### **Supplementary Material**

## 1. Methods

Further detail of MRI acquisition protocol and analysis is outlined below.

## 1.1 MRI acquisition protocol

A DCE-MRI dynamic series of 3D T<sub>1</sub>-fast field echo (T<sub>1</sub>-FFE) were acquired with the following scan parameters: Field of view 192 mm x 192 mm and matrix size of 128 giving in-plane resolution of 1.5 x 1.5 mm; 32 contiguous axial slices of 4 mm thickness; echo time (TE) = 0.8 ms, repetition time (TR) = 2.4 ms, flip angle 10 degrees and image acquisition time of 7.6 seconds. 160 images were acquired over approximately 20 minutes.

Prior to the dynamic scan, a series of additional 3D T<sub>1</sub>-FFE images were acquired at 3 flip angles (2, 5 and 10 degrees) in order to calculate a pre-contrast T<sub>1</sub> map using the variable flip angle method (1), with geometry and all other parameters matched to the dynamic series, except only 8 image repeats were collected (from which a mean image was created), giving an acquisition time of 60 s per flip angle. In order to correct for B<sub>1</sub> field inhomogeneities, a B<sub>1</sub> mapping sequence (2) was also acquired with the same voxel size and coverage as for the variable flip angle images. This consisted of a pair of 3D spoiled gradient echo images with TR<sub>1</sub> = 25 ms and TR<sub>2</sub> = 125 ms, flip angle 60 degrees, TE = 5 ms, acquisition time 117 seconds.

In addition, a T<sub>2</sub>-weighted FLAIR image was acquired with the following parameters: TR = 10 s, inversion time 2.75 s, TE = 140 ms, in-plane resolution of 0.69 mm x 0.69 mm, and 100 contiguous axial slices of 1.3 mm thickness with an acquisition time of 450 seconds. A 3D T<sub>1</sub>-weighted image was also collected with scan parameters: TR = 8.4 ms, TE = 3.9 ms, flip angle 8 degrees. Images were reconstructed with a resolution of 0.94 mm x 0.94 mm x 1mm, acquisition time 311 seconds.

# 1.2 MRI analysis

A voxel-by-voxel fit of the dynamic data for both the contrast agent transfer coefficient  $(K^{\text{trans}})$  and plasma volume  $(v_p)$  was performed using the 'Patlak' model:

$$C_t(t) = K^{trans} \int_0^t C_p(t') dt' + \nu_p C_p(t)$$
<sup>[1]</sup>

Where  $C_t(t)$  is the tissue concentration of the contrast agent and  $C_p(t)$  is the plasma concentration at time t after contrast agent injection at t=0.

The tissue concentration  $C_t(t)$  is calculated from the signal in the dynamic images  $S_t(t)$  according to:

$$C_{t,b}(t) = \frac{R_1(t) - R_{10}}{r_1}$$
[2]

Where  $R_1(t)$  is calculated from  $S_t(t)$  as described subsequently in equation 5. Where  $r_1$  is the longitudinal relativity of the contrast agent, which was assumed to be 3.4 s<sup>-1</sup>mM<sup>-1</sup>.  $R_{10}$  is the baseline longitudinal relaxation rate, taken from the pre-contrast  $T_1$  map using  $R_{10} = 1/T_{10}$ .

This pre-contrast  $T_1$  map was calculated by fitting the variable flip angle images on a voxelby-voxel basis for  $T_{10}$  and  $A_0$  using equation [3] (1):

$$S = \frac{A_0 \sin \theta (1 - e^{-TR}/T_{10})}{1 - \cos \theta e^{-TR}/T_{10}}$$
[3]

In order to correct for inaccuracies in the specified flip angles,  $\theta_S$ , due to  $B_1$  inhomogeneities, the ratio of the image intensities in the  $B_1$  mapping sequence (r) was used to estimate the true flip angle  $\theta_T$  on a voxel-by-voxel basis (3), using:

$$\theta_T = \cos^{-1}\left(\frac{r.n-1}{n-r}\right) \quad \text{where } n = TR_1/TR_2.$$
[4]

The deviation of the true flip angle from the specified flip angle ( $\theta_S$ ) is given by  $\theta_T/\theta_S$  and  $\theta$  in equation [3] is multiplied by this factor on a voxel wise basis when calculating T<sub>1</sub>. Prior to multiplication, the  $\theta_T/\theta_S$  image was smoothed using a convolution kernel of 3 voxels.

