								
Yes	20 (66.7)	42 (70.0)	42 (40.8)	64 (50.0)	240 (70.6)	378 (71.5)	—	—
No	10 (33.3)	18 (30.0)	61 (59.2)	64 (50.0)	100 (29.4)	151 (28.5)	—	—
Missing	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	—	—
<b>Poor 1-year functional outcome<sup>a</sup></b>								
Yes (mRS >2/BI <20)	11 (55.0)	14 (60.9)	—	—	—	—	6 (30.0)	13 (38.2)
No (mRS ≤2/BI = 20)	9 (45.0)	9 (39.1)	—	—	—	—	8 (40.0)	18 (52.9)
Unknown	0 (0)	0 (0)	—	—	—	—	6 (30.0)	3 (8.8)
<b>Poor 5-year functional outcome<sup>a</sup></b>								
Yes (mRS >2/BI <20)	7 (70.0)	14 (77.8)	3 (4.9)	10 (15.6)	—	—	—	—
No (mRS ≤2/BI = 20)	3 (30.0)	2 (11.1)	13 (21.3)	12 (18.8)	—	—	—	—
Unknown	0 (0)	2 (11.1)	45 (43.7)	43 (65.6)	—	—	—	—

Bold denotes statistically significant results.

<sup>a</sup>Among survivors only.



**Supplementary Table S10. Outcomes of Nine Included Cohort Studies in Patients with Ischemic Stroke and Atrial Fibrillation, by Sex**

1-year mortality (nine studies)			
Yes	312 (40.9)	529 (48.1)	<b>0.002</b>
No	449 (58.9)	569 (51.7)	
Missing	1 (0.1)	2 (0.2)	
5-year mortality (five studies)			
Yes	378 (63.2)	604 (68.1)	0.051
No	220 (36.8)	283 (31.9)	
Poor 1-year functional outcome <sup>a</sup> (six studies)			
Yes (mRS >2/BI <20)	58 (31.5)	90 (41.3)	<b>0.007</b>
No (mRS ≤2/BI = 20)	99 (53.8)	83 (38.1)	
Unknown	27 (14.7)	45 (20.6)	
Poor 5-year functional outcome <sup>a</sup> (three studies)			
Yes (mRS >2/BI <20)	25 (23.4)	43 (35.5)	0.133
No (mRS ≤2/BI = 20)	30 (28.0)	28 (23.1)	
Unknown	52 (48.6)	50 (41.3)	

Bold denotes statistical significance.

<sup>a</sup>Among survivors only.

**Supplementary Table S11. Mortality Rate Ratio Between Women and Men with Ischemic Stroke and Atrial Fibrillation at 1 Year After Stroke in Crude Models and Models with Adjustment for Age, Severity, and Prestroke Dependency**

Study	Total N	N <sup>a</sup>	Unadjusted		Adjusted for age		Adjusted for severity		Adjusted for prestroke dependency	
			MRR (95% CI)		MRR (95% CI)	Δ% <sup>b</sup>	MRR (95% CI)	Δ% <sup>b</sup>	MRR (95% CI)	Δ% <sup>b</sup>
Joinville	82	82	<b>3.42 (1.29–9.06)</b>		<b>3.52 (1.18–10.54)</b>	–2	1.32 (0.43–4.09)	77	—	—
Melbourne	230	230	0.95 (0.60–1.50)		0.64 (0.39–1.07)	–770	0.81 (0.43–1.55)	–310	0.86 (0.53–1.38)	–194
Arcadia	142	139	0.90 (0.53–1.51)		0.94 (0.57–1.57)	41	0.75 (0.42–1.35)	–173	—	—
Perth	32	32	1.25 (0.33–4.73)		1.02 (0.26–4.03)	91	1.70 (0.38–7.51)	–138	1.24 (0.33–4.70)	4
Orebro	65	65	1.87 (0.79–4.44)		1.47 (0.59–3.67)	38	1.46 (0.56–3.82)	40	1.53 (0.60–3.94)	32
Porto	90	90	<b>2.79 (1.24–6.31)</b>		<b>2.46 (1.09–5.56)</b>	12	<b>2.58 (1.14–5.85)</b>	8	2.32 (0.99–5.47)	18
Auckland	231	179	1.07 (0.61–1.88)		0.95 (0.53–1.69)	176	0.83 (0.43–1.57)	376	1.02 (0.57–1.83)	71
L'Aquila	869	852	<b>1.19 (1.03–1.38)</b>		1.12 (0.97–1.28)	35	<b>1.20 (1.04–1.38)</b>	–5	—	—
Tartu	121	111	1.19 (0.84–1.69)		1.12 (0.78–1.61)	35	1.10 (0.82–1.47)	45	1.16 (0.82–1.64)	15
Pooled	1,862	1,780	<b>1.24 (1.01–1.51)</b>		1.12 (0.89–1.39)	47	1.14 (0.98–1.32)	39	1.14 (0.90–1.43)	39
			$\chi^2 = 33.1\%$ $p = 0.153$		$\chi^2 = 40.3\%$ $p = 0.099$		$\chi^2 = 9.2\%$ $p = 0.358$		$\chi^2 = 0.0\%$ $p = 0.470$	

Bold denotes statistically significant results.

<sup>a</sup>The sample size was the same among the unadjusted model, age-adjusted model, and other adjusted models.

<sup>b</sup>% change of coefficient of sex difference in mortality rate ratio that was calculated by the formula (unadjusted  $\beta$  – adjusted  $\beta$ ) / unadjusted  $\beta \times 100$ . CI, confidence interval; MRR, mortality rate ratio.



