1 Supplementary methods

2

3 Abbreviations

- 4 ASV amplicon sequence variant
- 5 AUC area under the curve
- 6 AUROC area under the receiver-operator curve
- 7 CMV cytomegalovirus
- 8 CRP C-reactive protein
- 9 EBV Epstein-Barr virus
- 10 ESBL extended-spectrum beta lactamase
- 11 FACS fluorescent-activated cell sorting
- 12 FMT fecal microbiota transplantation
- 13 GAD glutamate decarboxylase
- 14 HDLc high density lipoprotein cholesterol
- 15 HLA human leukocyte antigen
- 16 LDLc low density lipoprotein cholesterol
- 17 LMM linear mixed models analysis
- 18 LST lymphocyte stimulation test
- 19 MMT mixed meal test
- 20 MWU Mann-Whitney U test
- 21 MRSA methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus*
- 22 PBMCs Peripheral blood mononuclear cells
- 23 PCR polymerase chain reaction
- 24 PPI preproinsulin
- 25 Qdot quantum dot
- 26 ROC receiver-operator curve
- 27 RT qPCR reverse transcription quantitative PCR

- 28 T1D type 1 diabetes
- 29 TG triglycerides
- 30 TT tetanus toxoid
- 31 UPLC-MS/MS ultra high performance liquid chromatography coupled to tandem mass spectrometry
- 32
- 33 Fecal donor recruitment and randomization
- 34 Fecal donors completed questionnaires regarding dietary and bowel habits, travel history,
- 35 comorbidity including family history of diabetes mellitus and medication use. They were screened for
- 36 the presence of infectious diseases as described previously[1]. Furthermore, donors with 1st or 2nd
- degree relatives with autoimmune diseases (including Coeliac disease, autoimmune thyroid disease,
- 38 type 1 diabetes and rheumatoid arthritis) were excluded. Blood was screened for human
- 39 immunodeficiency virus; human T-lymphotropic virus; Hepatitis A, B, and C; cytomegalovirus (CMV);
- 40 Epstein–Barr virus (EBV); strongyloides; amoebiasis, and lues. Presence of infection resulted in
- 41 exclusion, although previous and non-active infections with EBV and CMV were allowed. Donors
- 42 were also excluded if screening of their feces revealed the presence of pathogenic parasites (e.g.
- 43 blastocystis hominis, dientamoeba fragilis, giardia lamblia), multiresistent bacteria (Shigella,
- 44 Campylobacter, Yersinia, MRSA , ESBL, Salmonella, enteropathogenic E. Coli and Clostridium difficile)
- 45 or viruses (noro-, rota-, astro-, adeno (40/41/52)-, entero-, parecho- and sapovirus) as previously
- 46 recommended[2]. After an overnight fast, plasma samples were taken for biochemistry and
- 47 metabolomics and a morning fecal sample was collected.
- 48 FMT procedure
- 49 Seven healthy lean donors (of whom 3 were used twice) donated for the allogenic gut microbiota
- 50 transfer to new onset type 1 diabetes (T1D) patients, and the same donor was used for the three
- 51 consecutive FMT's in an individual T1D patient.

52	After admission, a duodenal tube was placed by gastroscopy or CORTRAK enteral access system. Each
53	patient then underwent complete colon lavage with 2-4L of Klean prep $^{\ensurement{B}}$ (macrogol) by duodenal
54	tube until the researcher judged that the bowel was properly lavaged (i.e. no solid excrement, but
55	clear fluid) for approximately 3h. Then, between 200 and 300 grams of feces was processed by
56	dilution in 500 ml of 0.9% saline solution and filtered through unfolded cotton gauzes. The filtrate
57	was used for transplantation two hours after the last administration of Klean prep [®] by duodenal tube
58	in around 30 minutes using 50cc syringes. After a short observation period the patient was sent
59	home.
60	