 $R_1(t)$  was calculated using equation [5] below, derived from equation [3], considering  $S_t$  as the signal in the post-contrast dynamic images and  $S_0$  as the mean signal from 5 pre-contrast dynamics, ignoring the first image due to equilibrium effects.

$$R_{1}(t) = -\frac{1}{TR} \ln \left[ \frac{1 - B \cos \theta + \frac{S_{t}(t)}{S_{0}} (B - 1)}{1 - B \cos \theta + \frac{S_{t}(t)}{S_{0}} \cos \theta (B - 1)} \right] \quad \text{where } B = \exp(-R_{10}.TR).$$
[5]

Finally, the plasma concentration  $C_p(t)$ , is derived from the blood concentration  $C_b(t)$  which is also calculated using equations [2] and [5] with  $S_t$  the mean signal from the sagittal sinus region,  $S_0$  the mean signal from 5 pre-contrast dynamics within this region (again, neglecting the first one to avoid inflow effects), and  $T_{10}$  equal to the mean pre-contrast  $T_1$  from the sagittal sinus region.  $C_p(t)$  is derived from the measured blood concentration by correcting for hematocrit according to:  $C_p(t) = C_b(t)/(1-Hct)$  where Hct of 0.40 was used for a female and 0.45 for male (4).

Equation [1] was then fit to  $C_t(t)$  and  $C_p(t)$  using constrained least squares minimisation (lsqcurvefit in Matlab) on a voxel-wise basis for 3 parameters:  $K^{trans}$ ,  $v_p$  and  $T_0$ , where  $T_0$  is the offset time between  $C_t(t)$  and  $C_p(t)$ .  $K^{trans}$  was constrained to be between -0.001 min<sup>-1</sup> and 0.1 min<sup>-1</sup>,  $v_p$  between 0 and (1-Hct) and  $T_0$  between -20 and 20 s The non-zero lower bound on  $K^{trans}$ , while un-physiological, is to avoid positive bias in the  $K^{trans}$  values which may in reality be very close to zero in healthy tissue. The negative bounds on  $T_0$  are to allow for the possibility that the peak sagittal sinus signal may occur earlier than that of the regional blood circulation if blood takes a particularly tortuous path to the region. In order to avoid local minima, minimisation was performed twice, using the fitted parameters of the first minimisation as starting parameters for the second minimisation, except for one parameter which was kept as the original value.

Voxel-wise analysis was performed using the SPM12 PET toolbox to determine regional differences in  $K^{\text{trans}}$  and  $v_p$  between the groups. First we co-registered the  $K^{\text{trans}}$  and  $v_p$  maps to the high resolution 3D T<sub>1</sub>-weighted image: the first volume of the motion-corrected DCE series was used to compute the registration parameters which were then applied to the other images. Each T<sub>1</sub>-weighted image was segmented into tissue classes using SPM and grey and white matter masks were defined using a probability threshold of 75%. The co-registered

parameter maps were masked to include signal only from grey and white matter. The  $T_1$ -weighted images were then normalized to Montreal Neurological Institute (MNI) space and the transformation applied to the  $K^{\text{trans}}$  and  $v_p$  maps.

#### 1.3 Visual inspection of data quality

The DCE concentration time course in the white matter and the sagittal sinus regions (i.e. the VIF) were extracted for each person in order to consider individual differences in this raw data prior to fitting. For example, attention was paid to the shape and amplitude of the VIF in order to ascertain that the contrast agent injection was as expected.

Figure S1 shows example tissue concentration time courses for a representative person from each group, selected according to their  $K^{\text{trans}}$  and  $v_p$  values being close to the median for the group. It can be seen that, while the vascular input functions are very similar (Figure S1A), the tissue curves begin to diverge, particularly for the PD group (Figure S1B). Baseline T<sub>1</sub> values did not vary significantly between the groups, with mean ± SE values of CN: 1.70 s ± 0.04 s, CP: 1.76 s ± 0.04 s, PD: 1.74 s ± 0.04 s.



Figure S1: Concentration time-course of contrast agent in blood and tissue

Typical time-courses of the vascular input function (A) and the white matter contrast agent concentration (B) taken from representative subjects of each group. Subjects were selected according to  $K^{\text{trans}}$  and  $v_p$  values being close to the median for the group.

