

Supplemental Table 1
Newcastle-Ottawa quality assessment for cohort and case control studies

Sabin (D:A:D) (2008)–cohort Selection 1) A→1 star 2) A→1 star 3) A→1 star 4) A→1 star Comparability 1) 2 stars (adjusted for age, gender) Outcome 1) A→1 star 2) A→1 star (more than 2 years) 3) B→1 star Total 9/9	Brouwer (2014)–cohort Selection 1) A→1 star 2) A→1 star 3) A→1 star 4) A→1 star Comparability 1) 2 stars (adjusted for age, gender) Outcome 1) B→1 star 2) B→no star (less than 2 years) 3) B→1 star Total 8/9
Lundgren (2008)–cohort Selection 1) A→1 star 2) A→1 star 3) A→1 star 4) A→1 star Comparability 1) 2 stars (adjusted for age, gender) Outcome 1) A→1 star 2) A→1 star (more than 2 years) 3) D→no star Total 8/9	Desai (2015)–cohort Selection 1) B→1 star 2) A→1 star 3) A→1 star 4) A→1 star Comparability 1) 2 stars (adjusted for age, gender) Outcome 1) B→1 star 2) A→1 star (more than 2 years) 3) B→1 star Total 9/9
Lang (2010)–case control Selection 1) A→1 star 2) A→1 star 3) A→1 star 4) A→1 star Comparability 1) 2 stars (matched for age, gender) Exposure 1) A→1 star 2) A→1 star 3) A→1 star Total 9/9	Young (2015)–cohort Selection 1) A→1 star 2) A→1 star 3) A→1 star 4) A→1 star Comparability 1) 2 stars (adjusted for age, gender) Outcome 1) B→1 star 2) A→1 star (more than 2 years) 3) B→1 star Total 9/9
Obel (2010)–cohort Selection 1) A→1 star 2) A→1 star 3) A→1 star 4) A→1 star Comparability 1) 2 stars (adjusted for age, gender) Outcome 1) B→1 star 2) A→1 star (more than 2 years) 3) A→1 star	Palella (2015)–cohort Selection 1) A→1 star 2) A→1 star 3) A→1 star 4) A→1 star Comparability 1) 2 stars (adjusted for age, gender) Outcome 1) A→1 star 2) A→1 star (more than 2 years) 3) B→1 star

Total 9/9	Total 9/9
Choi (2011)–cohort Selection 1) B→1 star 2) A→1 star 3) A→1 star 4) A→1 star Comparability 1) 2 stars (adjusted for age, gender) Outcome 1) B→1 star 2) A→1 star (more than 2 years) 3) D→no star Total 8/9	Marcus (2016)–cohort Selection 1) A→1 star 2) A→1 star 3) A→1 star 4) A→1 star Comparability 1) 2 stars (adjusted for age, gender) Outcome 1) A→1 star 2) A→1 star (more than 2 years) 3) B→1 star Total 9/9
Bedimo (2011)–cohort Selection 1) B→1 star 2) A→1 star 3) A→1 star 4) A→1 star Comparability 1) 0 stars (adjusted for age, gender) Outcome 1) B→1 star 2) A→1 star (more than 2 years) 3) B→no star Total 6/9	Sabin (D:A:D) (2016)–cohort Selection 1) A→1 star 2) A→1 star 3) A→1 star 4) A→1 star Comparability 1) 2 stars (adjusted for age, gender) Outcome 1) A→1 star 2) A→1 star (more than 2 years) 3) B→1 star Total 9/9
Durand (2011)–case control Selection 1) B→no star 2) A→1 star 3) A→1 star 4) A→1 star Comparability 1) 2 stars (matched for age, gender) Exposure 1) A→1 star 2) A→1 star 3) A→1 star Total 8/9	Dorjee (2017)–cohort Selection 1) A→1 star 2) A→1 star 3) A→1 star 4) A→1 star Comparability 1) 2 stars (adjusted for age, gender) Outcome 1) B→1 star 2) A→1 star (more than 2 years) 3) B→1 star Total 9/9
Rotger (2013)–case control Selection 1) A→1 star 2) A→1 star 3) A→1 star 4) A→1 star Comparability 1) 2 stars (matched for gender and age adjusted) Exposure 1) A→1 star 2) A→1 star 3) A→1 star Total 9/9	Elion (2018)–cohort Selection 1) A→1 star 2) A→1 star 3) A→1 star 4) A→1 star Comparability 1) 2 stars (adjusted for age, gender) Outcome 1) A→1 star 2) A→1 star (more than 2 years) 3) B→1 star Total 9/9

Supplemental Table 2
Quality assessment of risk of bias for randomized control trial

Trial	Concealment of randomization	RCT stopped early	Patients blinded	Healthcare providers blinded	Data collectors blinded	Outcome assessors blinded
Martin (2009)	No	No	No	No	No	Yes