61 Study visits

62 All study visits were performed at Amsterdam UMC, location AMC. Participants were asked to fill out 63 an online nutritional diary for the duration of one week before each study visit to monitor caloric 64 intake including the amount of dietary carbohydrates, fats, proteins and fibers. During the study 65 visits blood pressure, weight and daily insulin use were documented. Fasting blood samples were 66 taken at each visit and upon centrifugation stored at -80°C for subsequent analyses. Whole blood 67 sodium heparin tubes were kept on room temperature and processed within 24 hours for 68 immunological analyses (described under immunology). 69 70 Description per study visit 71 All visits took place after an overnight fast with subjects taking no long acting insulin the night before 72 as previously described (Moran et al., 2013). At each visit blood, fecal and urine sampling and

73 biometric measurements took place. At baseline all patients first underwent gastroduodenoscopy. A

- small dose of midazolam (2.5 or 5mg) was administered for patient's comfort. Duodenal biopsies
- vere immediately collected in sterile tubes, snap-frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at -80°C,
- 76 followed by nasoduodenal tube placement. Then at least 2 hours later, a standardized 2h mixed meal
- 77 test (MMT)(Nestlé sustacal boost®) was performed as previously described[3] to study residual Beta-

78	cell function. At 2, 9 and 12 months, patients again underwent a mixed-meal test for residual Beta-
79	cell C-peptide secretion. After the 2 hour MMT, a duodenal tube was placed by means of CORTRAK
80	enteral access, bowel cleansing for 6 hours was performed and the fecal transplant procedures were
81	repeated. At 6 months, patients underwent gastroduodenoscopy and biopsies were taken from the
82	duodenum and again thereafter, the mixed-meal test was performed. Of note, the similar daily
83	schedule was used in all patients to minimize variation in measurements between subjects.
84	
85	Mixed meal test
86	Starting the evening before each mixed meal test, T1D patients interrupted their long-acting insulin
87	injections as previously published [3]. After an overnight fast and without taking their short-acting
88	morning insulin dose, a mixed meal test was performed with Boost High Protein (Nestlé Nutrition,
89	Vervey, Switzerland) at 6 ml/kg body weight with a maximum of 360 ml per person as previously
90	described[4]. Subsequent blood sampling for stimulated C-peptide was performed at -10, 0, 15, 30,
91	45, 60, 90 and 120 minutes. Area under the curve (AUC) was derived according to the trapezoidal
92	rule.
93	
94	Adaptive T-cell Immunity
95	Whole blood samples were processed within 24 hours after sampling. Peripheral blood mononuclear
96	cells (PBMC's) were used for measurement of immune response. Granulocytes were isolated for
97	DNA-extraction and human leukocyte antigen (HLA) typing.
98	
99	Isolation of Peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMC's)
100	PBMC's were isolated using Ficoll-density gradient centrifugation (ficoll 5.7%, amidotrizoaat 9%,

- 101 Pharmacy Leiden University Medical Centre). After centrifuging, the interphase containing PBMC's
- 102 was harvest and washed 3 times using PBS. PBMC's were suspended in 2 ml Iscove's modified

- 103 Dulbecco's Medium (IMDM, *Lonza*) supplemented with L-glutamine, penicillin-streptomycin (Pen
- 104 Strep) and 15% Human serum and counted.
- 105