### 2. Results

#### 2.1 Voxel-wise analysis

Tables S1, S2,S3, S4 and S5 provide details of the regions showing group differences in the voxel-wise analysis, highlighting regions of significant difference in  $K^{\text{trans}}$  between the PD and CN groups (Table S1), the PD and CP groups (Table S2) and the CP and CN groups (Table S3) and regional differences in  $v_p$  between the CP and CN groups (Table S4) and CP and PD groups (Table S5).

**Table S1.** Regions of significantly higher  $K^{\text{trans}}$  in the PD group than in the CN group. The t-values are thresholded with voxel-level p < 0.001 (uncorrected) and minimum cluster size of 50 voxels.

Region	Cluster	Cluster p	Peak t-	Peak p	Peak MNI
	size	(FWE corr)	value	(uncorr.)	coordinates
White matter in the R	406	0.04	3.96	< 0.0001	22 - 56 32
hemisphere precuneus			3.87	0.0001	32 -40 22
extending into parietal			3.48	0.0004	28 - 36 28
lobe and calcarine			3.36	0.0006	16 -50 10
			3.35	0.0006	12 -32 30
White matter in the L	306	0.1	3.86	0.0001	-6 -40 64
and R precuneus			3.73	0.0002	12 -36 60
extending into			3.60	0.0003	8 -36 66
paracentral lobule			3.54	0.0003	-12 -28 52
			3.38	0.0006	-12 -38 60
R inf temporal gyrus	82	0.7	3.7	0.0001	50 - 26 - 26

**Table S2.** Regions of significantly higher  $K^{\text{trans}}$  in the PD group than in the CP group. The t-values are thresholded with voxel-level p < 0.001 (uncorrected) and minimum cluster size of 50 voxels.

Region	Cluster	Cluster p	Peak t-	Peak p	Peak MNI
	size	(FWE corr)	value	(uncorr.)	coordinates
White matter in R	69	0.8	3.73	0.0002	34 -60 16
temporal lobe					

**Table S3.** Regions of significantly higher  $K^{\text{trans}}$  in the CP group than in the CN group. The t-values are thresholded with voxel-level p < 0.001 (uncorrected) and minimum cluster size of 50 voxels.

Region	Cluster	Cluster p	Peak t-	Peak p	Peak MNI
	size	(FWE corr)	value	(uncorr.)	coordinates
R cerebellum	233	0.1	3.96	0.0001	34 - 80 - 30
			3.85	0.0002	20 - 82 - 24
Midline cingulate	104	0.6	3.99	0.0001	0-24 28
gyrus			3.79	0.0002	0-16 32

**Table S4.** Regions of significantly lower  $v_p$  in the CP group than in the CN group. The t-values are thresholded with voxel-level p < 0.001 (uncorrected) and minimum cluster size of 50 voxels.

Region	Cluster	Cluster p	Peak t-	Peak p	Peak MNI
	size	(FWE corr)	value	(uncorr.)	coordinates
White matter of L	242	0.3	4.46	< 0.0001	-36 -40 4
temporal lobe			4.04	0.0001	-32 -54 6
			3.76	0.0003	-22 -68 8
White matter of R	290	0.2	4.34	< 0.0001	38 - 34 0
temporal lobe			4.16	< 0.0001	40 -22 -4
extending into insula			4.12	< 0.0001	38 - 26 - 2
and sup. temporal			3.92	0.0002	42 -22 4
gyrus					
L sup. temporal gyrus	53	0.8	3.80	0.0002	-40 -60 26

**Table S5.** Regions of significantly lower  $v_p$  in the CP group than in the PD group. The t-values are thresholded with voxel-level p < 0.001 (uncorrected) and minimum cluster size of 50 voxels.

Region	Cluster	Cluster p	Peak t-	Peak p	Peak MNI
	size	(FWE corr)	value	(uncorr.)	coordinates
White matter of the R	65	0.8	4.00	< 0.0001	40 - 34 - 4
temporal lobe					
White matter of the L	85	0.7	3.93	0.0001	-36 -40 0
temporal lobe			3.67	0.0003	-34 -46 4

# 2.2 ROI analysis with scanner and gender matching

To check that any apparent group differences in imaging metrics were not driven by group differences in gender or scanner used, we repeated the ROI analysis on a selected group of participants with these factors matched across groups.