**Supplementary Table S12. Subgroup Analyses of Sex Difference in Mortality at 1 Year After Stroke Among Those with Ischemic Stroke and Atrial Fibrillation by the Availability of Person-Years of Follow-up**

Person-years	No. of studies	$I^2$ (%)	Unadjusted		Adjusted <sup>a</sup>		
			P <sup>H</sup>	MRR (95% CI)	P <sub>subgroup</sub>	$I^2$ (%)	P <sup>H</sup>
Actual	7	49.6	0.064	1.38 (0.95–2.01)	0.731	0.0	0.423
Estimated	2 <sup>a</sup>	0.0	0.994	1.19 (1.04–1.36)		0.0	0.675

<sup>a</sup>The L'Aquila and Tartu studies.

**Supplementary Table S13. Mortality Rate Ratio Between Women and Men with Ischemic Stroke and Atrial Fibrillation at 5 Years After Stroke in Crude Models and Models with Adjustment for Age, Severity, and Prestroke Dependency**

Study	Total N	N <sup>a</sup>	Unadjusted		Adjusted for age		Adjusted for severity		Adjusted for prestroke dependency	
			MRR (95% CI)		MRR (95% CI)	Δ% <sup>b</sup>	MRR (95% CI)	Δ% <sup>b</sup>	MRR (95% CI)	Δ% <sup>b</sup>
Melbourne	230	230	1.20 (0.80–1.78)		0.82 (0.52–1.29)	209	0.94 (0.59–1.50)	134	1.19 (0.77–1.83)	5
Orebro	65	65	2.13 (0.95–4.78)		1.69 (0.71–4.04)	31	1.91 (0.81–4.48)	14	1.89 (0.81–4.40)	16
Porto	90	90	1.41 (0.70–2.82)		1.13 (0.54–2.39)	64	1.31 (0.65–2.63)	21	1.01 (0.52–1.99)	8
Auckland	231	179	1.13 (0.71–1.78)		1.01 (0.64–1.60)	92	0.93 (0.57–1.53)	159	1.09 (0.69–1.73)	29
L'Aquila	869	852	1.11 (1.01–1.21)		1.05 (0.97–1.14)	53	1.11 (1.02–1.21)	0	—	—
Pooled	1,485	564	1.12 (1.03–1.22)		1.05 (0.96–1.13)	57	1.07 (0.81–1.43)	40	1.18 (0.90–1.54)	-46
			$I^2=0.0\%$	$p=0.556$	$I^2=0.0\%$	$p=0.670$	$I^2=0.0\%$	$p=0.446$	$I^2=0.0\%$	$p=0.678$

Bold denotes statistically significant results.

<sup>a</sup>The sample size was the same among the unadjusted model, age-adjusted model, and fully adjusted model.

<sup>b</sup>% change of coefficient of sex difference in mortality rate ratio that was calculated by the formula (unadjusted  $\beta$ –adjusted  $\beta$ )/unadjusted  $\beta \times 100$ .

**Supplementary Table S14. Relative Risk of Having Poorer Functional Outcome for Women Survivors with Ischemic Stroke and Atrial Fibrillation Compared with Men at 1 Year After Stroke in Crude Models and Models with Adjustment for Age, Severity, and Prestroke Dependency**

Study	Total N	N <sup>a</sup>	Unadjusted		Adjusted for age		Adjusted for severity		Adjusted for prestroke dependency	
			RR (95% CI)		RR (95% CI)	Δ% <sup>b</sup>	RR (95% CI)	Δ% <sup>b</sup>	RR (95% CI)	Δ% <sup>b</sup>
Joinville	51	51	<b>3.52 (1.04–11.9)</b>		1.43 (0.45–4.51)	72	3.09 (0.74–12.9)	10	—	—
Melbourne	78	74	1.40 (0.96–2.05)		1.25 (0.86–1.82)	34	1.34 (0.93–1.94)	13	<b>1.55 (1.02–2.34)</b>	-30
Arcadia	76	76	1.38 (0.78–2.43)		1.40 (0.79–2.45)	-5	1.42 (0.81–2.46)	-9	—	—
Orebro	37	37	1.42 (0.64–3.12)		1.04 (0.52–2.10)	89	1.32 (0.65–2.68)	21	1.49 (0.68–3.27)	-14
Porto	43	43	1.11 (0.66–1.86)		1.15 (0.69–1.92)	-34	1.11 (0.66–1.86)	0	1.06 (0.65–1.72)	44
Tartu	45	41	0.95 (0.44–2.05)		0.84 (0.41–1.72)	-240	0.84 (0.39–1.83)	-240	0.82 (0.40–1.67)	-287
Pooled	330	322	<b>1.33 (1.05–1.68)</b>		1.19 (0.94–1.49)	39	<b>1.28 (1.01–1.61)</b>	13	1.24 (0.96–1.61)	25
			$I^2=0.0\%$	$p=0.582$	$I^2=0.0\%$	$p=0.906$	$I^2=0.0\%$	$p=0.692$	$I^2=0.0\%$	$p=0.431$

Bold denotes statistically significant results.

<sup>a</sup>The sample size was the same among the unadjusted model, age-adjusted model, and fully adjusted model.

<sup>b</sup>% change of coefficient of sex difference in mortality rate ratio that was calculated by the formula (unadjusted  $\beta$ –adjusted  $\beta$ )/unadjusted  $\beta \times 100$ .

RR, relative risk.