106 Lymphocyte Stimulation Test (LST)

- 107 T-cell proliferation in response to antigenic stimulation was performed as described previously
- 108 (Kracht, Nature Medicine 2017). Cells were incubated in conditioned medium alone or in the
- 109 presence of autoantigen proteins glutamate decarboxylase (GAD65), preproinsulin (PPI), insulinoma
- 110 antigen-1 (IA-2) and a defective ribosomal product of proinsulin mRNA (DRiP) generated by stressed
- 111 Beta cells[5]. For controls, cells were stimulated with Interleukin-2 (IL-2) or cultured with tetanus
- toxoid (TT). Cells were incubated for 5 days, after which ³H-thymidine (50μl, 10 μCi/ml) was added
- 113 for the last 18 hours of the culture.
- 114
- 115 Fluorescent-activated cell sorting (FACS) analyses and Quantum dot (Qdot)
- 116 For phenotyping and quantification of autoreactive CD8+ T-cell s, PBMC were stained with
- 117 fluorescent antibodies according to a standard, independently validated protocol as described
- 118 previously [6]. Stained cells were measured using FACS-Canto (phenotyping) and LSR-II (Q-dot)
- 119 machines (Becton&Dickinson). Phenotyping data were analyzed using FlowJo software (TreeStar)
- using the gating strategy (supplementary figure 1) or as described previously for Qdot analyses [6].
- 121
- 122 Plasma metabolites
- 123 Fasting plasma targeted metabolite measurements were done by Metabolon (Durham, NC), using
- 124 ultra high performance liquid chromatography coupled to tandem mass spectrometry (UPLC-
- 125 MS/MS), as previously described [7]. Raw data was normalized to account for inter-day differences.
- 126 Then, the levels of each metabolite were rescaled to set the median equal to 1 across all samples.
- 127 Missing values, generally due to the sample measurement falling below the limit of detection, were
- then imputed with the minimum observed value for the respective metabolite.

130 Biochemistry

131 Glucose and C-reactive protein (CRP, Roche, Switzerland) were determined in fasted plasma samples. 132 C-peptide was measured by radioimmunoassay (Millipore, Amsterdam, The Netherlands). Total 133 cholesterol, high density lipoprotein cholesterol (HDLc), and triglycerides (TG) were determined in 134 EDTA-containing plasma using commercially available enzymatic assays (Randox, Antrim, UK and 135 DiaSys, Germany). All analyses were performed using a Selectra autoanalyzer (Sopachem, The 136 Netherlands). Low density lipoprotein cholesterol (LDLc) was calculated using the Friedewald formula. 137 Calprotectin was determined in feces using a commercial ELISA (Bühlmann, Switzerland). Hba1c was 138 measured by HPLC (Tosoh G8, Tosoh Bioscience)

139

140 Fecal sample shotgun sequencing and metagenomic pipeline

141 Fecal microbiota were analysed using shotgun sequencing on donor and patient samples taken at 0, 142 6 and 12 months after initiation of study. DNA extraction from fecal samples for shotgun 143 metagenomics was performed as previously described[8]. Subsequently, shotgun metagenomic 144 sequencing was performed (Clinical Microbiomics, Copenhagen, Denmark). Before sequencing, the 145 quality of the DNA samples was evaluated using agarose gel electrophoresis, NanoDrop 2000 146 spectrophotometry and Qubit 2.0 fluorometer quantitation. The genomic DNA was randomly 147 sheared into fragments of around 350 bp. The fragmented DNA was used for library construction 148 using NEBNext Ultra Library Prep Kit for Illumina (New England Biolabs). The prepared DNA libraries 149 were evaluated using Qubit 2.0 fluorometer quantitation and Agilent 2100 Bioanalyzer for the 150 fragment size distribution. Real time quantitative PCR (qPCR) was used to determine the 151 concentration of the final library before sequencing. The library was sequenced on an Illumina HiSeq 152 platform to produce 2 x 150 bp paired-end reads. Raw reads were quality filtered using Trimmomatic 153 (v0.38), removing adapters, trimming the first 5 bp, and then quality trimming reads using a sliding

154	window of 4 bp and a minimum Q-score of 15. Reads that were shorter than 70 bp after trimming
155	were discarded. Surviving paired reads were mapped against the human genome (GRCh37_hg19)
156	with bowtie2 (v2.3.4.3) in order to remove human reads. Finally, the remaining quality filtered, non-
157	human reads were sub-sampled to 20 million reads per sample and processed using Metaphlan2[9]
158	(v2.7.7) to infer metagenomic microbial species composition and Humann2[10] (v0.11.2) to extract
159	gene counts and functional pathways. In brief, reads were mapped using bowtie2 against microbial
160	pangenomes; unmapped reads were translated and mapped against the full Uniref90 protein
161	database using diamond (v0.8.38). Pathway collection was performed using the MetaCyc database.