### 2.21 ROI analysis with scanner matching

Table S6 demonstrates the demographics for participants whose data was collected on the same scanner at the Manchester Clinical Research Facility. Figure S2 shows regional values for  $K^{\text{trans}}$  and  $v_p$  using data collected from these groups. The results are in broad agreement with the results reported in the main paper (Figure 4). ANOVA with  $K^{\text{trans}}$  as the dependent variable and WML volume, age and gender included as covariates showed a significant effect of group (F = 3.5, p=0.04) and region (F = 44.6, p<0.0001) on  $K^{\text{trans}}$  and no significant effect of WML volume (F=0.4, p=0.5), age (F=0.4, p=0.5) or gender (F=0.001, p=1.0). Post-hoc tests again showed  $K^{\text{trans}}$  to be significantly higher in PD than in CN (p=0.03, Bonferroni corrected) and no significant differences between the other two pairwise comparisons. Similar analysis with  $v_p$  as the dependent variable showed a significant effect of region (F =

55.2, p<0.0001) but not group (F = 0.9, p=0.4) on  $v_p$  and no significant effect of WML volume (F=0.02, p=0.9), age (F=1.6, p=0.2) or gender (F=0.05, p=0.8).

	CN (n=20)	CP (n=15)	PD (n=36)	<b>p value</b> PD v CN	<b>p value</b> PD v CP	<b>p value</b> CP v CN
<b>n</b> (F:M)	13:7	4:11	12:37	0.002	0.26	0.02
Age (years): mean (range)	67.8 (51-81)	69.1 (53-84)	70 (52-85)	0.31	0.69	0.64
No. of cardiovascular risk factors: mean (SD)	1.71 (1.31)	2.93 (1.16)	1.72 (1.52)	0.92	0.009	0.006
Cardiovascular Risk Factors (% of group):						
Hypertension Diabetes mellitus	35.0 5.0	73.3 13.3	38.9 8.3	0.22 0.39	0.02 0.43	0.02 0.50
FH of CVD Smoker	50.0 25.0	46.7 66.7	36.1 38.9	0.13 0.14	0.19 0.05	0.26 0.01
Hypercholesterolaemia Ischaemic heart disease	45.0 10.0	68.9 13.3	36.1 16.7	0.18 0.26	0.01 0.32	0.07 0.38
Atrial fibrillation	0	20.0	0	1	0.02	0.07
<b>Disease Duration</b> (years): mean (SD)	N/A	1.1 (0.77)	6.9 (4.38)	N/A	N/A	N/A
Hoehn & Yahr Score: mean (SD)	N/A	N/A	2.61 (0.95)	N/A	N/A	N/A
UPDRS Score: mean (SD)	N/A	N/A	30.4 (11.6)	N/A	N/A	N/A
LEDD (mg): mean (SD)	N/A	N/A	577.5 (329.2)	N/A	N/A	N/A
MoCA Score: mean (SD)	27.7 (2.0)	26.1 (2.9)	24.9 (4.1)	0.001	0.22	0.08
<b>Cube-root of WML</b> <b>volume (mm):</b> mean (SD)	1.37 (0.93)	2.11 (0.72)	2.08 (0.91)	0.009	0.89	0.01

**Table S6**: Demographics and clinical and radiological characteristics of the participants for data collected only on the same scanner

CN: control negative; CP: control positive; FH of CVD: family history of cardiovascular disease; LEDD: levodopa equivalent daily dose; MoCA: Montreal Cognitive Assessment; PD: Parkinson's disease; UPDRS 111: unified Parkinson's disease rating scale motor score; WML: white matter lesion.



# Figure S2: Mean group values for $K^{trans}$ and $v_p$ in regions of interest from data collected on the same scanner

Mean values are given for the (A) the contrast agent transfer coefficient  $K^{\text{trans}}$  and (B) the plasma volume  $v_p$ . Error bars show the standard error in the mean. SN = substantia nigra, CA= caudate, PU = putamen, P = pallidum, WML = white matter lesions, NAWM = normal-appearing white matter, FC = frontal cortex, PC = posterior cortices.