**Supplementary Table S15. Relative Risk of Having Poorer Functional Outcome for Women Survivors with Ischemic Stroke and Atrial Fibrillation Compared with Men at 5 Years After Stroke in Crude Models and Models with Adjustment for Age, Severity, and Prestroke Dependency**

Study	Total N	N <sup>a</sup>	Unadjusted		Adjusted for age		Adjusted for severity		Adjusted for prestroke dependency	
			RR (95% CI)		RR (95% CI)	Δ% <sup>b</sup>	RR (95% CI)	Δ% <sup>b</sup>	RR (95% CI)	Δ% <sup>b</sup>
Melbourne	62	62	1.11 (0.70–1.77)		1.01 (0.64–1.60)	90	1.11 (0.70–1.77)	0	1.15 (0.69–1.91)	-34
Porto	26	26	1.25 (0.79–1.97)		1.27 (0.82–2.00)	-7	1.25 (0.79–1.97)	0	1.27 (0.81–2.00)	-7
Auckland	38	27	2.35 (0.60–9.20)		1.80 (0.45–7.22)	82	2.29 (0.58–9.06)	77	1.79 (0.42–7.63)	76
Pooled	126	115	1.22 (0.89–1.68)		1.17 (0.86–1.58)	21	1.22 (0.89–1.68)	0	<b>1.23 (0.90–1.69)</b>	-4
			$I^2=0.0\%$	$p=0.591$	$I^2=0.0\%$	$p=0.639$	$I^2=0.0\%$	$p=0.211$	$I^2=0.0\%$	$p=0.835$

Bold denotes statistically significant results.

<sup>a</sup>The sample size was the same among the unadjusted model, age-adjusted model, and fully adjusted model.

<sup>b</sup>% change of coefficient of sex difference in mortality rate ratio that was calculated by the formula (unadjusted  $\beta$ –adjusted  $\beta$ )/unadjusted  $\beta \times 100$ .



**Supplementary Table S16. Relative Risk of Having Poorer Outcome (Either Mortality or Poor Functional Outcome [Modified Rankin Scale >2 or Barthel Index <20]) at 1 Year After Stroke Among Survivors with Ischemic Stroke and Atrial Fibrillation in Crude Models and Adjusted Models Among Studies with Mortality and Functional Outcome Data**

Study	N <sup>a</sup>	Unadjusted		Adjusted for age		Adjusted for severity		Adjusted for prestroke dependency		Fully adjusted	
		RR (95% CI)	RR (95% CI)	RR (95% CI)	Δ% <sup>b</sup>	RR (95% CI)	Δ% <sup>b</sup>	RR (95% CI)	Δ% <sup>b</sup>	RR (95% CI)	Δ% <sup>b</sup>
Joinville	77	<b>2.59 (1.41-4.75)</b>		<b>2.12 (1.09-4.10)</b>	21	<b>2.01 (1.17-3.47)</b>	27				
Melbourne	175	1.13 (0.98-1.31)	1.07 (0.93-1.22)	45	1.11 (0.97-1.28)	15	1.15 (0.99-1.32)	-14	1.72 (0.92-3.20)	43	
Arcadia	136	1.09 (0.86-1.39)	1.10 (0.87-1.39)	-11	1.06 (0.84-1.34)	32			1.07 (0.95-1.23)	45	
Orebro	65	1.29 (0.88-1.89)	1.09 (0.78-1.52)	66	1.13 (0.80-1.58)	52	1.27 (0.87-1.85)	6	1.06 (0.84-1.33)	32	
Porto	90	<b>1.83 (1.14-2.94)</b>	<b>1.75 (1.08-2.83)</b>	7	<b>1.73 (1.08-2.78)</b>	9	<b>1.61 (1.00-2.60)</b>	21	0.98 (0.72-1.33)	108	
Tartu	105	1.01 (0.81-1.27)	0.95 (0.75-1.19)	615	0.98 (0.80-1.20)	303	0.99 (0.80-1.23)	201	1.47 (0.91-2.36)	36	
Pooled	648	<b>1.25 (1.04-1.50)</b>		1.13 (0.97-1.32)	45	1.16 (0.99-1.34)	33	1.14 (0.99-1.32)	201	0.93 (0.75-1.15)	829
		$\chi^2 = 12.3\%$	$p = 0.030$	$\chi^2 = 9.2\%$	$p = 0.101$	$\chi^2 = 48.1\%$	$p = 0.086$	$\chi^2 = 23.5\%$	$p = 0.270$	$\chi^2 = 15.2\%$	$p = 0.317$

Bold denotes statistically significant results.

<sup>a</sup>The sample size was the same among the unadjusted model, age-adjusted model, and fully adjusted model.

<sup>b</sup>% change of coefficient of sex difference in mortality rate ratio that was calculated by the formula (unadjusted  $\beta$ -adjusted  $\beta$ ) / unadjusted  $\beta \times 100$ .



**Supplementary Table S17. Relative Risk of Having Poorer Outcome (Either Died or Poorly Functioned [Modified Rankin Scale >2 or Barthel Index <20]) at 5 Years After Stroke Among Survivors with Ischemic Stroke and Atrial Fibrillation in Crude Models and Adjusted Models Among Studies with Mortality and Functional Outcome Data**