163 Small intestinal microbiota analyses

164 Biopsies were added to a bead-beating tube with 300 μ l Stool Transport and Recovery (STAR) buffer, 165 0.25 g of sterilized zirconia beads (0.1 mm). 6 µl of Proteinase K (20mg/ml; QIAGEN, Venlo, The 166 Netherlands) was added and incubated for 1hr at 55 °C. The biopsies were then homogenized by 167 bead-beating three times (60 s × 5.5 ms) followed by incubation for 15 min at 95 °C at 1000 rpm. 168 Samples were then centrifuged for 5 min at 4 °C and 14,000 g and supernatants transferred to sterile 169 tubes. Pellets were re-processed using 200 µl STAR buffer and both supernatants were pooled. DNA 170 purification was performed with a customized kit (AS1220; Promega) using 250 μ l of the final 171 supernatant pool. DNA was eluted in 50 µl of DNAse- RNAse-free water and its concentration 172 measured using a DS-11 FX+ Spectrophotometer/Fluorometer (DeNovix Inc., Wilmington, USA) with 173 the Qubit[™] dsDNA BR Assay kit (Thermo Scientific, Landsmeer, The Netherlands). The V5-V6 region 174 of 16S ribosomal RNA (rRNA) gene was amplified in duplicate PCR reactions for each sample in a total 175 reaction volume of 50 µl. A first step PCR using the 27F and the 1369R primer were used for primary 176 enrichment. 1µl of 10uM primer, 1µl dNTPs mixture, 0.5µl Phusion Green Hot Start II High-Fidelity 177 DNA Polymerase (2 U/ μ l; Thermo Scientific, Landsmeer, The Netherlands), 10 μ l 5× Phusion Green HF 178 Buffer, and 36.5 µl DNAse- RNAse-free water. The amplification program included 30 s of initial 179 denaturation step at 98°C, followed by 5 cycles of denaturation at 98 oC for 30 s, annealing at 52 °C

180	for 40 s, elongation at 72 °C for 90 s, and a final extension step at 72 °C for 7 min. On the PCR product
181	a nested PCR was performed using the master mix containing 1 μ l of a unique barcoded primer,
182	784F-n and 1064R-n (10 μ M each per reaction), 1 μ l dNTPs mixture, 0.5 μ l Phusion Green Hot Start II
183	High-Fidelity DNA Polymerase (2 U/ μ l; Thermo Scientific, Landsmeer, The Netherlands), 10 μ l 5×
184	Phusion Green HF Buffer, and 36.5 μ l DNAse- RNAse-free water. The amplification program included
185	30 s of initial denaturation step at 98°C, followed by 5 cycles of denaturation at 98 °C for 10 s,
186	annealing at 42 °C for 10 s, elongation at 72 °C for 10 s, and a final extension step at 72 °C for 7 min.
187	The PCR product was visualised in 1% agarose gel (~280 bp) and purified with CleanPCR kit (CleanNA,
188	Alphen aan den Rijn, The Netherlands). The concentration of the purified PCR product was measured
189	with Qubit dsDNA BR Assay Kit (Invitrogen, California, USA) and 200 ng of microbial DNA from each
190	sample were pooled for the creation of the final amplicon library which was sequenced (150 bp,
191	paired-end) on the Illumina HiSeq. 2500 platform (GATC Biotech, Constance, Germany).
192	Raw reads were demultiplexed using the Je software suite (v2.0.) allowing no mismatches in the
193	barcodes. After removing the barcodes, linker and primers, reads were mapped against the human
194	genome using bowtie2 in order to remove human reads. Surviving microbial forward and reverse
195	reads were pipelined separately using DADA2[11] (v1.12.1). Amplicon Sequence Variants (ASVs)
196	inferred from the reverse reads were reverse-complemented and matched against ASVs inferred
197	from the forwards reads. Only non-chimeric forward reads ASVs that matched reverse-
198	complemented reverse reads ASVs were kept. ASV sample counts were inferred from the forward
199	reads. ASV taxonomy was assigned using DADA2 and the SILVA (v132) database. The resulting ASV
200	table and taxonomy assignments were integrated using the phyloseq R package (v1.28.0) and
201	rarefied to 60000 counts per sample.
202	
203	Duodenal gene expression
204	Eresh bionsy samples were shan frozen, stored at -80° C and processed as previously published