#### 2.22 ROI analysis with gender matching

Table S7 shows the demographics and clinical characteristics of the gender matched groups. Figure S3 show regional values for  $K^{\text{trans}}$  and  $v_p$  using data from these groups. The results are in broad agreement with the results reported in the main paper (Figure 4). ANOVA with  $K^{\text{trans}}$  as the dependent variable and WML volume, age and gender included as covariates showed a significant effect of group (F = 3.0, p=0.05) and region (F = 51.1, p<0.0001) on  $K^{\text{trans}}$  and no significant effect of WML volume (F=0.04, p=0.8), age (F=2.2, p=0.14) or gender (F=0.1, p=0.7). Post-hoc tests again showed  $K^{\text{trans}}$  to be significantly higher in PD than in CN (p=0.04, Bonferroni corrected) and no significant differences between the other two pairwise comparisons. Similar analysis with  $v_p$  as the dependent variable showed a significant effect of WML volume (F=0.8, p=0.5) on  $v_p$  and no significant effect of WML volume (F=0.01, p=0.3) or gender (F=0.5, p=0.5).

	CN (n=21)	CP (n=15)	PD (n=49)	<b>p value</b> PD v CN	<b>p value</b> PD v CP	<b>p value</b> CP v CN
<b>n</b> (F:M)	6:15	4:11	12:37	0.20	0.25	0.29
Age (years): mean (range)	67.1 (52-81)	69.1 (53-84)	68.9 (52-85)	0.42	0.84	0.44
No. of cardiovascular risk factors: mean (SD)	1.71 (1.31)	2.93 (1.16)	1.72 (1.52)	0.97	0.002	0.003
Cardiovascular Risk Factors (% of group):						
Hypertension	33.3	73.3	26.5	0.20	0.02	0.02
FH of CVD	52.4	46.7	22.4	0.06	0.45	0.25
Smoker	23.8	66.7	28.6	0.13	0.03	0.01
Hypercholesterolaemia	38.1	68.9	22.4	0.13	0.004	0.05
Ischaemic heart disease	9.52	13.3	12.2	0.24	0.31	0.37
Atrial fibrillation	0	20.0	2.0	0.7	0.04	0.06
<b>Disease Duration</b> (years): mean (SD)	N/A	1.1 (0.77)	7.2 (4.45)	N/A	N/A	N/A
Hoehn & Yahr Score: mean (SD)	N/A	N/A	2.60 (0.09)	N/A	N/A	N/A
UPDRS Score: mean (SD)	N/A	N/A	29.2 (12.7)	N/A	N/A	N/A
LEDD (mg): mean (SD)	N/A	N/A	583.5 (399.6)	N/A	N/A	N/A
MoCA Score: mean (SD)	27.7 (2.4)	26.1 (2.9)	25.3 (3.9)	0.004	0.39	0.10
Cube-root of WML volume (mm): mean (SD)	1.26 (0.81)	2.11 (0.72)	1.80 (0.95)	0.02	0.19	0.002

**Table S7:** Demographics and clinical and radiological characteristics of the gender matched study group

CN: control negative; CP: control positive; FH of CVD: family history of cardiovascular disease; LEDD: levodopa equivalent daily dose; MoCA: Montreal Cognitive Assessment; PD: Parkinson's disease; UPDRS 111: unified Parkinson's disease rating scale motor score; WML: white matter lesion



# Figure S3: Mean group values for $K^{\text{trans}}$ and $v_p$ in regions of interest with matched gender balance between groups

Mean values are given for the (Å) the contrast agent transfer coefficient  $K^{\text{trans}}$  and (B) the plasma volume  $v_p$ . Error bars show the standard error in the mean. SN = substantia nigra, CA= caudate, PU = putamen, P = pallidum, WML = white matter lesions, NAWM = normal-appearing white matter, FC = frontal cortex, PC = posterior cortices.

### References

1. Fram EK, Herfkens RJ, Johnson GA, Glover GH, Karis JP, Shimakawa A, et al. Rapid calculation of T1 using variable flip angle gradient refocused imaging. Magn Reson Imaging. 1987;5(3):201-8.

2. Voigt T, Nehrke K, Doessel O, Katscher U. T1 corrected B1 mapping using multi-TR gradient echo sequences. Magn Reson Med. 2010;64(3):725-33.

3. Pohmann R, Scheffler K. A theoretical and experimental comparison of different techniques for B(1) mapping at very high fields. NMR Biomed. 2013;26(3):265-75.

4. Cirillo M, Laurenzi M, Trevisan M, Stamler J. Hematocrit, blood pressure, and hypertension. The Gubbio Population Study. Hypertension. 1992;20(3):319-26.