Study	N <sup>a</sup>	Unadjusted		Adjusted for age		Adjusted for severity		Adjusted for prestroke dependency		Fully adjusted		
		RR (95% CI)	RR (95% CI)	Δ% <sup>b</sup>	RR (95% CI)	Δ% <sup>b</sup>	RR (95% CI)	Δ% <sup>b</sup>	RR (95% CI)	Δ% <sup>b</sup>	RR (95% CI)	Δ% <sup>b</sup>
Melbourne	217	1.05 (0.94–1.17)	0.99 (0.89–1.10)	121	1.05 (0.94–1.16)	0	1.06 (0.95–1.18)	–19	1.00 (0.91–1.12)	100		
Porto	72	<b>1.76 (1.16–2.67)</b>	<b>1.16 (1.07–2.42)</b>	73	1.64 (1.09–2.48)	12	1.65 (1.07–2.55)	11	1.43 (0.94–2.17)	37		
Auckland	110	1.05 (0.89–1.24)	1.01 (0.86–1.18)	80	1.04 (0.88–1.22)	20	1.02 (0.87–1.20)	59	0.99 (0.85–1.16)	121		
Pooled	399	1.18 (0.94–1.49)	1.11 (0.90–1.38)	37	1.15 (0.93–1.42)	16	1.14 (0.93–1.39)	21	1.06 (0.90–1.25)	65		
		$\hat{\tau}^2 = 8.6\%$ $p = 0.014$	$\hat{\tau}^2 = 8.0\%$ $p = 0.019$		$\hat{\tau}^2 = 72.5\%$ $p = 0.026$		$\hat{\tau}^2 = 69.6\%$ $p = 0.037$		$\hat{\tau}^2 = 4.9\%$ $p = 0.085$			

Bold denotes statistically significant results.

<sup>a</sup>The sample size was the same among the unadjusted model, age-adjusted model, and fully adjusted model.

<sup>b</sup>% change of coefficient of sex difference in mortality rate ratio that was calculated by the formula (unadjusted β – adjusted β)/unadjusted β × 100.

## Supplementary References

- S1. Cabral NL, Goncalves ARR, Longo AL, et al. Incidence of stroke subtypes, prognosis and prevalence of risk factors in Joinville, Brazil: A 2 year community based study. *J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry* 2009;80:755.
- S2. Sturm JW, Donnan GA, Dewey HM, Macdonell RA, Gilligan AK, Thrift AG. Determinants of handicap after stroke: The North East Melbourne Stroke Incidence Study (NEMESIS). *Stroke* 2004;35:715–720.
- S3. Vemmos KN, Bots ML, Tsibouris PK, et al. Stroke incidence and case fatality in southern Greece: The Arcadia stroke registry. *Stroke* 1999;30:363–370.
- S4. Anderson CS, Jamrozik KD, Broadhurst RJ, Stewart-Wynne EG. Predicting survival for 1 year among different subtypes of stroke. Results from the Perth Community Stroke Study. *Stroke* 1994;25:1935–1944.
- S5. Appelros P, Nydevik I, Seiger Å, Terént A. High incidence rates of stroke in Örebro, Sweden: Further support for regional incidence differences within Scandinavia. *Cerebrovasc Dis* 2002;14:161–168.
- S6. Correia M, Silva MR, Matos I, et al. Prospective community-based study of stroke in Northern Portugal: Incidence and case fatality in rural and urban populations. *Stroke* 2004;35:2048–2053.
- S7. Feigin V, Carter K, Hackett M, et al. Ethnic disparities in incidence of stroke subtypes: Auckland Regional Community Stroke Study, 2002–2003. *Lancet Neurol* 2006;5:130–139.
- S8. Carolei A, Marini C, Di Napoli M, et al. High stroke incidence in the prospective community-based L'Aquila registry (1994–1998). First year's results. *Stroke* 1997;28:2500–2506.
- S9. Marini C, De Santis F, Sacco S, et al. Contribution of atrial fibrillation to incidence and outcome of ischemic stroke: Results from a population-based study. *Stroke* 2005;36:1115–1119.
- S10. Kõrv J, Roose M, Kaasik A-E. Stroke Registry of Tartu, Estonia, from 1991 through 1993. *Cerebrovasc Dis* 1997;7:154–162.
- S11. Kent DM, Price LL, Ringleb P, Hill MD, Selker HP. Sex-based differences in response to recombinant tissue plasminogen activator in acute ischemic stroke: A pooled analysis of randomized clinical trials. *Stroke* 2005;36:62–65.
- S12. Lasek-Bal A, Szymszal J, Kazibutowska Z. Stroke risk factors, course and long-term functional outcome of first-ever stroke in women. *Open Access Maced J Med Sci* 2014;2:119–123.
- S13. Akanksha G, Paramdeep K, Gagandeep S, et al. Clinical features, risk factors, and short-term outcome of ischemic stroke, in patients with atrial fibrillation: Data from a population-based study. *Ann Indian Acad Neurol* 2017;20:289–293.
- S14. Yesilot NF, Koyuncu BA, Coban O, Tuncay R, Bahar SZ. Gender differences in acute stroke: Istanbul medical school stroke registry. *Neurology India* 2011;59:174–179.
- S15. Irie F, Kamouchi M, Hata J, et al. Sex differences in short-term outcomes after acute ischemic stroke: The fukuoka stroke registry. *Stroke* 2015;46:471–476.
- S16. Huang Y, Wang J, Wei JW, et al. Age and gender variations in the management of ischaemic stroke in China. *Int J Stroke* 2010;5:351–359.
- S17. Hametner C, MacIsaac RL, Kellert L, et al. Sex and stroke in thrombolized patients and controls. *Stroke* 2017;48:367–374.
- S18. Hametner C, Kellert L, Ringleb PA. Impact of sex in stroke thrombolysis: A coarsened exact matching study. *BMC Neurol* 2015;15:10.
- S19. Gray LJ, Spragg N, Bath PM, et al. Sex differences in quality of life in stroke survivors: Data from the Tinzaparin in Acute Ischaemic Stroke Trial (TAIST). *Stroke* 2007;38:2960–2964.
- S20. Nakamura A, Kuroda J, Ago T, et al. Causes of ischemic stroke in patients with non-valvular atrial fibrillation. *Cerebrovasc Dis* 2016;42:196–204.
- S21. Munoz-Rivas N, Mendez-Bailon M, Hernandez-Barrera V, et al. Time trends in ischemic stroke among type 2 diabetic and non-diabetic patients: Analysis of the Spanish national hospital discharge data (2003–2012). *PLoS One* 2015;10:e0145535.
- S22. Martin-Merino E, Ruigomez A, Johansson S, Garcia-Rodriguez LA. Hospitalised ischaemic cerebrovascular accident and risk factors in a primary care database. *Pharmacoepidemiol Drug Saf* 2011;20:1050–1056.
- S23. Kongbunkiat K, Kasemsap N, Travanichakul S, Thepsuthammarat K, Tiamkao S, Sawanyawisuth K. Hospital mortality from atrial fibrillation associated with ischemic stroke: A national data report. *Int J Neurosci* 2015;125:924–928.
- S24. Giralt-Steinhauer E, Cuadrado-Godia E, Ois A, et al. CHA<inf>2</inf>-DS<inf>2</inf>-VASc score and prognosis in ischemic strokes with atrial fibrillation. *J Neurol* 2012;259:745–751.
- S25. Kim TW, Jung SW, Song IU, et al. Left atrial dilatation is associated with severe ischemic stroke in men with non-valvular atrial fibrillation. *J Neurol Sci* 2015;354:97–102.
- S26. Heuschmann PU, Kolominsky-Rabas PL, Misselwitz B, et al. Predictors of in-hospital mortality and attributable risks of death after ischemic stroke: The German Stroke Registers Study Group. *Arch Intern Med* 2004;164:1761–1768.
- S27. Candelise L, Pinardi G, Morabito A. Mortality in acute stroke with atrial fibrillation. The Italian Acute Stroke Study Group. *Stroke* 1991;22:169–174.
- S28. Kapral MK, Devon J, Winter AL, Wang J, Peters A, Bondy SJ. Gender differences in stroke care decision-making. *Med Care* 2006;44:70–80.
- S29. Kapral MK, Ben-Yakov M, Fang J, et al. Gender differences in carotid imaging and revascularization following stroke. *Neurology* 2009;73:1969–1974.
- S30. Sen Lin S, Lin S, Wu B, et al. Characteristics, treatment and outcome of ischemic stroke with atrial fibrillation in a Chinese hospital-based stroke study. *Cerebrovasc Dis* 2011;31:419–426.
- S31. Lisabeth LD, Brown DL, Hughes R, Majersik JJ, Morgenstern LB. Acute stroke symptoms: Comparing women and men. *Stroke* 2009;40:2031–2036.
- S32. Lisabeth LD, Roychoudhury C, Brown DL, Levine SR. Do gender and race impact the use of antithrombotic therapy in patients with stroke/TIA? *Neurology* 2004;62:2313–2315.