204 Fresh biopsy samples were snap frozen, stored at -80°C and processed as previously published

205 (Pellegrini et al., 2017). Prior to RNA extraction, biopsies were transferred into 500 µl lysis buffer

206	(mirVana Isolation Kit, Ambion, Austin, TX), homogenized with Tissue Ruptor (Qiagen, Hilden,
207	Germany) and frozen again. Total RNA was extracted with mirVana Kit following manufacturer's
208	instruction and quantified by spectrophotometer lecture (Epoch, Gen5 software; BioTek, Winooski,
209	VT). OD A260/A280 ratio ≥2.0 and GAPDH Ct<28 in Taqman single assay identified acceptable quality
210	RNA samples. For reverse transcription PCR, after DNAse treatment (Turbo DNAse, Invitrogen), 5 μ g
211	of RNA were retro-transcribed in a 21 μl reaction volume with SuperScript IV RT (Invitrogen)
212	following manufacturer's instructions. Predesigned TaqMan Arrays Human Inflammation Panel and
213	Human Cell Junction Panel (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA) were used for gene expression
214	study. A list of genes is reported in supplementary table 1. PCR runs and fluorescence detection were
215	carried out in a 7900 Real-Time PCR System (Applied Biosystems) at the following temperature
216	conditions: 50° C for 2 minutes, 95°C for 10 minutes and 40 cycles of 95° C for 15 seconds and 60° C
217	for 1 minute. Results were expressed as fold changes (2 ^{$-\Delta$} Ct method) over a mean of expression of
218	the selected best reference genes: 5 housekeeping (HK) genes for Human Inflammation panel I (eta -
219	actin, β -2 Microglobulin, GAPDH, RPLPO and UBC) and 4 housekeeping genes for Human Cell Junction
220	Panel (β-2 Microglobulin, GAPDH, RPLPO and UBC).
221	
222	Statistical analysis
222	For baceline differences between groups, uppaired Student's t-test or the Mann-Whitney II test

223 For baseline differences between groups, unpaired Student's t-test or the Mann-Whitney U test

224 (MWU) were used dependent on the distribution of the data. Accordingly, data are expressed as

225 mean ± the standard deviation or the median with interquartile range. Post-prandial results (e.g. c-

226 peptide) are described as area under the curves (AUC) for the 2-hour post-prandial follow-up,

227 calculated by using the trapezoidal method. For correlation analyses, Spearman's Rank test was used

- 228 (as all parameters were non-parametric). For comparison of the primary end point a linear mixed
- 229 model (LMM) was used (Ime4 package in R), where 'allocation' and 'time point' were fixed effects
- and 'patient entry number' was a random effect. The p value for the interaction between 'allocation'
- and 'time point' was reported. Additionally, parameters were compared between groups at various

time points using MWU with multiplicity correction. A p-value < 0.05 was considered statistically

