



eLife's transparent reporting form

We encourage authors to provide detailed information *within their submission* to facilitate the interpretation and replication of experiments. Authors can upload supporting documentation to indicate the use of appropriate reporting guidelines for health-related research (see [EQUATOR Network](#)), life science research (see the [BioSharing Information Resource](#)), or the [ARRIVE guidelines](#) for reporting work involving animal research. Where applicable, authors should refer to any relevant reporting standards documents in this form.

If you have any questions, please consult our Journal Policies and/or contact us: editorial@elifesciences.org.

Sample-size estimation

- You should state whether an appropriate sample size was computed when the study was being designed
- You should state the statistical method of sample size computation and any required assumptions
- If no explicit power analysis was used, you should describe how you decided what sample (replicate) size (number) to use

Please outline where this information can be found within the submission (e.g., sections or figure legends), or explain why this information doesn't apply to your submission:

Sample sizes were estimated based on previous studies in which motor cortex [1] or barrel cortex [2] was manipulated. For the unmanipulated dataset (e.g. Figure 1) we used a sample size that was conservatively large relative to previous studies of locomotion in mice [e.g. 3].

1. Asante, C.O., Chu, A., Fisher, M., Benson, L., Beg, A., Scheiffele, P. and Martin, J., 2010. Cortical control of adaptive locomotion in wild-type mice and mutant mice lacking the ephrin-Eph effector protein $\alpha 2$ -chimaerin. *Journal of neurophysiology*, 104(6), pp.3189-3202.
2. Hong, Y.K., Lacefield, C.O., Rodgers, C.C. and Bruno, R.M., 2018. Sensation, movement and learning in the absence of barrel cortex. *Nature*, 561(7724), pp.542-546.
3. Bellardita, C. and Kiehn, O., 2015. Phenotypic characterization of speed-associated gait changes in mice reveals modular organization of locomotor networks. *Current Biology*, 25(11), pp.1426-1436.

Replicates

- You should report how often each experiment was performed
- You should include a definition of biological versus technical replication
- The data obtained should be provided and sufficient information should be provided to indicate the number of independent biological and/or technical replicates
- If you encountered any outliers, you should describe how these were handled
- Criteria for exclusion/inclusion of data should be clearly stated
- High-throughput sequence data should be uploaded before submission, with a private link for reviewers provided (these are available from both GEO and ArrayExpress)



Please outline where this information can be found within the submission (e.g., sections or figure legends), or explain why this information doesn't apply to your submission:

All experimental conditions were repeated for multiple sessions per mouse. This information can be found in Figure Legends and the Methods. Criteria for outlier can be found in the Methods.

Statistical reporting

- Statistical analysis methods should be described and justified
- Raw data should be presented in figures whenever informative to do so (typically when N per group is less than 10)
- For each experiment, you should identify the statistical tests used, exact values of N, definitions of center, methods of multiple test correction, and dispersion and precision measures (e.g., mean, median, SD, SEM, confidence intervals; and, for the major substantive results, a measure of effect size (e.g., Pearson's r, Cohen's d)
- Report exact p-values wherever possible alongside the summary statistics and 95% confidence intervals. These should be reported for all key questions and not only when the p-value is less than 0.05.

Please outline where this information can be found within the submission (e.g., sections or figure legends), or explain why this information doesn't apply to your submission:

Every bar plots includes raw data as individual scatter points for each mouse. Statistical methods are described and justified in the Methods. Significance values are reported both in the main text and in figure legends.

(For large datasets, or papers with a very large number of statistical tests, you may upload a single table file with tests, Ns, etc., with reference to sections in the manuscript.)

Group allocation

- Indicate how samples were allocated into experimental groups (in the case of clinical studies, please specify allocation to treatment method); if randomization was used, please also state if restricted randomization was applied
- Indicate if masking was used during group allocation, data collection and/or data analysis

Please outline where this information can be found within the submission (e.g., sections or figure legends), or explain why this information doesn't apply to your submission:

Mice were randomly assigned to experimental groups. Masking was not used, and was often not possible (e.g. for manipulations such as whisker trimming).

Additional data files ("source data")

- We encourage you to upload relevant additional data files, such as numerical data that are represented as a graph in a figure, or as a summary table
- Where provided, these should be in the most useful format, and they can be uploaded as "Source data" files linked to a main figure or table
- Include model definition files including the full list of parameters used
- Include code used for data analysis (e.g., R, MatLab)



eLIFE

1st Floor
24 Hills Road
Cambridge CB2 1JP, UK

P 01223 855340
W elifesciences.org
T @elife

- Avoid stating that data files are “available upon request”

Please indicate the figures or tables for which source data files have been provided:

The main dataset used in the paper is available on figshare:
https://figshare.com/articles/dataset/obstacle_data_mat/13337435. Raw data are presented in all bar plots.