- S33. Lorenzano S, Ahmed N, Falcou A, et al. Does sex influence the response to intravenous thrombolysis in ischemic stroke?: Answers from safe implementation of treatments in Stroke-International Stroke Thrombolysis Register. *Stroke* 2013;44:3401–3406.
- S34. Martinez-Sanchez P, Fuentes B, Fernandez-Dominguez J, et al. Young women have poorer outcomes than men after stroke. *Cerebrovasc Dis* 2011;31:455–463.
- S35. McDermott M, Lisabeth LD, Baek J, et al. Sex disparity in stroke quality of care in a community-based study. *J Stroke Cerebrovasc Dis* 2017;26:1781–1786.
- S36. Meseguer E, Mazighi M, Labreuche J, et al. Outcomes of intravenous recombinant tissue plasminogen activator therapy according to gender: A clinical registry study and systematic review. *Stroke* 2009;40:2104–2110.
- S37. Mizrahi EH, Waitzman A, Arad M, Adunsky A. Atrial fibrillation predicts cognitive impairment in patients with ischemic stroke. *Am J Alzheimers Dis Other Demen* 2011;26:623–626.
- S38. Nagaraja N, Bhattacharya P, Mada F, et al. Gender based differences in acute stroke care in Michigan hospitals. *J Neurol Sci* 2012;314:88–91.
- S39. Mizrahi EH, Waitzman A, Arad M, Adunsky A. Gender and the functional outcome of elderly ischemic stroke patients. *Arch Gerontol Geriatr* 2012;55:438–441.
- S40. Olsen TS, Andersen KK. Female survival advantage relates to male inferiority rather than female superiority: A hypothesis based on the impact of age and stroke severity on 1-week to 1-year case fatality in 40,155 men and women. *Gend Med* 2010;7:284–295.
- S41. Padjen V, Bodenair M, Jovanovic DR, et al. Outcome of patients with atrial fibrillation after intravenous thrombolysis for cerebral ischaemia. *J Neurol* 2013;260:3049–3054.
- S42. Rodriguez-Campello A, Jimenez-Conde J, Ois A, et al. Sex-related differences in abdominal obesity impact on ischemic stroke risk. *Eur J Neurol* 2017;24:397–403.
- S43. Silva GS, Lima FO, Camargo EC, et al. Gender differences in outcomes after ischemic stroke: Role of ischemic lesion volume and intracranial large-artery occlusion. *Cerebrovasc Dis* 2010;30:470–475.
- S44. Smith MA, Lisabeth LD, Brown DL, Morgenstern LB. Gender comparisons of diagnostic evaluation for ischemic stroke patients. *Neurology* 2005;65:855–858.
- S45. Spaander FH, Zinkstok SM, Baharoglu IM, et al. Sex differences and functional outcome after intravenous Thrombolysis. *Stroke* 2017;48:699–703.
- S46. Tafreshi GM, Raman R, Ernstrom K, Meyer BC, Hemmen TM. Gender differences in acute stroke treatment: The University of California San Diego experience. *Stroke* 2010;41:1755–1757.
- S47. Tomita H, Hagii J, Metoki N, et al. Impact of sex difference on severity and functional outcome in patients with cardioembolic stroke. *J Stroke Cerebrovasc Dis* 2015;24:2613–2618.
- S48. Turaj W, Slowik A, Wnuk M, Szczudlik A. Gender-related differences in diagnostic evaluation and outcome of ischemic stroke in Poland. *Stroke* 2009;40:980–982.
- S49. Vodonos A, Novack V, Horev A, Abu Salameh I, Lotan Y, Ifergane G. Do gender and season modify the triggering effect of ambient temperature on ischemic stroke? *Womens Health Issues* 2017;27:245–251.
- S50. Zhang B, Pu S, Zhang W, et al. Sex differences in risk factors, etiology, and short-term outcome of cerebral infarction in young patients. *Atherosclerosis* 2011;216:420–425.
- S51. Asdaghi N, Romano JG, Wang K, et al. Sex Disparities in ischemic stroke care: FL-PR CReSD Study (Florida-Puerto Rico Collaboration to reduce stroke disparities). *Stroke* 2016;47:2618–2626.
- S52. Buijs JE, Uyttenboogaart M, Brouns R, de Keyser J, Kamphuisen PW, Luijckx GJ. The effect of age and sex on clinical outcome after intravenous recombinant tissue plasminogen activator treatment in patients with acute ischemic stroke. *J Stroke Cerebrovasc Dis* 2016;25:312–316.
- S53. Caso V, Paciaroni M, Agnelli G, et al. Gender differences in patients with acute ischemic stroke. *Womens Health (Lond)* 2010;6:51–57.
- S54. de Ridder I, Kuramatsu J, Gerner S, et al. No sex differences in long-term functional outcome after intracerebral hemorrhage. *Int J Stroke* 2017;12:416–420.
- S55. Denti L, Artoni A, Scoditti U, et al. Impact of gender-age interaction on the outcome of ischemic stroke in an Italian cohort of patients treated according to a standardized clinical pathway. *Eur J Intern Med* 2013;24:807–812.