- 233 significant.
- 234
- 235 Missing values

236 One study participant retracted informed consent after the first visit. This participant was not 237 included in our analyses. All other study participants completed all study visits, therefore missing 238 values are limited. Most missing data points were caused by laboratory problems such as inability to 239 extract DNA or failure to properly process or harvest immune cells. These missing data are 240 considered to be missing completely at random (MCAR). The exception to this is that one subject 241 refused the second gastroduodenoscopy, therefore his duodenal biopsies (small intestinal microbiota 242 and gene expression) after treatment are missing (1 in 20 cases or 5%). This subject has received 243 autologous FMT. We do not assume that having received autologous treatment rather than allogenic 244 (donor) faeces, metabolism or gene expression are in any way related to this person refusing the 245 second gastroscopy, therefore we consider these data to be 'missing at random' (MAR). Key variables 246 fasting C-peptide, C-peptide AUC, A1c and weight are complete (0% missing). The immunological 247 parameters mentioned in the text and figures (main figure 6 and supplementary figure 3) are all 248 based on complete data sets i.e. no missing values (CD4+ CM T cells, CD8+ T cells, CD8+CXCR3+ T 249 cells and CD4+CXCR3+ T cells). Most gene expression data in the manuscript and main and 250 supplemental figures (CCL22, CLDN12, CCL4, CD86, CCL19, CLDN 14, CCR5, CCL18, CD14) is 95% 251 complete (see above). For CCL13 one extra baseline measurement is missing, for CXCL12 one 'after 252 treatment' time point is missing, for CXCL1 two baseline and 1 after treatment time point is missing. 253 Some immunological analyses have suffered from missing data, e.g. the lymphocyte stimulation tests 254 (LST) analyses (1 to 4/20 (5-20%) of cases depending on the parameter). However, these data are not 255 mentioned in the figures (there was no statistically significant difference between the groups). The 256 fecal microbiota dataset is complete (complete case analysis). The missing values in the metabolite

257 data were imputated (see paragraph on metabolite analysis), therefore complete case analysis was 258 performed. No other data have been imputated. 259 260 261 262 Machine learning and follow-up statistical analyses 263 This technique was used on duodenal microbial composition (perform RT-gPCR on biopsies), on fecal 264 microbiota composition and metabolic pathway abundance (Shotgun sequencing), on plasma 265 metabolite levels and on duodenal gene expression levels data. To predict treatment groups, we 266 used the relative change (delta) of each parameter between 0 and 12 months. For duodenal 267 microbes and duodenal gene expression, we used delta 0 vs 6 months as no 12 months' time point 268 was available. For prediction of responders vs non-responders baseline values, delta 0 vs 6 months 269 and delta 0 vs 12 months were used. Each analysis produced a ranked list of the top 30 most 270 discriminative features. We selected the top parameters from each analysis that accurately (i.e., 271 areau under the receiver-operator curve (AUROC) \geq 0.8) or moderately (AUROC > 0.7) predicted group 272 allocation for closer study, using an arbitrary cut off. This cut off was generally a relative importance 273 of around 30% or higher (for an example of this see figure 2C, from which the top 4 features were 274 selected). Then, we visualized the change in time of the selected parameters (Wilcoxon's signed rank 275 tests) and studied between-group differences (MWU) at each time point and finally, using 276 Spearman's rank test, we correlated these parameters with our primary end point and with other key 277 parameters that were identified in this way. For the most important analyses supplementary figures 278 showing the top 30 selected features are presented. 279