- S56. Dhamoon MS, Liang JW, Zhou L, Stamplecoski M, Kapral MK, Shah BR. Sex differences in outcomes after stroke in patients with diabetes in Ontario, Canada. *J Stroke Cerebrovasc Dis* 2018;27:210–220.
- S57. Kim SJ, Ryoo S, Kwon S, et al. Is atrial fibrillation always a culprit of stroke in patients with atrial fibrillation plus stroke? *Cerebrovasc Dis* 2013;36:373–382.
- S58. Chiti A, Giannini N, Terni E, et al. “Cardioembolic profile” in patients with ischemic stroke: Data from the analysis of 1037 cases. *J Stroke Cerebrovasc Dis* 2015;24:1287–1290.
- S59. Benbir G, Uluduz D, Ince B, Bozluolcay M. Atherothrombotic ischemic stroke in patients with atrial fibrillation. *Clin Neurol Neurosurg* 2007;109:485–490.
- S60. Baturova MA, Sheldon SH, Carlson J, et al. Electrocardiographic and Echocardiographic predictors of paroxysmal atrial fibrillation detected after ischemic stroke. *BMC Cardiovasc Dis* 2016;16:209.
- S61. Allende M, Molina E, Gonzalez-Porras JR, Toledo E, Lecumberri R, Hermida J. Short leukocyte telomere length is associated with cardioembolic stroke risk in patients with atrial fibrillation. *Stroke* 2016;47:863–865.
- S62. Horner S, Niederkorn K, Schnabl S, Fazekas F, MitarbeiterInnen am Österreichischen Stroke-Unit Register und in Zusammenarbeit mit der Gesundheit Österreich GmbH, Geschäftsbereich BIQS. [Gender aspects of ischemic stroke. An analysis of the Austrian Stroke-Unit Registry]. *Wien Med Wochenschr* 2008;158:446–452.
- S63. Etherton MR, Wu O, Cougo P, et al. Structural integrity of normal appearing white matter and sex-specific outcomes after acute ischemic stroke. *Stroke* 2017;48:3387–3389.
- S64. Colello MJ, Ivey LE, Gainey J, et al. Pharmacological thrombolysis for acute ischemic stroke treatment: Gender differences in clinical risk factors. *Adv Med Sci* 2018;63:100–106.
- S65. Niewada M, Kobayashi A, Sandercock PA, Kaminski B, Czonkowska A, International Stroke Trial Collaborative Group. Influence of gender on baseline features and clinical outcomes among 17,370 patients with confirmed ischaemic stroke in the international stroke trial. *Neuroepidemiology* 2005;24:123–128.
- S66. Perera KS, Vanassche T, Bosch J, et al. Global survey of the frequency of atrial fibrillation-associated stroke: Embolic stroke of undetermined source global registry. *Stroke* 2016;47:2197–2202.
- S67. Sakamoto Y, Sato S, Kuronuma Y, Nagatsuka K, Minematsu K, Toyoda K. Factors associated with proximal carotid axis occlusion in patients with acute stroke and atrial fibrillation. *J Stroke Cerebrovasc Dis* 2014;23:799–804.
- S68. Salihovic D, Smajlovic D, Sinanovic O, Kojic B. Sex differences in patients with acute ischemic stroke in Tuzla region, Bosnia and Herzegovina. *Bosn J Basic Med Sci* 2010;10:116–120.
- S69. Seo WK, Kang SH, Jung JM, Choi JY, Oh K. Novel composite score to predict atrial fibrillation in acute stroke patients: AF predicting score in acute stroke. *Int J Cardiol* 2016;209:184–189.
- S70. Waldenhorst D, Sobociński Doliwa P, Alam M, et al. Echocardiographic measures of atrial function may predict atrial fibrillation in stroke patients. *Scand Cardiovasc J* 2016;50:236–242.
- S71. Yesilot Barlas N, Putala J, Waje-Andreasen U, et al. Etiology of first-ever ischaemic stroke in European young adults: The 15 cities young stroke study. *Eur J Neurol* 2013;20:1431–1439.
- S72. Forster A, Gass A, Kern R, et al. Gender differences in acute ischemic stroke: Etiology, stroke patterns and response to thrombolysis. *Stroke* 2009;40:2428–2432.
- S73. Martin RC, Burgin WS, Schabath MB, et al. Gender-specific differences for risk of disability and death in atrial fibrillation-related stroke. *Am J Cardiol* 2017;119:256–261.
- S74. Nezu T, Hosomi N, Kondo K, et al. Greater severity of neurological defects in women admitted with atrial fibrillation-related stroke. *Circ J* 2016;80:250–255.
- S75. Lang C, Seyfang L, Ferrari J, et al. Do women with atrial fibrillation experience more severe strokes?: Results from the Austrian Stroke Unit Registry. *Stroke* 2017;48:778–780.
- S76. Li B, Wang T, Lou Y, et al. Sex differences in outcomes and associated risk factors after acute ischemic stroke in elderly patients: A prospective follow-up study. *J Stroke Cerebrovasc Dis* 2015;24:2277–2284.