Analysis of responders and non-responders irrespective of treatment group

281	We in	vestigated whether baseline characteristics of T1D patients can predict response to FMT	
282	therap	by at 12 months follow-up and which bacterial strains and plasma metabolites were associated	
283	with t	his response. Clinical response was defined as <10% decline in Beta-cell function compared to	
284	baseline at 12 months follow-up, which is significantly less than the expected natural 12 months		
285	decline of 20% in beta cell function [4,12]. We chose responders at 12 months for our analyses		
286	because our primary end point (MMT stimulated C-peptide) was significantly different at 12 (but n		
287	at 6) months. At 12 months follow-up, clinical response sustained in 10 subjects of whom 3 had		
288	received allogenic and 7 had received autologous FMT (see Figure 4A-B). We next used predictive		
289	mode	lling to determine which parameters (either their baseline values or delta 0-12 month values)	
290	were	predictors of clinical response to FMT.	
291			
292	Patier	and public involvement	
293	This research was done without patient involvement. Patients were not invited to comment on the		
294	study design and were not consulted to develop patient relevant outcomes or interpret the results.		
295	Patients were not invited to contribute to the writing or editing of this document for readability or		
296	accura	асу.	
297			
298	Refere	ences	
299			
300	1	van Nood E, Vrieze A, Nieuwdorp M, et al. Duodenal infusion of donor feces for recurrent	
301		Clostridium difficile. N Engl J Med 2013; 368 :407–15. doi:10.1056/NEJMoa1205037	
302	2	Cammarota G, Ianiro G, Tilg H, et al. European consensus conference on faecal microbiota	
303		transplantation in clinical practice. Gut 2017;66:569–80. doi:10.1136/gutjnl-2016-313017	
304	3	Moran A, Bundy B, Becker DJ, et al. Interleukin-1 antagonism in type 1 diabetes of recent	
305		onset: two multicentre, randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled trials. Lancet (London,	

306		England) 2013; 381 :1905–15. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(13)60023-9
307	4	Lachin JM, McGee PL, Greenbaum CJ, et al. Sample size requirements for studies of treatment
308		effects on beta-cell function in newly diagnosed type 1 diabetes. <i>PLoS One</i> 2011; 6 :e26471.
309		doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0026471
310	5	Kracht MJL, van Lummel M, Nikolic T, et al. Autoimmunity against a defective ribosomal
311		insulin gene product in type 1 diabetes. <i>Nat Med</i> 2017; 23 :501–7. doi:10.1038/nm.4289
312	6	Velthuis JH, Unger WW, Abreu JRF, et al. Simultaneous detection of circulating autoreactive
313		CD8+ T-cells specific for different islet cell-associated epitopes using combinatorial MHC
314		multimers. <i>Diabetes</i> 2010; 59 :1721–30. doi:10.2337/db09-1486
315	7	Koh A, Molinaro A, Stahlman M, et al. Microbially Produced Imidazole Propionate Impairs
316		Insulin Signaling through mTORC1. <i>Cell</i> 2018; 175 :947-961.e17. doi:10.1016/j.cell.2018.09.055
317	8	Vrieze A, Van Nood E, Holleman F, et al. Transfer of intestinal microbiota from lean donors
318		increases insulin sensitivity in individuals with metabolic syndrome. Gastroenterology
319		2012; 143 :913-6.e7. doi:10.1053/j.gastro.2012.06.031
320	9	Truong DT, Franzosa EA, Tickle TL, et al. MetaPhlAn2 for enhanced metagenomic taxonomic
321		profiling. Nat. Methods. 2015; 12 :902–3. doi:10.1038/nmeth.3589
322	10	Franzosa EA, McIver LJ, Rahnavard G, et al. Species-level functional profiling of metagenomes
323		and metatranscriptomes. Nat Methods 2018;15:962–8. doi:10.1038/s41592-018-0176-y
324	11	Callahan BJ, McMurdie PJ, Rosen MJ, et al. DADA2: High-resolution sample inference from
325		Illumina amplicon data. Nat Methods 2016;13:581–3. doi:10.1038/nmeth.3869
326	12	Overgaard AJ, Weir JM, Jayawardana K, et al. Plasma lipid species at type 1 diabetes onset
327		predict residual beta-cell function after 6 months. <i>Metabolomics</i> 2018; 14 :158.
328		doi:10.1007/s11306-018-1456-3
329		
330		
331		

333

334