- S77. Min K, Kwon S, Cho SY, et al. Atrial fibrillation is strongly associated with the neutrophil to lymphocyte ratio in acute ischemic stroke patients: A retrospective study. *J Clin Lab Anal* 2017;31:e22041.
- S78. Jonsson AC, Ek J, Kremer C. Outcome of men and women after atrial fibrillation and stroke. *Acta Neurol Scand* 2015;132:125–131.
- S79. Cuadrado-Godíe E, Jiménez-Conde J, Ois A, Rodríguez-Campello A, García-Ramallo E, Roquer J. Sex differences in the prognostic value of the lipid profile after the first ischemic stroke. *J Neurol* 2009;256:989–995.
- S80. Zou C, Wei C, Wang Z, Jin Y. Sex differences in outcomes and risk factors among elderly patients with ischemic stroke. *Oncotarget* 2017;8:104582–104593.
- S81. Ong CT, Wong YS, Sung SF, et al. Sex-related differences in the risk factors for in-hospital mortality and outcomes of ischemic stroke patients in rural areas of Taiwan. *PLoS One* 2017;12:e0185361.
- S82. Hong Y, Yang X, Zhao W, et al. Sex differences in outcomes among stroke survivors with non-valvular atrial fibrillation in China. *Front Neurol* 2017;8:166.
- S83. Yao XY, Lin Y, Geng JL, et al. Age- and gender-specific prevalence of risk factors in patients with first-ever ischemic stroke in China. *Stroke Res Treat* 2012;2012:136398.
- S84. Boehme AK, Siegler JE, Mullen MT, et al. Racial and gender differences in stroke severity, outcomes, and treatment in patients with acute ischemic stroke. *J Stroke Cerebrovasc Dis* 2014;23:e255–e261.
- S85. Putala J, Metso AJ, Metso TM, et al. Analysis of 1008 consecutive patients aged 15 to 49 with first-ever ischemic stroke: The Helsinki young stroke registry. *Stroke* 2009;40:1195–1203.
- S86. Park TH, Ko Y, Lee SJ, et al. Gender differences in the age-stratified prevalence of risk factors in Korean ischemic stroke patients: A nationwide stroke registry-based cross-sectional study. *Int J Stroke* 2014;9:759–765.
- S87. Andersen KK, Andersen ZJ, Olsen TS. Age- and gender-specific prevalence of cardiovascular risk factors in 40,102 patients with first-ever ischemic stroke: A Nationwide Danish Study. *Stroke* 2010;41:2768–2774.
- S88. Oh MS, Yu KH, Roh JK, Lee BC. Gender differences in the mortality and outcome of stroke patients in Korea. *Cerebrovasc Dis* 2009;28:427–434.
- S89. Novello P, Ajmar G, Bianchini D, et al. Ischemic stroke and atrial fibrillation. A clinical study. *Ital J Neurol Sci* 1993;14:571–576.
- S90. Simpson CR, Wilson C, Hannaford PC, Williams D. Evidence for age and sex differences in the secondary prevention of stroke in Scottish primary care. *Stroke* 2005;36:1771–1775.
- S91. Reeves MJ, Fonarow GC, Zhao X, Smith EE, Schwamm LH. Quality of care in women with ischemic stroke in the GWTG program. *Stroke* 2009;40:1127–1133.
- S92. Sjolander M, Eriksson M, Glader EL. Few sex differences in the use of drugs for secondary prevention after stroke: A nationwide observational study. *Pharmacoepidemiol Drug Saf* 2012;21:911–919.
- S93. Yu C, An Z, Zhao W, et al. Sex differences in stroke subtypes, severity, risk factors, and outcomes among elderly patients with acute ischemic stroke. *Front Aging Neurosci* 2015;7:174.
- S94. Ghandehari K, Shuaib A, Ardalani GF. The frequency rate of cardiomechanical etiology in North American and Iranian stroke patients: A pilot double-center study. *Iran Red Crescent Med J* 2008;10:66–68.
- S95. Morin-Martin M, Gonzalez-Santiago R, Gil-Nunez AC, Vivancos-Mora J. [Women and strokes. Hospital epidemiology in Spain]. *Rev Neurol* 2003;37:701–705.
- S96. Tiseo C, Ornello R, Degan D, et al. Time trends of atrial fibrillation prevalence in patients with first ever ischemic stroke. *Eur Stroke J* 2017;2 (1 Supplement 1):191–192.
- S97. Towfighi A, Markovic D, Ovbiagele B. Sex differences in revascularization interventions after acute ischemic stroke. *J Stroke Cerebrovasc Dis* 2013;22:e347–e353.
- S98. Gatteringer T, Ferrari J, Knoflach M, et al. Sex-related differences of acute stroke unit care: Results from the Austrian stroke unit registry. *Stroke* 2014;45:1632–1638.
- S99. Nathanson D, Patrone C, Nystrom T, Von Euler M. Sex, diastolic blood pressure, and outcome after thrombolysis for ischemic stroke. *Stroke Res Treat* 2014;2014:747458.
- S100. Bae HJ, Heo JH, Jung KH, et al. Antithrombotic treatments in patients with acute ischemic stroke and non-valvular atrial fibrillation before introduction of non-Vitamin K antagonist oral anticoagulants into practice in Korea. *PLoS One* 2018;13:e0202803.
- S101. Barreira CM, Bouslama M, Al-Bayati AR, et al. Endovascular therapy and gender disparities in stroke outcomes. *Eur Stroke J* 2018;3 (1 Supplement 1):56.
- S102. Burzhunova M, Alieva M, Geraskina L, Garabova N, Fonyakin A. Gender differences in patients with stroke and atrial fibrillation. *Eur Stroke J* 2018;3 (1 Supplement 1):404.
- S103. Chou PS, Ho BL, Chan YH, Wu MH, Hu HH, Chao AC. Delayed diagnosis of atrial fibrillation after first-ever stroke increases recurrent stroke risk: A 5-year nationwide follow-up study. *Int Med J* 2018;48:661–667.
- S104. Christensen L, Christensen H. Exploring the sex differences in atrial fibrillation and stroke in a cohort of 1178 patients with 10 year follow up. *Eur Stroke J* 2018;3 (1 Supplement 1):511.
- S105. Hung KH, Lai JC, Hsu KN, et al. Gender gap and risk factors for poor stroke outcomes: A single hospital-based prospective cohort study. *J Stroke Cerebrovasc Dis* 2018;27:2250–2258.
- S106. Keller K, Geyer M, Munzel T, Ostendorf MA. Gender-differences in prevalence and outcome of ischemic stroke and promoting factors of atrial thrombi. *Artery Res* 2018;22:68–78.
- S107. Radivojevic A, Budimkic M, Stanarcevic P, et al. Do women suffer more from stroke? *Eur Stroke J* 2018;3 (1 Supplement 1):512–513.
- S108. McGrath ER, Kapral MK, Fang J, et al. Association of atrial fibrillation with mortality and disability after ischemic stroke. *Neurology* 2013;81:825–832.
- S109. Antonenko K, Paciaroni M, Agnelli G, et al. Sex-related differences in risk factors, type of treatment received and outcomes in patients with atrial fibrillation and acute stroke: Results from the RAF-study (early recurrence and cerebral bleeding in patients with acute ischemic stroke and atrial fibrillation). *Eur Stroke J* 2017;2:46–53.
- S110. Moro CH, Goncalves AR, Longo AL, et al. Trends of the incidence of ischemic stroke thrombolysis over seven years and one-year outcome: A population-based study in Joinville, Brazil. *Cerebrovasc Dis Extra* 2013;3:156–166.
- S111. Gall SL, Donnan G, Dewey HM, et al. Sex differences in presentation, severity, and management of stroke in a population-based study. *Neurology* 2010;74:975–981.
- S112. Vemmos KN, Bots ML, Tsibouris PK, et al. Prognosis of stroke in the south of Greece: 1 year mortality, functional outcome and its determinants: The Arcadia Stroke Registry. *J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry* 2000;69:595–600.
- S113. Hankey GJ, Jamrozik K, Broadhurst RJ, et al. Five-year survival after first-ever stroke and related prognostic factors in the Perth Community Stroke Study. *Stroke* 2000;31:2080–2086.
- S114. Appelros P, Nydevik I, Seiger A, Terent A. High incidence rates of stroke in Orebro, Sweden: Further support for regional incidence differences within Scandinavia. *Cerebrovasc Dis* 2002;14:161–168.
- S115. Correia M, Magalhaes R, Silva MR, Matos I, Silva MC. Stroke types in rural and urban northern portugal: Incidence and 7-year survival in a community-based study. *Cerebrovasc Dis Extra* 2013;3:137–149.
- S116. Feigin VL, Barker-Collo S, Parag V, et al. Auckland Stroke Outcomes Study. Part 1: Gender, stroke types, ethnicity, and functional outcomes 5 years poststroke. *Neurology* 2010;75:1597–1607.
- S117. Vibo R, Korp J, Roose M. One-year outcome after first-ever stroke according to stroke subtype, severity, risk factors and pre-stroke treatment. A population-based study from Tartu, Estonia. *Eur J Neurol* 2007;14:435–439.
- S118. Tentschert S, Parigger S, Dorda V, et al. Recurrent vascular events in patients with ischemic stroke/TIA and atrial fibrillation in relation to secondary prevention at hospital discharge. *Wien Klin Wochenschr* 2004;116:834–838.
- S119. Eriksson M, Glader EL, Norrving B, et al. Sex differences in stroke care and outcome in the Swedish national quality register for stroke care. *Stroke* 2009;40:909–914.

